Group 14 Metalated 6-Amino-1-Azafulvene Dimers. **Evidence for a Double Intramolecular Nitrogen-Tin** Interaction

Michael Veith.* Michael Zimmer, and Volker Huch

Anorganische Chemie, Universität des Saarlandes, D-6600 Saarbrücken, Germany

Franck Denat, Hafida Gaspard-Iloughmane, and Jacques Dubac*

Laboratoire des Organométalliques (URA CNRS 477). Université Paul-Sabatier. 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse Cedex, France

Received December 31, 1992

Summary: The structures of group 14 C-metalated 6-amino-1-azafulvene dimers have been investigated in solution and in the solid state by NMR spectroscopy. For one of these compounds, 5,10-bis(dimethylamino)-3,8bis(trimethylstannyl)-5H,10H-dipyrrolo[1,2-a:1',2'-d]pyrazine (2c), the molecular structure in the solid state has been determined by X-ray diffractometry. The two tin centers have a distorted-trigonal-bipyramidal coordination geometry with the more electronegative N ligand at a pseudoaxial position, resulting in one of the longest known Sn-N interactions: 3.101 (5) Å. Moreover, the temperature-dependent ¹³C CP-MAS NMR spectrum of this compound shows an appreciable narrowing of the Me₃Sn signal between 296 and 333 K. By comparison with nonmetalated analogs or with isomer 5c, the nonreactivity of compound 2c toward hydrolytic decomposition into corresponding 5-(trimethylstannyl)pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde (3c) may be the result of stabilization of the dimeric form 2c by a double Sn-N interaction. In silicon and germanium analogs (2a, 2b) the Si(Ge)—N interaction is weaker.

The simultaneous blocking of the aldehyde and amine functions of pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde by formation of the 6-amino-1-azafulvene dimer 1¹ allows the versatile synthesis of 5-substituted pyrrole-2-carbaldehydes.^{2a} Recently, we prepared group 145-metalated pyrrole-2-carbaldehydes from 1 through intermediate 3,8-dimetalated derivatives 2^3 (Scheme I). However, although the C-metalation of 1 is easy, the deprotection of 2 to generate the aldehydes 3 appeared to be more difficult than with organic substituents,^{2a} particularly when E = Sn. Thus, 3, having a tri-*n*-butylstannyl substituent, was obtained in moderate yield, and the hydrolysis of 2c into 3c failed.³ This abnormal reactivity was ascribed to a possible double intramolecular nitrogen-heteroatom coordination in 2 $(Me_2N--E)^4$ which would reduce the ease of monomerization of this dimer into the corresponding 6-amino-1 $azafulvene^5$ and consequently the latter's hydrolysis. A coordination of this type was considered for the dilithiated derivative of 1,^{2a} which can be prepared more easily than 2-lithiopyrroles⁶ without addition of a complexing agent (i.e. TMEDA). However, structural data are not available



^a Legend: i, (1) ⁿBuLi/THF-hexane, (2) R₃ECl; ii, for 3a,b THF/AcONa, H₂O (reflux), for 3c MeI/MeCN, H₂O (reflux).

for 1, either on its configuration (cis or trans) or on its conformation.

In this paper we report the structural analysis in solution and in the solid state by NMR spectroscopy of group 14 C-metalated 6-amino-1-azafulvene dimers; for the tin derivative 2c the molecular structure was determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.

Synthesis of Compounds 2a-c, 3a-c, 5a,c and 6a,c. The compounds 2a-c have been prepared by the previously

(6) Chadwick, D. J.; Cliffe, I. A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1979, 2845.

^{(1) (}a) Sammes, M. P. Physical and Theoretical Aspects, Synthesis, (1) (a) Sammes, M. F. Frystea and Theoretical Aspects, Synthesis, and Chemical Reactivity of 2H- and 3H-Pyrroles. In Chem. Heterocycl. Compd. 1990, 48 (Pyrroles, Part 1), 549–728 (609, 610, 613). (b) Gschwend, H. W.; Rodriguez, H. R. Org. React. 1979, 26, 1.
(2) (a) Muchowski, J. M.; Hess, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 777; (b)

^{1988, 29, 3215.}

⁽³⁾ Denat, F.; Gaspard-Iloughmane, H.; Dubac, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 423, 173.

⁽⁴⁾ For hypervalent group 14 elements with nitrogen as the donor atom, see the following (and references cited therein). Silicon: (a) Corriu, R. J. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 400, 81. (b) Corriu, R. J. P.; Young, J. C. In Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, U. K. 1989; Vol. 2, p 1241. (c) Sheldrick, W. S. In ref 4b, p 227. (d) Boyer, J.; Brelière, C.; Carré, F.; Corriu, R. J. P.; Kpoton, A.; Poirier, M.; Royo, G.; Young, J. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1989, 43. (e) Corey, J. Y.; Rath, N. P.; John, C. S; Corey, E. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 399, 221. Germanium: (f) Baukov, Yu. I.; Lutsenko, I. F. J. Organomet. Chem. Rev., Sect. A 1970, 6, 355. (g) Gar, T. K.; Mironov, V. F. Metalloorg, Khim. 1988, 1, 260. Tin: (h) Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Boersma, J.; Esch, P. M.; Van Koten, G. Organometallics 1991, 10, 930. (i) Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Boersma, J.; Van Koten, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 413, 43. (j) Wrackmeyer, B. Annu. Rep. NMR Spectrosc. 1985, 16, 73.

⁽⁵⁾ Such dimers are in equilibrium with the azafulvene monomer at high temperatures;² see also: Mori, S.; Watanabe, M.; Kajigaeshi, S.; Kanemasa, S. *Heterocycles* **1976**, *4*, 957. Watanabe, M.; Kobayashi, T.; Kajigaeshi, S.; Kanemasa, S. Chem. Lett. 1975, 607.

Communications



^a Legend: i, (1) ^tBuLi/THF-pentane, (2) R_3ECl ; ii, THF/ NaHCO₃, H₂O (reflux).

described method³ and have been enriched (>95%) as the trans isomers by recrystallization (AcOEt). 2a,b were hydrolyzed in THF with aqueous AcONa under reflux during 15 h for 2a and 35 h for 2b, to give the C-metalated pyrrole-2-carbaldehydes 3a,b in 85% and 76% yield, respectively (Scheme I). Under these conditions, the tin derivative 2c did not undergo hydrolysis, even in the presence of a potential complexing agent (KF, Et₃N, pyridine, TMEDA, DABCO). On the other hand, when 2c was hydrolyzed with excess methyliodide in 2% aqueous acetonitrile at reflux (3 h), the expected aldehyde 3c was formed in poor yield (20%).^{7a} The products 5a,c were synthesized from the 3-bromo-6-(dimethylamino)-1azafulvene dimer 4^{2b,7b} (Scheme II) and hydrolyzed using

 Table I.
 ¹H NMR at Variable Temperatures for Compounds 1, 2a-c, and 5a,c^{a,b}

			_			
	1	2a	2b	2c	5a	5c
$\overline{T_{\rm c}(^{\rm o}{\rm C})}$	<-100	-76	-80	-66	<-100	<-100
$\delta(NMe)$ (ppm)		1.91	1.77	1.94		
$\Delta \nu (Hz)^c$		255	252	252		
ΔG^* (kcal mol ⁻¹) ^d		8.9	8.7	9.4		

^a¹H NMR spectra for 1 and 2a-c have been previously described.^{2a,3} ^b Conditions: $\nu_0 = 200.133$ MHz; solvent CD₂Cl₂. ^c $\Delta \nu =$ chemical shift difference of the methyl signals below the coalescence temperature. ^d Using the Eyring equation.¹⁸

a THF-aqueous HCO_3Na mixture, giving 6a,c in 90% and 40% yield, respectively.^{7c} Aside from the demetalation of 5c (or 6c),⁸ it is clear that the compounds 5a,c are easily decomposed under alkaline conditions, unlike 2a,c, which are much more stable under the same conditions.

Variable-Temperature NMR Data. When nitrogen inversion is prevented at low temperature, the two diastereotopic methyl groups bonded to it in 1 and its derivatives should give two different ¹H resonances. The possible coordination N—E in 2a-c should give higher coalescence temperatures than for 1 ($T_c < -100$ °C). This was observed (Table I), with $T_c = -66$ °C in the case of the tin compound 2c, which is higher than for the silicon 2a ($T_c = -76$ °C) or germanium 2b ($T_c = -80$ °C) derivatives. Nevertheless, the calculated free energies, which are almost the same for 2a,c, agree with either a weak coordination effect or steric blocking of pyramidal inversion at nitrogen, which has been often observed.⁴

Pentacoordination of silicon^{4a-e} and tin^{4h-j} cause an upfield shift in the ²⁹Si and ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectra compared to a tetracoordinated model molecule in which additional coordination is not possible. When 1-methyl-5-(trimethylsilyl)pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde (δ (²⁹Si) -10.9 ppm) is compared with **2a** (δ (²⁹Si) -13.5 ppm (CDCl₃) relative to Me₄Si), a weak upfield shift is evident, but in the case of weak nitrogen-silicon interactions, differences of 1-12 ppm have been reported.^{4e} Between 1-methyl-5-(trimethylstannyl)pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde (δ (¹¹⁹Sn) -48.0 ppm) and **2c** (δ (¹¹⁹Sn) -61.6 ppm (CDCl₃) relative to Me₄Sn) the upfield shift is greater. Moreover, chemical shifts in **2a**,c do not change on cooling from ambient temperature to -100 °C.

These results lead us to think that the interactions between silicon, germanium, and tin atoms and nitrogen of the dimethylamino group are too weak to cause significant changes in solution NMR spectra.

Solid-State CP-MAS Spectra. Solid-state NMR spectra have been obtained with CP-MAS techniques (cross polarization, magic-angle spinning at 3000 Hz) on sealed samples (Kel-F tubes¹⁰ in 7-mm ZrO₂ rotors) of 1 and 2a-c (Table II). ²⁹Si CP-MAS of 2a (δ -12.9 ppm relative to Me₄Si in solution) and ¹¹⁹Sn CP-MAS of 2c (δ -76.6 ppm relative to Me₄Sn in solution) have been measured, and each consists of a single resonance line. These values compare well with those obtained in solution, but for the ¹¹⁹Sn signal an upfield shift of 15 ppm was observed.

^{(7) (}a) Procedure for 3c: This compound was obtained by hydrolysis of 2c with excess methyl iodide (12 equiv) in 2.5% aqueous acetonitrile (reflux 3 h) and purified by chromatography on silica gel with pentane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine (100:8:1) as eluent: mp 88 °C; 20% yield. ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 0.35 (s, SnMe), 6.42 (dd, J = 3.6, 2.3 Hz, H₄), 7.00 (dd, J = 3.6, 2.3 Hz, H₃), 9.51 (s, CHO). Anal. Calcd for C₈H₁₃ONSn: C, 37.26; H, 5.08. Found: C, 37.3; H, 5.1. (b) Procedure for 5a: To a stirred solution of 0.2 g (0.5 mmol) of 4 in 30 mL of anhydrous THF cooled to -78 °C was added 2 mmol of *tert*-butyllithium (1.7 M in pentane). The mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78 °C, and then 0.217 g (2 mmol) of Me,SiCl was added. The solution was stirred for 1 h at -50 °C and then for 30 min at room temperature. Hydrolysis, extraction (Et₂O), drying (Na₂SO₄), and concentration gave 5a (70% yield). ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 0.23 (s, SiMe), 2.27 (s, NMe), 5.88 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, H_{5,10}), 6.23 (dd, J = 1.7, 0.8 Hz, H_{1,6}), 6.97 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, H_{3,8}). Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₆N₄Si₂: C, 61.79; H, 9.37. Found: C, 61.9; H, 9.3. An identical procedure was followed for the preparation of 5c from Me₃SnCl (90% yield). ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 0.22 (s, SnMe), 2.25 (s, NMe), 5.88 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, H_{5,10}), 6.18 (dd, J = 1.6, 0.9 Hz, H_{1,6}), 6.87 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, H_{3,8}). Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₆N₄Sn₂: C, 42.15; H, 6.37. Found: C, 42.2; H, 6.4. (c) Procedure for 6a: 5a in THF was hydrolyzed with a saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution under reflux for 15 h. After extraction (Et₂O), drying (Na₂SO₄), and concentration, 6a was recrystallized from hexane and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel with pentane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine (100:10:1) as eluent (90% yield): mp 74 °C. ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 0.23 (s, SiMe), 7.08 (d, J = 2.3, 1.4 Hz, H₃), 7.21 (m, H₆), 9.51 (d, J = 1 Hz, CHO), 10.5 (bs, NH). ¹³C NMR (δ , CDCl₃): -0.4 (SiMe), 121.7 (C₄), 127.8 (C₅), 13

⁽⁸⁾ The hydrolysis of 5c gives mainly the pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde corresponding to demetalation of 6c. The hydrolytic lability of the Sn-C bond in 6c (or 5c) is not surprising, owing to the electronic effect of the formyl group, which is known to favor electrophilic substitution meta on the pyrrole ring.⁹
(9) Jackson, A. H.; Artico, M.; Anderson, H. J.; Loader, C. E.; Gossauer,

⁽⁹⁾ Jackson, A. H.; Artico, M.; Anderson, H. J.; Loader, C. E.; Gossauer, A.; Nesvadba, P.; Dennis, N. Reactivity of the 1*H*-Pyrrole Ring System. In *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.* **1990**, *48* (Pyrroles, Part 1), 295–548.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Merwin, L. H.; Seebald, A. J. Magn. Reson. 1989, 84, 367.

Table II. ¹³C CP-MAS Data for 1 and 2a-c^{a,b}

	1	2a	2b	2c
EMe		2.9	3.4	-3.4 ^c
NMe	37.6/39.2 (qs)	35.0/36.6 (qs)	35.7 (qb)	37.0/38.4 (qs)
	44.8/46.3 (qs)	42.0/43.7 (qs)	42.8 (qb)	43.7/45.3 (qs)
СН	72.4/73.7 (qs)	72.5 (qb)	73.8 (qb)	72.9 (qb)
C _s ′	108.6	107.6	108.4	109.3
C _B	112.7	122.1	120.3	122.9
٣		123.9	122.1	
C_{α}'	123.0/124.2 (qs)	127.4 (qb)	127.1 (qb)	128.5/130.0 (qs)
	,,	128.9 (qb)	128.7 (qb)	,
C _α	125.6/127.0 (qs)	132.6 (qb)	133.9 (qb)	131.7/133.0 (qb)

^{*a*} Legend: CH, C_{5,10}H; C_a, C_{3,8}H; C_{a'}, C_{5a,10a}H; C_b, C_{2,7}H; C_b', C_{1,6}H (cf. 1 in Scheme I). ^{*b*} qs/qb = quadrupole splitting/broadening due to ¹⁴N quadrupolar moment. $^{c_1}J(^{119/117}Sn^{13}C) = 375$ Hz.



Figure 1. ¹³C CP-MAS spectrum of 2c and its temperature dependence between 296 and 333 K. The peaks marked with asterisks are spinning sidebands. Notice the unsymmetrical quadrupole splitting by ¹⁴N.

Temperature-dependent ¹³C CP-MAS NMR of 2a and 2c show different changes of the Me₃E signals between 296 and 333 K: while the Me₃Si signal remains unchanged (half-width 40 Hz), the half-width of the Me₃Sn signal decreases from 110 to 43 Hz, indicating some gain of rotational freedom around the sp² C-Sn bond as the temperature increases (Figure 1). As may be seen from Table II, the spectral data for 1 and 2c as well as for 2a,b are very similar to one another. In all spectra the Me₂N group shows up as two distinct signals. In the spectra of 1 and 2c half of the carbon atoms in the azafulvene dimer nucleus appear as single lines, thus indicating a 2-fold symmetry operation (2-fold axis, mirror plane, or inversion center) in the molecule. This 2-fold symmetry element is confirmed by X-ray diffraction data for 2c: the molecules in the crystal are placed with their gravity points on inversion centers of the space group (see below). The spectra of 2a,b differ from that of 2c. They show two signals for the $C_{\alpha'}$ and C_{β} atoms, thus indicating crystallographically different molecules in the lattice or a lower point symmetry in the solid phase compared to 1 and 2c. Unfortunately we have not been able to obtain single crystals of 2a and 2b in order to establish the reason for the observed signal splitting.

Table III. X-ray Data Collection Parameters for 2c

formula: C₂₀H₃₆N₄Sn₂ cryst syst: $P2_1/c$ lattice constants: a = 6.660(4), b = 12.418(8), c = 14.387(9) Å; $\beta = 93.51(5)^{\circ}$ V = 1187.6(9)Å³ Z = 2 $D_{\text{exptl}} = 1.594 \text{ g/cm}^3$ AED-2 (Siemens) diffractometer 2θ limit: 3-48° $\mu(Mo K\alpha) = 21.14 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ structure solution: direct methods anisotropic and isotropic (H atoms) temperature factors 1486 unique obsd rflns 146 params R = 0.0212 $R_{\rm w} = 0.0202$ max difference Fourier peak: 0.28 e/Å³

The asymmetric quadrupole splitting¹¹ of the carbon atoms which have direct bonds to nitrogen can be used to verify the exact assignments of the ¹³C atoms in the rings. As can be seen from the comparison of Table II with ¹³C NMR spectra in solution, there is a perfect matching.

X-ray Structure of 2c and Molecular Mechanics. Single crystals of 2c were obtained from saturated toluene solutions by cooling. The most relevant data of the X-ray structure analysis are collected in Table III, while positional parameters and the most important bond lengths and angles are assembled in Table IV.12 As a result of the X-ray structure analysis, the molecule 2c is drawn together with the atomic labeling in Figure 2. From inspection of that figure it is clear that the molecule is centrosymmetric, the center of symmetry being placed in the middle of the six-membered central N₂C₄ cycle to which two fivemembered pyrrole cycles are condensed. The atoms of the rings are all in a plane which has no distortion within the standard deviations. At the carbon atoms C5 and C5'two dimethylamino groups are attached in a trans orientation with respect to the ring plane. As expected, the nitrogen atoms N1 and N1' are trigonal planar and the tendency toward a mesomeric system (6π) in the fivemembered rings can be seen from the bonding distances in Table IV. There are only two bonds which are slightly distorting the planar arrangement: the Me₃Sn groups are not coplanar with the ring system. The torsional angle C5-N1-C1-Sn is found to be 7.9°, the Me₃Sn groups being tilted toward the dimethylamino groups. The reason for this must be due to an intramolecular donor-acceptor bond between N2 and Sn (dotted line in Figure 2). The separation between the two atoms is 3.101(5) Å, which is only 0.65 Å smaller than the sum of the van der Waals radii of the two elements.¹³ In tetraorganotin compounds with transannular Sn-N bonds the distances between tin and nitrogen are 2.63 Å;¹⁴ however, longer Sn-N coordinate bonds have been reported,^{4h} the longest being 3.10(1) Å in a triorganotin halide containing two 8-(dimethylamino)-1-naphthyl ligands.¹⁵ Equally long is the

⁽¹¹⁾ Hexem, L. G.; Frey, M. H.; Opella, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 224.

⁽¹²⁾ Programs used: (a) SHELXTL-PLUS (Sheldrick, G. M. A Program for Crystal Structure Determination, Version 4.0; Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments: Madison, WI, 1989). (b) SCHAKAL 88 (Keller, E. A Fortran Program for the Graphic Representation of Molecular and Crystallographic Models; Freiburg, Germany, 1988).

⁽¹³⁾ Huheey, J. E. Anorganische Chemie, Prinzipien von Struktur und Reaktivität; W. de Gryter Verlag: Berlin, New York, 1988; p 278.
(14) Jurkschat, K.; Tzschach, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 315, 45.
(15) Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Van der Schaaf, P.; Boersma, J.; Van

Koten, G.; De Ridder, D. J. A.; Heijdenrijk, D. Organometallics 1992, 11, 1521.



Figure 2. SCHAKAL¹² drawing of the molecular structure of **2c** after X-ray structure analysis.

Table IV.	Atomic Coore	linates (×10)4), Equival	ent Isotropic
Displacemen	nt Coefficients	$({\rm \AA}^2 \times 10^3)$, Bond Len	gths (Å), and
-	Bond A	ngles (deg)	for 2c	

	x	у	Z	U(eq)
Sn	935(1)	3887(1)	7946(1)	39(1)
N1	734(5)	4885(3)	5921(2)	31(1)
N2	-1023(5)	3248(3)	6013(2)	40(1)
C 1	1730(6)	4842(3)	6794(3)	37(1)
C2	3453(7)	5416(4)	6715(3)	46(2)
C3	3546(7)	5797(4)	5804(3)	48(2)
C4	-1835(6)	4536(3)	4684(3)	35(1)
C5	-1195(6)	4366(3)	5692(3)	33(1)
C6	-2996(8)	2719(4)	6078(3)	69(2)
C7	340(7)	2610(4)	5470(3)	62(2)
C8	1875(8)	2249(4)	7843(3)	63(2)
C9	2686(8)	4562(4)	9114(3)	62(2)
C10	-2149(7)	4047(5)	8260(3)	68(2)
Sn-C1	2 1310	(4) Sr		2 136(5)
Sn-C9	2.151	(5) Sr	-C10	2 139(5)
Sn-N2	3,1010	5) N	1-C1	1.385(5)
N1-C5	1.4570	5) N	1-C4A	1.375(5)
N2-C5	1.465(5) N	2–C6	1.477(6)
N2-C7	1.467(6) C	1-C2	1.361(6)
C2-C3	1.398	6) C	3C4A	1.365(6)
C4C5	1.501((5) C4	4-NIA	1.375(5)
C4–C3A	1.365	6)		
	110.0		S 69	104.0(0)
CI-Sn-Co	112.9	(2) CI = (2)	Sn-C9	104.0(2)
	100.1	(2) CI = (2)	Sn = C10	113.0(2)
C8-Sn-C1	0 113.1	(2) C9 = (2)	Sn = C10	100.2(2)
N2-Sn-Cl	. 03.9	(2) $N2-$		/0.0(2)
$N_2 - S_1 - C_2$	10/.4 1028	(2) $N2-$	SII-CIU	$\frac{31.3(2)}{110.4(2)}$
$C_{1} = N_{1} = C_{2}$	123.0 1 1258	(3) C1 - (3) C5 - (N1-C4A	112 0(3)
C_{2}	+A. 123.0 7 1125	$(3) C_{-}$	N2-C0	112.9(3) 112.1(3)
Sp. C1_N1	12.5	(3) [3] [3]	112-07	112.1(3) 127.4(3)
NI_CI_C	1053	(3) C1 = (3)	$C_{1} - C_{2}$	127.4(3) 110.0(4)
$C_2 - C_3 - C_4$	A 107.2	$(4) C_{5-}$	C4-N1A	123.6(3)
C5-C4-C3	A 129.2	(4) NIA	-C4-C3A	107.1(3)
N1-C5-N	2 107.3	(3) N1-	C5-C4	110.5(3)
N2-C5-C4	1168			

tin-nitrogen distance (3.101(5) Å) in 2c. This interaction of the two atoms must, therefore, be considered as quite weak in terms of usual σ -bonds but, nevertheless, quite remarkable in terms of secondary bonding. Moreover, the Me₃Sn group which should display tetrahedral angles is seriously distorted; the coordination around the tin atom, when the N2 interaction is taken into account, approaches a trigonal bipyramid, as may be deduced from the distances and angles of Table IV. For example, the longer Sn-C9 bond compared to Sn-C8 and Sn-C10 can be understood as an axial to equatorial situation in a trigonal bipyramid. The N2-Sn-C9 angle (167.4(2)°) approaches linearity, and the C9-Sn-C8 and C9-Sn-C10 angles are about 3.5° less than the tetrahedral angles. Not only are the Me₃Sn parts of the molecule distorted from ideal geometry but also the Me₂N ligands. The N2-C5---C5' angle, which should be about 120°, is considerably widened to 131.2(3)° (H-C5---C5' = 116.7(3)°), and the N2-C5-C4 (116.8(3)°) and N2-C5-N1 (107.3(3)°) angles are remarkably different, consistent with and indicative of the N2---Sn interaction.

Qualitative molecular mechanics calculations were performed on the molecules 2a-c in the gas phase, taking the coordinates of the X-ray structure as starting points and refining the structures also with Si and Ge in place of Sn; E----N interactions were excluded.¹⁶ The E-N2 distances found from these simulations are 3.30 (Si), 3.35 (Ge), and 3.32 (Sn) Å, thus being 0.20-0.25 Å longer than that for 2c found by experiment in the solid state. It seems reasonable to estimate the Si-N distance in 2a to be about 3.0-3.10 Å, which is greater than 2.69 Å, the "minimal nonbonded distance"17 between Si and N. However, in silicon compounds weak Si-N interactions have been reported up to 3.19 Å.4e It is clear that the estimated Si----N distance in compound 2a is close to this value, and consequently, a weak Si-N interaction cannot be excluded. Molecular mechanics calculations also show that the rotations around the C5-N2 and C1-E bonds are hindered, with the order being 2c > 2b > 2a, which fits well with our findings for the crystal structure.

In conclusion, group 14 element-nitrogen interactions in compounds 2a-c, although weak, are probably responsible for the surprising resistance of these species to hydrolytic deprotection of N-H and formyl groups.

Registry No. Supplied by Author: 1, 64435-30-9.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to the DFG and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for support. We thank Professor M. Onyszchuk (McGill University, Montreal, Canada) for his help in the production of the English version of this paper.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of crystal data and data collection and refinement details, positional parameters, bond lengths and angles, and anisotropic thermal parameters for 2c and of ¹³C NMR data in solution for *cis*- and *trans*-1 and **2a**-c (6 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM920840P

⁽¹⁶⁾ Alchemy III by Tripos Associates, St. Louis, MO, 1992 (customized to Si, Ge, and Sn with appropriate parameters by M. Veith).

 ^{(17) (}a) Dräger, M. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1976, 423, 53.
 (b) Glidewell,
 C. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1975, 12, 219.
 (c) Bondi, A. J. Phys. Chem. 1964, 68, 441.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Gunther, H. NMR Spectroscopy; Wiley: New York, 1980; pp 240-244.