Facile Transformation of a Metal Carbonyl to a Metal Carbonyl Sulfide by Reaction with Elemental Sulfur

Peng-Fei Fu, Masood A. Khan, and Kenneth M. Nicholas*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019

Received July 8, 1993®

Summary: Elemental sulfur (S_8) enters into a remarkably facile and unprecedented addition reaction with $(MeCp)_2$ - $Nb(CO)CH_2Si(CH_3)_3$ (1) at room temperature, converting it to the carbonyl sulfide complex $(MeCp)_2Nb(\eta^2 COS)CH_2Si(CH_3)_3$ (2). The following key spectroscopic features of 2 indicate the presence of coordinated COS: (1) mass spectral peaks at m/e 398 (M^+), 370 ($M^+ - CO$), and 338 (\dot{M}^+ – \dot{COS}) (2) a ¹³C NMR resonance at 249.1 ppm assigned to coordinated COS, and (3) an isotopically shifted $\nu(C=0)$ IR band of 2* at 1639 cm⁻¹ (THF) when prepared from (MeCp)₂Nb(¹³CO)CH₂Si(CH₃)₃ and S₈, consistent with an $\eta^2(C,S)$ mode. The presence of the C.S-coordinated COS molecule has been established by an X-ray diffraction study. Complex 2 is also produced (25% yield) by Na/Hg reduction of (MeCp)₂Nb(Cl)CH₂- $Si(CH_3)_3$ (THF/20 °C/1 h) under an atmosphere of COS.

The sulfurization of carbon monoxide to carbonyl sulfide (COS) over heterogeneous transition-metal-based catalysts is an important process for both the manufacture of the latter¹ and the production of synthesis gas from sulfurrich coal.² Homogeneous transition-metal models for this reaction, however, are lacking. Scattered examples of reactions of sulfur with metal carbonyls document the formation of metal sulfides³ and dithiocarbonates.⁴ Furthermore, the coordination chemistry of COS is surprisingly limited,⁵ with only a small number of adducts having been isolated⁶ (none apparently crystallographically characterized) and a marked tendency of COS to undergo C-S cleavage reactions, resulting in S-transfer and carbonylation.^{5,7} In seeking to define the reactivity of metal carbonyl compounds with group 16 elements,⁸ we have now discovered that elemental sulfur enters into a remarkably facile and unprecedented insertion reaction with

Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, September 1, 1993. (1) Review: Kirk-Othmer Concise Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology; Wiley: New York, 1985; p 1131. Imanari, M.; Hoya, T.; Mogi, H. Jpn. Kokai JP60,251,111; Chem. Abstr. 1986, 104, 13247y. Luo, D. Huanjing Kexue 1989, 10, 42; Chem. Abstr. 1989, 111, 236038e.

(2) Reviews: Weissermel, K.; Arpe, H.-J. In Industrial Organic Chemistry; Verlag Chemie: Weinheim, Germany, 1978; p 20.

(3) Lincoln, S.; Soong, S.-L.; Koch, S. A.; Sato, M.; Enemark, J. H. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 1355. Johnson, B. F. G.; Lewis, J.; Lodge, P. G.; Raithby, P. R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1979, 719.

(4) Namethkin, N. S.; Kolobkov, B. I.; Tyurin, V. D.; Muratov, A. N.; Nekhaev, A. I.; Aleksandrov, G. G.; Lebedev, A. V.; Tashev, M. T.; Dustov, H. B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 276, 393.

(5) Ibers, J. A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1982, 11, 57

(5) Ibers, J. A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1982, 11, 57.
(6) (a) Baird, M. C.; Wilkinson, G. J. Chem. Soc. A 1967, 865. (b) van Gaal, H. L. M.; Verlaan, L. P. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 133, 93. (c) Uhlig, E.; Poppitz, W. Z. Chem. 1979, 19, 191. (d) Gaffney, T. R.; Ibers, J. A. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 2851, 2854, 2860.
(7) (a) Bryan, J. C.; Geib, S. J.; Reingold, A. L.; Mayer, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 2826. (b) Nemetkin, N. S.; Kolobkov, B. J.; Tyurin, V. D.; Muratur, A. N.; Alabaran, A. L.; Maylaran, M. Sidadia, A. Y.

V. D.; Muratov, A. N.; Nekhaev, A. I.; Mavlonov, M.; Sideridu, A. Y.; Aleksandrov, G. G.; Lebedev, A. V.; Tashkev, M. T.; Dustov, H. B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 276, 393. (c) Glidewell, C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 295, 73.

(8) Fu, P.; Khan, M. A.; Nicholas, K. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 6579.



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of $(\eta^5$ -MeCp)₂Nb $(\eta^2$ -COS)CH₂-Si(CH₃)₃ (2).

 $(MeCp)_2Nb(CO)CH_2Si(CH_3)_3$ (1), converting it to the carbonyl sulfide complex $(MeCp)_2Nb(\eta^2-COS)CH_2Si$ $(CH_3)_3$ (2).

Treatment of dark green $1^{8,9}$ (1.0 mmol) with S₈ (1.0 mmol) in THF at 20 °C causes a gradual color change to a yellow solution over 1 h, accompanied by disappearance of the M-CO IR absorption of 1 (1884 cm⁻¹) and the appearance of a prominent new band at 1679 $\rm cm^{-1}$ (eq 1).



After solvent evaporation golden yellow crystals of 2(54%)yield) of composition (MeCp)₂Nb(COS)CH₂Si(CH₃)₃¹⁰ were isolated upon recrystallization of the residue from hexane. The following key spectroscopic features¹⁰ of 2indicate the presence of coordinated COS: (1) mass

⁽⁹⁾ Fu, P. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Oklahoma, 1993.

^{(10) 2:} yellow crystals; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3102 (w), 2969 (m), 2923 (m), 2856 (w), 1656 (vs), 1259 (s), 1094 (vs), 1026 (vs), 845 (s), 812 (vs), 674 (s); MS (FAB) m/e (%) 398.0 (4), 370.0 (70), 338.0 (99.5), 282.9 (100); 1H (b), MIS (1300 MHz, C₉D₆, 3) 525.0 (4), 510.0 (10), 535.0 (95.3), 222.9 (100), 741 MMR (300 MHz, C₉D₆, 3) 5.24 (m, 1H, Cp), 5.10 (m, 2H, Cp), 4.62 (m, 2H, Cp), 4.53 (m, 2H, Cp), 1.72 (s, 2H, CH₂), 1.53 (s, 6H, Cp–CH₃), 0.04 (s, 9H, Si(CH₃)₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 3) 249.1, 120.1, 112.3, 105.8, 96.9, 95.6, 17.5, 14.2, 4.0. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₂NbOSiS: C, 51.26; H, 600 C, 200 C, 6.28; S, 8.04. Found: C, 51.05; H, 6.20; S, 8.37.

spectral peaks at m/e 398 (M⁺), 370 (M⁺ – CO), and 338 (M⁺ – COS), (2) a ¹³C NMR resonance at 249.1 ppm assigned to coordinated COS,^{11,12} and, most importantly, (3) an isotopically shifted ν_{C-O} IR band of 2 from 1679 to 1639 cm⁻¹ (THF) when derived from the reaction of (MeCp)₂Nb(¹³CO)CH₂Si(CH₃)₃ with S₈, consistent with an η^2 (C,S) mode.¹⁴ Furthermore, we find that 2 is also produced (25% yield) by Na/Hg reduction of (MeCp)₂Nb-(Cl)CH₂Si(CH₃)₃ (THF/20 °C/1 h) under an atmosphere of COS (eq 1).

Formulation of 2 as an $\eta^2(C,S)$ -COS complex has been confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 1).¹⁵ Despite an apparent disorder problem in the C–O unit of 2 which causes unrealistic Nb–C(1) and C(1)–O(1) bond lengths, the presence of the C,S-coordinated COS molecule is unambiguous. The structure of 2 consists essentially of a bent niobocene unit (Cp'-Nb-Cp' = 131.5°) bisected by the Nb(COS) plane (Cp'NbCp'/COS = 92.9°). All other bond lengths and angles¹⁶ are comparable to those in related, crystallographically characterized (MeCp)₂Nb-(η^2 -CO₂)R¹⁷ and Cp₂Nb(η^2 -CS₂)R¹⁸ complexes. Compound 2 thus simultaneously provides the first X-ray crystallo-

(12) Bristow, G. S.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 1145.

(13) Amaudrut, J.; Sala-Pala, J.; Guerchais, J. E.; Mercier, R.; Douglade, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 235, 301.

(14) IR ν (C=O) bands for previously claimed $\eta^2(C,S)$ -COS complexes are between 1700 and 1740 cm^{-1.6}

(15) Crystals of 2 were obtained from ether/hexane; X-ray data on crystals from two different preparations were collected at 295 and 160 K on a CAD-4 diffractometer using monochromated Mo K α radiation. Crystal data at 160 K: C₁₇H₂₆NbOSSi, M_r = 398.44, space group *Pbca*, a = 13.474(3) Å, b = 17.534(4) Å, c = 15.101(4) Å, V = 3567.7 Å³, Z = 8, $D_{\rm c} = 1.484 \text{ g cm}^{-3}, F(000) = 1648, \lambda = 0.710 69 \text{ Å}$ (Mo K α), $\mu = 7.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ 3139 total reflections measured, 2045 reflections observed $(I > 2\sigma(I)), R$ = 0.043, $R_{\rm w}$ = 0.056, S = 1.9, (Δ/σ) in the final cycle 0.01, ($\Delta\epsilon$)_{max} in the final difference map 0.60 e Å⁻³. The structure was solved by the heavyatom method and refined by the full-matrix least-squares method Difficulties were encountered during the refinement (SHELX-76). because of a disorder in the C(1)-O(1) unit manifested by a peak of 5 e $Å^{-3}$ between C(1) and O(1) present in the data sets at both temperatures. Various models using minor isomorphous contaminants or a constrained COS group did not lead to satisfactory refinement, so finally the O(1) and C(1) atoms were refined anisotropically without any restraints, giving final atomic positions with an unrealistically short C-O length (0.950(8) Å).

(16) $(CH_3Cp)_2Nb(\eta^2-COS)CH_2Si(CH_3)_3$: Nb-C = 2.312(8) Å, Nb-S = 2.503(2) Å, C-S = 1.833(7) Å, C=O = 0.950(8) Å (see comments in ref 15).

graphically verified COS complex and the first earlytransition-metal COS complex, and it also completes the first trio of CO₂/COS/CS₂ adducts of the same organometallic fragment, paving the way for comparative reactivity studies. The preferential $\eta^2(C,S)$ coordination in 2 is especially noteworthy in light of the oxophilic nature of the Cp₂NbR fragment.²⁰ Whether this represents the kinetically or thermodynamically favored bonding mode is not yet clear, but the energetic dividend of Nb–O bond formation of the alternative $\eta^2(C,O)$ mode would come at the expense of the strong C=O bond.

The addition process exemplified by eq 1 represents a new reaction type for elemental sulfur and may serve as a model for the key step in the catalytic conversion of CO and sulfur to COS over metal oxide/sulfide catalysts.¹ Its apparent similarity to the recently discovered conversion of carbonyl complex 1 to $Cp'_2Nb(\eta^2-CO_2)R$ by dioxygen⁸ is striking, considering the usually divergent reactions of these group 16 elements.²¹ Their extraordinary facility and unknown mechanisms are intriguing, especially given the coordinative saturation of carbonyl complex 1. We hope to address these issues in studies which are underway.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful for financial support provided by the U.S. Department of Energy, Chemistry Program, Office of Basic Energy Sciences (Grant No. 89ER 13997).

Supplementary Material Available: X-ray data for 2, including tables of atomic positional and thermal parameters, bond lengths, and angles (7 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM930461B

$(17) (CH_{3}Cp)_{2}Nb(\eta^{2}-CO_{2})CH_{2}Ph:^{8}Nb-C = 2.15 \text{ Å}, Nb-O = 2.17 \text{ Å}$.,
$C-O = 1.29 \text{ Å}, C = O = 1.21 \text{ Å}. (CH_{3}Cp)_{2}Nb(\eta^{2}-CO_{2})CH_{2}Si(CH_{3})_{3}:^{12}Nb-(\eta^{2}-CO_{2$	Ż
= 2.14 Å, Nb-O = 2.17 Å, C-O = 1.28 Å, C==O = 1.22 Å.	

(18) $Cp_2Nb(\eta^2-CS_2)CH_3^{10a}Nb-C = 2.21 Å$, Nb-S = 2.50 Å, C-S = 1.67 Å, C—S = 1.60 Å. $Cp_2Nb(\eta^2-CS_2)CH_2CH$ — $CH_2^{10b}Nb-C = 2.24 Å$, Nb-S = 2.51 Å, C-S = 1.72 Å, C—S = 1.57 Å.

(19) (a) Mercier, R.; Douglade, J.; Amaudrut, J.; Sala-Pala, J.; Guerchais, J. Acta Crystallogr. 1980, B36, 2986. (b) Drew, M. G. B.; Pu, L. S. Acta Crystallogr. 1977, B33, 1207.

Crystallogr. 1977, B33, 1207.
(20) Fu, P.-F.; Khan, M. A.; Nicholas, K. M. Organometallics 1991, 10, 382. Fu, P.-F.; Khan, M. A.; Nicholas, K. M. Organometallics 1992, 11, 2607. Savaranamuthu, A.; Bruce, A. E.; Bruce, M. R. M.; Fermin, M. C.; Hneihen, A. S.; Bruno, J. W. Organometallics 1992, 11, 2190.

(21) Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, G. Advanced Inorganic Chemistry, 4th ed., Wiley: New York, 1980; pp 503-505.

⁽¹¹⁾ Compare with the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts (δ) for CXY of (RCp)₂Nb(η^2 -CXY)CH₂R': (CH₃Cp)₂Nb(η^2 -CO₂)CH₂Si(CH₃)₃, 201;¹² (Cp)₂-Nb(η^2 -CS₂)CH₃, 317 ppm.¹³ (12) Bristow, G. S.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc.,