# Synthesis and Characterization of Novel Organogallium–Phosphorus Compounds: X-ray Crystal Structures of Ph<sub>2</sub>GaP(SIMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Ga(Ph)<sub>2</sub>Cl, Ph<sub>2</sub>(Cl)Ga·P(SIMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and $Ph_3Ga \cdot P(SiMe_3)_3$

Richard L. Wells,\* Steven R. Aubuchon, and Mark F. Self

Department of Chemistry, Paul M. Gross Chemical Laboratory, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708

Jerry P. Jasinski and Richard C. Woudenberg

Department of Chemistry, Keene State College, Keene, New Hampshire 03431

Ray J. Butcher

Department of Chemistry, Howard University, Washington, D.C. 20059

Received May 26, 1992

A Ga-P-Ga-Cl ring containing compound,  $Ph_2GaP(SiMe_3)_2Ga(Ph)_2Cl$  (1), is reported. Compound 1 is an example of a four-membered ring system with mixed bridging of the two gallium centers and was prepared via the reaction of Ph<sub>2</sub>GaCl with P(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> in a 2:1 mole ratio in either toluene or pentane. Curiously, 1 was originally isolated as a minor product (ca. 5% yield) from the reaction of  $LiP(SiMe_3)_2$ 

with  $Ph_2GaCl$  in a 1:1 mole ratio. X-ray crystallographic analyses shows the Ga-P-Ga-Cl ring of 1 to be nonplanar. The reaction of  $Ph_2GaCl$  and  $P(SiMe_3)_3$  in a 1:1 mole ratio in either toluene or pentane yields  $Ph_2(Cl)Ga \cdot P(SiMe_3)_3$  (2). Compound 3,  $Ph_3Ga \cdot P(SiMe_3)_3$ , was also originally isolated as a minor product (ca. 10%) from the reaction of LiP(SiMe\_3)\_2 with  $Ph_2GaCl$  in a 1:1 mole ratio and is an additional reported example of an adduct formed through ligand redistribution involving LiE(SiMe\_3)\_2 (E = P or As) as a reactant. The straightforward synthesis of 3 can be accomplished by the 1.1 mole reaction of  $Ph_3Ga$  and  $P(SiMe_3)_3$ in pentane. Both 2 and 3 are structural examples of adducts containing a Ga-P bond with aryl ligands on the gallium center. Compound 1 belongs to the monoclinic system, space group  $P2_1/c$ , with z = 4, a = 10.579 (2) Å, b = 15.653 (5) Å, c = 20.428 (4) Å, and  $\beta = 91.80$  (2)°. Compound 2 crystallizes in an orthorhombic system, space group  $P2_12_12_1$ , with z = 4, a = 9.3320 (10) Å, b = 9.768 (2) Å, and c = 30.581 (6) Å. Compound 3 belongs to the monoclinic system, space group  $P2_1/n$ , with z = 4, a = 18.81 (3) Å, b = 10.95 (0) Å, a = 18.22 (3) Å = 19.25 (2) Å, c = 18.93 (2) Å, and  $\beta = 112.8$  (1)°.

#### Introduction

Previous research in our laboratory has shown the utility of dehalosilylation and lithium halide elimination reactions to prepare compounds containing the Ga-As bond.<sup>1</sup> Subsequently, we have employed reactions of this type to prepare analogous compounds containing In-As,<sup>2</sup> In-P,<sup>3</sup> and Al-As<sup>4</sup> bonds. From this line of investigation we have been able to isolate compounds whose core fragments contain two group 13 metals bridged either by two pnictogen atoms [i.e., M-E-M-E (M = Ga, E = As;<sup>5,6</sup> M = In,  $E = As^2$  or  $P^3$ ], or by one pnictogen and one halogen atom [i.e.,  $\dot{M}$ -E-M-X (M = Ga, E = As, X = Cl,<sup>5,7</sup> or Br;<sup>7</sup> M = In, E = As<sup>2</sup> or P,<sup>3</sup> X = Cl)]. As an ongoing effort in this area, we have begun to investigate similar gallium-phosphorus systems. Herein we report our initial findings, the syntheses and characterizations (partial elemental analyses, melting points, <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C<sup>1</sup>H, and <sup>31</sup>P<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra and X-ray analysis) of three compounds containing Ga-P bonds:  $Ph_2GaP(SiMe_3)_2Ga(Ph)_2Cl$  (1),  $Ph_2(Cl)Ga\cdot P$ - $(SiMe_3)_3$  (2), and  $Ph_3Ga \cdot P(SiMe_3)_3$  (3). Compound 1 is a structural example of a gallium-phosphorus mixed bridge

system. Compounds 2 and 3 are examples of adducts containing the Ga-P bond and are the only adducts which contain anyl groups on the gallium center to be structurally analyzed. The original isolation of 3 involved an unusual ligand-exchange process in which the symmetrization of both the metal and pnictide centers occurred. This rearrangement phenomenon has been observed previously in analogous gallium-arsenic and indium-phosphorus chemistry with the isolation of such compounds as Ph<sub>3</sub>Ga·As(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and (Me<sub>3</sub>SiCH<sub>2</sub>)In·As(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.8

#### **Experimental Section**

General Considerations. All manipulations were performed using general Schlenk, drybox, and/or high-vacuum techniques. Solvents (including those for NMR spectra) were appropriately dried and distilled under argon prior to use. Literature methods were used to prepare Ph<sub>3</sub>Ga<sup>9</sup> and P(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.<sup>10</sup> GaCl<sub>3</sub> was purchased from Alfa Products and purified by sublimation. Ph2GaCl was prepared via the equilibration of Ph<sub>3</sub>Ga and GaCl<sub>3</sub> in toluene in a 2:1 mole ratio and purified by recrystallization.  ${\rm Li}\bar{P}(SiMe_3)_2{}^{11}$ was prepared via the 1:1 mole ratio of MeLi with P(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. <sup>1</sup>H,  $^{13}\mathrm{C}\{^{1}\mathrm{H}\},$  and  $^{31}\mathrm{P}\{^{1}\mathrm{H}\}$  NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian XL-300 at 300.0, 75.4, and 121.4 MHz, respectively. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C spectra were referenced to TMS via the residual protons or carbons of  $C_6D_6$ . <sup>31</sup>P spectra were referenced to external 80% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> at  $\delta$  0.00 ppm. Melting points (uncorrected) were taken in sealed capillaries (Thomas-Hoover Unimelt). Elemental analyses were performed by E + R Microanalytical Laboratory, Inc., Corona, ŇΥ.

<sup>(1)</sup> For a review, see: Wells, R. L. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1992, 112, 273. (2) Wells, R. L.; Jones, L. J.; McPhail, A. T.; Alvanipour, A. Organo-metallics 1991, 10, 2345.

<sup>(3)</sup> Wells, R. L.; McPhail, A. T.; Self, M. F. Organometallics 1992, 11, 221

<sup>(4)</sup> Wells, R. L.; McPhail, A. T.; Speer, T. M. Organometallics 1992, 11, 960.

<sup>(5)</sup> Wells, R. L.; Pasterczyk, J. W.; McPhail, A. T.; Johansen, J. D.;
Alvanipour, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 407, 17.
(6) Wells, R. L.; Purdy, A. P.; McPhail, A. T.; Pitt, C. G. J. Organomet.

Chem. 1986, 308, 281. (7) Holley, W. K.; Wells, R. L.; Shafieezad, S.; McPhail, A. T.; Pitt, C. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 381, 15.

<sup>(8)</sup> Wells, R. L.; McPhail, A. T.; Jones, L. J.; Self, M. F.; Butcher, R. Organometallics 1992, 11, 2694.
(9) Miller, S. B.; Jelus, B. L.; Smith, J. H.; Munson, B.; Brill, T. B. J.

Organomet. Chem. 1979, 170, 9. (10) Becker, G.; Hoelderich, W. Chem. Ber. 1975, 108 (7), 2484. (11) Fritz, G.; Hoelderich, W. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1976, 422, 104.

Table I.	Crystallographic Data and Measurements for Ph <sub>2</sub> GaP(SiMe <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Ga(Ph) <sub>2</sub> Cl (1), Ph <sub>2</sub> (Cl)Ga • PSiMe <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (2), and
	$Ph_{s}Ga \bullet P(SiMe_{3})_{3}$ (3)

	1	2	3
mol formula	C <sub>30</sub> H <sub>38</sub> PSi <sub>2</sub> Ga <sub>2</sub> Cl	C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>36</sub> ClGaPSi <sub>3</sub>	C54H84P2SieGa2
formula <del>w</del> t	660.67	508.9	1103.16
cryst syst	monoclinic	orthorhombic	monoclinic
space group	$P2_1/c$	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$	$P2_1/n$
a, A	10.579 (2)	9.3320 (10)	18.81 (3)
b, Å	15.653 (5)	9.768 (2)	19.25 (2)
c. A	20.428 (4)	30.581 (6)	18.93 (2)
$\beta$ , deg	91.80 (2)	90	112.8 (1)
V Å <sup>3</sup>	3381 (1)	2787.6 (8)	6319 (9)
Z	4	4	4
$D_{calcd}$ , g cm <sup>-3</sup>	1.298	1.213	1.160
cryst dimens, mm	$0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.7$	$0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.15$	$0.4 \times 0.4 \times 1.0$
F	1360	1068	2336
$\mu,  {\rm cm}^{-1}$	18.00	12.68	10.41
scan type	ω	2 <del>0-0</del>	ω
20mar, deg	50	55	55.0
scan rate	8.0 °/min in $\omega$ , 2 rescans	variable 4.00–15.00°/min in $\omega$	$8.0^{\circ}$ /min in $\omega$ , 2 rescans
scan width	$(0.98 + 0.30 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$	0.80° plus K $\alpha$ sep	$(1.26 + 0.30 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$
R; R_*	0.045; 0.042	0.062; 0.043	0.084: 0.090
goodness-of-fit <sup>b</sup>	1.31	1.32	2.50

 ${}^{a}R = \sum_{i}||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| \sum_{i}|F_{o}|; R_{w} = [\sum_{v}w(|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|)^{2} \sum_{w}|F_{o}|^{2}]^{1/2}; \sum_{v}w\Delta^{2} [w = 1/\sigma^{2}(|F_{o}|), \Delta = (|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|)] \text{ was minimized. } ^{b}\text{ Goodness of fit} = [\sum_{v}w\Delta^{2}/(N_{observations} - N_{parameters})]^{1/2}.$ 

Preparation of Ph2GaP(SiMe3)2Ga(Ph)2Cl (1). Method a. Ph<sub>2</sub>GaCl (0.379 g, 1.46 mmol) in 25 mL of toluene was placed in the lower bulb of a two-bulb reaction flask equipped with Teflon valves and a microstirbar. P(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (0.180 g, 0.73 mmol) in 10 mL of toluene was placed into the upper bulb. The lower bulb and its contents were degassed via a freeze-pump-thaw cycle. Next, the P(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> solution was slowly dripped into the stirred Ph<sub>2</sub>GaCl solution held at 85 °C in an oil bath. The flask was attached to a N<sub>2</sub> bubbler, and allowed to stir at 100 °C overnight. From the resulting clear solution, the volatiles were removed in vacuo, affording a white solid. The volatiles were then hydrolyzed and deionized water and titrated with NaOH (0.107 M, 6.90 mL, corresponding to the elimination of 0.73 mmol of Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl). The white solid was dissolved in a small amount of warm toluene, and slow evaporation of the solvent in the drybox resulted in the formation of a clear crystalline product. Recrystallization from a 50:50 hexane/toluene solution afforded pure crystals of 1 (0.108 g, 22.4% yield); mp 171-180 °C. Anal. Calcd (found) for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>38</sub>ClGa<sub>2</sub>PSi<sub>6</sub>: C, 54.54 (54.54); H, 5.79 (5.96); Cl, 5.37 (5.28). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  -0.06 (d, 18 H, SiMe<sub>3</sub> (J<sub>P-H</sub> = 5.92 Hz)), 7.23-7.93 (m, 20 H, Ph). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  2.81 [d, PSiMe<sub>3</sub> (J<sub>P-C</sub> = 8.60 Hz)], 128.73 (s, Ph), 128.32 (partially obscured by solvent, s, Ph), 135.88 (bs, Ph). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR:  $\delta$  -214.74 (s).

Method b. Compound 1 was prepared in a higher yield via the reaction of Ph<sub>2</sub>GaCl (0.465 g, 1.80 mmol) and P(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (0.235 g, 0.94 mmol) in 150 mL of dry pentane in a one-neck 250-mL round-bottom flask equipped with a Teflon valve. The reaction was placed for 49 h in a sonicating water bath, volatiles were then removed in vacuo, and the crude product was recrystallized from hot toluene to give 1 (0.251 g, 40.4% yield) as a crystalline product.

Isolation of 1 from the Reaction of Ph<sub>2</sub>GaCl and LiP-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. In an apparatus similar to that used for 1 (method a), Ph<sub>2</sub>GaCl (0.305 g, 1.17 mmol) in 30 mL of toluene was placed into the lower bulb and LiP(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.217 g, 1.17 mmol) in 20 mL of THF was placed into the upper bulb. The LiP(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solution was slowly added with stirring to the Ph<sub>2</sub>GaCl solution at -78 °C. The mixture was allowed to stir and warm to room temperature for 14 h, after which time a clear yellow solution was obtained. The solution was filtered, and the volatiles were removed in vacuo, affording a viscous yellow oil. Addition of 10 mL of hexane to the oil resulted in the transitory formation of a white precipitate which quickly redissolved. Upon standing for several days at -15 °C, a yellow semicrystalline material formed. This was collected, washed with hexane, and upon recrystallization from the same afforded clear X-ray quality crystals of 1 in ca. 5% yield.

**Preparation of Ph<sub>2</sub>(Cl)Ga**·P(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (2). Method a. Ph<sub>2</sub>GaCl (0.097 g, 0.37 mmol) in 20 mL of toluene and P(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (0.098 g, 0.39 mmol) in 20 mL of toluene were combined in a 100-mL round-bottom flask equipped with a Teflon valve and a microstirbar. The contents of the flask were allowed to stir overnight at room temperature, after which the volatiles of the clear solution were removed in vacuo, affording a white solid. This was redissolved in a small amount of warm toluene and upon standing for several days at -15 °C afforded clear needles, which were subsequently dissolved in a small amount of pentane. Upon evaporation, pure crystals of 2 were obtained (0.071 g, yield 47.5%); mp 143-145 °C. Anal. Calcd (Found) for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>36</sub>ClGaPSi<sub>3</sub>: C, 49.56 (49.80); H, 7.12 (7.37). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  0.19 (d, 27 H, SiMe<sub>3</sub> ( $J_{P-H} = 4.94$  Hz))  $\delta$  7.22-8.18 (m, 10 H, Ph). <sup>13</sup>C[<sup>1</sup>H] NMR:  $\delta$  2.82 [d, PSiMe<sub>3</sub> ( $J_{P-C} = 7.50$  Hz)], 128.34 (s, Ph), 128.23 (partially obscured by solvent, s, Ph), 137.22 (bs, Ph). <sup>31</sup>P[<sup>1</sup>H] NMR:  $\delta$  -214.78 (s).

Method b.  $Ph_2GaCl (0.337 g, 1.30 mmol)$  and  $P(SiMe_3)_3 (0.33 g, 1.30 mmol)$  were similarly treated as with the preparation of 1 (method b) for 72 h in the sonicating bath. Upon recrystallization in toluene, needles of 2 (0.470 g, 71.0% yield) were collected.

**Preparation of Ph<sub>3</sub>Ga P(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (3).** Ph<sub>3</sub>Ga (0.250 g, 0.83 mmol) and P(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (0.208 g, 0.83 mmol) were each dissolved in 10 mL of pentane and combined in a 25-mL glass vial in the drybox. The clear solution was capped and stirred for 8 h. Upon evaporation of the solvent, clear crystals of 3 formed in quantitative yield, mp 192-197 °C. Anal. Calcd (Found) for  $C_{27}H_{42}$ GaPSi<sub>3</sub>: C, 58.79 (59.29); H, 7.67 (7.35). <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  0.14 (d, 27 H, SiMe<sub>3</sub>( $J_{P-H} = 4.77$  Hz))  $\delta$  7.25-8.13 (m, 15 H, Ph). <sup>13</sup>C[<sup>1</sup>H] NMR:  $\delta$  3.25 [d, PSiMe<sub>3</sub> ( $J_{P-C} = 7.90$  Hz)], 127.62 (s, Ph), 127.79 (partially obscured by solvent, s, Ph), 139.12 (bs, Ph). <sup>31</sup>P[<sup>1</sup>H] NMR:  $\delta$  -232.01 (s).

Isolation of 3 from the Reaction of Ph<sub>2</sub>GaCl and LiP-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. In a setup similar to that used for the preparation of 1 (method a), Ph<sub>2</sub>GaCl, (1.049 g, 4.05 mmol) was dissolved in 50 mL of toluene and placed in the upper bulb, and LiP(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.746 g, 4.05 mmol) was dissolved in 100 mL of an 80:20 toluene/THF mixture and placed into the lower bulb. The lower bulb and its contents were cooled to -78 °C, and the Ph<sub>2</sub>GaCl solution was slowly added dropwise, with stirring. The mixture was allowed to stir and warm to ambient temperature overnight, after which the volatiles were removed in vacuo. Toluene (ca. 100 mL) was vacuum distilled onto the remaining yellowish-white semisolid. The solution was filtered, and the solvent removed to yield a yellow viscous solid. This was recrystallized from hot pentane, resulting in a small crop of colorless crystals of 3 (0.110 g, 10% yield).

Structural Analyses for 1-3. Single crystals of 1-3 were mounted in 0.7-mm thin-walled glass capillaries under an inert atmosphere of argon and flame sealed. Relevant crystallographic data are given in Table I. For 1 and 3, data were collected on a Rigaku AFC6S (Mo K $\alpha$ , 0.710 69 Å) diffractometer at 23 °C. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares to convergence.<sup>12</sup> Neutral atom scat-



Figure 1. Thermal ellipsoid diagram (30% probability level) showing the solid-state conformation and atom numbering scheme of  $Ph_2GaP(SiMe_3)_2Ga(Ph)_2Cl$  (1).



Figure 2. Thermal ellipsoid diagram (20% probability level) showing the solid-state conformation and atom numbering scheme of  $Ph_2(Cl)Ga \cdot P(SiMe_3)_3$  (2).

tering factors were taken from Cromer and Waber.<sup>13</sup> Anomalous dispersion effects were included in  $F_{\rm calc}$ ; the values for  $\Delta f'$  and  $\Delta f''$  were those of Cromer.<sup>14</sup> All calculations were performed using the TEXSAN<sup>15</sup> crystallographic software package of Molecular Structure Corp.

For both 1 and 3, cell constants and an orientation matrix for data collection, obtained from a least-squares refinement using the setting angles of 25 carefully centered reflections (in the range  $22.77^{\circ} < 2\theta < 27.75^{\circ}$  for 1 and  $30.09^{\circ} < 2\theta < 33.89^{\circ}$  for 2), corresponded to a monoclinic cell. Intensity data were corrected for the usual Lorentz and polarization effects. A total of 4582 reflections for 1, and 14.863 reflections for 3 were recorded. An empirical absorption correction, based on azimuthal scans of

(12) Structure Solution Methods: MITHRIL, Gilmore, C. J. J. Appl. Cryst. 1984, 17, 42. DIRDIF, Beurskens, P. T.; Technical Report 1984/1, Crystallography Laboratory, Ternooiveld, 6525 Ed Nijmegen, Netherlands.

(13) Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; The Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974; Vol. IV, Table 2.2A.

(14) Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; The Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974; Vol. IV, Table 2.3.1.

(15) TEXSAN-TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package, Molecular Structure Corp., 1985.

Table II.	Non-Hy	drogen A	tom Frac	tional Co	ordinates	and
Equiva	lent Isot	ropic Th	ermal Pa	rameters	for 1, wit	h
Tet	imated Q	beahast 1	Deviation	a in Dam	nthoing	

	Estimated Standard Deviations in Farentheses						
atom	x	У	z	$U_{\rm eq}$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )			
Ga(1)	0.8123 (1)	0.23547 (8)	0.28673 (7)	5.32 (8)			
Ga(2)	0.5222 (1)	0.15113 (9)	0.25291 (8)	5.91 (9)			
Cl	0.6054 (3)	0.2940 (2)	0.2613 (2)	6.6 (2)			
Р	0.7222(3)	0.0957 (2)	0.2908 (2)	5.0 (2)			
Si(1)	0.7216 (3)	0.0403 (3)	0.3937 (2)	6.2 (2)			
Si(2)	0.8100 (3)	0.0028 (2)	0.2201 (2)	5.7 (2)			
C(11)	0.636 (1)	-0.0629 (9)	0.3936 (7)	10 (1)			
C(12)	0.644 (1)	0.112 (1)	0.4494 (7)	11 (1)			
C(13)	0.887 (1)	0.0284 (9)	0.4211 (6)	9 (1)			
C(21)	0.975 (1)	-0.0191 (8)	0.2457 (7)	9 (1)			
C(22)	0.717 (1)	0.0958 (8)	0.2188 (7)	10 (1)			
C(23)	0.805 (1)	0.0501 (8)	0.1390 (6)	7.7 (9)			
C(111)	0.915 (2)	0.2520 (8)	0.2108 (8)	6 (1)			
C(112)	1.041 (2)	0.2240 (8)	0.2115 (7)	6.7 (9)			
C(113)	1.117 (1)	0.234 (1)	0.157 (1)	9 (1)			
C(114)	1.063 (3)	0.273 (1)	0.102 (1)	10 (2)			
C(115)	0.942 (2)	0.299 (1)	0.099 (1)	10 (1)			
C(116)	0.869 (1)	0.289 (1)	0.154 (1)	8 (1)			
C(121)	0.862 (2)	0.2912 (7)	0.3688 (6)	5.0 (8)			
C(122)	0.783 (1)	0.330 (1)	0.413 (1)	10 (1)			
C(123)	0.826 (2)	0.373 (1)	0.4675 (9)	12 (1)			
C(124)	0.953 (2)	0.379 (1)	0.4814 (8)	10 (1)			
C(125)	1.032 (1)	0.343 (1)	0.440 (1)	9 (1)			
C(126)	0.988 (2)	0.2980 (9)	0.3859 (8)	7 (1)			
C(211)	0.396 (1)	0.139 (1)	0.3196 (8)	7 (1)			
C(212)	0.371 (1)	0.200 (1)	0.367 (1)	8 (1)			
C(213)	0.287 (1)	0.182 (1)	0.4171 (8)	10 (1)			
C(214)	0.225 (2)	0.107 (2)	0.417 (1)	12 (2)			
C(215)	0.242 (2)	0.047 (1)	0.372 (1)	10 (1)			
C(216)	0.328 (2)	0.063 (1)	0.3233 (8)	9 (1)			
C(221)	0.480 (1)	0.136 (1)	0.1605 (7)	7 (1)			
C(222)	0.418 (2)	0.066 (1)	0.138 (1)	12 (2)			
C(223)	0.387 (2)	0.056 (1)	0.072 (1)	15 (2)			
C(224)	0.415 (3)	0.117 (2)	0.027 (1)	14 (2)			
C(225)	0.477 (2)	0.185 (2)	0.048 (1)	12 (2)			
C(226)	0.509 (1)	0.196 (1)	0.114 (1)	8 (1)			



Figure 3. Thermal ellipsoid diagram (30% probability level) showing the solid-state conformation of one of the molecules in the asymmetric crystal unit and atom numbering scheme of  $Ph_3Ga \cdot P(SiMe_3)_3$  (3).

several reflections, was applied.  $R_{int} = 0.085$  on I to yield 4229 reflections and  $R_{int} = 0.136$  on I to yield 14423 reflections, of which those 1615 with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$  and those 3511 with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$  were

Table III. Non-Hydrogen Atom Fractional Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Thermal Parameters (×10<sup>4</sup>) for 2, with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses

1.210							
atom	x	у	Z	$U_{ m eq}$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )			
Ga	0.7568 (1)	0.6124 (1)	0.1326 (1)	47 (1)			
Cl	0.6663 (3)	0.7704 (3)	0.0873 (1)	80 (1)			
Р	1.0152 (2)	0.6416 (2)	0.1199 (1)	43 (1)			
Si(1)	1.0758 (3)	0.5294 (3)	0.0569 (1)	64 (1)			
Si(2)	1.0743 (3)	0.8670 (3)	0.1129 (1)	65 (1)			
Si(3)	1.1529 (3)	0.5536 (3)	0.1751 (1)	53 (1)			
C(1A)	0.6931 (9)	0.4307 (10)	0.1116 (3)	53 (4)			
C(2A)	0.6207 (11)	0.4150 (10)	0.0729 (3)	74 (5)			
C(3A)	0.5699 (14)	0.2911 (13)	0.0588 (4)	100 (6)			
C(4A)	0.5873 (16)	0.1780 (14)	0.0836 (5)	111 (7)			
C(5A)	0.6562 (12)	0.1876 (11)	0.1223 (4)	90 (5)			
C(6A)	0.7086 (9)	0.3119 (10)	0.1369 (3)	72 (4)			
C(1B)	0.7004 (8)	0.6664 (9)	0.1928 (3)	48 (3)			
C(2B)	0.7208 (11)	0.5799 (10)	0.2267 (3)	75 (5)			
C(3B)	0.6682 (15)	0.6089 (14)	0.2695 (4)	114 (6)			
C(4B)	0.5915 (15)	0.7239 (16)	0.2773 (5)	104 (7)			
C(5B)	0.5696 (12)	0.8082 (14)	0.2431 (5)	104 (6)			
C(6B)	0.6246 (11)	0.7811 (11)	0.2019 (4)	81 (5)			
C(11)	0.9353 (10)	0.5709 (11)	0.0152 (3)	90 (5)			
C(12)	1.0775 (12)	0.3447 (10)	0.0693 (3)	99 (5)			
C(13)	1.2515 (10)	0.5869 (10)	0.0371 (3)	88 (4)			
C(21)	0.9763 (10	0.9607 (10)	0.1566 (3)	96 (5)			
C(22)	1.2700 (10)	0.8932 (9)	0.1191 (3)	87 (4)			
C(23)	1.0158 (12)	0.9274 (9)	0.0581 (3)	105 (5)			
C(31)	1.1433 (10)	0.6723 (10)	0.2230 (3)	86 (5)			
C(32)	1.0767 (9)	0.3819 (9)	0.1903 (3)	81 (4)			
C(33)	1.33 <b>99 (9</b> )	0.5321 (10)	0.1555 (3)	70 (4)			

retained for analysis of 1 and 3, respectively. In 3, two molecules which are structurally identical but crystallographically independent lie in the four general positions of the asymmetric unit. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined using anisotropic thermal parameters; hydrogen atoms, in calculated positions, were included in structure factor calculations. The weighting scheme was based on counting statistics and included a factor (p = 0.03) to downweight intense reflections. The final difference Fourier map of 1 contained a maxima and minima of 0.34 and  $-0.28 \text{ e}^{-3}$ , respectively, whereas that of 3 contained a maxima and minima of 1.27 and  $-0.98 \text{ e}^{-3}$ , respectively. Final fractional atomic coordinates are given in Tables II and IV. Selected bond distances and angles are presented in Figures 1 and 3.

For 2, data were collected on a Siemens R3m/V (Mo K $\alpha$ , 0.71073 Å) diffractometer at 23 °C. Cell constants and an orientation matrix for data collection corresponded to an orthorhombic cell. Intensity data were corrected for the usual Lorentz and polarization effects. A total of 3849 reflections were recorded. An empirical absorption correction was applied  $(R_{int} = 0.04 \text{ on } l)$  to yield 3779 reflections out of which 2043 with  $F > 3.0\sigma(F)$ were retained. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined using full-matrix least-squares to convergence using SHELXTL PLUS.<sup>16</sup> Non-hydrogen atoms were refined using anisotropic thermal parameters; hydrogen atoms, in calculated positions, were included in structure factor calculations. The weighting scheme  $(w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F) + 0.0002F^2)$  was based on counting statistics. The final difference map contained maxima and minima of 0.90 and  $-0.47 e^{-}/Å^{3}$ , respectively, located in close proximity to the Ga atom. Final fractional atomic coordinates are given in Table III. Selected bond distances and angles are given in Table VI. A thermal ellipsoid diagram is presented in Figure 2.

## **Results and Discussion**

Dehalosilylation reactions between an organogallium halide and a silylarsine to form gallium-arsenic bonds now have ample precedent.<sup>1</sup> However, analogous reactions to form compounds containing the gallium-phosphorus bond

Table IV. Non-Hydrogen Atom Fractional Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Thermal Parameters for 3, with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses

				10000
atom	x	у	z	$B_{\rm eq}$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )
Ga(1)	0.2529 (1)	0.0656 (1)	-0.1020 (1)	3.7 (1)
Ga(2)	0.2613 (1)	0.0731 (1)	0.4026 (1)	3.52 (9)
P(1)	0.2561 (3)	0.0561 (2)	0.0330 (3)	3.1 (2)
P(2)	0.2636 (3)	0.0591 (3)	0.5369 (3)	3.4 (2)
Si(1)	0.1718 (3)	0.1308 (3)	0.0554 (3)	3.8 (2)
Si(2)	0.3747 (3)	0.0833 (3)	0.1224 (3)	4.4 (2)
Si(3)	0.2250 (3)	-0.0515 (3)	0.0637 (3)	4.0 (2)
Si(4)	0.3127 (4)	0.1532 (3)	0.6128 (3)	5.2 (3)
Si(5)	0.3354 (3)	-0.0331 (3)	0.6020 (3)	4.4 (3)
Si(6)	0.1424 (3)	0.0428 (3)	0.5329 (3)	5.3 (3)
C(1A)	0.337 (1)	0.126 (1)	-0.0962 (9)	5 (1)
C(1B)	0.149 (1)	0.105 (1)	-0.165 (1)	4 (1)
C(1C)	0.270 (1)	-0.033 (1)	-0.127 (1)	6(1)
C(1D)	0.343(1)	0.140(1)	0.409(1)	3.9 (9)
C(IE)	0.155(1)	0.111(1)	0.342(1)	6(1)
$C(\mathbf{IF})$	0.284 (1)	-0.023(1)	0.373(1)	3.8 (9)
C(2A)	0.330 (1)	0.202(1)	-0.077(1)	6 (1) 7 (1)
C(2B)	0.142(1) 0.919(1)	-0.165(1)	-0.208(1)	f(1)
C(2C)	0.212(1) 0.490(1)	-0.007(1)	-0.100(1)	6(1)
C(2D)	0.420(1) 0.134(1)	0.137(1) 0.179(1)	0.400(1)	7(1)
C(2E)	0.154(1)	-0.033(1)	0.343(1)	30(0)
C(3A)	0.394(1)	0.000(1)	-0.076(2)	S. ( )
C(3B)	0.069(1)	0.192(1)	-0.253(2)	9(1)
C(3C)	0.225(1)	-0.131(1)	-0.212(1)	8 (1)
C(3D)	0.476(1)	0.181(1)	0.460(1)	8 (1)
C(3E)	0.067 (1)	0.207(1)	0.294(1)	8 (1)
C(3F)	0.371(1)	-0.097 (1)	0.343(1)	6 (Ī)
C(4A)	0.455 (1)	0.220 (2)	-0.091 (1)	9 (2)
C(4B)	0.007 (1)	0.159 (1)	-0.260 (2)	9 (2)
C(4C)	0.297 (2)	-0.161 (1)	-0.179 (2)	8 (1)
C(4D)	0.457 (1)	0.236 (1)	0.408 (2)	9 (1)
C(4E)	0.015 (2)	0.166 (1)	0.240 (2)	12 (2)
C(4F)	0.319 (1)	-0.150 (1)	0.330 (1)	6 (1)
C(5A)	0.459 (1)	0.152 (1)	-0.106 (1)	7 (1)
C(5B)	0.009 (1)	0.101 (1)	-0.219 (2)	9 (1)
C(5C)	0.353 (1)	-0.130 (1)	-0.119 (1)	8 (1)
C(5D)	0.382 (1)	0.244 (1)	0.359 (1)	6 (1)
C(5E)	0.034 (1)	0.101 (1)	0.231 (1)	8 (1)
C(5F)	0.249 (1)	-0.143 (1)	0.335(1)	6 (1)
C(6A)	0.400 91)	0.110(1)	-0.106 (1)	5(1)
C(6B)	0.080(1)	0.075(1)	-0.170 (1)	7(1)
	0.341(1)	-0.066 (1)		6 (1) C (1)
	0.327(1)	0.197(1)	0.300(1)	0 (1) 6 (1)
C(CE)	0.104(1)	-0.072(1)	0.279(1)	5 (1)
C(0F)	0.232(1) 0.175(1)	-0.079(1)	0.305(1)	6 (1)
C(12)	0.175(1)	0.213(1) 0.147(1)	0.000(1)	6 (1)
C(12)	0.201(1)	0.147(1)	0.103(1)	6 (1)
C(21)	0.390(1)	0.004(1)	0.010(1)	9(1)
C(22)	0.387(1)	0.055(1)	0.219(1)	8 (1)
C(23)	0.448(1)	0.041(1)	0.093(1)	$\frac{1}{7}$ (1)
Č(31)	0.196 (1)	-0.048 (1)	0.148 (1)	6 (1)
C(32)	0.310 (1)	-0.110 (1)	0.085 (1)	7 (1)
C(33)	0.145 (1)	-0.0861 (9)	-0.022 (1)	5 (1)
C(41)	0.280 (1)	0.231 (1)	0.553 (1)	7 (1)
C(42)	0.422 (1)	0.150 (1)	0.650 (1)	7 (1)
C(43)	0.281 (1)	0.156 (1)	0.684 (1)	7 (1)
C(51)	0.426 (1)	-0.037 (1)	0.584 (1)	7 (1)
C(52)	0.362 (1)	-0.026 (1)	0.708 (1)	5 (1)
C(53)	0.281 (1)	-0.117 (1)	0.566 (1)	6 (1)
C(61)	0.091 (1)	0.127 (1)	0.508 (1)	10 (2)
C(62)	0.144 (1)	0.010 (1)	0.624 (1)	7 (1)
C(63)	0.093 (1)	-0.019 (1)	0.456 (1)	8 (1)

have as yet been unreported. Reaction of  $Ph_2GaCl$  with  $P(SiMe_3)_3$  in a 2:1 mole ratio in toluene at 100 °C also affords 1 via a dehalosilylation reaction (eq 1). The core

$$2Ph_2GaCl + P(SiMe_3)_3 \rightarrow$$

$$\frac{Ph_{2}GaP(SiMe_{3})_{2}Ga(Ph)_{2}Cl + Me_{3}SiCl (1)}{1}$$

of 1 is that of a Ga-P-Ga-Cl ring and is another example

<sup>(16)</sup> Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL PLUS, Crystallographic Computing System Revision 3.42: Nicolet Instrument Corporation: Madison, WI, 1986.

Table V. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for 1, with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses

Bond Lengths						
Ga1-Cl	2.414 (3)	Ga2-C211	1.95 (1)			
Ga1-P	2.389 (3)	Ga2-C221	1.94 (1)			
Ga1-C111	1.94 (1)	P-Si1	2.275 (5)			
Ga1-C121	1.95 (1)	P-Si2	2.270 (5)			
Ga2-C1	2.407 (3)	Si1-C11	1.85 (1)			
Ga2-P	2.392 (3)	Si1-C12	1.82 (1)			
Si2-C21	1.83 (1)	Si1-C13	1.83 (1)			
Si2C22	1.83 (1)	Si2-C23	1.81 (1)			
Mean C–C	1.37 (2)					
	Bond	Angles				
Cl-Ga1-P	89.7 (1)	C1-Ga2-P	89.8 (1)			
ClGa1C111	107.8 (6)	C1-Ga2-C211	107.5 (6)			
Cl-Ga1-C121	103.6 (5)	C1-Ga2-C221	104.6 (6)			
P-Ga1-C111	112.6 (4)	P-Ga2-C211	111.0 (4)			
P-Ga1-C121	118.6 (4)	P-Ga2-C221	116.5 (4)			
C111-Ga1-C121	118.9 (6)	C211-Ga2-C221	121.7 (6)			
Ga1-P-Ga2	90.4 (1)	Ga1-C1-Ga2	89.4 (1)			
Ga2-P-Si1	113.2 (2)	P-Si1-C11	110.3 (5)			
Ga1-P-Si2	113.1 (2)	P-Si1-C12	110.9 (5)			
Ga2-P-Si1	114.2 (2)	P-Si1-C13	107.0 (4)			
Ga2-P-Si2	113.7 (2)	C11-Si1-C12	108.1 (7)			
Si1-P-Si2	110.9 (2)	C11-Si1-C13	111.9 (6)			
P-Si2-C21	110.0 (4)	C12-Si1-C13	108.6 (7)			
P-Si2-C22	108.5 (4)	C21-Si2-C22	110.7 (6)			
P-Si2-C23	108.6 (4)	C21-Si2-C23	109.6 (7)			
		C22-Si2-C23	109.3 (7)			
Torsion Angles <sup>a</sup>						
Ga1-Cl-Ga2-P 6.4 (1)						
Ga1-P	-Ga2-Cl	-6.5 (1)				
Ga2-C	l-Ga1-P	-6.4 (1)				

Ga2-P-Ga1-Cl 6.5 (1) <sup>a</sup> The sign is positive if when looking from atom 2 to atom 3, a clockwise motion of atom 1 would superimpose it on atom 4.

Table VI. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg)

for 2, with Estin	mated Stands	ard Deviations i	n Parentheses			
Bond Lengths						
Ga-Cl	2.240 (3)	Si3-C31	1.869 (10)			
Ga-Cla	1.979 (10)	Si3-C32	1.880 (9)			
Ga-Clb	1.986 (9)	Si3-C33	1.857 (9)			
Si1-C11	1.875 (9)	mean C–C	1.370 (16)			
Si1-C12	1.843 (10)	Ga-P	2.459 (2)			
Si1-C13	1.836 (10)	P-Si1	2.288 (4)			
Si2-C21	1.859 (10)	P-Si2	2.279 (4)			
Si2-C22	1.853 (9)	P-Si3	2.289 (3)			
Si2-C23	1.860 (10)					
	Bond	Angles				
Cl-Ga-P	101.1 (1)	Ğa-P-Si1	108.6 (1)			
P-Ga-Cla	110.3 (2)	Ga-P-Si2	111.4 (1)			
P-Ga-Clb	112.0 (3)	Ga-P-Si3	113.0 (1)			
Cla-Ga-Clb	117.3 (4)	Si1-P-Si2	108.9 (1)			
C1GaCla	107.7 (3)	Si1-P-Si3	107.6 (1)			
Cl-Ga-C(1B)	106.9 (3)	Si2-P-Si3	107.2 (1)			
P-Si1-C11	107.3 (3)	P-Si2-C21	106.8 (3)			
P-Si1-C12	107.3 (4)	P-Si2-C22	111.3 (3)			
P-Si1-C13	110.6 (3)	P-Si2-C23	108.7 (3)			
C11-Si1-C12	110.9 (5)	C21-Si2-C22	110.1 (4)			
C11-Si1-C13	109.5 (4)	C21-Si2-C23	110.3 (4)			
C12-Si1-C13	111.1 (5)	C22–Si2–C23	108.7 (3)			
P-Si3-C31	108.5 (3)	C31-Si3-C32	110.0 (4)			
P-Si3-C32	107.7 (3)	C31–Si3–C33	111.6 (4)			
P-Si3-C33	109.4 (3)	C32-Si3-C33	109.5 (4)			

of an ever increasing class of compounds which contain a four-membered ring composed of the same two group 13 metals bridged by a halogen atom and a pnictogen atom. These species are commonly referred to by us as "mixedbridges" of the heavier group 13 metals.<sup>1</sup> The relatively low yield of 1 (22.4% in toluene and 40.38% in pentane) can best be accounted for by its high solubility in both aromatic and aliphatic solvents. This high solubility has

Table VII. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for 3, with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses

Bond Lengths						
Ga1-P1	2.539 (6)	Ga2-P2	2.541 (6)			
Ga1C1A	1.94 (2)	Ga2-C1D	1.98 (2)			
Ga1–C1B	2.00 (2)	Ga2-C1E	2.01 (2)			
Ga1-C1C	2.02 (2)	Ga2-C1F	2.03 (2)			
P1-Si1	2.299 (7)	P2-Si4	2.273 (8)			
P1–Si2	2.277 (8)	P2-Si5	2.281 (7)			
P1-Si3	2.288 (7)	P2-Si6	2.274 (8)			
	Bond	Angles				
P1-Ga1-C1A	106.6 (5)	P2-Ga2-C1D	107.2 (5)			
P1-Ga1-C1B	105.3 (6)	P2-Ga2-C1E	103.9 (7)			
P1-Ga1-C1C	103.2 (7)	P2-Ga2-C1F	104.9 (5)			
C1A-Ga1-C1B	113.1 (8)	C1D-Ga2-C1E	112.0 (8)			
C1A-Ga1-C1C	112.3 (9)	C1D-Ga2-C1F	111.9 (8)			
C1B-Ga1-C1C	115.2 (8)	C1E-Ga2-C1F	116.0 (8)			
Ga1-P1-Si1	113.1 (2)	Ga2-P2-Si4	113.1 (3)			
Ga1-P1-Si2	111.5 (3)	Ga2-P2-Si5	113.6 (3)			
Ga1-P1-Si3	114.3 (2)	Ga2-P2-Si6	110.7 (3)			
Si1-P1-Si2	105.4 (3)	Si4-P2-Si5	105.6 (3)			
Si1-P1-Si3	104.9 (3)	Si4-P2-Si6	106.7 (3)			
Si2-P1-Si3	107.0 (3)	Si5-P2-Si6	106.6 (3)			

been noted previously for other related systems where these compounds tend to form oily materials when solvated which are rather difficult to purify.<sup>3</sup> Compound 1 is very stable in an inert atmosphere at room temperature for extended periods of time.

It is of interest to note that reaction of  $Ph_2GaCl$  with  $LiP(SiMe_3)_2$  in toluene at -78 °C in a 1:1 mole ratio affords 1 in a very small yield. The isolation of 1 was first accomplished in this manner, and it piqued our curiosity as to whether 1 could be synthesized in a more conventional method with an improved yield. Thus, via eq 1, this was accomplished.

Though the isolation of 1 from the 1:1 mole reaction of  $Ph_2GaCl$  and  $LiP(SiMe_3)_2$  (intended to produce  $[Ph_2GaP(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ ) was unexpected, it is not surprising. Previous work in our laboratory<sup>1-3</sup> has shown that dimeric 13-15 compounds can easily be converted to their corresponding mixed-bridge counterparts by the reaction of the dimeric species with 1 mol equiv of the appropriate diaryl or dialkyl group 13 halide. Therefore, through the order of addition, an excess of  $Ph_2GaCl$  could immediately react with any synthesized  $[Ph_2GaP(SiMe_3)_2]_2$  converting it to 1 (eq 2). Surprisingly, we have as of yet not been able to

$$2Ph_{2}GaCl + [Ph_{2}GaP(SiMe_{3})_{2}]_{2} \rightarrow 2Ph_{2}GaP(SiMe_{3})_{2}Ga(Ph)_{2}Cl (2)$$

isolate  $[Ph_2GaP(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ , though its arsenic analog  $[Ph_2GaAs(SiMe_3)_2]_2$  is quite easy to prepare and stable.<sup>7</sup>

The <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}, and <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of 1 are consistent with its solid-state structure. In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra the <sup>31</sup>P nucleus couples with the methyl protons of the adjacent trimethylsilyl groups and exhibits a doublet. Similarly, the <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum exhibits a droplet corresponding to the carbon atoms of these same groups. As for the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum, the formation of a sharp single resonance implies the existence of only one species in solution at room temperature.

The Ga-P-Ga-Cl ring in 1 is distinctly nonplanar as is that for its isomorphous As analog, Ph<sub>2</sub>GaAs-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Ga(Ph)<sub>2</sub>Cl (4).<sup>7</sup> As was observed for 4, compound 1 relieves substituent steric overcrowding which would be present with a planar four-membered ring and overall  $C_{2\nu}$ molecular symmetry by outward rotation of the Ga-C(111) and Ga-C(221) bond vectors, resulting in a mean dihedral angle about ring bonds of  $6.4^{\circ}$ . These effects are more pronounced in 1 than in 4 due to the shorter bond lengths involving phosphorus (mean Ga-P = 2.390 Å and mean P-Si = 2.272 Å) whereas for 4, the mean Ga-As and As-Si bonds are 2.466 and 2.363 Å, respectively. The Cl atom is displaced by 0.271 Å from the Ga-P-Ga plane. The mean Ga-Cl bond length of 2.410 Å is virtually identical to the mean Ga-Cl bond length of 2.411 Å in 4. The Ga-P-Ga, Ga-Cl-Ga, and mean P-Ga-Cl angles of 90.4 (1)°, 89.4 (1)°, and 89.7°, respectively, exhibit a slight rhombic distortion. The mean C-Ga-C bond angle of 120.3° is similar to that of 4 (mean C-Ga-C = 121.3°) and is likewise indicative of both steric effects and electronic factors.

The reaction of  $Ph_2GaCl$  with  $P(SiMe_3)_3$  in a 1:1 mole ratio at room temperature in toluene yields 2. It is interesting that 2 is quite stable under an inert atmosphere at room temperature for extended periods of time even though it contains both a Cl atom and SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups. However, when 2 is heated in deuterated toluene to reflux in an NMR tube for 45 h, the resultant <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum is complex and undecipherable but does not exhibit resonances belonging to the parent compound. This behavior tends to demonstrate that 2 will undergo further thermal reaction to give additional Ga-P oligomers.

Surprisingly, compound 3 was originally isolated as the result of a ligand exchange process in the reaction of  $\text{LiP}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2$  and  $\text{Ph}_2\text{GaCl}$ . This is an additional example reported by us of such a ligand redistribution product resulting from a lithium pnictide reaction [Ph<sub>3</sub>Ga·As-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (5) and (Me<sub>3</sub>SiCH<sub>2</sub>)In·As(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (6) were previously reported<sup>6</sup>]. Though the precise pathway of these transformations is still not known, the isolation of 3, 5, and now 6 demonstrates the complex nature of these lithium chloride elimination reactions. As is expected, 3 can also be directly prepared from the reaction of Ph<sub>3</sub>Ga and P-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> in pentane.

A review of the literature reveals that there are currently five structurally characterized neutral Ga–P adducts;<sup>17-21</sup> however, none have been reported which contain aromatic ligands on the gallium center. The Ga-P bond length of 2.459 (2) Å in 2 and the mean for 3 of 2.540 Å are well within the established range of lengths previously observed [the shortest being 2.353 (2) Å in Cl<sub>3</sub>Ga·PMe<sub>3</sub><sup>20</sup> and the longest being 2.683 (5) Å in (Me<sub>3</sub>CCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Ga·P(H)Ph<sub>2</sub>].<sup>21</sup> The slight elongation of the Ga-P bond length in 3 as compared with that of 2 is certainly due to its decreased Lewis acidity [Ph<sub>3</sub>Ga versus Ph<sub>2</sub>GaCl]. The Ga-Cl bond length in 2 of 2.240 (3) Å is shorter than that observed for the mean (2.410 Å) in 1 and is to be expected given the nature of the bonding (terminal Cl versus bridging). The geometry about the gallium centers of 2 and 3 is that of a distorted tetrahedron. The steric demands of the bulky phenyl groups on each gives rise to enlarged C-Ga-C angles of 117.3 (4)° in 2 and a mean of 113.4° in 3, the sterically less demanding Cl atom in 2 allows greater distance between the two remaining phenyl groups, thus the angle is larger.

# Conclusions

The isolation of 1 from a dehalosilylation reaction further expands the number of compounds which contain mixed bridging of two group 13 atoms with a halogen and a pnictide atom. Certainly, compounds of this type, through the bridging halide, will come to fore as reagents for the introduction of other functionalities into the ring system. Additionally, the presence of the chlorine atoms and SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups in 1 and 2 could provide an avenue for further oligomerization through elimination reactions. Finally, the fact that 3 was originally isolated from the reaction of LiP(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and Ph<sub>2</sub>GaCl again shows that these reactions are not as straightforward as one would tend to believe. Further study in this area is warranted.

Acknowledgment. Funding for this research was provided by the Office of Naval Research.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of hydrogen atom coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters, anisotropic temperature factors, and all interatomic distances and angles, including torsion angles, for 1-3 (38 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

### OM9202940

<sup>(17)</sup> Schmidbaur, H.; Lauteschlager, S.; Muller, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 281, 25.

<sup>(18)</sup> Boardman, A.; Small, R. W. H.; Worall, I. J. Inorg. Chem. Acta 1986, 119, L13.

<sup>(19)</sup> Bradley, D. C.; Chudzynska, H.; Factor, M. M.; Frigo, D. M.;
Hursthouse, M. B.; Hussein, B.; Smith, L. M. Polyhedron 1988, 7, 1289.
(20) Banks, M. A.; Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Moloney, J. D.; Rogers, R. D.
Polyhedron 1990, 9, 335.

<sup>(21)</sup> Carter, J. C.; Jugie, G.; Enjalberi, R.; Galy, J. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 1248.