# **Infrared Spectroelectrochemical Observation of the Reduction of Iron Pentacarbonyl**

David J. Curran, Philip B. Graham,<sup>†</sup> and Marvin D. Rausch<sup>\*</sup>

Department *of* Chemistry, University *of* Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts *01003* 

Received December *4,1992* 

Summary: The electrochemical reduction of iron pentacarbonyl was studied using infrared spectra collected simultaneously with the electrochemical conversion. Evidence for a mechanism proceeding through the iron tetracarbonyl dianion is observed.

#### **Introduction**

One of the earliest studies<sup>1</sup> of the reduction of iron pentacarbonyl (I) concluded, from infrared evidence, that the reduction of I was a one-electron process leading to the formation of  $[Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>]^{2-}$  (II). Later investigations<sup>2</sup> confirmed this result and assumed that I1 was formed from the dimerization of two molecules of  $[Fe(CO)_4]^{*-}$ resulting from the reduction of I. It is clear that the chemical reactions following initial electron transfer are rapid and chemically irreversible. The intermediate species formed have been used in reactions with phosphines<sup>3,4</sup> to produce substituted derivatives.

The most recent study<sup>5</sup> used high sweep rates at ultramicroelectrodes to investigate the reduction process. It was found that while a one-electron process occurred at sweep rates below **1000** mV **s-',** the number of electrons transferred per mole increased toward a limit of **2** at high sweep rates. By determining the redox potentials of  $[Fe(CO)_4]^2$  (III) and the dianion II, using cyclic voltammetry, the authors concluded that the following scheme

is consistent with these and other observations:  
\n
$$
Fe(CO)_{5} + e^{-} \rightarrow Fe(CO)_{5}^{--}
$$
\n
$$
I
$$
\n
$$
Fe(CO)_{5}^{--} \rightarrow Fe(CO)_{4}^{--} + CO
$$
\n
$$
Fe(CO)_{4}^{--} + e^{-} \rightarrow Fe(CO)_{4}^{2-}
$$
\n
$$
III
$$
\n
$$
Fe(CO)_{4}^{2-} + Fe(CO)_{5} \rightarrow Fe_{2}(CO)_{8}^{2-} + CO
$$
\n
$$
III
$$
\n
$$
I = F_{2}(CO)_{4}^{2-} + H^{+} \rightarrow HF_{2}(CO)_{4}^{--}
$$
\n
$$
III
$$
\n
$$
F_{2}(CO)_{4}^{2-} + H^{+} \rightarrow HF_{2}(CO)_{4}^{--}
$$
\n
$$
IV
$$

In the above scheme the generation of  $Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>$  (III) is a rapid process. I11 is then capable of reacting with I to give I1 and carbon monoxide, or it may react with acidic protons to produce the hydride anion **IV.** These conclusions were in direct disagreement with earlier papers<sup>1,2</sup> that stated I1 was produced by the dimerization of two iron pentacarbonyl radical anions.

The aim of the present study was to use infrared monitoring of the transformations occurring during a cathodic potential sweep of a solution of iron pentacarbonyl and thereby provide direct evidence for the nature of the reduction processes. This work was accomplished with a spectroelectrochemical cell developed and described elsewhere<sup>6</sup> which uses a gold-minigrid electrode mounted on the surface of the prism of an internal reflection cell.

### **Results and Discussion**

The cyclic voltammogram for a cathodic sweep at **50**  mV/s between **0** and **-2500** mV is shown in Figure **1.** A large chemically irreversible reduction wave is seen at **-2100** mV. The back sweep shows three anodic waves at **-1280, -960,** and **-120** mV. This cyclic voltammogram is consistent with other reports<sup>1-3,5,7</sup> of cathodic sweep experiments in the literature. The first two anodic waves are known to increase in relative magnitude at faster scan rates, indicating that these products from the reduction step are not particularly stable. These two oxidation waves on the back sweep are believed<sup>5</sup> to belong to the oxidation of  $Fe_2(CO)_8^2$  (II) and  $Fe(CO)_4^2$  (III).

The simultaneous FTIR data collected during the electrochemical experiments provide information on the nature of the species involved. Spectra taken during the reduction wave show the consumption of iron pentacarbony1 (I), **as** evidenced by negative bands at **2018** and **1993** cm-', and the appearance of an intense broad band centered at **1738** cm-I (Figure **2).** This band is almost certainly due to  $\text{Fe(CO)}_4{}^{2-}$  (III). In a mull as the sodium salt III is reported<sup>8</sup> to exhibit a broad band at 1761 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In DMF solution I11 appears4 **as** a single broad band at **1730** cm-l. Another paper2 ascribes a strong broad band at **1720** cm-I for the sodium cryptate salt in THF solution. Also evident are small bands at **1910,1882,** and **1867** cm-1. Figure **3** represents the spectrum recorded at **-2150** mV during the anodic portion of the sweep and shows the increased intensity of the three bands between **1910** and **1867** cm-I. The intensity of the band at **1738** cm-l has also increased due to the potential being closer to that required for the maximum electrode surface concentration of 111. The bands at **1910** and **1867** cm-l are in a constant ratio of approximately **0.6. As** a result, the band at **1882** cm-l must belong to another compound. These two compounds clearly result from the reaction of 111, since when the potential shifts to a region **(-600** mV) where I is no longer

t Present address: Eli Lilly & **Co., TL.12,** P.O. Box **685,** Lafayette, IN **47902.** 

**<sup>(</sup>I)** Pickett, **C.** J.; Pletcher, D. *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Tram.* **1975,879. (2)** El **Murr,** N.; Chaloyard, A. *Znorg. Chem.* **1982,21, 2206-2208.**  *(3)* Bond, **A.** M.; Dawson, P. A.; Peake, B. M.; Robinson, B. H.; **Simpson,** 

**<sup>(4)</sup> Bezem,** G. J.; Rieger,P. H.; Visco, *S. J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Common.*  J. *Znorg. Chem.* **1977,16(9), 2199-2206.** 

**<sup>1981,266.</sup>** 

**<sup>(5)</sup>** Amatore, *C.;* Verpaux, J.-N.; Krueic, P. J. *Organometallics* **1988, 7,2426-2428.** 

**<sup>(6)</sup> Curran, D.** J.; Graham, P. B. *Ad. Chem.* **1992, 64, 2688-2692. (7)** Krusic, P. J.; **San** Filippo, J., Jr.; **Hutchinaon,** B.; Hance, R. L.;

*<sup>(8)</sup>* Farmery, K.; Kilner, **M.;** Greatrex, R.; Greenwood, N. N. J. *Chem.*  Daniels, L. M. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1981,103, 2129-2131.**  *SOC.* **1969,2339-2345.** 



**Figure 1.** Cyclic sweep at  $50 \text{ mV/s of } 5 \text{ mM}$  Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> in THF recorded simultaneously with FTIR spectra.



**Figure 2.** IR spectrum recorded between **-1840** and **-1960**  mV during cathodic sweep.



**Figure 3.** IR spectrum recorded between **-2210** and **-2090**  mV during anodic sweep.

reduced, the absorbance at **1738** cm-l disappears rapidly, while the other bands increase in intensity (Figure **4).** The monitoring of the solution at about 15  $\mu$ m from the electrode surfaces means that I11 can be observed **as** long **as** ita lifetime is sufficient for diffusion to the point of observation to occur. This is about 100 ms.



**Figure 4.** IR spectrum recorded between -660 and **-540** mV during anodic sweep.





Comparison of the spectra from a  $10 \text{ mV/s}$  sweep with those from the 50  $mV/s$  sweep show that at slower scan rates the reaction of III has occurred to a greater extent for a given position in the cyclic sweep. This result is consistent with an electrochemical process followed by a chemical reaction, or an EC mechanism.

The bands at **1910** and **1867** cm-l can be assigned to  $Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub><sup>2-</sup> (II) formed from the reaction of I and III. These$ bands were identified by comparison with literature<sup>8</sup> values. HFe(CO)<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>(IV) is reported<sup>8</sup> to show bands at 1914 (m) and **1880 (8)** cm-1 and a weak band at **2008** cm-1. The last band would not be seen against the large negative absorbance bands of I. A summary of the band assignmenta is provided in Table I. The highly basic nature of I11 allows the abstraction of a proton from trace water or from THF to compete with the reaction with I.

# **Conclusion**

By using IR spectrometry to directly monitor the fate of the products generated by the electrochemical reduction of iron pentacarbonyl, it has been possible to provide direct evidence for the mechanism proposed by Amatore et **al.?**  which proceeds through an iron tetracarbonyl dianion intermediate.

# **Experimental Section**

Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled under argon from liquid sodium-potassium amalgam, while methylene chloride was distilled from calcium hydride under argon. *All* solutions were handled using Schlenk techniques under an atmosphere of argon. The argon was passed over a 30-cm column of heated BTS catalyst to remove any traces of oxygen, followed by columns of activated **(24** h at **260 "C)** 3-A molecular sieves and phosphorus pentoxide to remove moisture. Finally the gas was bubbled through silicone oil to remove any small particles from the previous columns.

The supporting electrolyte, tetra-n-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate (Aldrich Chemical **Co.),** was dried under high vacuum in a round-bottom Schlenk flask connected to a rotary evaporator. The flask was heated with a 500 °F heat gun at a distance of about **15** cm for **4** h. The supporting electrolyte concentration was **0.2** M, which is close to the limit of solubilty in THF. The concentration of iron pentacarbonyl waa *5* **mM.**  For spectroelectrochemical analysis the solutions were placed in a Schlenk tube under **argon** and capped with **a** rubber septum.

# **2382** Organometallics, *Vol. 12, No.* **6,** *1993*

To introduce the samples into the spectroelectrochemical cell? a steel cannula, one end of which had been removed, was sealed to a Teflon flow line using small-diameter heat-shrink Teflon tubing. The tubing was shrunk by brief exposure to a Buneen burner flame. The **sharp** end of the cannula was **used** to penetrate the septum, and the cell was flushed with a flow of argon from the side arm. The end of the cannula was then dipped into the solution, and flow was achieved from the gas pressure. To stop the flow, the excess pressure was vented.

The infrared spectra were collected using a Mattaon Cygnus 100 FTIR equipped with a liquid-nitrogen-cooled MCT detector. All spectra were ratioed to the starting 5 mM solution of  $Fe(CO)_5$ and supporting electrolyte in THF. When a **scan** rate of *60* **mV/s**  was used, a 10-scan spectrum was collected in 2.5 8, meaning that each spectrum is the integrated response over a range of about 126 mV.

Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this research program.

OM9207774