Site of Attack in the Gas-Phase Reactions of Negative Ions with $(n^5$ -Cyclopentadienyl)dicarbonylcobalt(I)

Klaas Jan van den Berg, Stem Ingemann, and Nico M. M. Nibbering'

Institute of Mass Spectrometry, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 129, 1018 WS Amstdam, The Netherlands

Received September 1, 1991

The gas-phase reactions of negative ions (NH₂⁻, HO⁻, RO⁻, O⁺⁻, and HC₂⁻) with CpCo(CO)₂ have been studied with Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) maas spectrometry. The main reactions are proton transfer and nucleophilic attack leading to elimination of one or two CO molecules from the collision complex. The gas-phase acidity, ΔH° _{acid}, of CpCo(CO)₂ is placed at 1559 ± 10 kJ mol⁻¹ on the basis of the occurrence/nonoccurrence of proton transfer in the reactions with the RO⁻ ions. Nucleophilic to the metal center followed to some extent by the expulsion of a CO molecule and/or by (ii) a η^5 to η change in the bonding of the $\rm C_5H_5$ ligand prior to bond formation between the nucleophile and the cobalt atom and the subsequent elimination of one or two CO molecules. Competing attack on the Cp ligand and a CO ligand occurs only in the reaction with the *0*⁻ radical anion and results in abundant CoC₆H₆O⁻ ions. Attac ion, whereas initial attack of O^{*} on a CO ligand is suggested to result in a metallacyclopentadiene species with a CO ligand and a hydrogen atom bonded to the cobalt atom and/or in a $(\eta^4$ -cyclobutadiene)Co(H)(CO)⁻ i a CO ligand with subsequent elimination of the other CO ligand and migration of the HO or RO group attack of the NH₂⁻, HO⁻, RO⁻, and HC₂⁻ ions on CpCo(CO)₂ is discussed as proceeding by (i) reaction at

Introduction

Nucleophilic addition reactions are applied extensively in organotransition-metal chemistry, and coordination to a transition-metal atom is **known** to activate unsaturated species, such **as** carbon monoxide, ethene, and benzene toward nucleophilic attack.' The attack of a nucleophile on a ligand represents a key step in important industrial processes such **as** the Wacker reaction, which involves attack of water on an ethene molecule coordinated to palladium(II), and the water gas shift **(WGS)** reaction, which under alkaline conditions is considered to involve attack of a hydroxide ion on a CO ligand in, for example, $Fe(CO)₅$.¹ Apart from the properties of the ligand itself, the susceptibility toward nucleophilic attack is ascribed usually to (i) the coordinative saturation of the metal center, **(ii)** the presence of a formal positive charge on the metal center, and (iii) the presence of a ligand, which can accommodate an increase in charge of the substrate. In this respect, the cyclopentadienyl ligand, which is one of the most widely utilized ligands in organometallic chem**istry,** is reported to be reactive toward nucleophiles only in instances where the organometallic species carries a positive charge, e.g., $(Cp)_{2}Co^{+}$ and $CpFe(CO)_{3}^{+.1,2}$

The present insight **into** the mechanisms of the reactions between nucleophiles and transition-metal compounds is based almost entirely on results obtained from condensed-phase studies, and one may raise the question of whether the ability of various ligands to undergo nucleophilic attack is a reflection of a molecular property of the metal species. In principle, the relative ease of attack of a charged nucleophile on different ligands in an organometallic compound can be probed by studying the processes in the gas phase, $3-5$ thus avoiding the influence of solvent molecules and counterions inevitably present in the condensed phase. A number of studies have documented that typical reactions of transition-metal species such as ligand substitution/addition reactions,^{4,5,6-11} oxidations by O_2 ,^{4,9,12,13} and oxidative addition/reductive elimination processes^{4,14-27} can occur in gas-phase systems. Notwithstanding the formal resemblance between processes occurring in the two phases, the removal of the solvent causes dramatic changes in the energetics and rates of the reactions.^{28,29} In the gas phase, ion/molecule re-

- **(6) Corderman, R. R.; Beauchamp, J. L.** *Znorg. Chem.* **1977,16,3135. (7) McDonald, R. N.; Chowdhury, A. K.; Schell, P. L.** *J. Am. Chem.*
- **(8) McDonald, R. N.; Chowdhury, A. K.** *Organometallics* **1986,5,1187.** *SOC.* **1984,106,6095.**
	- **(9) Wang, D.; Squires, R. R.** *Organometallics* **1987, 6, 905.**
	- **(10) McDonald, R. N.; Schell, P. L.** *Organometallics* **1988, 7, 1806. (11) McDonald, R. N.; Schell, P. L.** *Organometallics* **1988, 7, 1820.**
	-
- **(12) Lane, K. R.; Sallans, L.; Squires, R. R.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1984, 106, 2719.**

(13) Bricker, D. L.; Russell, D. H. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1987,109,3910. (14) McDonald, R. N.; Chowdhury, A. K.; Schell, P. L.** *Organometallics* **1984, 3, 644.**

(15) McDonald, R. N.; Schell, P. L.; Chowdhury, A. K. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1985,107, 5578.**

- **(16) Lane, R. K.; Squires, R. R.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1986, 107,6403. (17) McDonald, R.** N.; **Chowdhury, A. K.; Jones, M. T.** *J. Am. Chem.*
- *SOC.* **1986, 108, 3105. (18) McDonald, R. N.; Jones, R. T.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986,108,8097.**
	- **(19) McElvany, S. W.; Allison, J.** *Organometallics* **1986,5, 416.**
	- **(20) McElvany, S. W.; Allison, J.** *Organometallics* **1986,** *5,* **1219.**
	- **(21) Gregor, I. K.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1987,329,201.**
	- **(22) Gregor, I. K.** *Org. Mass Spectrom.* **1989,24,529.**
	- **(23) McDonald, R. N.; Jones, R. T.** *Organometallics* **1987, 6, 1991.**
	- **(24) Wang, D.; Squires, R. R.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1987, 109, 7557.**
- **(25) Sallans, L.; Lane, K. R.; Freiser, B. S.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1989, 111,865.**
- **(26) McDonald, R. N.;** Reed, **D. J.; Chowdhury, A. K.** *Organometallics* **1989,8, 1122.**
- **(27) McDonald, R. N.; Jones, R. T.; Chowdhury, A. K.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1991,113,476.**
	- **(28) Nibbering, N. M. M.** *Adu. Phys. Org. Chem.* **1988, 24, 1.**

(29) Riveros, J. M.; J&, S. M.; Takashima, K. *Adv. Phys. Org. Chem.* **1988,21, 197.**

⁽¹⁾ Collman, J. P.; Hegedus, L. S.; Norton, R. S.; Finke, R. G. Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1987.
(2) Pauson, L. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980,

⁽³⁾ Gregor, I. K.; Guilhaw, M. Mass *Spectrom. Rev.* **1984, 3, 39.**

⁽⁴⁾ Squires, R. R. Chem. Rev. 1987, 87, 623.
(5) Squires, R. R.; Lane, K. R. In Gas Phase Inorganic Chemistry;
Russell, D. H., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1989; Chapter 2, p 43.

actione *omwring* under tharmal *or* near-thermal conditions are bound to be exothermic or thermoneutral and are **known** to proceed often with overall rate constants approaching the rate constant for collision between the particles.^{28,29} The latter rate constant is determined largely by the attractive ion/dipole and ion/induced-dipole interactions, which lead initially to a decrease in potential energy. The total energy of the system will be conaerved in the absence of stabilizing collisions with third bodies and/or emission of photons, and **as** a result, the energy gained upon approach will be present largely **as** excess internal (rotational and vibrational) energy. The encounter complex, which is often referred to **as** a loosely bound ion/molecule complex, may either dissociate to reactants or undergo a chemical reaction depending on the internal energy content, the height of the local potential energy barrier toward the chemical reaction, and the geometry of the transition state for the reaction. The products of the chemical reaction can arise by dissociation of the loose ion/molecule complex formed by crossing the barrier, or a series of diacrete chemical events may follow the initial step and precede separation of the components. Within the limits of this simplified picture of gas-phase ion/ molecule reactions, the competition between nucleophilic attack on different ligands of an organometallic compound may be determined largely by the properties of the transition states of the reactions. This requires, of course, that the steps which may follow the initial attack of the nucleophile **are** facile and **ementially** irreversible. In addition, the observation of separated products formed **as** a consequence of competing nucleophilic attack on different ligands demands that the various overall reactions are thermochemically feasible.

Previous studies of the gas-phase reactions of negative ions with traneition-metal compounds have been concerned mainly with carbonyl compounds, e.g., $Fe(CO)_{6.}5,30-33$ The mechanistic picture emerging from these studies involves initial attack of the nucleophile on a CO ligand followed by loss of a CO molecule and migration of the nucleophile to the metal center succeeded to some extent by expulsion of a second CO molecule. Nucleophilic attack on an organic ligand is described only for the gas-phase reactions of H⁻ with $(\eta^6$ -C₆H_e)Cr(CO)₃, which results in a HCr(CO)₃ion after migration of a hydrogen atom to the metal center and elimination of $C_6H_6^{5,16}$ With $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3$ or $CpMn(CO)_3$, alkoxide ions and carbanions are reported to react in a flowing afterglow $(FA)^{34,35}$ instrument by proton transfer and formation of stable adducts. The often predominant formation of stable adducts in FA instruments is caused by the occurrence of stabilizing collisions with the carrier gas present in the flow tube at a relatively high pressure $({\sim}70$ Pa He).^{34,35} In the present study, Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) mass spectrometry^{36,37} is used to investigate the reactions of negative ions with $CpCo(CO)₂$ at very low pressures (<10⁻⁴ Pa), thus avoiding prevalent adduct formation. The study was un-

(36) Memhd, A. **G.;** Verdun, **F.** R. *Fourier Transforms in NMR,* **M.** T., Ed.; Academic **Pm:** New **York, 1979;** Chapter **1, p 1.**

dertaken in order to elucidate the mechanism of the gasphase reactions of negative ions with a typical organometallic compound containing a Cp ligand and in order to examine whether the relative inertness of the Cp ligand toward nucleophiles noted for reactions occurring in the condensed phase is maintained in the gas phase. The CpCo(CO), compound was chosen **as** the substrate in part because of its volatility and in part because a previous report indicated that **F** and methoxide ions react with $CpCo(CO)$ ₂ under ICR conditions mainly by the loss of one or two CO molecules from the collision complex. 6

Experimental Section

The experiments were performed with an FT-ICR instrument designed and constructed at the University of Amsterdam.^{38,39} In a typical experiment, the primary negative ions were formed by a pulsed electron **beam** (duration **100** me) and trapped in a magnetic field of 1.23 T by applying a small negative voltage (~ -1) V) to the trapping plates of the cubic inch FT-ICR **cell.** The *0'* ion was formed by dissociative electron capture by N₂O (electron energy \sim 1.2 eV), while the ¹⁸O^{*} ion was generated from a nitrous oxide sample containing **70%** Nz180 and 30% NzO. The *0-* ions formed by electron impact on the eample and in the subsequent reactions of the ¹⁸O⁻ ions with the N₂O molecules^{40,41} were ejected from the FT-ICR **cell.** The **w-** and **HO-/H'BO-/DO-** ions were formed by dissociative electron capture by $NH₃$ (5 eV) and H,0/Hz180/Dz0 **(6** eV), respectively. The other anions were formed **by** proton transfer from the appropriate neutral precursors to the *0'-* ion. The ions of interest were isolated by removing all other iom from the **cell by** a **seriea** of **radio** frequency **(rf)** pulees, which were adjusted in such a way that unwanted translational excitation of the selected ions was **minimized.** The reactions with a given substrate were studied **as** a function of time by varying the delay between the selection of the reactant ion and the **start** of the excitation pulse, which increases the **radius** of the cyclotron motion of the ions prior to their detection." **Impact** of low kinetic energy electrons on CpCo(CO)z **results** in molecular negative ions and abundant CpCo(C0)- ions. The formation of primary negative ions from CpCo(CO)₂ during the period that the nucleophiles were allowed to react with the organometallic aubetrate was prevented by ejecting the low kinetic energy electrons from the FT-ICR **cell** as described elsewhere.⁴²

The total pressure was normally around 6×10^{-5} Pa, and the ratio between the partial pressures of $CpCo(CO)_2$, N₂O, and the substrate or the conjugate acid of the nucleophile of interest was typically **1:l:l.** The pressures were measured on **an** uncalibrated ionization gauge placed in a side arm of the **main** pumping line. The inlet lines and the main vacuum vessel were at room temperature, while the temperature of the trapping plate opposite the filament side varied from **330** to **340** K in a typical experiment.

Materials. Most of the chemicals including the CpCo(CO)₂ compound were commercially available and used without further purification. The labeled alcohols and carboxylic acids were either commercially available or prepared by standard methods and purified prior to use.

Results

Reactions of Negative Ions with CpCo(CO)₂. The main reactions of negative ions with $CpCo(CO)_2$ are proton transfer and nucleophilic attack, which is followed by elimination of one or two CO molecules from the collision complex (eqs 1-3, where A^- = NH_2^- , HO^- , RO^- , and HC_2^- , (30) Lane, K. R.; Lee, R. E.; Sallans, L.; Squires, R. R. *J. Am. Chem.* and Table I). In addition to these processes, CO₂ is ex-

SOC. **1984,106,6767.**

⁽³¹⁾ Lane, K. R.; *Sh,* L.; **Squirm,** R. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986,** *107,* **6369.**

⁽³²⁾ Lane, K. R.; **Salh,** L.; **Squires,** R. R. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1986, 108,4368.**

⁽³³⁾ Lane, K. R.; Squires, R. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1986, *108*, 7187.
(34) Graul, S. T.; Squires, R. R. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* 1988, 7, 263.
(35) Smith, D.; Adams, N. G. in *Gas Phase Ion Chemistry*; Bowers,

Optical, and Mass Spectrometry; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1990. (37) Wanczek, K. P. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes* 1989, *95*, **1.**

⁽³⁸⁾ **Koning, L. J. de; Kort, C. W. F.; Pinkse, F. A.; Nibbering, N. M. M.** *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes* **1989, 96, 71, and references cited** therein.

⁽³⁹⁾ Peerboom, R. A. L.; *ingemam,* S.; Nibbering, N. **M. M.,** Liebman, J. F. J. *Chem. SOC., Perkin T~oM. 2* **1990, 1826, and** references cited therein.

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Barlow, S. E.; Bierbaum, V. **M.** J. *Chem. Phys.* **1990,92,3442. (41) Morris, R** *A,* **Vio, A A; Pauleon, J. F.** *J. Chem. Phys.* **1990, 92, 3448.**

⁽⁴²⁾ Kleingeld, J. C.; Nibbering, N. **M. M.** *Lect. Notes Chem.* **1982,31, 209.**

Negative Ions with $CpCo(CO)_2$ (M) in the Gas Phase^a

Table I. Initial Relative Abundances of the Product Ions (in Percent of Total Product Ion Yield) Formed in the Reactions of Negative Ions with $CpCo(CO)$, (M) in the Gas Phase ^{a}						
A^-	$PA(A^-),^b$ kJ mol ⁻¹	$[M-H]^-$	$[M + A - CO$	$[M + A - 2CO]$	other product ions (%)	% conversn into products ^c
NH ₂	1689	80	5	15		100
$HO-$	1635	80	5	10	$[M + HO - CO2]$ ⁻ (5)	90
$O^{s-d,e}$	1599	15	5	65	$[M + O - H]$ ⁻ trace $[M - 2H]$ ⁻ (5) $CpCo(CO)^{-1}(10)$	95
$CH3O-$	1592	60	30	10		
$C_2H_5O^-$	1579	45	40	15		85
$n-C3H7O-$	1576	30	45	25		75
$(CH3)2CHO-$	1571	35	35	30		
$n - C_4H_9O^-$	1569	20	50	30		70
$(C_2H_5)_2CHO^-$	1559		70	30		45
$FCH_2CH_2O^-$	1548		85	15		
HC ₂	1576		95	5		
$CH3$ S ⁻	1493		nr ^s			
$CH_3CO_2^-$	1459		nr			

"Obtained by following the product ion distribution **as** a function of time and extrapolated to zero reaction time; *see* text and Figure 1. ^b The proton affinity values are from ref 44. CReaction time 300 ms, $p(CpCo(CO)_2) \approx 2 \times 10^{-5}$ Pa. dThe O⁺⁻ ion reacts to some extent with $CpCo(CO)_2$ and trace impurities of 1,3-cyclopentadiene formed by decomposition of the organometallic sample by hydrogen atom abstraction leading to HO⁻ ions. There ions were ejected during the reaction period from the FT-ICR cell. "The O⁺ ions react with N₂O to form NO⁻ ions. The NO⁻ ions were ejected continuously from the FT-ICR cell. *f* Conversion into products was not estimated, since the FCH₂CH₂O⁻ ion reacts with its neutral procursor to produce HF₂, CH₂CHO-, and FCH₂CH₂OHF ions. None of these ions reacts with CpCo(CO)₃. Tho reaction.

Figure 1. Normalized product ion distribution **as** a function of reaction time for the $\rm{C_2H_6O^-/C_2H_6OH/CDC_2}$ system: p- $\approx 2 \times 10^{-5}$ Pa. \bullet , $[M - H]$; \bullet , $[M + C_2H_6O - CO]$; *o*, $[M + C_3 \times 10^{-5}$ Pa. \bullet , $[M - H]$; \bullet , $[M + C_2H_6O - CO]$; *o*, $[M + C_3 \times 10^{-5}$ $C_2H_5O - 2$ COI. The lines represents graphical interpolations based on best fits to the experimental results. $(N_2O) \approx 2 \times 10^{-6}$ Pa, $p(C_2H_2OH) \approx 2 \times 10^{-6}$ Pa, and $p(CpCo(CO))$ ₂)

pelled from the collision complex in the reaction of the hydroxide ion with CpCo(CO), (eq **4).** In nearly **all** incomplex in the reaction of the
 $(CO)_2$ (eq 4). In nearly all in-
 $-M-HJ^+ + HA$ (1)
 $\rightarrow CP^C(CO)A^- + CO$ (2)

$$
A^{-} + CpCo(CO)_2 \longrightarrow [M-H]^{-} + HA
$$
\n
$$
- CpCo(CO)A^{-} + CO
$$
\n
$$
- CpCo^{-} + 2CO
$$
\n
$$
= CpCo(CO) + 2CO
$$
\n
$$
= CpCo(CO) + 2CO
$$
\n
$$
= CpCo(CO) + 2CO
$$

$$
\longrightarrow CPCo(CO)A^{-} + CO \qquad (2)
$$

$$
+ CPCo(CO)A- + CO
$$
 (2)
\n
$$
+ CPCo(CO)_2 \rightarrow CPCo(CO)H- + CO_2
$$
 (3)
\n
$$
-PCO^2 + PO^2 = OPCO^2 + CO^2
$$
 (4)
\n
$$
-P/2153
$$

$$
m/z 17 \qquad m/z 153
$$

stances C_5H_5 ions were formed, but the yield of these ions varied unsystematically from experiment to experiment. The $C_5H_5^-$ ions are unlikely, therefore, to arise by nucleophilic attack on $CpCo(CO)_2$, and we ascribe their formation to exothermic proton transfer between the negative ions and 1,3-cyclopentadiene generated by thermal decomposition of the organometallic compound.⁴³

(43) Proton transfer from 1,3-cyclopentadiene to most of the negative ions listed in Table I is exothermic $(PA(C_5H_5^-) = 1481 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$; see ref 44). No reaction was observed between the $C_5H_5^-$ ions and $CpCo(CO)_2$.

The uncertainty in the pressure measurements was judged to be **too** large (see Experimental Section) for a reliable determination of reaction rate constants. **A** measure of the relative rates of the reactions between the negative ions and the $CpCo(CO)_2$ substrate is obtained, however, from the extent of conversion into products at a certain reaction time. The reaction of the $NH₂$, HO⁻, 0° , and $\text{CH}_{3}\text{CH}_{2}\text{O}^{\circ}$ ions is seen from the results in Table I to be almost complete within **300** ma, suggesting that these ions react with $CpCo(CO)_2$ at a rate close to the collision rate. In addition, the resulta in Table I reveal that the rate of reaction of the NH_2^- , HO^- , and RO^- ions with CpCo(CO), tends to decrease with decreasing proton **af**finity of the reactant ion $(PA(A^{-}) = \Delta H^{\circ}{}_{acid}(HA)^{44}).$

The $[M - H]$ - ions react with the water molecules present in the FT-ICR cell by expulsion of CO and $CO₂$ from the collision complex **(eqs 5** and **6),** whereas the re- $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions react with the water molecules
in the FT-ICR cell by expulsion of CO and CO₂
e collision complex (eqs 5 and 6), whereas the re-
 $[M-H]$ ⁻ + H_2O -- CpCo(CO)(OH)⁻ + CO (5)
 m/z 159

$$
[M-H]^{\top}
$$
 + H₂O —
 m/z 169
 m/z 179 m/z 169

Sion complex (eqs 0 and 0), whereas the re-

\n
$$
+ H_2O \longrightarrow Cpco(CO)(OH)^{-} + CO
$$
\n
$$
m'z 169
$$
\n
$$
\longrightarrow Cpco(CO)(H)^{-} + CO_2
$$
\n
$$
(6)
$$
\n
$$
m'z 153
$$

 $[M-H]^{+}$ + ROH \longrightarrow CpCo(CO)(OR)⁻ + CO (7)

action with the alcohols proceeds by the loss of a CO molecule *(eq* **7). Loss** of two CO molecules occurs only to minor extent in the reactions of the $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions with water or aliphatic alcohols *(see* Discussion). The secondary reactions of the $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions with the water or alcohol molecules present in the FT-ICR cell cause the product ion distributions to **vary as** a function of time. In order to determine the relative importance of the different channels in the **reactions** of the selected nucleophilee with $CpCo(CO)₂$, the product ion distributions were followed as a function of time (exemplified for the $C_2H_6O^-$) $C_2H_5OH/CDCo(CO)_2$ system in Figure 1). Extrapolation of the normalized ion abundance8 to zero reaction time then yields the initial product ion distributions **unaffected** by the further reactions of the $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions.

⁽⁴⁴⁾ Lias, S. G.; **Bartmees,** J. E.; Liebman, J. F.; **Holmes, J. L.;** Levin, **R. D.; Mallard, W.** *G. J. Phys. Chem. Ref.* Data **1988,** *17,* Suppl. 1.

Figure 2. Spectrum obtained of the DO⁻/D₂O/CpCo(CO)₂ system after a reaction time of 700 ms: $p(D_2O) \approx 3 \times 10^{-5}$ Pa and $p(CpCo(CO)_2) \approx 2 \times 10^{-5}$ Pa. The $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions (m/z) **17S183) are seen to be capable of exchanging up to four hydrogen** atoms for deuterium atoms. The distribution of the d_0-d_4 ions is (percent) 23 $(d_0):40$ $(d_1):23$ $(d_2):10$ $(d_3):4$ $(d_4):$ The ions with *m/z* **170-174 are formed by loss of CO from the collision complexes.** The ions with m/z **154-159** are formed by $CO₂$ loss. The **ions** with *m/z* **142 and 143** are **formed by loas of two CO molecules. See also the text.**

The $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions undergo sequential exchange of hydrogen atoms for deuterium atoms in the presence of $\mathrm{D}_2\mathrm{O}$

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\text{(eq 8; see also Figure 2).} \end{array}\n\text{The ions formed by loss of CO} \\
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\text{Do}^- & + \text{CpCo(CO)}_2 & \longrightarrow & -\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{Co(CO)}_2 + \text{HDO} \\
\text{m/2 18} & & \text{m/2 179} \\
\text{-C}_5\text{H}_4\text{Co(CO)}_2 & \longrightarrow & -\text{C}_5\text{D}_4\text{Co(CO)}_2 \\
\text{m/2 179} & & \text{m/2 183}\n\end{array}\n\end{array}\n\tag{8}
$$

and $CO₂$ during the reaction of the conjugate base of CpCo(CO), with water (see eqs *5* and 6) incorporate deuterium atoms **as** illustrated in Figure 2. However, experiments in which the product ions of reactions *5* and 6 were isolated (see Experimental Section) and subsequently allowed to react with D_2O revealed that these ions themselves do not undergo exchange with D_2O . This suggests that the reactions leading to the loss of CO or $CO₂$ from the collision complex are preceded by the hydrogen-deuterium exchange between the conjugate base of the organometallic compound and D_2O (see also Discussion).⁴⁶

The exchange of the $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions with D₂O and the reactions of the $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions with water (eqs 5 and 6) or alcohols (eq 7) imply that deprotonation of $CpCo(CO)_{2}$ does not result in a change in the bonding between the Cp ligand and the cobalt atom. The occurrence/nonoccurrence of proton transfer in the reactions of the alkoxide ions with $CpCo(CO)₂$ (Table I) can be used, therefore, to derive the gas-phase acidity of the Cp ligand in the organometallic compound if it is assumed that the observation of near-thermoneutral proton transfer is not ham**pered by** the competing reaction leading to the loss of one or two CO molecules from the collision complex. Based on this assumption, the gas-phase acidity, $\Delta \tilde{H}^{\circ}_{\text{acid}}$, of the $CpCo(CO)_2$ can be placed between the values for *n*- C_4H_9OH and FCH_2CH_2OH (see Table I), that is, 1559 \pm 10 kJ mol⁻¹. The $CpCo(CO)_2$ compound appears to have a gas-phase acidity similar to CpMn(CO)_3 (1556 \pm 20 kJ)

 mol^{-1} ,⁵ and both species are only slightly more acidic than the free C_5H_5 ^{*} radical (1577 \pm 12 kJ mol⁻¹),⁴⁷ indicating that coordination to a metal center does not lead to a dramatic increase in the acidity of C_5H_5 in contrast to the enhancement in acidity of $100-120$ kJ mol⁻¹ going from benzene to $(\eta^6$ -C₆H₆)Cr(CO)₃.^{5,16}

Reactions of the **Oxygen** Radical **Anion.** The reaction of the *0'-* ion with CpCo(CO), proceeds in part **as** indicated in eqs $1-3$ and in part by loss of $CO₂$ from the collision complex (Table I). Experiments with ¹⁸O^{*-} reveal that the ions formed by loss of carbon dioxide do not incorporate the oxygen-18 atom (eq 9) whereas the ions formed by the loss of two carbon monoxide molecules **1~~9** - + CpCo(C0)p - cpcO(c0)- + c0l8o (9)

$$
^{18}O^{*-} + CpCo(CO)_2 \longrightarrow CpCo(CO)^{-} + CO^{18}O
$$
 (9)
\n
$$
m/z 18
$$
 152

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\text{relation the label to a large extent (eq 10).} & \text{Equilibrium} \\
\text{relation the label to a large extent (eq 10).} & \text{Equilibrium} \\
\text{max} \\
\
$$

 $CoC_5H_5^{18}O^- + 2CO$ (10b)

$$
m/z \, 142
$$

of the three oxygen atoms in the collision complex would result in a ratio of 2:1 for the abundances of the $CoC_{6}H_{6}O^{-1}$ and $CoC_5H_5^{18}O^-$ ions and would also lead to a ratio between $CO₂$ and $CO¹⁸O$ loss of 1:2. The obtained ratio for the $CoC_5\tilde{H}_5O^-$ and $CoC_5H_5^{18}O^{+-}$ ion abundances and the absence of incorporation of the *'80* atom in the ions formed by carbon dioxide loss thus reveal that the oxygen atoms do not become equivalent prior to product ion formation (vide infra).

Reactions and Structures of the CoC₅H₅A⁻ Ions. The present approach to determine the site of nucleophilic attack on $CpCo(CO)₂$ in the gas phase is based largely on a characterization of the structure of the product ions. With the FT-ICR method it is possible, in principle, to establish ion structures by examination of the chemical reactivity of the ions and by performing collision-induced dissociation or photodissociation experiments. In this study, the $CoC_5H_5A^-$ ions formed by the loss of two CO molecules from the collision complex (eq 3) have been allowed to react with selected substrates in order to obtain structural information.

The $CoC_5H_5A^-$ ions formed by attack of the hydroxide ion on $CpCo(CO)_2$ react with 2-butanethiol and acetic acid exclusively and completely by elimination of a water molecule from the collision complex (eq 11). Similarly,
 $CpCoA^- + BH \rightarrow CpCoB^- + AH$ (11)

$$
CpCoA^{-} + BH \rightarrow CpCoB^{-} + AH
$$
 (11)
AH = H₂O, *n*-C₄H₉OH
BH = CH₃CH₂(CH₃)CHSH, CH₃CO₂H

the $CoC_5H_5A^-$ ions formed as a result of attack by the $n\text{-}C_4H_9O$ ion on $CpCo(CO)_2$ react with 2-butanethiol and acetic acid to expel a n-butanol molecule from the collision complex. *On* the basis of the occurrence of these reactions, the $CoC_5H₅A⁻$ ions formed by nucleophilic attack of the HO^- and RO^- ions on $CpCo(CO)_2$ are assigned a $CpCoA^$ structure **as** implied in eqs 3 and 11.

The bimolecular chemistry of the $CoC₅H₅O⁻$ ions formed by attack of O^{\ast} on $CpCo(CO)_2$ is completely different and much more complex than the chemistry of the CpCo(0H) or CpCo(0R)- ions. With acetic acid **as** the substrate, the $CoC_5H_5O^-$ ions react by proton transfer and elimination of H_2 , (C_4H_6) , and (H_2CO_2) from the collision complex.

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Hydrogen-deuterium exchange reactions of negative ions in the gas phaee are dhed in ref 28 and Grabowski, J. J.; DePuy, C. H.; van Doren, J. M.; Bierbaum, V. M. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1986,107,7384. (46) Similar observations have been reported for the reactions of the [M** - **HI- ions from 2-, 3-, and 4-fluoroanisole** with **water or aliphatic alcohols;** *see:* **Ingemann, S., Nibbering, N. M. M.** *J. Org. Chem.* **1983,48, 183.**

⁽⁴⁷⁾ McDonald, R. N.; Chowdhury, A. K.; Setser, D. W. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1980,102, 6461.**

Similar reactions occur with formic acid **as** the substrate, and experiments with deuterium-labeled acetic acid reveal that the neutral products incorporate one deuterium atom **as** indicated in eqs 12-14, where the percentages refer to a reaction time of 300 ma.

$$
\frac{\text{CoC}_{5}H_{5}O^{-} + \text{CD}_{3}\text{CO}_{2}D \xrightarrow{-50\%} \text{CoC}_{7}H_{4}D_{3}O_{3}^{-} + \text{HD}_{m/z \ 201}^{(12)}
$$
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{\sim 20\%} \text{CoC}_{6}H_{4}D_{3}O^{-} + (\text{CHDO}_{2})
$$
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{m/z \ 157}^{(13)}
$$
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{\sim 30\%} \text{CoC}_{5}D_{5}O_{5}^{-} + (\text{C.H.D})
$$
\n
$$
(13)
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{0.000-0.000} \text{CoC}_{3}D_{3}O_{3}^{-} + (\text{C}_{4}H_{5}D)
$$

\n
$$
m/z \ 149
$$
\n(14)

Although the detection and characterization of the neutral products of gas-phase ion/molecule reactions has been accomplished, such experiments require unique instruments. $48,49$ With the instrument applied in the present study, analysis of the neutral products is not achievable, thus precluding any conclusion as to whether (C_4H_6) represents the **loa** of one neutral species or, for example, the loss of $C_4H_4 + H_2$. Nevertheless, the occurrence of reaction 14 **indicates** that an extensive rearrangement involving the carbon and hydrogen atoms of the original Cp ligand may accompany the attack O^{\leftarrow} on $CpCo(\overline{CO})_{2}$.

Some insight into the structure(s) of the $CoC_5H_5O^-$ ions is obtained from the reactions of these ions with SO_2 , NO, and aliphatic alcohols. With SO_2 , the $CoC_5H_5O^-$ ions react predominantly by the loss of a CO molecule from the collision complex (eq 15). In addition to CO loss, the **Cancel Solution Assume that CACCS is the COC₅H₅O⁻ ions react inantly by the loss of a CO molecule from the a complex (eq 15). In addition to CO loss, the** $\cos 5H_5O^-$ **+** $\cos 2 \frac{85\%}{\sqrt{15}}$ $\cos 2H_5SO_2^-$ **+ \cos 2(15**

complex (eq 15). In addition to CO loss, the
\n
$$
cos_5H_5O^- + SO_2 \xrightarrow{85\%} CoC_4H_5SO_2^- + CO
$$
 (15)
\n $m/z 140$ $m/z 176$
\n $cos_2H_3O_2^- + (C_5H_4O)$ (16)
\n $m/z 124$

reaction with SO_2 results in an ion with m/z 124. The elemental composition of this product ion is assigned **as** $CoHSO₂$ instead of $CoC₅H₅$ on the basis of an accurate mass measurement⁵⁰ indicating that (C_5H_4O) is expelled (eq 16) either **as** one neutral species or, for example, **as** CO $+ C_4H_4.$

The $CoC_5H_5O^-$ ions react also with NO to expel a CO molecule from the collision complex, but the loss of $(C_5$ -**H40)** is not observed with this substrate.

$$
CoC_5H_5O^+ + NO \longrightarrow CoC_4H_5NO^+ + CO \qquad (17)
$$

$$
m/z 140 \qquad m/z 142
$$

The $CoC_5H_5O^-$ ions react with aliphatic alcohols (ROH, $R = CH_3$, C_2H_5 , $CH_3CH_2CH_2$, CH_3 ₂CH) in part by oxidation of the substrate to an aldehyde or a ketone and in part by loss of a hydrogen molecule from the collision complex. Deuterium labeling reveals that the bydrogen atoms added to the reactant ion in the former process originate from the OH function and the α position of the alcohol (Table II and eq 18). The results for the deu atoms added to the reactant ion in the former process originate from the OH function and the α position of the alcohol (Table I1 and eq 18). The results for the deu-

$$
CoC_5H_5O^- + RCD_2OD \longrightarrow CoC_5H_5D_2O^- + RCDO \quad (18)
$$

 $m/z 144$

terium-labeled alcohols in Table I1 show that the eliminated hydrogen molecule consists of one hydrogen atom

Table II. Results of the Reactions of the CoC₅H₅O⁻ Ions with Deuterium-Labeled Alcohols^o

substrate	added to the reactant ion	lost from the collision complex
CD ₃ OH	$H + D$	${\bf H_2}$
CD ₃ OD	2D	HD
CH ₃ CH ₂ OD	$H + D$	HD
CD ₃ CD ₂ OH	$H + D$	${\bf H_2}$
$CH_3CH_2CD_2OH$	$H + D$	$\overline{\mathbf{H}_2}$
$(CD_3)_2CDOD$	2D	HD

The two reactions occur to an equal extent in all instances.
 COCSHT ACCOMPEDENT COCSH₅O⁻ ions (eq 19).
 $C_0C_5H_5O^-$ + CD_3OD - $C_0C_6H_4D_3O_2^-$ + HD (19)
 $C_0C_5H_5O^-$ + CD_3OD - $C_0C_6H_4D_3O_2^-$ + HD (19) from the hydroxylic position of the alcohol and one hydrogen atom from the $CoC_5H_5O^-$ ions (eq 19).

$$
CoC_5H_5O^+ + CD_3OD \longrightarrow CoC_6H_4D_3O_2^+ + HD
$$
 (19)
\n m/z 140

The determination of the structure(s) of the $CoC_5H_5O^$ ions by examination of their bimolecular chemistry is complicated to some extent by the occurrence of a reaction between these ions and the $CpCo(CO)_{2}$ molecules present in the FT-ICR cell. This reaction yields stable adducts in addition to ions formed by loss of one or two CO molecules from the collision complex and is relatively slow, with conversion into products being complete at a reaction time of \sim 5 s. The reaction with the parent organometallic compound was unable to compete with the reaction of the $CoC₅H₅O⁻$ ions with $SO₂$ or formic acid, and only traces of the ions from the former reaction were observed in the experiments with these substrates. By contrast, significant amounts of the product ions of the reaction between $CoC_5H_5O^-$ and $CpCo(CO)_2$ were formed when NO or an aliphatic alcohol was present in the cell.

In the experiments with the aliphatic alcohols, only a minor part of the CoC_5H_5O ions (\sim 20%) were reactive toward these substrates. The occurrence of the reaction with the parent organometallic compounds precludes an accurate determination of the amount of $CoC_5H_5O^-$ ions capable of reacting with the alcohols. The formation of $CoC₅H₅O⁻$ ions with a different reactivity is supported by experiments in which the reactions with ethanol and $CpCo(CO)$ ₂ were allowed to proceed for 1-1.5 s before the remaining CoC6H50- ions were isolated **(see** Experimental Section). No products ions were formed in the subsequent reactions of the remaining $CoC_5H_6O^-$ ions with ethanol, and merely the product ions from the reactions with the parent compound were detected. Such results may mean that some of the $CoC_6H_6O^-$ ions formed by attack of $O^$ on $CpCo(CO)_2$ contain excess internal/translational energy, which enables them to react with the aliphatic alcohols. However, only the $CoC_5H_5^{18}O^-$ ions generated in the reactions of the ¹⁸O⁻ ion with $CpCo(CO)_2$ (eq 10b) react with ethanol as shown in eqs 20 and 21, whereas the $CoC_5H_5O^-$

$$
\begin{array}{r}\n\text{CoC}_{5}\text{H}_{5}^{18}\text{O}^{-} \text{ (eq 10b)} + \text{C}_{2}\text{H}_{5}\text{OH} \\
m/z \, 142 \, \xrightarrow{\sim 50\%} \text{CoC}_{5}\text{H}_{7}^{18}\text{O}^{-} + \text{C}_{2}\text{H}_{4}\text{O} \text{ (20)} \\
m/z \, 144\n\end{array}
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\sim 50\%} \operatorname{CoC}_{7}^{\sim}H_{9}O^{18}O^{-} + H_{2}
$$
 (21)

$$
m/z
$$
 186

ions formed through the route in *eq* loa show no reactivity toward **this** substrate. Furthermore, both ions formed **as** indicated in eqs 10a and 10b react with acetic acid by elimination of (C_4H_6) (eq 14) and by proton transfer, whereas H_2 loss (eq 12) is observed solely for the CoC₅- $H₅¹⁸O⁻$ ions. In conclusion, the results indicate that attack of O^{\leftarrow} on the cobalt compound leads to isomeric $CoC_5H_5O^-$

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Morton, T. H. In Techniques for the Study of Ion-Molecule Reactions; Farrar, J. M., Saunders, W. H., Jr., Eds.; Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1988; Chapter III, p 119.
(49) Jones, M. E.; Ellison, G. B. J. Am. Chem. S

⁽⁵⁰⁾ The exact mass of the CoHSO₂⁻ ions is 123.903 83 Da; the exact mass of the CoC₆H₆⁻ ion is 123.976 83 Da. The mass of the product ion is measured to be 123.901 \pm 0.002 Da (four measurements). See ref 38.

Scheme I. Proposed Reaction Mechanisms for Nucleophilic Addition of Negative Ions on a CO Ligand (Path a) or on the **Metal Center (Path b) of C~CO(CO)~ in the Gas Phase**

ions, which display a different chemical reactivity.

Discussion

Site of Attack. In principle, the negative ions *can* attack the $CpCo(CO)_2$ compound on the $\overline{C}p$ ligand, a CO ligand, or the cobalt atom. Ions with a CpCoA- structure are suggested to be formed in the reactions with the HOand **RO-** ions **(see** eqs 3 and ll), implying that the Cp ligand is not involved directly in thew instances. In other words, nucleophilic attack of HO- and **RO-** ions is inclined to *occur* initially on a CO ligand and/or the cobalt atom.

The reaction of the HO- and **RO-** ions with CpCo(CO), *can* proceed **as shown** in Scheme I, where path a repreaents initial attack on a CO ligand and path b a mechanistic proposal for direct attack on the cobalt atom. Both reaction sequences **start** with formation of a loosely bound complex composed of the A^- ion and the $CpCo(CO)_2$ molecule. In **this** complex, the A- ion may react at a CO ligand, leading to a 16-electron CpCo(C0-A)- ion, which *can* rearrange to an 18-electron CpCo(C0)A- species by migration of the A group to the metal center **as** shown in path a, Scheme I. Subsequent elimination of a CO molecule then yields the CpCoA- ions.

Support for the occurrence of attack on a CO ligand *can* be found also in the elimination of CO₂ from the collision complex formed in the reaction of the hydroxide ion with $CpCo(CO)_2$ (eq 4) or in the reaction of the $[M-H]$ ⁻ ions with water (eq 6). Initial attack on a CO ligand can be followed by migration of the hydrogen atom of the OH group to the metal center concomitant with $CO₂$ loss and formation of the l8-eledron CpCo(C0)H- ion shown in **eq** 22 for $H^{18}O^-$ as the reactant ion. Exclusive loss of $CO^{18}O^$ followed by migration of the hydrogen atom of the OH
group to the metal center concomitant with CO_2 loss and
formation of the 18-electron $CpCo(CO)H^-$ ion shown in eq
22 for $H^{18}O^-$ as the reactant ion. Exclusive loss o

$$
H^{18}O^{-} + CpCo(CO)_2 \longrightarrow [CpCo(CO)(CO^{18}OH)^{-}]^{*} \longrightarrow \longrightarrow
$$

$$
m'z 19
$$

CpCo(H)(CO)⁻ + CO¹⁸O (22)

$$
m/z
$$
 153

occurs in the reaction with the H¹⁸O⁻ ion, indicating that oxygen atom interchange is not occurring in the intermediate species.

Loss of a C'80 molecule from the collision complex formed in the reactions with $H^{18}O^-$ occurs only to a very minor extent compared to the lose of CO. This may mean that 1,3-H shifts between the oxygen atoms in the initially **formed** species (eq **22** and Scheme I) do not compete effectively with the ensuing reactions and/or that the loss of one or two carbon monoxide molecules proceeds in part by direct attack of the hydroxide ion on the cobalt atom. The latter possibility (path b in Scheme I) *can* involve an η^5 to η^3 change in the bonding between the C₅H₅ ligand

and the cobalt atom, thus creating a 16-electron species with a vacant position for bond formation between the nucleophile and metal center. Ligand substitutions driven by an η^5 to η^3 change in the bonding of a Cp⁵¹⁻⁵³ or an indenyl ligand^{52,54} have been discussed for condensed-phase reactions, e.g., the displacement of a CO ligand in $(\eta^5$ - $C_5H_4NO_2)Rh(CO)_2$ by $(Ph)_3P^{51}$ The energy requirement for the implied η^5 to η^3 change in bonding between the C_5H_5 ligand and the cobalt atom is unknown, and we are unable to conclude whether the energy gained upon approach of the reactant ion to the $CpCo(CO)$ ₂ molecule is sufficient for the occurrence of mechanism \bar{b} in Scheme I.

Although the details of the reaction between the HOand **RO-** ions and the cobalt compound are uncertain, the obtained results strongly indicate that nucleophilic attack by these ions does not occur at the Cp ligand. A different conclusion is reached for the $0⁺$ radical anion on the basis of the bimolecular chemistry of the $CoC_{5}H_{5}O^{-}$ ions formed by the lose of two CO molecules from the collision complex in combination with the relative abundances of the Co- $C_5H_5^{18}O^-$ (\sim 65%) and $CoC_5H_5O^-$ (\sim 35%) ions generated by attack of $^{18}O^{\bullet-}$ on $CpCo(CO)_2$ (eq 10).

The \sim 35% $CoC_5H_5O^-$ ions (eq 10a) can arise only by attack of the ¹⁸O^{*} ion on a CO ligand. Attack on this site can be succeeded by the loss of the other CO ligand and elimination of CO or Cl8O **as** illustrated in eq **23. Loss**

$$
^{18}O^{--} + CpCo(CO)_2 \xrightarrow{-CO} [CpCoC]^{1*} \qquad (23)
$$

\n
$$
m/2 18 \qquad \longrightarrow Coc_5H_5O^- + C^{18}O
$$

\n
$$
m/2 140 \qquad \longrightarrow Coc_5H_5^{18}O^- + CO
$$

\n
$$
m/2 142
$$

\n
$$
m/2 142
$$

of $C^{18}O$ and CO is anticipated to occur with equal proba- bility from the intermediate species in reaction 23, indicating that the attack of the ¹⁸O^{*-} ion on a CO ligand results in 35% $CoC_5H_6O^-$ and 35% $CoC_5H_6^{18}O^-$ ions. Hence, the residual $CoC₆H₅¹⁸O⁻$ ions are formed by direct reaction at the cobalt atom and/or by initial attack on the Cp ligand. Attack of the *0'-* ion on the cobalt atom is expected to result in a $CoC_5H_5O^-$ ion with a CpCoO-

⁽⁵¹⁾ Rerek, M. E.; Basolo, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 5908.
(52) O'Connor, J. M.; Casey, C. P. Chem. Rev. 1987, 87, 307.

⁽⁵³⁾ Vest, P.; Anhaus, **J.; Baj& H. C.; Eldik, R. van** *Organometallics*

⁽⁶⁴⁾ Merola, J. S.; Kacmarcik, **R. T.; Engen, D. van** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991, 10, 818. 1988,108,329.**

Scheme 11. Propowd Reaction Mechanirmr for Attack of the *0-* **on the Cp Ligand (Path a) or on a CO Ligand (Path b) of** $CpCo(CO)$ ₂ in the Gas Phase

structure.²⁴ The formation of such an ion is hard to reconcile with the observed ion/molecule chemistry of the $CoC₆H₆O⁻$ ions, which indicates that the Cp ligand is not retained **as** a structural entity upon attack of the *0'-* ion. In conclusion, the experiments with the *'80-* ion indicate that 70% of the product ions from loss of two carbon monoxide molecules from the collision complex arise by attack on a CO ligand, whereas the remaining **30%** are generated by initial attack on the Cp ligand.

The present results do not allow for detailed considerations of the precise structure(s) of the $CoC_6H_6O^-$ ions. Agreement between the described observations can be such **as 1-3.** The generation of these ions by competing

attack of *0'-* on the Cp and CO ligands is rationalized in Scheme 11. Initial attack on the Cp ligand is likely to occur on the exo side of the ring **as** indicated in path a. Loss of two CO molecules and migration of the hydrogen atom now at the endo side with respect to the cobalt atom leads to the 15-electron $(\eta^4$ -C₅H₄O)Co(H)⁻ ion (1). Attack of the *0'-* ion on a CO ligand could be expected to be followed by a **shift** of an oxygen atom to the metal center with formation of a $CpCoO^-$ ion²⁴ after the expulsion of two CO molecules in **analogy** with the reactions of the HOand **RO-** (see Scheme I). The lack of evidence for the generation of a CpCoO- ion, however, suggests that migration of an oxygen atom to the Cp ligand occurs after the initial attack on a CO ligand (path b in Scheme 11). Cleavage of the ring concomitant with the expulsion of two CO molecules then leads to the proposed metallacyclopentadiene⁵⁵ species (2) and/or the $(\eta^4$ -cyclobutadiene)- $Co(H)(CO)$ ⁻ ion (3).

The generation of a unique ion structure by attack on the Cp ligand is in agreement with the observation that only the ions retaining the label in the reaction with the **1*0*-** ion react with ethanol (eqs **20** and 21), and the generation of ion 1 is supported by the loss of (C_5H_4O) in the reaction with SO_2 (eq 16). The formation of ion 1 in which one of the hydrogen atoms of the original Cp ligand has become structurally different from the others may **be** held responsible **also** for the exclusive occurrence of HD loss in the reactions with fully deuterated alcohols *(eq* **19** and Table II). The observation that only \sim 15% of the Co- $C_5H_5O^-$ ions react with SO_2 to expel (C_5H_4O) (eq 16) whereas the oxygen-18 experiments indicate that $\sim 30\%$ of all the $CoC_5H_5O^-$ ions are formed by attack on the Cp ligand implies that species other than **1** *can* be formed by path a in Scheme 11. These other species could well be **2** and **3,** which are thought to be formed mainly by initial attack on a CO ligand (path b). The generation of ions like **2** or **3** is supported by the loss of CO from the collision complex in the reaction with **SO2** (eq **15)** and NO **(eq** 17) and also by the elimination of (C_4H_6) in the reactions with acetic acid (eq **14)** or formic acid.

Competing Reactions and Energetic Considerations. Exothermic to near-thermoneutral proton transfer in gas-phase ion/molecule systems occurs often with a rate essentially equal to the rate of collision between the particles.²⁸ Nucleophilic substitutions such as S_N2 reactions in organic gas-phase systems are **known** to be associated with local energy barriers, which *can* **cause** the rate of these reactions to be much lower than the collision rates even if the overall processes are strongly exothermic.^{26,29} In organic systems where proton transfer is exothermic, it is often observed, therefore, that this process kinetically suppresses the occurrence of thermochemically favorable substitutions reactions. Relatively little is **known** about the potential energy surfaces describing nucleophilic attack on organometallic compounds. In the present system, proton transfer from $CpCo(CO)₂$ to the most basic anions $(NH₂$ ⁻, HO⁻) is by far the dominant channel and only **-20%** of the total amount of product ions arises from nucleophilic attack in these instances **(see** Table I and **eqs 1-4).** The relative importance of proton transfer in comparison with nucleophilic attack leading to the expulsion of one or two CO molecules decreases **as** expected with decreasing PA of the reactant ion. A decrease in the PA of the reactant ions results **also** in a reduction in the rate of the overall process (Table I; see **also** Results). These observations suggest that nucleophilic attack on a CO ligand and/or the metal center is associated with a local potential energy barrier decreasing the rate of the overall process if proton transfer is not the dominant pathway.

Some insight into the energy surface describing the attack of negative ions on CpCo(CO), *can* be obtained from the reactions of the $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions with water or alcohols. In the reaction of the HO⁻ ion with $CpCo(CO)_2$, a

⁽⁶⁵⁾ Schore, N. E. *Chem. Rev.* **1988,88,1081.**

 $[CpCo(CO)₂ + HO⁻]$ * complex is formed directly, whereas the reactions of the $[M - H]$ ⁻ ion with water lead initially to a $[(M - H)^{-} + H_2O]^{*}$ complex. The formation of the $[(M - H)^{-} + H_2O]^*$ complex is associated with a gain in energy, which is sufficiently large to allow for conversion

into a [CpCo(CO)₂ + HO⁻]* complex (eq 24). Proton
\n
$$
[M-H]^{-} + H_{2}O \rightleftharpoons [M-H]^{-} + H_{2}O]^{*} \rightleftharpoons
$$
\n
$$
m/z 179
$$

 $[CpCo(CO)_2 + HO^-]^*$ \longrightarrow $\left| \left| \right| \longrightarrow \text{CpCo(CO)}_2 + HO^-$ (24) **Wz17**

transfer between the $[M - H]$ ⁻ ion and water to give free HO- ions is not **observed,** since this process is endothermic by the difference in gas-phase acidities of the organometallic compound and water $({\sim}76 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$; see Results). The difference in acidities of $CpCo(CO)_2$ and H_2O causes the intermediate $[CpCo(CO)₂ + HO⁻]$ ^{*} complex to be formed with lower internal energy in the reactions of the [M - HI- ions with water than in the direct reaction of **HC)** with the substrate. The $[CpCo(CO)₂ + HO⁻]$ * complex in eq 24 may react to regenerate free $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions, or nucleophilic attack on a CO ligand and/or the metal atom can take place as illustrated in eqs 25 and 26 for the re-
 $[M-H]^-$ + D₂O \rightleftharpoons $[(M-H]^-$ + D₂O]^{*}

$$
[M-H] + D_2O \rightleftharpoons [[M-H] + D_2O] =
$$

\n
$$
m/z 179
$$

\n
$$
[(n^5 \text{-} G_5H_4D)Co(CO)_2 + DO^-]^* \rightleftharpoons (n^5 \text{-} G_5H_4D)Co(D)(CO)^- + CO_2 \quad (25)
$$

$$
[(n5-C5H4D)Co(CO)2 + DO-]* \rightarrow (n5-C5H4D)Co(D)(CO)- + CO2 (25)
$$

$$
m/z 155
$$

$$
[(n5-C5H4D)Co(CO)2 + DO-]* \rightarrow (n5-C5H4D)Co(CO)(OD)- + CO (26)
$$

mlz **171**

action with D_2O . The observation of hydrogen-deuterium exchange between the $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions and D_2O (see Results and Figure **2)** indicates that formation of the conjugate base of the organometallic compound is competing with nucleophilic attack. The exchange between the $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions and D_2O results in a distribution of m/z 179–183 ions (indicated with $d_0 - d_4$ in Figure 2). The $d_0 - d_4$ ions all react with D_2O to expel CO or \overline{CO}_2 from the collision complex, thus leading to the observed extensive incorporation of deuterium atoms in the product ions of nucleophilic at $tack.⁴⁶$

In the reactions of the $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions with the water or alcohol molecules hardly any loss of two CO molecules is occurring, whereas significant amounts of $CpCo(OH)$ ⁻ or $CpCo(OR)^-$ ions are formed by direct attack of HO^- and RO^- , respectively, on $CpCo(CO)_2$ (Table I). This is evident from the near-constant relative abundance of the CpCo- $({\rm OC}_2H_5)$ ⁻ ion with time in the $C_2H_5O^-/C_2H_5OH/CDCO^-$ (CO), system (Figure 1) and is revealed **also** in the generation of only minor amounts of $(\eta^5$ -C₅H₄D)Co(OD)⁻ (m/z) **143)** ions compared to the $CpCo(OD)^{-}(m/z)$ **142**) ions in the DO-/D,O/CpCo(CO), system (Figure **2).** The lower internal energy of the intermediate complexes in the reactions of the $[M - H]$ ⁻ ions with H_2O or ROH than in the direct reactions of the HO⁻ or RO⁻ with $CpCo(CO)$ ₂ results clearly in $CpCo(CO)OH^-$ or $CpCo(CO)OR^-$ ions with an internal energy below the threshold for elimination of a CO molecule. This, in turn, substantiates the implicitly assumed consecutive loss of two CO molecules in the reactions of the negative ions with the cobalt compound (Scheme I).

Conclusions

Nucleophilic attack on $CpCo(CO)_2$ by NH_2^- , HO⁻, RO⁻, and HC_2^- occurs initially on a CO ligand and/or the cobalt atom and is likely to proceed by mechanisms comparable to those advanced for condensed-phase reactions. The elimination of $CO₂$ from the collision complexes in the gas-phase $HO^-/H_2O/ CpCo(CO)_2$ system resembles formally one of the central steps in the $Fe(CO)_{5}$ -catalyzed water gas shift reaction.¹ Expulsion of $CO₂$ appears a favorable pathway in the $HO^-/H_2O/CDCo(CO)_2$ system in contrast to the gas-phase reaction of HO^- with $Fe(CO)_{6}$, which is reported to yield only $Fe(CO)₃(OH)$ ⁻ ions after the elimination of two CO molecules from the collision complex.⁵

Competing attack on the Cp ligand and one of the CO ligands occurs only in the reactions of the O⁻⁻ radical anion with the $CpCo(CO)_2$ compound and results in isomeric $CoC₆H₆O⁻$ ions. The bimolecular chemistry of these product ions suggests that attack of the oxygen radical anion on the Cp ligand yields mainly a $(\eta^4$ -C₅H₄O)Co(H)⁻ ion, whereas initial attack on a CO ligand is accompanied by a rearrangement involving cleavage of the carbon skeleton of the Cp ligand, possibly with the formation of a metallacyclopentadiene⁵⁵ species and/or a $(\eta^4$ -cyclo $butadiene)Co(CO) (H)$ ⁻ ion.

Acknowledgment. We thank Prof. Dr. A. **Oskam** and Dr. D. **J.** Stufkens from the Laboratory of Inorganic Chemistry at the University of Amsterdam for stimulating discussions. The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (SON/NWO) is acknowledged for financial support.

Registry No. CpCo(CO)₂, 12078-25-0; **NH**₂⁻, 17655-31-1; **HO**⁻, 14280-30-9; O⁻, 14337-01-0; CH₃O⁻, 3315-60-4; C₂H₅O⁻, 16331-64-9; 26232-84-8; $(C_2H_5)_2CHO^-$, **117951-42-5;** FCH₂CH₂O-, 74279-90-6; $\rm HC_2$ -, 29075-95-4; $\rm CH_3S$ -, 17302-63-5; $\rm CH_3CO_2$ -, 71-50-1; $\rm CoC_5H_5O_2$ **111189-21-0; CD₃OH, 1849-29-2; CD₃OD, 811-98-3; CH₃CH₂OD,** 925-93-9; CD₃CD₂OH, 1859-08-1; CH₃CH₂CD₂OH, 40422-04-6; (CD3)zCDOD, **22739-76-0.** n-C₃H₇O⁻, 26232-83-7; $(CH_3)_2CHO^-$, 15520-32-8; n-C₄H₉O⁻,

OM910491J