Synthesis, Characterization, and Structures of Group IV Metallocene Complexes of Heterodifunctional Ligands Containing Oxygen and Sulfur Donor Atoms

Han-Mou Gau,* Chien-An Chen, Shiow-Jiuan Chang, Wei-En Shih, Teng-Kuei Yang, Ting-Ting Jong, and Mei-Yueh Chien

Department *of* Chemistry, National Chung-Hsing University, Taichung, Taiwan, ROC *40227*

Received October *19, 1992*

The interaction of the chiral difunctional ligand $(1R, 2S, 3R)$ -3-mercapto-1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo-[2.2.1] heptan-2-ol (1) with group IV metallocene complexes affords the product $\text{Cp}_2\text{M}(\text{OC}_{10}\text{H}_{16}\text{S})$ $(Cp = cyclopentadieny; M = Ti(2) or Zr(3); OC₁₀H₁₆S = (1R,2S,3R)-3-thio-1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo-1.$ [2.2.1lheptan-2-olato). In CDCl3 solution, complex 3 exists **as** a mixture of a monomeric species and an associated dimeric species. Complex 3 readily hydrolyzes to give **an** air-stable complex, $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-0)$ (μ -OC₁₀H₁₆S)Z_rC_{p₂})] (4). The complex 4 crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group P_{212121} with cell parameters $a = 10.061$ (2) \AA , $b = 15.476$ (4) \AA , $c = 17.741$ (3) \AA , $V =$ 2762.4 (10) \AA^3 , $R = 0.044$, and $R_w = 0.052$. The complex 4 is an oxo-bridged zirconocene dimer that is also bridged by a heterodifunctional ligand. The $Zr-O-Zr$ angle is small at 139.9 (2)°, and the Zr--Zr distance is 3.685 **A.** Two zirconocene moieties are inequivalent due to the asymmetric difunctional ligand. The related complex $[Cp_2Zr(\mu\text{-}OCH_2CH_2S)]_2$ (5) was also prepared. Complex 5 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ with cell parameters a = 16.730 (3) Å, \dot{b} = 8.232 (2) Å, c = 17.570 (2) Å, β = 111.21 (2)°, V = 2255.9 (7) Å³, R = 0.034, and $R_w = 0.045$. The complex 5 is a zirconocene dimer bridging through the oxygen atoms. The ZrOZrO framework is nearly planar with both sulfur atoms above the plane by ~ 0.45 Å, and the Zr-Zr distance at 3.719 *K* is somewhat longer than that in complex **4.**

Introduction

Difunctional ligands containing both oxygen or both sulfur donor atoms are interesting due to their capabilities to form metal complexes of a variety of structures. With group IV metallocenes, possible structures are monomeric structure A, open macrocycle dimeric structure B, twisted dimeric structure **C,** and associated dimeric structure **D** (Figure 1). The monomeric complexes¹ have been well studied. Recently, Stephan et al.² have reported the diol and the dithiol complexes which have the open macrocycle dimeric structure B or the twisted dimeric structure **C.**

Here, we report our study of the complexes which are prepared from the interaction of (1R,2S,3R)-3-mercapto-**1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.l]heptan-2-ol** (l)3 with group

IV metallocenes. These complexes have the monomeric structure A, the weakly associated dimeric structure **D,**

Figure 1. Possible structures for the complexes [Cp₂M- $(X \widehat{X})]_n$ (*n* = 1 or 2).

or the novel dimeric structure bridged by an oxo and one difunctional ligand. The related zirconocene complex $[Cp_2Zr[(\mu$ -OCH₂CH₂S)]₂ (5) from the reaction of 2-mercaptoethanol with dialkylzirconocene was also prepared, and the molecular structure of **5** is that of a dimeric species of structure **C** with bridging oxygen atoms.

Experimental Section

Reagents and General Techniques. The difunctional ligand (1R,2S,3R)-3-mercapto-1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1 Jheptan-2- 013 and CpzZr(CH3)z4 were prepared according to the literature procedures. Cp₂TiCl₂ (Aldrich) was used without further pu**rification. NEt3 (Merck) and HOCH2CH2SH (Merck) were distilled and stored over molecular sieves. Solvents were dried by refluxing at least 24 hover Pz05 (dichloromethane) or sodium/ benzophenone (hexane, diethyl ether) and were freshly distilled prior to use. Deuterated solvents (Aldrich) were dried over molecular sieves.** *All* **syntheses and manipulations were carried out under a dry dinitrogen atmosphere.**

^{(1) (}a) Floriani, C.; Fachinetti, G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.
1972, 790. (b) Sen, D. N.; Kantak, U. N. Ind. J. Chem. 1971, 9, 254. (c) James, B. D.; McCleverty, J. A. *J. Chem. Soc. A* 1970, 3318. (d)
McCleverty, J. A.; James, T. A.; Wharton, E. J. *Inorg. Chem.* 1969, 8,
1340. <u>(</u>e) Andrā, K.; Hille, E. Z. *Naturforsch. B* 1969, 24, 169. (f) King, **R. B.;Eggers,C. A.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1968,7,340. (g) Andra, K.J.** *Organomet. Chem.* **1968,11, 567. (h) KBpf, H.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1968,14,353.** (i) Köpf, H. Z. *Naturforsch. B* 1968, 23, 1531. (j) Chaudhari, M. A.;
Stone, F. G. A. J. C*hem. Soc. A* 1966, 838. (k) Dessy, R. E.; King, R. B.;
Waldrop, M. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 1966, 88, 5112. (l) Locke, J.; McClevert **J. A.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1966,5,1157. (m) Kbpf, H.; Schmidt, M.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1965, 4, 426.**

^{(2) (}a) Nasadi, T. T.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 1992, 11, 116.
(b) Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 1991, 10, 2037. (c) Stephan, D. W. *Organometallics* **1990, 9, 2718.**

⁽³⁾ Hung, S.-M.; **Lee,** D.-S.; **Yang, T.-K.** *Tetrahedron Asymmetry* **1990, 12, 873.**

⁽⁴⁾ Wailes, P. C.; Weigold, H.; Bell, A. P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1976, 34, 155.**

Synthesis of Bis(cyclopentadienyl)[(lR,2S,3R)-l,7,7-trimethyl-3-thiobicyclo[2.2.l]heptan-2-olato-S,O]titanium- (IV) (2). Cp_2TiCl_2 **(0.249 g, 1.0 mmol)** in a solution of 10 mL of dichloromethane was added dropwise to a solution of NEt₃ **(0.35** mL, **2.5** mmol) and **(lR,2S,3R)-3-mercapto-l,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-ol(O.186** g, **1.0** "01) in **30** mL of dichloromethane. The solution, which changed color from red to green in **30** min, was stirred at room temperature in a dry dinitrogen atmosphere for **1.5** h. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was extracted with **30** mL of benzene. The extracted solution was pumped to dryness to give a brownishgreen solid which **was** then dissolved in **5** mL of dichloromethane. The solution was layered with **20** mL of hexane and then cooled to -80 °C to afford a green crystalline material $(0.12 \text{ g}, 33.1 \text{ %})$. EI-MS; $m/z = 362.1184$. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.44 (s, 5 H), 6.41 **(s, 5** H), **5.04** (d, **1** H), **4.71** (d, **1** H), **1.66 (s,3** H), **0.72** (9, **3** H), **0.61 (s,3** H) ppm. (Other lH resonances are not assigned.) 13C- (HCS), **55.2, 51.8, 47.6, 33.3, 28.6, 22.6, 21.9, 11.3** ppm. Anal. Calcd for CzoHz6OSTk C, **66.29;** H, **7.23.** Found C, **66.13;** H, **7.28.** ('HI NMR (CDCl3): 6 **116.3** (Cp), **114.5** (Cp), **103.4** (HCO), **79.2**

Synthesis of Bis(cyclopentadienyl) $(1R, 2S, 3R)$ -1,7,7-tri**methyl-3-thiobicyclo[2.2.1] heptan-2-olato-S,O]zirconium- (IV) (3).** The reaction apparatus was carefully dried by thoroughly heating in vacuo. A mixture of $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{CH}_3)_2$ (0,251) g, **1.0** mmol) and **(1R,2S,3R)-3-mercapto-l,7,7-trimethylbicyclo- [2.2.1]heptan-2-01 (0.186** g, **1.0** mmol) was placed in a reaction vessel, and **40** mL of diethyl ether was added by vacuum transfer. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under a dry dinitrogen atmosphere for **5** h and then was filtered to give a white powder. This white powder contained major product **3** with a purity of about **90%** based on the lH NMR and a minor hydrolyzed complex 4. $3 (C_5H_5)_2Zr(OC_{10}H_{16}S)$. EI-MS: $m/z =$ **404.0751.** lH NMR (CDC13, **4.80 X 10-3** M): **6 6.35 (s,** Cp), **6.33 0.74** *(8,* CH3) ppm. 'H NMR (CDCl3,2.4 **X le2** M): **6.29** *(8,* Cp), CH3), **0.757** *(8,* CH3) ppm. *(8,* Cp), **4.36** (d, CH), **3.84** (d, CH), **1.46** *(8,* CH3), **0.75** *(8,* CH3), **6.28** (8, Cp), **4.23** (d, CH), **3.62** (d, CH), **1.41** (8, CH3), **0.764** (9,

Synthesis of $(\mu$ -Oxo) $[\mu$ - $(1R, 2S, 3R)$ -1,7,7-trimethyl-3-thio**bicyclo[2.2.l]heptan-2-olato-S,O]bis[bis(cyclopentadieny1) zirconium(IV)] (4).** A mixture of $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{CH}_3)_2$ (0.503 g, 2.0) mmol) and **(1R,2S,3R)-3-mercapto-l,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]** heptan-2-01 **(0.186** g, **1.0** mmol) in **20** mL of diethyl ether was stirred at room temperature for 20 h. The precipitated product was filtered, and the resulting pale yellow powder was washed with **5** mL of diethyl ether to afford a white solid **(0.333** g, 52.0% yield). An analytically pure sample **was** obtained by recrystallization from dichloromethane/hexane solution. ¹H NMR (CD₂-**4.004** (d, **1** H), **3.460** (d, **1** H), **1.198** (s,3 H), **0.817 (s,3** H), **0.777** (s, 3 H) ppm. (Other ¹H resonances are not assigned.) ¹³C{¹H} **93.1,59.4,56.9,51.0,47.0,34.1,29.8,22.7,22.1,13.0ppm.** Anal. Calcd for C&3602SZr~: C, **56.03;** H, **5.64.** Found: C, **56.10;** H, **5.65.** $Cl₂$; δ 6.262 (s, 5 H), 6.202 (s, 5 H), 6.190 (s, 5 H), 6.186 (s, 5 H), NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 112.0 (Cp), 111.9 (Cp), 111.6 (Cp), 110.8 (Cp),

Synthesis of **Bis[bis(cyclopentadienyl)(p-2-thioethoxo)** $zirconium(IV)$] (5). $Cp_2Zr(CH_3)$ ₂ (0.84 g, 3.34 mmol) was dissolved in **30** mL of diethyl ether, and then 2-mercaptoethanol **(0.26** g, **3.34** mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature in a dry dinitrogen atmosphere for **6** h, and the resulted precipitate was filtered to give a white powder of crude product **(0.82** g) which contained a trace of impurities. Colorless crystals were obtained by recrystallization of a small portion of the crude product from dichloromethane/hexane solution. ¹H $(t, J_{HH} = 5.4 \text{ Hz}, \text{SCH}_2) \text{ ppm}.$ ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 111.7 (Cp) , 78.9 $(OCH₂)$, 34.1 $(SCH₂)$ ppm. NMR (CDCl3): 6 **6.08** *(8,* Cp), **3.95** (t, *JHH* = **5.4** Hz, **OCHz), 2.75**

Physical Measurements. 1H NMR spectra were obtained with a Varian Gemini-200 (200 MHz) or a Varian VXR-300 (300 MHz) spectrometer, and 13C NMR spectra were recorded with the Varian VXR-300 **(75.43** MHz) spectrometer. The 1H and 13C chemical shifts were measured relative to TMS **as** the internal

Table I. Crystallographic Data for Complexes 4 and 5

compd	4	5
formula	$C_{30}H_{36}O_2SZr_2$	$C_{24}H_{28}O_2S_2Zr_2$
Fw	643.1	595.0
cryst syst	orthorhombic	monoclinic
space group	$P2_12_12_1$	$P2_1/c$
a, A	10.061(2)	16.730(3)
b, Å	15.476(4)	8.232(2)
c, Å	17.741(3)	17.570(2)
		111.21(2)
β , deg V , \AA ³	2762.4(10)	2255.9(7)
z	4	4
D_{cal} , g·cm ⁻³	1.546	1.752
λ(Μο Κα), Α	0.710 69	0.71073
$\mu,$ cm ⁻¹	8.39	11.28
range, deg	$4.0 - 60.0$	$3.5 - 60.0$
scan type	$\theta/2\theta$	$\theta/2\theta$
no. of reflctns collctd	3906	7054
no. of independt reflctns	3876 ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.79\%)$	6421 $(R_{\text{int}} = 4.07\%)$
no. of obsd reflctns	$3149 (I > 2.0\sigma(I))$	4521 ($I > 2.0\sigma(I)$)
no. of refined parameters	316	271
R_f for significant refletns	0.044	0.034
$R_{\rm w}{}^b$ for sigft refletns	0.052	0.045
GoF^c	1.17	1.00

 $[\sum w(F_0 - F_c)^2/(N_{\text{rfins}} - N_{\text{params}})]^{1/2}$ $R_f = \left[\sum_{s} (F_o - F_c)/\sum_{s} F_s\right]$. $^b R_w = \left[\sum_{s} (F_o - F_c)^2/\sum_{s} w F_0^2\right]^{1/2}$. c GoF =

reference. Elemental analyses of complexes were performed using a Heraeus CHN-0-RAPID instrument. Mass spectra were obtained using a Joel JMS-SX/SX **102A** instrument.

Crystal Structure Determinations. A colorless crystal of **4** of size $0.10 \times 0.50 \times 0.60$ mm and a colorless crystal of 5 of size $0.10 \times 0.35 \times 0.40$ mm were used for X-ray diffraction studies. The diffraction intensities were collected on a Siemens R3m/V diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo K_{α} radiation, $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å. All refinements and calculations were carried out with the Siemens SHELXTL PLUS software package on a MicroVax **3100** computer. The positions of heavy atoms for each structure were determined by direct methods, and the remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located from successive difference Fourier map calculations. The refinements were carried out by using full-matrix least-squares techniques. *All* non-hydrogen atoms were refiied **as** individual anisotropic atoms. The hydrogen atoms were considered **as** riding atom on the carbon atom with a C-H bond length of **0.96 A,** and the hydrogen atom temperature factors were fixed at 0.08. The hydrogen atoms were included for refinements in the final cycles. The crystallographic data of the complexes **4** and **5** are summarized in Table 1.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Characterizations. Compound 1 is a chiral difunctional ligand derived from (+)-camphor and contains heterodonor atoms of oxygen and sulfur. **Treatment of 1 with 1 molar equiv of titanocene dichloride in the presence of triethylamine affords a green product 2 (eq 1) which is a monomeric complex in the gas phase**

$$
\sum_{1}^{SH} + C_{P2}TiCl_2 \xrightarrow{\text{NE}t_3/CH_2Cl_2} \xrightarrow{\text{NE}t_3Cl} S \rightarrow TiC_{P2}
$$
 (1)

according to our **mass spectrometric study. Complex 2 decomposes either in the solid** state **or in solution to give a mixture of several unidentified products. The 'H and l3C NMR of the complex 2 show two resonances for the two magnetically inequivalent Cp's because of the influence of the asymmetric difunctional ligand. The reaction of 1 with 1 molar equiv of dimethylzirconocene under rigorously dry conditions gives a white product 3 of about 90** % **purity. Complex 3 is extremely moisture sensitive, and thoroughly** dried reaction vessels and reagents are essential for the preparation of this compound. The mass spectrum indicates that complex **3** is a monomer in the gas phase. However, in CDCl_3 solution this complex exists in the form of a mixture of monomeric species of structure **A** and a weakly associated dimeric species of structure **D** (eq 2).

These two species are in equilibrium, and the observed 'H chemical shifts varied with the change of concentration of the material. In a CDCl₃ solution at a concentration of 4.80×10^{-3} M, the complex 3 exhibits two Cp resonances $et \delta 6.35$ and 6.33 ppm. However, in a more concentrated solution $(2.40 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M})$, the Cp resonances shift upfield to δ 6.29 and 6.28 ppm. This observation indicates more electron donation⁵ from the difuntional ligands to the metal, which is consistent with the presence of more dimeric species at higher concentration. Although the sulfur bridging complexes are known for the dithiol complexes **6** and **7,2** the association via the oxygen atoms

to form **3D** in solution seems more likely since the oxygen donors are better in bonding to group IV transition metals than the sulfur donors. Further support for the proposed dimeric structure **3D** is provided by the X-ray structures of the complexes **5** and **86** which have the same ligand skeleton 0CHR'CHR''S as the ligand in complex **3.**

Complex **3** reacts readily with moisture in the air to give the air-stable complex **4** as the major product (eq 3).

Because of the asymmetry of the ligand, all four Cp ligands in the complex **4** are inequivalent in NMR environments.

The structure of the complex 3 and the formation of the complex **4** are outlined in Scheme I, and the electronic state and the steric factor of these structures are discussed based on the spectral and the structural data of the complexes **3** and **4.** The complex **3A** is an electronically unsaturated 16 e- species, and the formation of the complex **3C** through oxygen-bridging to achieve 18 e⁻ around Zr metal centers is expected to be favored. However, the structural rigidity and crowdedness of the asymmetric ligand prevents the formation of **3C.** The formation of

Figure **2.** Molecular structure of the complex **4** and the selected bond lengths and bond angles. $(Zr(1)-Cp)_{ave} = 2.273$ $\rm \AA$, (Zr(2)-Cp)_{avg} = 2.253 $\rm \AA$, \angle Cp–Zr(1)-Cp = 126.7°, and \angle Cp– $Zr(2)-Cp = 127.8^{\circ}$.

Scheme I

the complex 3B is unfavorable since an unlikely large S-Zr-O angle at \sim 160° (estimated from the molecular structure of complex **4)** is expected. The complex **3A** does equilibrate in CDCl₃ solution with the weakly associated dimeric species **3D.** However, even with the weak association through oxygen atoms, the complex **3D** is still electronically unsatisfied around the **Zr** metal center. Therefore, the hydrolyzed, air-stable complex **4** is an alternative product when the complexes **3A** and/or **3D** are exposed to air. Apparently, complex **4** is more thermodynamically favorable than the complexes in the forms of **3A, 3B, 3C, or 3D.**

⁽⁵⁾ Dang, Y.; Geise, H. J.; **Dommisse, R.; Esmans, E.; Desseyn, H. 0.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1990,381, 333.**

⁽⁶⁾ Erker, G.; Mena, M.; **Kriiger, C.; Noe, R.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991,** *402,* **67.**

Table 11. Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Coefficients (A*) of Complex 4

	x	у	z	U (eq)
Zr(1)	0.6277(1)	$-0.0244(1)$	0.7430(1)	0.026(1)
Zr(2)	0.8441(1)	0.1160(1)	0.8575(1)	0.032(1)
S(1)	0.7721(2)	0.0044(1)	0.9531(1)	0.034(1)
O(1)	0.5610(4)	$-0.0507(3)$	0.8437(2)	0.033(1)
O(2)	0.7598(4)	0.0657(3)	0.7694(2)	0.033(1)
C(1)	0.4129(7)	$-0.0960(4)$	0.9478(3)	0.035(2)
C(2)	0.4972(6)	$-0.0237(5)$	0.9086(3)	0.031(2)
C(3)	0.5937(6)	0.0060(4)	0.9738(3)	0.031(2)
C(4)	0.5551(6)	$-0.0535(5)$	1.0391(3)	0.035(2)
C(5)	0.4233(8)	$-0.0212(6)$	1.0694(4)	0.049(2)
C(6)	0.3246(7)	$-0.0480(6)$	1.0048(4)	0.053(3)
C(7)	0.5157(7)	$-0.1403(5)$	1.0008(4)	0.040(2)
C(8)	0.6284(8)	$-0.1870(5)$	0.9610(4)	0.049(2)
C(9)	0.4548(10)	$-0.2054(6)$	1.0568(5)	0.066(3)
C(10)	0.3377(8)	$-0.1519(5)$	0.8923(4)	0.053(2)
C(11)	0.5217(10)	0.0345(9)	0.6241(4)	0.075(4)
C(12)	0.5241(11)	0.1025(7)	0.6737(8)	0.083(4)
C(13)	0.4397(13)	0.0853(8)	0.7312(6)	0.081(4)
C(14)	0.3857(9)	0.0091(10)	0.7168(7)	0.092(5)
C(15)	0.4288(10)	$-0.0226(7)$	0.6536(7)	0.071(3)
C(11')	0.8403(7)	$-0.0984(4)$	0.6958(5)	0.046(4)
C(12')	0.8066(8)	$-0.1405(4)$	0.7630(4)	0.046(4)
C(13')	0.6898(8)	$-0.1853(4)$	0.7497(5)	0.049(3)
C(14')	0.6482(8)	$-0.1707(5)$	0.6760(5)	0.050(3)
C(15')	0.7440(9)	$-0.1160(5)$	0.6429(4)	0.050(3)
C(16)	0.8198(12)	0.2783(5)	0.8458(7)	0.078(2)
C(17)	0.8313(11)	0.2634(5)	0.9244(6)	0.072(2)
C(18)	0.7205(12)	0.2188(5)	0.9456(5)	0.064(2)
C(19)	0.6391(9)	0.2065(5)	0.8837(5)	0.059(2)
C(20)	0.7002(10)	0.2443(5)	0.8224(5)	0.056(2)
C(16')	1.0846(9)	0.1629(7)	0.8591(9)	0.080(4)
C(17')	1.0687(10)	0.1167(12)	0.7942(7)	0.095(5)
C(18')	1.0461(9)	0.0350(10)	0.8152(10)	0.096(6)
C(19')	1.0499(8)	0.0312(8)	0.8920(9)	0.088(5)
C(20')	1.0730(9)	0.1136(9)	0.9167(6)	0.075(4)

Displacement Coefficients (Å²) of Complex 5 Table 111. Atomic Coordinates and Equivslent Isotropic

The complex $[Cp_2Zr(\mu\text{-}OCH_2CH_2S)]_2$ (5) was prepared as a white precipitate by the reaction of dimethylzirconocene with 1 molar equiv of 2-mercaptoethanol in diethyl ether. The crude product contained traces of unidentified impurities and was sparingly soluble in

Figure 3. Molecular structure of complex **5.**

organic solvents. The insolubility of the complex indicated that an oligomeric species was obtained.

The lH and 13C **NMR** of the methylene group attached to the oxygen donor atom in $[Cp_2Zr[\mu\text{-}OCH_2CH_2S)]_2$ shift downfield by 0.21 and 14.95 ppm, respectively, relative to the chemical shifts of the free ligand. The chemical shifts of the methylene attached to the sulfur atom also shift downfield but with less extent, by 0.02 and 6.5 ppm, respectively. This result is an indication of the coordination of oxygen and sulfur atoms to zirconium.

Molecular Structure of Complex 4. The complex **4** was crystallized from dichloromethane/hexane solution as colorless crystals, and the structure of the complex was determined by X-ray diffraction. The molecular structure of **4** with selected bond distances and bond angles is shown in Figure 2, and the final atomic coordinates and the isotropic displacement coefficients are listed in Table **11.** The $Zr(1)-O(1)$ bond distance at 1.951 (4) Å and the $Zr (2)$ -S(1) bond distance at 2.527 (2) Å appears to be normal compared to those of the zirconocene complexes of alkoxide^{7,8} and dithiolate.² The two zirconocene moieties are structurally different owing to their bonding to different donor atoms. The less electron donation from the $S(1)$ atom to the $Zr(2)$ metal is compensated by shortening the $Zr(2)-Cp$ and the $Zr(2)-O(2)$ bonds by a value of 0.02 and 0.04 **A** relative to the Zr(1)-Cp and Zr- (1)-O(2) bonds, respectively. The O(1)-Zr(1)-O(2) plane and the $S(1)-Zr(2)-O(2)$ plane are not coplanar with a dihedral angle of 20.7°. The $Zr(1)-O(2)-Zr(2)$ angle at 139.9' in the complex **4** is rather small. The only complex with smaller angle at 119.2 (2)° is $Cp'_{2}Zr(\mu-Te)$ (μ -O)- $ZrCp'_{2}^{9}$ (Cp' = C₅H₄^tBu). This small angle is caused mostly by the short $O(1) \cdots S(1)$ distance (at 3.001 Å) of the rigid ligand. Besides the small Zr-0-Zr angle, the effects of the short $O(1) \cdots S(1)$ distance have also been revealed on several observations: (1) the enlarging of the $O(1)-C(2)$ - $C(3)$ and the S(1)– $C(3)$ – $C(2)$ angles of the difunctional ligand in the molecular structure of 4 by \sim 4 and \sim 7° relative to the value of 110' found for the related camphorderived compound,¹⁰ (2) the slight elongation by \sim 0.03 Å of the $C(2)-C(3)$ bond that bridges the oxygen and sulfur donors, and (3) the relatively short nonbonded $Zr(1)\cdots Zr$ -(2) distance at 3.685 **A.** The p-oxometallocene complexes $(Cp_2MX)_2O$ usually exhibit a nearly linear

⁽⁷⁾ Gau, H.-M.; Chen, C.-T.; Schei, CX. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992,** *424, 307.*

⁽⁸⁾ Gambarotta, S.; Strologo, S.; Floriani, C.; Chiesi-Villa, A.; Guastini, C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1985,24,654.**

⁽⁹⁾ Erker, G.; Notle, R.; Tainturier, G.; Rheingold, A. *Organometallics* **1989,8, 454.**

⁽¹⁰⁾ Hung, S.-M.; Chen, C.-J.; Yang, **T.-K.** Unpublished results.

Table IV. Selected Bond Lengths (A) and Bond *Angles* **(deg)** of $[Cp_2Zr(\mu$ -OCH₂CH₂S)₂ (5)^a

$Zr(1) - O(1)$	2.195(2)	$Zr(2)-O(1)$	2.225(2)			
$Zr(1) - O(2)$	2.229(2)	$Zr(2)-O(2)$	2.195(2)			
$Zr(1) - S(1)$	2.617(2)	$Zr(2) - S(2)$	2.606(1)			
$O(1) - C(22)$	1.439(6)	$O(2) - C(24)$	1.442(5)			
$S(1) - C(21)$	1.792(5)	$S(2)$ –C (23)	1.795(4)			
$C(21) - C(22)$	1.477(6)	$C(23) - C(24)$	1.500(5)			
$Zr(1)$ -Cp(1)	2.253	$Zr(2)$ –Cp(3)	2.271			
$Zr(1)$ –Cp(2)	2.259	$Zr(2)$ –Cp (4)	2.265			
$S(1) - Zr(1) - O(1)$	73.9(1)	$S(2)-Zr(2)-O(2)$	74.7(1)			
$O(1) - Zr(1) - O(2)$	65.3(1)	$O(1) - Zr(2) - O(2)$	65.4(1)			
$Zr(1) - O(1) - Zr(2)$	114.6(1)	$Zr(1) - O(2) - Zr(2)$	114.4(1)			
$Cp(1)-Zr(1)-Cp(2)$	123.0	$Cp(3)-Zr(2)-Cp(4)$	123.1			

 $C = C(1-5)$, $C = C(-10)$, $C = C(1-10)$, $C = C(11-15)$, $C = C(4) = C(11-15)$ **C(16-20).**

M-O-M angle for better π interaction.¹¹ It might be expected that the small **M-0-M** angle would decrease the π interaction between the metals and the bridging oxo. However, the average Zr-O(bridging) distance at **1.962 A** in 4 is in the range of 1.94-1.968 Å typically for the $\rm(Cp_{2}$ - ZrX)₂O complexes.¹²⁻¹⁵ In $Cp'_{2}Zr(\mu-Te)(\mu-O)ZrCp'_{2}$ the average Zr-0 distance is **1.967 (7) A** and the Zr-O-Zr angle is **119.2 (2)".** It seems that the **M-O-M** angle is rather flexible in terms of the **M-O** r-bonding capability.

Molecular Structure of Complex 5. The final coordinates and the equivalent isotropic displacement coefficients of complex **5** are listed in Table 111, and the molecular structure is shown in Figure **3.** The selected bond lengths and bond angles are listed in Table IV. The molecular structure of **5** shows a dimeric species bridged by the oxygen atoms and this structure is similar to the structure of the dimeric complexes 8 and 9.¹⁶ The average Zr-S distance at **2.612 A** is similar to those in **7 (2.609 A)** and 8 (2.633 Å) but longer than the values in 4 by ~ 0.1 **A.** The average Zr-O(bridging) distance is **2.221 A,** and

(11) (a) Troyanov, S. I. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991,** *402,* **201. (b) Palacios, F.; Royo, P.; Serrano, R.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1989,375,51.** *(c)* **Thewalt, U.; DiSppert, K.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1987,** *320,* **177. (d)** Petersen, J. L. *Inorg. Chem.* 1980, 19, 181. (e) Skapski, A. C.; Troughton, **P. G. H.; Sutherland, H. H.** *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1968,1418.**

(12) Clarke, J. **F.;Drew,M. G. B.** *Acta Crystallogr.,Sect.B* **1974,2267. (13) Erker, G.;Dorf, U.; Krtiger, C.;Tsay, Y.-H.** *Organometallics* **1987, 6, 680.**

(14) Petersen, J. **L.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1979,166, 179.**

(15) Hunter, W. E.; Hrncir, D. C.; Vann Bynum, **R.; Penttila, R. A.; Atwood,** J. **L.** *Organometallics* **1983,2, 760.**

the elongation of Zr-0 distances by **0.3 A** relative to the Zr-O(terminal) bond length is a usual feature for the bridging alkoxide complexes of similar structure like complex **8 (2.196 A)** and complex 9 **(2.212 A).** The similar Zr-O distances are also found in complex 10^{17} (2.185 Å) and complex 11^{18} (2.165 Å). The Zr_2O_2 framework is nearly in a plane with both sulfur atoms above the plane by \sim 0.45 **A.** The **O-Zr-O** angles at 65.3 (1) and 65.4 (1)^o and the Zr-O-Zr angles at 114.6 (1) and 114.4 (1)[°] are comparable to the values found in the related compounds. $6,16,17$ The Zr.**Zr distance at **3.719 A** is somewhat longer by **0.03 A** than that in complex **4.**

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank the National Science Council of the Republic of China for financial support (NSC **82-0208-M-005-012).** Valuable suggestions about this manuscript from Dr. Albert S. C. Chan are appreciated.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of crystallographic data, final coordinates, bond lengths, bond angles, and anisotropic displacement coefficients of complexes **4** and **5** (19 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM920655B

⁽¹⁶⁾ Takaya, H.; Yamakawa, M.; Mashima, K. *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1983, 1283.**

⁽¹⁷⁾ Erker, G.; Mena, M.; Kriiger, C.; Noe, R. *Organometallics* **1991,** *10,* **1201.**

⁽¹⁸⁾ Bristow, G. 3.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F. *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* **1982, 462.**