Group 14 Metalated 6-Amino-1-Azafulvene Dimers. Evidence for a Double Intramolecular Nitrogen-Tin Interaction

Michael Veith,' Michael Zimmer, and Volker Huch

Anorganische Chemie, Universitat des Saarlandes, 0-6600 Saarbriicken, Germany

Franck Denat, Hafida Gaspard-Iloughmane, and Jacques Dubac'

Laboratoire des Organométalliques (URA CNRS 477), Université Paul-Sabatier, *118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse Cedex, France*

Received December 31, 1992

Summary: The structures of group 14 C-metalated 6-amino-1 -azafulvene dimers have been investigated in solution and in the solid state by NMR spectroscopy. For one of these compounds, 5,10-bis(dimethylamino)-3,8 bis(trimethylstannyl)-5HJl OH-dipyrrolo[lJ2-a:1',2'-d] pyrazine **(24,** *the molecular structure in the solid state has been determined by X-ray diffractometry. The two tin centers have a distorted-trigonal-bipyramidal coordination geometry with the more electronegative N ligand at a pseudoaxial position, resulting in one of the longest known Sn-N interactions: 3.101 (5)* **A.** *Moreover, the temperature-dependent 13C CP-MAS NMR spectrum of this compound shows an appreciable narrowing of the Me3Sn signal between 296 and 333 K. By comparison with nonmetalated analogs or with isomer Sc, the nonreactivity of compound 2c toward hydrolytic decomposition into corresponding5- (trimethylstanny1) pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde* **(34** *may be the result of stabilization of the dimeric form 2c by a double Sn-N interaction. In silicon and germanium analogs (Za, 2b) the Si(Ge)-N interaction is weaker.*

The simultaneous blocking of the aldehyde and amine functions of pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde by formation of the 6-amino-1-azafulvene dimer **11** allows the versatile **syn**thesisof5-substitutedpyrrole-2-carbaldehydes.^{2a} Recently, we prepared group 14 5-metalated pyrrole-2-carbaldehydes from **1** through intermediate 3,8-dimetalated derivatives **Z3** (Scheme I). However, although the C-metalation of 1 is easy, the deprotection of **2** to generate the aldehydes **3** appeared to be more difficult than with organic substituents,^{2a} particularly when $E = Sn$. Thus, 3, having a tri-n-butylstannyl substituent, was obtained in moderate yield, and the hydrolysis of **2c** into **3c** failed.3 This abnormal reactivity was ascribed to a possible double intramolecular nitrogen-heteroatom coordination in **2** $(Me₂N--E)⁴$ which would reduce the ease of monomerization of this dimer into the corresponding 6-amino-lazafulvene⁵ and consequently the latter's hydrolysis. A coordination of this type was considered for the dilithiated derivative of 1,^{2a} which can be prepared more easily than 2-lithiopyrroles⁶ without addition of a complexing agent (i.e. TMEDA). However, structural data are not available

^{*a*} Legend: i, (1) n BuLi/THF-hexane, (2) R_3 ECl; ii, for $3a,b$ THF/AcONa, H₂O (reflux), for 3c MeI/MeCN, H₂O (reflux).

for 1, either on its configuration (cis or trans) or on its conformation.

In this paper we report the structural analysis in solution and in the solid state by NMR spectroscopy of group 14 C-metalated 6-amino-1-azafulvene dimers; for the tin derivative **2c** the molecular structure was determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.

Synthesis of Compounds 2a-c, 3a-c, 5a,c and 6a,c. The compounds **2a-c** have been prepared by the previously

⁽¹⁾ (a) Sammes, M. P. Physical and Theoretical Aspects, Synthesis, and Chemical Reactivity of *W-* and 3H-Pyrroles. In Chem. *Heterocycl. Compd.* **1990,48** (Pyrroles,Partl), **549-728 (609,610,613).** (b) Gschwend,

H. W.; Rodriguez, H. R. *Org. React.* **1979,26, 1. (2)** (a) Muchowski, J. M.; Hess, P. *Tetrahedron* Lett. **1988,29,777;** (b) **1988,29, 3215.**

⁽³⁾ Denat, *F.;* Gaspard-Iloughmane, H.; Dubac, J. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **1992,423, 173.**

⁽⁴⁾ For hypervalent group **14** elements with nitrogen **as** the donor atom, see the following (and references cited therein). Silicon: (a) Corriu, R. J. P. J. *Organomet.* Chem. **1990,400,81.** (b) Corriu, R. J. P.; Young, J. C. In Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, U. K. 1989; Vol. 2, p 1241. (c) Sheldrick, W. S.
In ref 4b, p 227. (d) Boyer, J.; Brelière, C.; Carré, F.; Corriu, R. J. P.;
 J. *Organomet.* Chem. **1990,399, 221.** Germanium: *(0* Baukov, Yu. I.; Lutsenko, I. *F. J. Organomet. Chem. Reu., Sect. A* **1970,6,355.** (g) Gar, T. K.; Mironov, V. F. Metalloorg. Khim. 1988, 1, 260. Tin: (h) Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Boersma, J.; Esch, P. M.; Van Koten, G. Organometallics 1991, 10, 930. (i) Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Boersma, J.; Van Koten, G. J. Or *Spectrosc.* **1985, 16, 73.**

⁽⁵⁾ Such dimers are in equilibrium with the azafulvene monomer at high temperatures;2 see also: Mori, S.; Watanabe, M.; Kajigaeshi, S.; Kanemasa, S. *Heterocycles* **1976,4,957.** Watanabe, M.; Kobayashi, T.; Kajigaeshi, S.; Kanemasa, S. Chem. Lett. **1976, 607. (6)** Chadwick, D. J.; Cliffe, I. A. J. Chem. *SOC., Perkin Trans.* **1 1979,**

^{2845.}

 $NaHCO₃$, $H₂O$ (reflux).

described method3 and have been enriched (>95%) **as** the trans isomers by recrystallization (AcOEt). 2a,b were hydrolyzed in THF with aqueous AcONa under reflux during 15 h for 2a and **35** h for 2b, to give the C-metalated pyrrole-2-carbaldehydes 3a,b in **85%** and 76% yield, respectively (Scheme I). Under these conditions, the tin derivative 2c did not undergo hydrolysis, even in the presence of a potential complexing agent $(KF, Et₃N,$ pyridine, TMEDA, DABCO). On the other hand, when 2c was hydrolyzed with excess methyl iodide in 2 % aqueous acetonitrile at reflux (3 h), the expected aldehyde 3c was formed in poor yield (20%) .^{7a} The products 5a,c were synthesized from the **3-bromo-6-(dimethylamino)-l**azafulvene dimer $4^{2b,7b}$ (Scheme II) and hydrolyzed using

Table I. **lH** *NMR* **at Variable Temperatures for Compounds** 1, 2a-c, and 5a, c^{a,b}

		2a	2Ь	2с	Sа	5c
T_c (°C)	≤ -100	-76	-80	-66	≤ -100	≤ -100
$\delta(NMe)$ (ppm)		1.91	1.77	1.94		
$\Delta \nu$ (Hz) ^c		255	252	252		
ΔG^* (kcal mol ⁻¹) ^d		8.9	8.7	9.4		

IH NMR spectra for **1** and **2a-c** have **been** previously described.2a.j ^{*b*} Conditions: $v_0 = 200.133 \text{ MHz}$; solvent CD₂Cl₂. Ω _v = chemical shift difference of the methyl signals below the coalescence temperature. d Using the Eyring equation.¹⁸

a THF-aqueous HC03Na mixture, giving 6a,c in **90** ?6 and 40% yield, respectively.^{7c} Aside from the demetalation of 5c (or 6c),⁸ it is clear that the compounds $5a$, c are easily decomposed under alkaline conditions, unlike 2a,c, which are much more stable under the same conditions.

Variable-Temperature **NMR** Data. When nitrogen inversion is prevented at low temperature, the two diastereotopic methyl groups bonded to it in **1** and ita derivatives should give two different ¹H resonances. The possible coordination N — E in 2a-c should give higher coalescence temperatures than for 1 $(T_c < -100 \degree C)$. This was observed (Table I), with $T_c = -66$ °C in the case of the tin compound 2c, which is higher than for the silicon 2a $(T_c = -76 \text{ °C})$ or germanium 2b $(T_c = -80 \text{ °C})$ derivatives. Nevertheless, the calculated free energies, which are almost the same for 2a,c, agree with either a weak coordination effect or steric blocking of pyramidal inversion at nitrogen, which **has** been often observed.4

Pentacoordination of silicon^{4a-e} and tin^{4h-j} cause an upfield shift in the 29Si and l19Sn **NMR** spectra compared to a tetracoordinated model molecule in which additional coordination is not possible. When l-methyl-5-(trimethylsilyl)pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde $(\delta$ ⁽²⁹Si) -10.9 ppm) is compared with 2a $(\delta(^{29}Si) -13.5$ ppm (CDCl₃) relative to Me₄Si), a weak upfield shift is evident, but in the case of weak nitrogen-silicon interactions, differences of 1-12 ppm have been reported.^{4e} Between 1-methyl-5-(trimethyl**stannyl)pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde** (6(l19Sn) **-48.0** ppm) and 2c $(\delta(^{119}Sn) -61.6$ ppm $(CDCl_3)$ relative to Me₄Sn) the upfield shift is greater. Moreover, chemical ahifta in 2a,c do not change on cooling from ambient temperature to -100 °C.

These results lead **us** to think that the interactions between silicon, germanium, and tin atoms and nitrogen of the dimethylamino group are too weak to cause significant changes in solution NMR spectra.

Solid-state **CP-MAS** Spectra. Solid-state NMR spectra have been obtained with CP-MAS techniques (cross polarization, magic-angle spinning at **3000** Hz) on sealed samples (Kel-F tubes¹⁰ in 7-mm ZrO₂ rotors) of 1 and $2a-c$ (Table II). ²⁹Si CP-MAS of $2a$ (δ -12.9 ppm relative to Me₄Si in solution) and ¹¹⁹Sn CP-MAS of 2c (δ -76.6 ppm relative to Me₄Sn in solution) have been measured, and each consists of a single resonance line. These values compare well with those obtained in solution, but for the 119Sn signal **an** upfield shift of 15 ppm was observed.

⁽⁷⁾ (a) Procedure for 3c: This compound was obtained by hydrolysis of 2c with excess methyl iodide **(12** equiv) in **2.5%** aqueous acetonitrile (reflux 3 h) and purified by chromatography on silica gel with pentane/
ethyl acetate/triethylamine (100:8:1) as eluent: mp 88 °C; 20% yield. ¹H
NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 0.35 (s, SnMe), 6.42 (dd, $J = 3.6, 2.3$ Hz, $I_{1,3}$) -78 °C was added 2 mmol of *tert*-butyllithium (1.7 M in pentane). The mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78 °C, and then 0.217 g (2 mmol) of Me₃SiCl was added. The solution was stirred for 1 h at -50 °C and then for 30 (Na₂SO₄), and concentration gave 5a (70% yield). ¹H NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 0.23 (s, SiMe), 2.27 (s, NMe), 5.88 (d, $J = 0.8$ Hz, $H_{5,10}$), 6.23 (dd, $J = 1.7$, 0.8 Hz, $H_{1,6}$), 6.97 (d, $J = 1.7$ Hz, $H_{3,8}$). Anal. for the preparation of **5c** from Me₃SnCl (90% yield). ¹H NMR (δ, CDCl₃): 0.22 **(s, SnMe), 2.25 (s, NMe)**, 5.88 **(d, J** = 0.9 Hz, H_{5,10}), 6.18 (dd, J = 1.6, 0.9 Hz, H_{1,8}), 6.87 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, H_{3,8}). Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{36}N_4Sn_2$: C, 42.15; H₁6.37. Found: C, 42.2; H₁6.4. (c) Procedure for 6a: 5a in THF was hydrolyzed with a saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution under reflux for 15 h. After extraction (Et₂O), drying (Na₂SO₄), solution under reflux for 15 h. After extraction (Et₂O), drying (Na₂SO₄),
and concentration, 6a was recrystallized from hexane and the residue was
chromatographed on silica gel with pentane/ethyl acetate/triethylam (100:10:1) as eluent (90% yield): mp 74 °C. ¹H NMR (8, CDCI₃): 0.23 (s, SiMe), 7.08 (dd, J = 2.3, 1.4 Hz, H₃), 7.21 (m, H₅), 9.51 (d, J = 1 Hz, CHO), 10.5 (bs, NH). ¹³C NMR (8, CDCl₃): -0.4 (SiMe), 121.7 (C₄) cm⁻¹ (N-H). Anal. Calcd for C₈H₁₃ONSi: C, 57.44; H, 7.83. Found: C, 57.5; H, 7.8. An identical procedure was followed from the preparation of 6c (40% yield from 5c): mp 70 °C. ¹NMR (δ , CDCl₃): 0.27 (s, SnMe), **7.03-7.17** $(m, H_3 \text{ and } H_5)$, **9.53** $(d, J = 1.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{CHO})$, **9.9** $(bs, N-H)$. ISC **NMR** (6, CDCl₃): **-9.2 (SnMe)**, **118.8 (C₄**), **128.9 (C₃**) **or** C₅), **132.6 (C₅** or C₃), **134.7 (C₂)**, **179.2 (CHO).** IR (CC4): **1651** (C=O), **3264** cm⁻¹ (N-H). Anal. Calcd for CaHI:IONSn: C, **37.26;** H, **5.08.** Found: C, **37.3;** H, **5.0.** (C:J, **132.4** (Cn), **134.5** (CZ), **179.6** (CHO). IR (CC4): **1650** (C=O), **3267**

⁽⁸⁾ The hydrolysis of 5c gives mainly the pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde corresponding to demetalation of 6c. The hydrolytic lability of the Sn-C bond in **6c** (or **Sc)** is not surprising, owing to the electronic effect of the formyl group, which is known to favor electrophilic substitution meta on the pyrrole ring.⁹

⁽⁹⁾ Jackson, A. H.; Artico, M.; Anderson, H. J.; Loader, C. E.; Coeeauer, A.; Nesvadba, P.; Dennis,N. Reactivity of the 1H-Pyrrole Ring **System.** In *Chem. Heterocycl. Compd.* **1990,48** (Pyrroles, Part **l), 295-548.**

⁽¹⁰⁾ Merwin, **L.** H.; Seebald, A. J. *Magn. Reson.* **1989,84, 367.**

Table II. ¹³C CP-MAS Data for 1 and $2a-c^{a,b}$

		2a	2 _b	2c
EMe		2.9	3.4	$-3.4c$
	NMe 37.6/39.2 (qs)	$35.0/36.6$ (qs)	35.7 (qb)	$37.0 / 38.4$ (qs)
	$44.8/46.3$ (qs)	$42.0/43.7$ (qs)	42.8 (qb)	$43.7/45.3$ (qs)
CH	$72.4/73.7$ (qs)	72.5 (qb)	73.8 (qb)	72.9 (qb)
\mathbf{C} of	108.6	107.6	108.4	109.3
C_{β}	112.7	122.1	120.3	122.9
		123.9	122.1	
C_{α}'	123.0/124.2 (qs)	127.4 (qb)	127.1 (gb)	$128.5/130.0$ (qs)
		128.9 (qb)	128.7 (qb)	
\mathbf{C}_{α}	$125.6/127.0$ (qs)	132.6 (qb)	133.9 (qb)	$131.7/133.0$ (qb)

^{*a*} Legend: CH, C_{S,10}H; C_{*a*}, C_{3,3}H; C_{*a*}, C_{3a,10a}H; C_{*β*}, C_{2,7}H; C_{*β*}, C_{1,6}H (cf. 1 in Scheme I). ^{*b*} qs/qb = quadrupole splitting/broadening due to ¹⁴N quadrupolar moment. ^c $J(^{119/117}Sn^{13}C) = 375$ Hz.

Figure **1.** 13C CP-MAS spectrum of 2c and ita temperature dependence between 296 and 333 K. The peaks marked with asterisks are spinning sidebands. Notice the unsymmetrical quadrupole splitting by 14N.

Temperature-dependent 13C CP-MAS NMR of 2a and $2c$ show different changes of the Me₃E signals between 296 and 333 K: while the Me₃Si signal remains unchanged (half-width 40 Hz), the half-width of the Me₃Sn signal decreases from 110 to 43 **Hz,** indicating some gain of rotational freedom around the sp2 C-Sn bond **as** the temperature increases (Figure 1). As may be seen from Table 11, the spectral data for **1** and 2c **as** well as for 2a,b are very similar to one another. In all spectra the MezN group shows up **as** two distinct signals. In the spectra of **1** and 2c half of the carbon atoms in the azafulvene dimer nucleus appear as single lines, thus indicating a 2-fold symmetry operation (2-fold axis, mirror plane, or inversion center) in the molecule. This 2-fold symmetry element is confirmed by X-ray diffraction data for 2c: the molecules in the crystal are placed with their gravity points on inversion centers of the space group (see below). The spectra of 2a,b differ from that of 2c. They show two signals for the $C_{\alpha'}$ and C_{β} atoms, thus indicating crystallographically different molecules in the lattice or a lower point symmetry in the solid phase compared to **1** and 2c. Unfortunately we have not been able to obtain single crystals of 2a and 2b in order to establish the reason for the observed signal splitting.

Table **HI.** X-rav **Data Collection** Parameters for **2c**

formula: C₂₀H₃₆N₄S_{n₂} **cryst syst:** *P21/c* lattice constants: $a = 6.660(4)$, $b = 12.418(8)$, $c = 14.387(9)$ Å; $\beta = 93.51(5)$ ° $V = 1187.6(9)$ Å³ $Z=2$ $D_{\text{exptl}} = 1.594 \text{ g/cm}^3$ **AED-2 (Siemens) diffractometer 28 limit: 3-48'** μ (Mo **Ka)** = 21.14 cm⁻¹ **structure solution: direct methods anisotropic and isotropic (H atoms) temperature factors 1486 unique obsd rflns 146 params** $R = 0.0212$ $R_{\rm w} = 0.0202$ **max difference Fourier peak: 0.28 e/A3**

The asymmetric quadrupole splitting¹¹ of the carbon atoms which have direct bonds to nitrogen can be used to verify the exact assignments of the 13 C atoms in the rings. As can be seen from the comparison of Table II with 13 C NMR spectra in solution, there is a perfect matching.

X-ray Structure of 2c and Molecular Mechanics. Single crystals of 2c were obtained from saturated toluene solutions by cooling. The most relevant data of the X-ray structure analysis are collected in Table 111, while positional parameters and the most important bond lengths and angles are assembled in Table IV.12 *As* a result of the X-ray structure analysis, the molecule **2c** is drawn together with the atomic labeling in Figure 2. From inspection of that figure it is clear that the molecule is centrosymmetric, the center of symmetry being placed in the middle of the six-membered central N_2C_4 cycle to which two fivemembered pyrrole cycles are condensed. The atoms of the rings are **all** in a plane which **has** no distortion within the standard deviations. At the carbon atoms C5 and C5' two dimethylamino groups are attached in a trans orientation with respect to the ring plane. As expected, the nitrogen atoms N1 and N1' are trigonal planar and the tendency toward a mesomeric system (6π) in the fivemembered rings can be seen from the bonding distances in Table IV. There are only two bonds which are slightly distorting the planar arrangement: the Me₃Sn groups are *not* coplanar with the ring system. The torsional angle C5-N1-C1-Sn is found to be 7.9°, the Me₃Sn groups being tilted toward the dimethylamino groups. The reason for this must be due to an intramolecular donor-acceptor bond between N2 and Sn (dotted line in Figure **2).** The separation between the two atoms is 3.101(5) **A,** which is only 0.65 **A** smaller than the **sum** of the van der Waals radii of the two elements.¹³ In tetraorganotin compounds with transannular Sn-N bonds the distances between tin and nitrogen are 2.63 Å;¹⁴ however, longer Sn—N coordinate bonds have been reported,^{4h} the longest being $3.10(1)$ Å in a triorganotin halide containing two 8-(dimethylamino)-1-naphthyl ligands.15 Equally long is the

Molecular and Crystallographic Models; Freiburg, Germany, 1988).
(13) Huheey, J. E. Anorganische Chemie, Prinzipien von Struktur
und Reaktivität; W. de Gryter Verlag: Berlin, New York, 1988; p 278.

⁽¹¹⁾ Hexem, L. G.; Frey, M. H.; OpeIla, S. J. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1981,** *103,* **224.**

⁽¹²⁾ Programs used: (a) SHELXTL-PLUS (Sheldrick, G. M. *A Program for Crystal Structure Determination,* **Version 4.0; Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Madison, WI, 1989). (b) SCHAKAL 88 (Keller, E. A** *Fortran Program for the Graphic Representation of*

⁽¹⁴⁾ Jurkschat, K.; Tzschach, A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986,315,45. (15) Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Van der Schaaf, P.; Boerama, J.; Van Koten, G.; De Ridder, D. J. A.; Heijdenrijk, D.** *Organometallics* **1992,11, 1521.**

Figure 2. SCHAKAL¹² drawing of the molecular structure of **2c** after X-ray structure **analysis.**

tin-nitrogen distance (3.101(5) **A)** in 2c. This interaction of the two atoms must, therefore, be considered **as** quite weak in terms of usual σ -bonds but, nevertheless, quite remarkable in terms of secondary bonding. Moreover, the MesSn group which should display tetrahedral angles is seriously distorted; the coordination around the tin atom, when the N2 interaction is taken into account, approaches a trigonal bipyramid, **as** may be deduced from the distances and angles of Table IV. For example, the longer Sn-CS bond compared to $Sn-C8$ and $Sn-C10$ can be understood **as** an axial to equatorial situation in a trigonal bipyramid. The $N2$ -Sn-C9 angle $(167.4(2)°)$ approaches linearity, and the C9-Sn-C8 and C9-Sn-C10 angles are about 3.5° less than the tetrahedral angles. Not only are the Me₃Sn parts of the molecule distorted from ideal geometry but **also** the $Me₂N$ ligands. The N2-C5----C5' angle, which should be about 120 $^{\circ}$, is considerably widened to 131.2(3) $^{\circ}$ $(H-C5---C5' = 116.7(3)°)$, and the N2-C5-C4 (116.8(3)°) and N2-C5-N1 $(107.3(3)°)$ angles are remarkably different, consistent with and indicative of the N2-Sn interaction.

Qualitative molecular mechanics calculations were performed on the molecules 2a-c in the gas phase, taking the coordinates of the X-ray structure **as** starting points and refining the structures also with Si and Ge in place of Sn; E-N interactions were excluded.16 The E-N2 distances found from these simulations are 3.30 (Si), 3.35 (Ge), and 3.32 (Sn) **A,** thus being 0.20-0.25 **A** longer than that for 2c found by experiment in the solid state. It seems reasonable to estimate the Si-N distance in 2a to be about 3.0-3.10 Å, which is greater than 2.69 Å, the "minimal nonbonded distance"17 between Si and N. However, in silicon compounds weak Si-N interactions have been reported up to 3.19 Å.^{4e} It is clear that the estimated Si-N distance in compound 2a is close to this value, and consequently, a weak Si — N interaction cannot be excluded. Molecular mechanics calculations **also** show that the rotations around the C5-N2 and C1-E bonds are hindered, with the order being $2c > 2b > 2a$, which fits well with our findings for the crystal structure.

In conclusion, group 14 element-nitrogen interactions in compounds $2a-c$, although weak, are probably responsible for the surprising resistance of these species to hydrolytic deprotection of N-H and formyl groups.

Registry **No.** Supplied by Author: 1,64435-30-9.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to the DFG and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for support. We thank Professor M. Onyszchuk (McGill University, Montreal, Canada) for his help in the production of the English version of this paper.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of **crystal** data and data collection and refinement details, positional parameters, bond lengths and angles, and anisotropic thermal parameters for **2c** and of **13C NMR** data in solution for *cis-* and trans-1 and **2a-c (6** pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM920840P

⁽¹⁶⁾ Alchemy111 by Triposhaociates, St. **Louis,** MO, 1992 (customized to Si, Ge, **and** Sn with appropriate parametera by M. Veith).

⁽¹⁷⁾ (a) DrHger, M. *2.* Anorg. *Allg. Chem.* 1976,423,53. **(b)** Glidewell, C. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1975,12, 219. (c) Bondi, **A.** *J. Phycr.* Chem. 1964, **68,441.**

⁽¹⁸⁾ Gunther,H.NMRSpectroscopy; Wiley: NewYork, 1980;pp24& 244.