

Subscriber access provided by American Chemical Society

Phosphorus-hydrogen and cyclopentadienyl carbon-hydrogen activation en route to homo- and heterobinuclear zirconocene phosphide and phosphinidene complexes

Jianwei Ho, Zhaomin Hou, Robert J. Drake, and Douglas W. Stephan Organometallics, **1993**, 12 (8), 3145-3157• DOI: 10.1021/om00032a043 • Publication Date (Web): 01 May 2002 **Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 8, 2009**

More About This Article

The permalink<http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/om00032a043>provides access to:

- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

P-H and Cyclopentadienyl C-H Activation en Route to Homo- and Heterobinuclear Zirconocene Phosphide and Phosphinidene Complexes

Jianwei Ho, Zhaomin Hou, Robert J. Drake, and Douglas W. Stephan*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Windsor, Windsor, Ontario, Canada N9B 3P4

Received March 9, 1993

Oxidative additions of P-H bonds to "zirconocene" have been studied. The resulting **zirconocene-phosphide-hydrides** are not stable and react further to give a variety of products the nature of which depends on the electronic and steric properties of the phosphide moiety. In the case of the $PhPH_2$ derivative, cyclopentadienyl C-H activation occurs affording the fulvalenide-phosphide dimer $[CDZr(\mu-PHPh)]_2(\mu-\eta^5-\eta^5-C_{10}H_8)$ (1) in the similar reaction of $CyPH_2$, the isolated product is $[Cp_2Zr(\mu\text{-}PHCy)]_2$ **(2).** The isolated derivative of (2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂)- PH_2 resembles 1 and was confirmed crystallographically to be $[CDZr(\mu-PH(2,4,6-Me_3C_6H_2)]_2$ - $(\mu - \eta^5 - \eta^5 - C_{10}H_8)$ (3). The compound 3 crystallizes in the space group P1 with $a = 12.037(8)$ Å, $\ddot{b} = 14.699(8)$ Å, $c = 10.514(5)$ Å, $\alpha = 103.79(5)$ °, $\beta = 108.87(5)$ °, $\gamma = 101.89(6)$ °, $Z = 2$, and $V = 1626(2)$ Å³. In the case of Ph₃SiPH₂ three products were obtained. [Cp₂Zr(μ -PH(SiPh₃)]₂ (4) and $[CDZr(\mu-PH(SiPh₃))]_2(\mu-\eta^5-\eta^5-C_{10}H_8)$ (5) are the major products, while $[CDZr]_2(\mu-PH (SiPh_3)(\mu-PSiPh_3)(\mu-\eta^5-\eta^5-C_{10}H_8)$ (6) is also formed in low yield. The compound 4-THF crystallizes in the space group $P2_1/n$ with $a = 11.653(15)$ Å, $b = 14.355(6)$ Å, $c = 16.958(9)$ Å, $\beta = 93.74(8)$ °, $Z = 4$, and $\bar{V} = 2830(6)$ Å³, while 5 crystallizes in the space group $C2/c$ with $a = 15.155(6)$ Å, $b = 17.304(8)$ Å, $c = 17.822(7)$ Å, $\beta = 93.79(3)$ °, $Z = 4$, and $V = 4663(3)$ Å³. The phosphidephosphinidene-bridged, mixed-valent Zr(IV)/Zr(III) compound $6\cdot$ [(THF)₂Li(μ -Cl)]₂^{*T*HF} crystallizes in the space group $P\overline{1}$ with $a = 11.063(7)$ Å, $b = 30.112(24)$ Å, $c = 10.874(8)$ Å, $\alpha =$ $96.21(7)$ °, $\beta = 119.10(5)$ °, $\gamma = 84.21(7)$ °, $Z = 2$, and $V = 3142(9)$ Å³. The course of these reactions is discussed in terms of the electronic and steric properties of the phosphines. The mechanism for cyclopentadienyl C-H activation is considered, and a dimeric $Zr(\dot{IV})$ intermediate [CpZr- $(\mu_{\rm}n^5\eta^1\text{-}C_5H_4)(PHR)$ is proposed on the basis of spectroscopic data derived from monitoring these reactions. Alternative synthetic routes to these dimers were explored. The dimers **2** and **4** were obtained from the reactions of zirconocene dichloride with Mg in the presence of the respective phosphine. These dimers **2** and 4 were also isolated from the reaction of the primary phosphine with zirconocene-hydride chloride. The compound $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PHPh)]_2$ (7) was isolated in a similar reaction. The species 7 crystallizes in the space group $C2/c$ with $a = 30.083(13)$ Å, $b = 9.175(6)$ Å, $c = 21.292(9)$ Å, $\beta = 111.35(3)$ °, $Z = 8$ and $V = 5473(9)$ Å³. Direct reaction of Li₂PPh and Cp₂ZrCl₂ or deprotonation of 2 generates the bis(phosphinidene)-bridged homobimetallics $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PR)]_2^2$ (R = Ph, 8a, R = Cy, 8b). Reaction of 8a with a proton source and TMEDA affords the mono(phosphinidene)-bridged complex $[(Cp_2Zr)_2(\mu-PHPh)(\mu-PPh)]$ -[(THF)₂Li(TMEDA)] **(9).** The compound 9-THF crystallizes in the space group $P\bar{1}$ with $a = 13.520(5)$ Å, $b = 18.313(7)$ Å, $c = 11.411(4)$ Å, $\alpha = 99.27(3)$ °, $\beta = 105.43(3)$ °, $\gamma = 98.89(3)$ °, Z 13.520(5) Å, $b = 18.313(7)$ Å, $c = 11.411(4)$ Å, $\alpha = 99.27(3)$ °, $\beta = 105.43(3)$ °, $\gamma = 98.89(3)$ °, $Z = 2$, and $V = 2630(2)$ Å³. Similarly, reaction of 8a with Me₃O⁺ generates the species [(Cp₂- $Zr)_2(\mu-PMePh)(\mu-PPh)]$ Li (10). The heterobimetallic complex $Cp_2Zr(\mu-PHCy)(\mu-\eta^1-\eta^2-OC)$ Mo(C0)Cp **(11)** is derived from the reaction of **2** with [CpMo(C0)3]2. This product crystallizes in the space group P1 with $a = 14.425(4)$ Å, $b = 16.871(4)$ Å, $c = 10.411(4)$ Å, $\alpha = 102.24(4)$ °, $\beta = 104.14(2)$ ^o, $\gamma = 65.44(2)$ ^o, $Z = 2$, and $V = 2217(1)$ Å³. Deprotonation of 11 affords the phosphinidene-bridged heterobimetallic $[CD_2Zr(\mu-PCy)(\mu-\eta^2-OC)Mo(CO)Cp]$ ⁻ (12). This process is reversible. The chemistry and structural data for these phosphide and phosphinidene species are presented and discussed. In particular, the structural data for the $Zr(III)$ species are considered in light of recent postulates of "super-long" metal-metal bonding in related zirconocene dimers. Some of the discussion is supported by the results of Fenske-Hall and extended Huckel molecular orbital calculations.

Introduction

The wide spread application of metal-carbon, -oxygen, -sulfur, and -nitrogen multiple bonds throughout organometallic chemistry1 has spurred recent interest in transition metal phosphinidenes. Generally the most common routes to such compounds have been either the metathesis of halide ions from dihalophosphines by metal carbonylate anions or the thermolysis or photolysis **of** specifically desiged phosphinidene precursors.² These synthetic strategies are not applicable to group **4** metals, and thus few Ti, **Zr,** or Hf phosphinidene complexes, or for that matter phosphides, are known. It was in 1966

⁽¹⁾ *Metol-Ligand Multiple Bonds;* **Nugent, W. A., Mayer, J. M., Eds.; J. Wiley and Sons: New York, 1988.**

⁽²⁾ For recent review see: Huttner, G. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1986,58,585.**

⁰ **1993** American Chemical Society

and 1967 when the first reports³ of early metal phosphide derivatives appeared; however, it was not until 1983 that Baker et al.4 synthesized and structurally characterized a series of Zr and Hf species of the form $Cp_2M(PR_2)_2$. Later that same year, Baker et al.⁵ also described homoleptic phosphide species such as $[Li(DME)]Hf(PCy_2)_5]$. Since then, related phosphide complexes **of** the forms Cp2M- $(PRR')_2$ ⁶ Cp₂M(PPh)₂,⁷ and Cp₂M(PR)₂8,9</sub> have been prepared and characterized.1° The chemistry of the related compounds $Cp*HfCl_2(P(CMe_3)_2)$ and $Cp*HfCl(P(CMe_3)_2)_2$ has been examined by Bercaw et al.,¹¹ while Hillhouse et al. have studied the chemistry of $Cp*_{2}HfH(PHPh).^{12}$ Gambarotta et al.¹³ as well as ourselves¹⁴ have studied the chemical, structural, magnetic, and theoretical aspects of dimeric Ti(III) and $Zr(III)$ phosphides of the form $[Cp₂M (\mu$ -PR₂)₁₂, while more recently the mononuclear intermediates in the formation of the Ti(II1) dimers have drawn attention.^{15,16} Related systems that contain the phosphinidene moiety (PR) are rare. Cowley et al. have described the compound $(CpV(CO)₂)₂(\mu$ -PR).¹⁷ More recently we described the use of oxidative addition of P-H bonds as a synthetic route to the first Zr-phosphinidene complex $(Cp_2ZrCl)₂(\mu-PR).¹⁸$ In this report, this approach is expanded and the dependence of the product on the nature of the phosphine examined. The Zr(IV) phosphidehydrides formed by initial oxidative addition of P-H to $Zr(II)$ are unstable, either losing H_2 to give highly reactive Zr-phosphinidene intermediates or forming Zr(II1) dimers. Cyclopentadienyl C-H activation by the transient Zrphosphinidenes affords related fulvalenide-phosphide dimers. An alternative approach to Zr-phosphinidene derivatives is the deprotonation of primary phosphide complexes. Such deprotonations are reversible affording the facile interconversion of Zr phosphide and phosphinidene species. This method has been extended to a heterobimetallic Zr/Mo species. Fenske-Hall and extended Huckel molecular orbital calculations for the dimeric Zr(II1) phosphide and phosphinidene complexes have been considered in the light of the structural and spectroscopic data as well as recent claims of "super-long" metal-metal bonding.^{19,20} Preliminary results of some of the chemistry described herein have been previously $commu_nicated.^{18,21}$

- **(4)** Baker, R. T.; Whitney, J. F.; Wreford, S. S. *Organometallics* **1983,** *2,* **1049.**
- **(5)** Baker, R. T.; Krusic, P. J.; Tulip, T. H.; Calabrese, J. C.; Wreford, *S.* **S.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1983, 105,6763.**
	- **(6)** Benac, B. **L.;** Jones, R. A. *Polyhedron* **1989,8, 1774.**
	-
	- **(7)** Hey, E.; Bott, S. G.; Atwood, J. L. *Chem.* Ber. **1988, 121, 561. (8)** Wade, **S.** R.; Wallbridge, M. G. H.; Willey, G. R. J. *Chem. SOC. D.*
- **(9)** Weber, **L.;** Meine, G.; Boese, R.; Augart, N. *Organometallics* **1987, 1983,2555. 6. I~~~~ 2484.**
- (10) Some of this chemistry has been reviewed: Huttner, G. Pure *Appl.* Chem. **1986**, 58, 585. **.**

(11) Roddick, D. M.; Santarsiero, B. D.; Bercaw, J. E. J. *Am. Chem.*
- *SOC.* **1985,107, 4670.**
- **(12)** Vaughan, **G. A.;** Hillhouae,G. L.;Rheingold, A. L. *Organometallics* **1989,8, 1760.**
- **(13)** Chiang, M. **Y.;** Gambarotta, S.; Bohuis, F. V. *Organometallics* 1988, 7, 1864.
	- **(14)** Dick, D. G.; Stephan, D. W. *Can.* J. *Chem.* **1991,69, 1146.**
	- **(15)** Dick, D. G.; Stephan, D. W. *Organometallics* **1991, 10, 2811.**
	- **(16)** Baker, R. T. Personal communication.
	- (17) Cowley, A. H.; Pellerin, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6734.
(18) Ho, J.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 1991, 10, 3001.
(19) Rohmer, M. M.; Benard, M. Organometallics 1991, 10, 157.
	-
- **(20)** (a) Benard, B.; Rohmer, M. M. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1992,114,4785.**
- (b) Rohmer, M. M.; Benard, B. *Organometallics* **1991,10, 157.**

Ho et al.

Experimental Section

General Data. All preparations were done under an atmosphere of dry, O_2 -free N_2 employing either Schlenk techniques or a Vacuum Atmospheres inert-atmosphere glovebox. Solvents were reagent grade, distilled from the appropriate drying agents under N_2 and degassed by the freeze-thaw method at least three times prior to use. ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-300 operating at 300 and 75 MHz, respectively. 31P{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-200 operating at 81 MHz. Trace amounts of protonated solvents were used **as** references, and chemical shifts are reported relative to SiMe,. EPR spectra were recorded on a Varian E-12 EPR spectrometer. DPPH was used **as** the external reference. Combustion analyses were performed by Galbraith Laboratories Inc., Knoxville, TN, and Schwarzkopf Laboratories, Woodside, NY. Cp₂ZrCl₂, Cp₂-ZrCHl, and n-BuLi were purchased from the Aldrich Chemical Co. PhPH₂, CyPH₂, $(2,4,6-Me_3C_6H_2)PH_2$, $(C_6H_5)_3SiPH_2$, and [CpMo(CO)a]z were purchased from either the Strem Chemical Co. or the Pressure Chemical Co.

Synthesis of $[CDZr(\mu-PHPh)]_2(\mu-\eta^5-\eta^5-C_{10}H_8)$ (1). Cp_2ZrCl_2 (292 mg, 1.00 mmol) was dissolved in THF (50 mL), and n-BuLi $(0.80 \text{ mL}, 2.5 \text{ M}, 2.00 \text{ mmol})$ was added at -78 °C. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and PhPHz (110 mg, 1.00 mmol) was added, and the new mixture was allowed to stand overnight during which time dark brown crystals of **1** were deposited. Yield: 30% . ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 25 °C, δ): 7.68-7.10 (m, 10H, Ph); 5.49 *(s, 10H, Cp)*; 4.76 *(d of m, 4H, C₁₀H₈)*, 3.97 *(d of m, 4H,* $C_{10}H_8$) $|J_{H-H}| = 3.6$ Hz. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 25 °C, δ): 137.77, 136.74, 129.07, 125.42 (Ph); 113.97, 93.71, 87.60 (C₁₀H₈); 95.36 (Cp). Anal. Calc: C, 58.33; H, 4.59. Found: C, 58.10; H, 4.30.

Synthesis of $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PHCy)]_2$ (2). Method i. Cp_2ZrCl_2 (292 mg, 1.00 mmol) was dissolved in THF (50 mL), and n-BuLi $(0.80 \text{ mL}, 2.5 \text{ M}, 2.00 \text{ mmol})$ was added at -78 °C. The mixture was warmed to room temperature for 1 h and then cooled to -78 $\rm ^{\circ}C$ where CyPH₂ (116 mg, 1.00 mmol) was added. The mixture was allowed to stand at 25 °C overnight. During this time black crystals of 2 were deposited. Yield: 50% .

Method ii. Cp₂ZrCl₂ (292 mg, 1.00 mmol) was dissolved in THF (50 mL), and excess Mg was added. The mixture was stirred for 0.5 h. After filtering off of the excess Mg, $CyPH₂$ (116 mg, 1.00 mmol) was added and the mixture was allowed to stand overnight during which time black crystals of 2 were deposited. Yield: 90%.

Method iii. To a suspension of Cp_2ZrHCl (258 mg, 1.00 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added $CyPH₂$ (116 mg, 1.00 mmol), and the mixture was allowed to stand overnight during which time black crystals of 2 were deposited. Yield: 45% . ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 25 [°]C, δ): 6.21 (s, 10H, Cp); 1.2-2.5 (m, 11H, Cy). Anal. Calc: C, 57.10; H, 6.59. Found: C, 57.33; H, 6.87.

Synthesis of $\left[$ CpZr(μ -PH(2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂)]₂(μ - η ⁵- η ⁵-C₁₀H₈) (3). Cp_2ZrCl_2 (292 mg, 1.0 mmol) wad dissolved in THF (50 **mL),** and n-BuLi (0.80 mL, 2.5 M, 2.00 mmol) was added at -78 "C. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 1 h and then cooled to –78 °C where $(2,4,6\text{-Me}_3\mathrm{C}_6\mathrm{H}_2)\mathrm{PH}_2$ (152 mg, 1.00 mmol) was added. The mixture was allowed to slowly come to 25 °C overnight. Upon the slow diffusion of hexane into the solution, brown crystals of 3 were deposited. Yield: 20% . ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 25 °C, δ): 6.81 (s, 4H, Ph); 5.53 (s, 10H, Cp); 4.99 (m, 4H, C₁₀H₈), 3.64 (m, 4H, C₁₀H₈); 2.15 (s, 12H, CH₃); 2.05 (s, 6H, CHI). Anal. Calc: C, 61.42; H, 5.70. Found: C, 61.20; H, 5.50.

Synthesis of $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PHSiPh_3)]_2$ (4). Method i. Cp₂- $ZrCl₂$ (292 mg, 1.00 mmol) was dissolved in THF (50 mL), and n-BuLi (0.80 mL, 2.5 M, 2.00 mmol) was added at -78 "C. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature for 1 h and then cooled to –78 $^{\rm o}{\rm C}$ where ${\rm Ph_3SiPH_2}$ (292 mg, 1.00 mmol) was added. The mixture was allowed to stand, slowly coming to 25 "C overnight. During this time blue-black crystals of 4-THF were deposited. Yield: 10% .

Method ii. Cp₂ZrCl₂ (292 mg, 1.00 mmol) was dissolved in THF (50 mL), and excess Mg was added. The mixture was

(21) Ho, J.; Stephan, D. W. *Organometallics* **1992, 11, 1014.**

⁽³⁾ (a) Ellerman, J.; Poersch, P. *Angew. Chem., Znt. Ed. Engl.* **1967,** *6,* **355.** (b) Issleib, K.; Hackert, H. *2. Naturforsch.* **1966, 21b, 519.**

allowed to stand for 1 h. After filtering off of the excess Mg, PhsSiPHz (292 mg, 1.00 mmol) was added and the mixture was allowed to stand overnight during which time blue-black crystals of 4.THF were deposited. Yield: 50% .

Method iii. To a suspension of Cp₂ZrHCl(258 mg, 1.00 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added $Ph₃SiPH₂ (292 mg, 1.00 mmol)$, and the mixture was stirred for 24 h. On standing overnight, blueblack crystals of 4.THF were deposited. Yield: 45%. ¹H NMR $(C_6D_6, 25 \text{ °C}, \delta)$: 7.63 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.58 (s, 6H, Ph), 7.55 (s, 6H, Ph); 6.21 **(s,** 10H, Cp). Anal. Calc: C, 65.58; H, 5.11. Found C, 65.30; H, 5.10.

Synthesis of $\left[\text{CpZr}(\mu\text{-PHSiPh}_3)\right]_2(\mu\text{-}\eta^5\text{-}\eta^5\text{-}C_{10}\text{H}_8)$ **(5) and** $[CDZr]_{2}(\mu\text{-PHSiPh}_{3})(\mu\text{-PSiPh}_{3})(\mu\text{-}\eta^{5}\text{-}\eta^{5}\text{-}C_{10}H_{3})\cdot[(THF)_{2}Li(\mu\text{-}D)$ **Cl)]z (6).** The reaction is performed **as** described above for 4 (method i). After isolation of 4, slow diffusion of hexane into the mother liquor affords red-brown crystals of **5** in 35% yield. In addition a few needles of the red-brown 6 were physically separated from the product. Yield (estimated): $\leq 2\%$. ¹H NMR for 5 (C₆D₆, 25 °C, δ): 7.75-7.72 9m, 30H, Ph); 5.61 (s, 10H, Cp), 6.31 (m, $4H$, $C_{10}H_8$), 5.21 (m, $4H$, $C_{10}H_8$). Anal. Calc: C, 65.71; H, 4.92. Found: C, 65.50; H, 4.80.

Svnthesis of $[CD_2Zr(\mu-PHPh)]_2(7)$. To a suspension of CD_2 -ZrHCl $(257 \text{ mg}, 1.00 \text{ mmol})$ in toluene was added PPhH₂ (110 mmol) mg, 1.00 mmol). This mixture was stirred overnight, becoming dark brown. Purple needles were deposited. These needles were recrystallized from THF/hexane, affording dark brown crystals of 7. Yield: 10% . ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 25 °C, δ): 7.28-7.21 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.94 (m, 2H, Ph); 6.21 **(8,** 10H, Cp). Anal. Calc: C, 65.58; H, 5.11. Found: C, 65.30; H, 5.10.

 THF solution of $PhPH₂$ (1.100 g, 10.0 mmol) was added 2 equiv of n-BuLi (8.0 mL, 2.5 M, 20.0 mmol) at -78 °C. To the resulting suspension of yellow solid in an orange solution was added Cp₂- $ZrCl₂$ (2.920 g, 10.0 mmol). The dark red-brown mixture was stirred overnight. On storage at -30 "C overnight black extremely air sensitive crystals of **Sa** were deposited. EPR (THF, 25 "C): $g = 2.018$, $\langle a_{\rm P} \rangle = 17.7 \text{ G}$, $\langle a_{\rm Zr} \rangle = 7.0 \text{ G}$. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 25 °C₁ 6): 7.60-7.53 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.39-7.31 (m, 6H, Ph); 6.02 **(8,** 20H, Cp), 3.55 (s, 24H, THF), 1.40 **(8,** 24H, THF).

Generation of $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PCy)]_2K_2$ **(8b).** To a THF solution of 2 (0.050 g, 0.074 mmol) was added excess KH. The mixture which became dark red-brown was stirred overnight. The resulting solution **was** filtered, stored at -30 "C for several days, and monitored by EPR spectroscopy. EPR (THF, 25 °C): $g =$ 2.016, $\langle a_{\rm P} \rangle$ = 17.5 G.

Synthesis of $[(Cp_2Zr)_2(\mu-PHPh)(\mu-PPh)][(THF)_2Li-$ **(TMEDA)] (9).** To a THF solution of **8a** formed as above was added 1 equiv of TMEDA-HCl. From this mixture, black crystals of 9 were deposited. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 25 °C, δ): 7.64-7.61 (m, 10H, Ph), 5.68 (s,20H, Cp), 3.56 (br s,8H, THF), 1.40 (br s,8H, THF), 2.36 (s,4H, TMEDA), 2.10 (s,12H, TMEDA). EPR (THF, 25 °C): $g = 2.016$, $\langle a_{\rm P} \rangle = 13.6$ G, $\langle a_{\rm H} \rangle = 2.0$ G, $\langle a_{\rm Zr} \rangle = 7.0$ G. Anal. Calc: C, 59.58; H, 6.85. Found: C, 59.30; H, 6.70.

Generation of $[(Cp_2Zr)_2(\mu-PMePh)(\mu-PPh)]Li$ **(10).** To a solution of 8a was added 1 equiv of Me₃OBF₄. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and the reaction monitored by EPR. EPR (THF, 25 °C): $g = 2.004$, $\langle a_{\rm P} \rangle = 19.5$ G, $\langle a_{\rm P} \rangle = 14.0$ G.

Synthesis of $\mathbf{Cp_2Zr}(\mu\text{-}PHCy)(\mu\text{-}OC)\text{Mo}(CO)Cp(11)$ **. This** compound was synthesized by employing the method previously published for $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\mu-\text{PR}_2)(\mu-\text{OC})\text{Mo}(\text{CO})\text{Cp}$ with the use of 2 **as** the Zr precursor. Recrystallization of 11 from benzene/ acetonitrile gave orange crystals. Yield: 60% . ³¹P NMR (C₆H₆, $25 °C$, δ): 136.4 (d, $|J_{P-H}| = 298 \text{ Hz}$). ³¹P NMR (C₄H₈O, 25 °C, δ): 136.0 (d, $|J_{P-H}| = 298$ Hz). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 25 °C, δ): 5.45 $(d, |J_{P-H}| = 0.2$ Hz, 5H, Cp), 5.18 (d, $|J_{P-H}| = 1.2$ Hz, 5H, Cp), 4.98 $(s, 5H, Cp), 4.51$ (d of d, $|J_{P-H}| = 298$ Hz, $|J_{H-H}| = 9.0$ Hz, 1H, PH), Cp), 5.33 (s, 5H, Cp), 5.13 (s, 5H, Cp), 4.79 (d of d, $(d, |J_{P-H}| =$ 1.20-2.50 (m, 11H, Cy). ¹H NMR (C₄D₈O, 25 °C, δ): 5.65 (s, 5H, 298 Hz, $|J_{H-H}|$ = 8.2 Hz, 1H, PH), 1.30-2.60 (m, 11H, Cy). IR (C4Hs0, cm-1): 1855, 1564. Anal. Calcd: C, 49.90; H, 4.92. Found: C, 49.50; H, 4.80.

Generation of $[CD_2Zr(\mu-PCy)(\mu-OC)Mo(CO)CD]K$ **(12).** To a THF solution of 11 was added excess KH. The mixture was stirred for 2-3 days, during which time the color changed from orange to brick-red. The mixture was filtered and the product examined by NMR and IR. Attempts to isolate the product either by addition of 18-crown-6 or by cation exchange with several ammonium salts were unsuccessful. Yield (by NMR): >95%. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (C₄D₈O, 25 °C, δ): 259.8 (s). ¹H NMR (C₄D₈O, 25 (m, 11H, Cy). IR (C₄H₈O, cm⁻¹): 1795, 1531. $°C$, δ): 5.39 (s, 5H, Cp), 5.31 (s, 5H, Cp), 4.90 (s, 5H, Cp), 1.1-2.4

Deuteration of 12. To a solution of 12 was added a slight excess of ND₄Cl. The reaction resulted in a color change from brick-red to orange. The product $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\mu^2-\text{PDCy})(\mu^2-\text{OC})\text{Mo-}$ $(CO)Cp(11b)$ was characterized by NMR spectroscopy. A small amount of 11 was also observed due to the incomplete deuteration of the ammonium salt. The NMR spectrum of 1 lb was identical to that reported for 11 except for the absence of the P-H proton resonance. ³¹P NMR for $(\bar{C}_4H_8O, 25 \text{ °C } 11b, \delta)$: 134.3 (t, $|J_{P-D}|$
= 47 Hz).

X-ray Data Collection and Reduction.22 X-ray-quality crystals of 1-7, **9,** and 11 were obtained directly from the preparations **as** described above. The crystals were manipulated and mounted in capillaries in a glovebox, thus maintaining a dry, 02-free environment for each crystal. Diffraction experiments were performed on a Rigaku AFC6 diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromatized Mo *Ka* radiation. The initial orientation matrices were obtained from 20 machine-centered reflections selected by an automated peak search routine. These data were used to determine the crystal systems. Automated Laue system check routines around each axis were consistent with the crystal systems reported in Table I. Ultimately, 25 reflections (20 \degree < 2 θ < 25 \degree) were used to obtain the final lattice parameters and the orientation matrices. Machine parameters, crystal data, and data collection parameters are summarized in Table I. The observed extinctions were consistent with the space group given in Table I. The data seta were collected in one shell $(4.5\degree \leq 2\theta \leq 50.0\degree)$, and three standard reflections were recorded every 197 reflections. Fixed scan rates were employed. Up to four repetitive scans of each reflection at the respective scan rates were averaged to ensure meaningful counting statistics. The number of scans of each reflection was determined by the intensity. The intensities of the standards showed no statistically significant change over the duration of the data collection. The data were processed using the TEXSAN crystal solution package operating on a VAX 3520 workstation. The reflections with F_o^2 $> 3\sigma F_0^2$ were used in the refinement.

Structure Solution and Refinement. Non-hydrogen atomic scattering factors were taken from the literature tabulations.^{23,24} The Zr atom positions were determined using direct methods by employing either the SHELX-86 or MITHRIL direct-methods routines. In each case, the remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located from successive difference Fourier map calculations. The refinements were carried out by using full-matrix least-squares techniques on F, minimizing the function $w(|F_o|- |F_c|)^2$, where the weight, *w*, is defined as $4F_o^2/2\sigma(F_o^2)$ and F_o and F_c are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes. In the final cycles of refinement all heavy atoms were assigned anisotropic temperature factors. The number of carbon atoms assigned anisotropic thermal parameters varied and was set **so** as to maintain a reasonable data:variable ratio in each case. In the case of 6 the asymmetric unit also contained half of a molecule of $[(THF)_2Li (\mu$ -Cl)]₂ as well as a molecule of THF. Similarly, in the case of 4 and 9, THF molecules of crystallization were **also** located and refined. Hydrogen atom positions were calculated and allowed

⁽²²⁾ The details of the crystallographic determinations of compounds 1 and 2 have been previously reported.18.21 (23) (a) cromer, D. T.; Mann, J. B. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst.*

Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr. 1968, A24, 324. (b) Cromer, D. T.;
Mann, J. B. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen.

Crystallogr. **1968, A24, 390. (24) Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T.** *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography;* **Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974.**

Table I. **Crystallographic Parameters**

to ride on the carbon to which they are bonded by assuming a C-H bond length of 0.95 **A.** Hydrogen atom temperature factors were fixed at 1.10 times the isotropic temperature factor of the carbon atom to which they are bonded. In all cases the hydrogen atom contributions were calculated but not refined. The final values of R and $R_{\rm w}$ are given in Table I. The maximum Δ/σ values on any of the parameters in the final cycles of the refinements are given in Table I. The residual electron densities were of no chemical significance. Thermal parameters (Table **Sl),** hydrogen atom parameters (Table **S2),** and selected bond distances and angles for the various Zr dimers (Table **S3)** have been deposited as supplementary material.

Molecular Orbital Calculations. Extended Huckel and Fenske-Hall molecular orbital calculations were performed on a VAX **3520** workstation. EHMO calculations were performed by employing the implimentation of FORTICON8 resident in the package TRIBBL.²⁵ A detailed description of the Fenske-Hall nonempirical MO method has been described elsewhere.²⁶ Models were constructed on the basis of idealized geometries derived from the crystallographic data presented herein.

Results and Discussion

Syntheses. "Cp₂Zr" can be generated for in situ use by employing the method established by Negishi et al. $27,28$ This is done via the reaction of Cp₂ZrCl₂ with 2 equiv of n -BuLi at -78 °C in THF.

 β -Hydrogen elimination from the intermediate dialkyl species affords the highly reactive $Zr(II)-alkene$ intermediate which is the effective source of zirconocene. Reaction of this species with a series of primary phosphines has been investigated. In each case immediate reaction is apparent from the dramatic color change upon addition of the phosphine. The initial pale solutions become brownblack within *5* min. The isolated products of the reactions were the crystalline brown/black materials **1-3,** obtained from these reactions using PhPH2, CyPH2, and **(2,4,6-** $Me₃C₆H₂$) PH₂, respectively, while in the case of Ph₃SiPH₂, three brown-black products **4-6** were obtained. The compounds **1-5** exhibited 'H NMR resonances attributable to the cyclopentadienyl groups and the respective phosphine substituent. However, in the case of **1, 3,** and **5,** there were additional resonances attributable to a fulvalenide moiety. In each case, no ¹H NMR resonances attributable to phosphide protons or ^{31}P ^{{1}H} NMR resonances were observed. These apparently conflicting results prompted crystallographic studies of these products. These investigations confirmed that **1-5** are Zr(II1) phosphide dimers, where 1, **3,** apd **5** are formulated **as** $[CpZr(\mu-PRH)]_2(\mu-\eta^5-\eta^5-C_{10}H_8)$ while 2 and 4 are formulated as $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PRH)]_2$, respectively (vide infra). In the case of **6,** the yield was extremely low. In fact only a couple of crystals were physically separated from the other products **4** and **5.** Thus, this species was only characterized by crystallography, which confirmed the formulation of **6 as** the most unusual Zr(IV)/Zr(III) mixed-valent compound $[CDZr]_2(\mu$ -PHSiPh₃)(μ -PSiPh₃)(μ - η ⁵- η ⁵-C₁₀H₈). Numer-

⁽²⁵⁾ Pensak, **D. A.;** Wndoloski, J. J. Quantum Chemistry **Program** Exchange, No. **529.**

⁽²⁶⁾ Hall, **M. B.;** Fenske, R. F. *Znorg.* Chem. *1972,11,* **768.**

⁽²⁷⁾ Swanson, **D. R.;** Negishi, E. Organometallics **1991,** *10,* **825. (28)** Negishi, E.; Cederbaum, F. E.; Takahashi, T. Tetrahedron Lett. **1986,27, 2829.**

Table I1 **(Continued)**

atom	x	у	z	atom	\boldsymbol{x}	у	z	
Molecule 11								
Mo(1)	0.01966(6)	0.37577(5)	0.22162(7)	C(41)	$-0.104(1)$	0.4921(7)	0.106(1)	
Mo(2)	0.35626(6)	$-0.18468(5)$	0.78721(8)	C(42)	$-0.0559(9)$	0.5276(7)	0.216(2)	
Zr(1)	0.14249(6)	0.19876(5)	0.36349(8)	C(43)	$-0.080(1)$	0.5106(8)	0.327(1)	
Zr(2)	0.47741(7)	$-0.24060(7)$	1.07806(9)	C(44)	$-0.144(1)$	0.4652(8)	0.281(2)	
P(1)	$-0.0066(2)$	0.2413(1)	0.1562(2)	C(45)	$-0.1578(8)$	0.4544(6)	0.143(1)	
P(2)	0.5305(2)	$-0.2854(2)$	0.8416(2)	C(51)	0.5850(6)	$-0.3958(5)$	0.7491(8)	
O(1)	0.2044(4)	0.3076(4)	0.4526(6)	C(52)	0.6948(8)	$-0.4460(7)$	0.812(1)	
O(2)	0.1607(6)	0.3089(5)	0.0097(7)	C(53)	0.7437(9)	$-0.5365(7)$	0.735(1)	
O(3)	0.3081(5)	$-0.1471(4)$	1.0727(6)	C(54)	0.7415(8)	$-0.5294(7)$	0.596(1)	
O(4)	0.2844(6)	$-0.3398(5)$	0.7178(8)	C(55)	0.633(1)	$-0.4825(8)$	0.529(1)	
C(1)	$-0.0055(6)$	0.1882(5)	$-0.0193(8)$	C(56)	0.5835(8)	$-0.3924(7)$	0.605(1)	
C(2)	$-0.0914(8)$	0.2479(6)	$-0.1126(9)$	C(57)	0.3181(7)	$-0.1596(6)$	0.957(1)	
C(3)	$-0.0938(9)$	0.2076(7)	$-0.257(1)$	C(58)	0.3108(7)	$-0.2794(7)$	0.744(1)	
C(4)	$-0.099(1)$	0.1185(7)	$-0.278(1)$	C(61)	0.6396(9)	$-0.210(1)$	1.143(1)	
C(5)	$-0.0149(9)$	0.0590(7)	$-0.186(1)$	C(62)	0.610(1)	$-0.209(1)$	1.258(1)	
C(6)	$-0.0153(7)$	0.0994(6)	$-0.0415(9)$	C(63)	0.517(1)	$-0.138(1)$	1.269(1)	
C(7)	0.1350(7)	0.3405(5)	0.361(1)	C(64)	0.486(1)	$-0.0919(8)$	1.162(1)	
C(8)	0.1105(7)	0.3331(5)	0.091(1)	C(65)	0.564(1)	$-0.136(1)$	1.085(1)	
C(21)	0.0009(8)	0.2674(7)	0.501(1)	C(71)	0.405(1)	$-0.351(1)$	1.053(1)	
C(22)	0.0940(9)	0.2472(7)	0.590(1)	C(72)	0.500(2)	$-0.3989(9)$	1.035(2)	
C(23)	0.1445(8)	0.1587(7)	0.582(1)	C(73)	0.567(1)	$-0.388(1)$	1.159(2)	
C(24)	0.084(1)	0.1213(6)	0.487(1)	C(74)	0.498(1)	$-0.335(1)$	1.244(1)	
C(25)	$-0.0043(9)$	0.186(1)	0.436(1)	C(75)	0.402(1)	$-0.317(1)$	1.180(2)	
C(31)	0.260(1)	0.128(1)	0.198(2)	C(81)	0.2346(9)	$-0.085(1)$	0.647(1)	
C(32)	0.317(1)	0.1413(9)	0.305(3)	C(82)	0.290(1)	$-0.0403(8)$	0.737(1)	
C(33)	0.324(1)	0.091(1)	0.388(1)	C(83)	0.390(1)	$-0.0748(8)$	0.720(2)	
C(34)	0.268(1)	0.0443(7)	0.325(2)	C(84)	0.401(1)	$-0.1421(8)$	0.616(1)	
C(35)	0.230(1)	0.069(1)	0.207(2)	C(85)	0.305(1)	$-0.147(1)$	0.566(1)	
				α .	\blacktriangle 1.4 т.	α is α in α		

ous attempts to modify the reaction conditions to enhance the yield of **6** were unsuccessful affording instead **4** and **5.**

Alternative synthetic routes to these products were explored. The reaction of Cp_2ZrCl_2 with Mg is known to proceed in the presence of excess $PMe₃$ to give the $Zr(II)$ species $Cp_2Zr(PMe_3)_2^{29}$ With this precedent in mind, the reactions of Cp₂ZrCl₂, Mg, and primary phosphines were explored. A THF suspension of Cp_2ZrCl_2 with Mg was stirred at room temperature under an inert atmosphere for 0.5 h in order to initiate the heterogeneous reduction of Zr(1V). These red-brown mixtures became uniformly brown/black upon addition of the respective primary phosphines. In the case of the $CyPH₂$ and $Ph₂SiPH₂$, the crystalline products **2** and **4** could be obtained in this manner. Alternatively, compounds **2** and **4** could also be isolated from the direct reaction of Cp₂ZrHCl with the respective primary phosphines (Scheme I). A similar reaction of Cp₂ZrHCl with PPhH₂ affords the product $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PHPh)]_2$ (7), albeit in low yields. The formulation of **7** was confirmed crystallographically *(vide infra).*

Mechanistic Considerations of **P-H** and **Cyclopen**tadienyl C-H Activation. The reactions of Cp₂Zr with

Scheme I. Alternate Synthetic Route to Zr(II1)-Phosphide Dimers

primary phosphines have been monitored by 31P NMR. For the reaction of PPhHz a resonance is seen at **62** ppm with $|J_{P-H}|$ = 194 Hz, typical of terminal phosphides. This species is transient and is attributed to the initial oxidative addition of P-H affording the reactive species Cp_2ZrH- (PPhH) (Scheme **11).** Such intermediates have been observed spectroscopically in related reactions of $Cp*_{2}Zr$ with CyPH_2 ,¹⁸ while Vaughan and Hillhouse¹² have isolated and structurally characterized the analogous hafnium complexes Cp_2 HfH(PRH). Continued spectroscopic monitoring reveals the loss of the resonance attributable to $Cp_2ZrH(PPhH)$ and the appearence of a low-field resonance at **549** ppm, which shows no coupling to protons.30 This species is also short-lived and is thought to be the highly reactive terminal phosphinidene intermediate.³⁰ Subsequent reaction is evidenced by the growth of a doublet 31P resonance at 77 ppm, which is attributed to the cyclopentadienyl C-H addition to th Zr=PR moiety affording a species 13 in which η^5 - η^1 -cyclopentadienyl

⁽²⁹⁾ Kool, L. B.; **Rausch, M. D.; Alt, H. G.; Herberhold,** M.; **Thewalt, U.; Wolf, B.** *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1985,24, 394.**

⁽³⁰⁾ Similar **low-field resonances were observed in the other phosphine systems. No P-H coupling was seen. However, in** all **cases the species were transient and not isolable. The resonances observed for the** following phosphine reactions were $PH_2(C_6H_5t\text{-}Bu_3)$, 478 ppm; $PH_2(C_6H_2Me_3)$, 52 $\check{6}$ ppm; PH_2SiPh_3 , 262.9 ppm; and CyPH₂, 498.9 ppm.

Scheme 11. Proposed Mechanism of Formation of Zr(II1) Dimers

groups link two metal centers (Scheme 11). Subsequent rearrangement with the formation of a C-C bond affords the fulvalenide moieties found in **1,3,** and **5,** respectively. The proposal of the intermediate **13** is supported to some extent by the isolation of the phosphorus-capped species $[CDZr(\mu-\eta^5-\eta^1-C_5H_4)]_3P$ (14), as this species provides the

first structural precedent for such n^5-n^1 -cyclopentadienyl groups between Zr centers.21 In related chemistry, Choukroun et al. have recently reported the preparation of the species $[CpZrCl]_2(\mu-\eta^5-\eta^1-C_5H_4)$ via the thermolysis of Cp_2 -ZrHCl to $(CpZrCl)₂(\mu-\eta^5-\eta^5-C_{10}H_8),^{31}$ while Gambarotta et al.³² have described the synthesis of $[CDZrX]_2(\mu-\eta^5$ - η^5 -C₁₀H₈) (X = SPh, Cl, Br) from the Zr(III) species formulated as $[CDZr(PMe₃)(\mu-\eta^{1}-\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{4})]_{2}^{33}$ The activation of $C-H$ bonds by $Zr(IV)$ centers is also supported in principle by recent reports of agostic H interactions with Cp_2Zr^{IV} centers³⁴ as well as by the demonstrated ability of related imido systems to effect C-H activation.³⁵ $n^{1}-n^{5}$ -cyclopentadienyl groups have also been confirmed to be present in the related compounds $[Cp_2Th(\mu-\eta^5-\eta^1 C_5H_4$)₁₂,³⁶ [CpNbH(μ - η ⁵- η ¹-C₅H₄)₁₂,³⁷ and [CpTi(PMe₃)- $(\mu$ - η^5 - η^1 -C₅H₄)]₂.³³

In the formation of **2** and **4** C-H activation is not involved. These species may be derived from dimerization of the species $\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrH}(\text{PHR})$ with subsequent loss of H_2 . A more basic phosphide, as in $\rm Cp_2ZrH(PHCy)$, may favor

dimerization. In the case of Ph_3SiPH_2 it appears that these two reaction pathways are competitive and thus both types of products are obtained. In addition, the formation of **6** demonstrates a third low-yield reaction pathway as **6** is viewed as the combination of a Zr(1V) phosphinidene fragment with a Zr(II1) phosphide moiety.

It is more difficult to comment on the mechanism for the corresponding syntheses employing the reduction of CpzZrClz with Mg. **As** such reductions have been shown to yield $Zr(II)$ species,²⁷ one might suggest a mechanism similar to that offered above involving $C_{P2}Zr.^{38,39}$ However, if such amechanism were operative, then one would expect the same products for both routes. While this is the case for the formation of **2** and **4,** we have recently described the synthesis of the bridging phosphinidene complex $\rm(Cp_{2}$ - $ZrCl$ ₂(μ -P(2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂) (15) from the reaction of Cp₂- $ZrCl_2/Mg$ and (2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂)PH₂.¹⁸ The fact that 15 is isolated, rather than 3, from Mg reduction points to **an** alternate mechanism, perhaps involving P-H activation by a Zr(II1) intermediate.

The direct reaction of the primary phosphine with $Cp₂$ -ZrHCl **also** proved to be a synthetic route to **2,4,** and **7.** The initial step is believed to be the acid/base reaction of the hydride and the primary phosphine affording the species Cp₂Zr(PRH)Cl and H₂. Subsequent disproportionation and reduction to the observed products (Scheme 11) is supported by the established chemistry of the related **dialkylphosphide-chloride** complexes and the known instability of **zirconocene-bis(dialky1phosphides)** with respect to reduction to $Zr(III)$ at room temperature.^{4,8}

Homo- and Heterobiuuclear Phosphinidene-Bridged Complexes. Reaction of Cp_2ZrCl_2 with a slurry of Li_2 -PPh in THF followed by filtration and cooling to **-35** "C afforded the generation of an *extremely* air-sensitive and apparently thermally unstable material Sa. This product exhibited a strong triplet EPR signal. In a similar vein, treatment of the diamagnetic Zr(II1) primary phosphide dimer **2** with excess KH in THF afforded a similarly strong, triplet EPR spectrum. Solid state spectra of this species exhibited a half-field transition consistent with the presence of two Zr(II1) centers in the molecule. On the basis of these and subsequent reactivity data, the formulations for these products are suggested to be the salts of the dianionic, diphosphinidene species $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PR)]_2^2$ $(R = Ph (8a), Cy (8b))$ (Scheme III).⁴⁰ Reaction of 8a with 1 equiv of $NR₃H⁺$ in the presence of TMEDA-HCl resulted in the formation of $9.^{41}$ This species crystallized from THF/hexane. The EPR spectrum of **9** (Figure lb) is a doublet of triplets with hyperfine coupling to two phosphorus nuclei and to the proton of the phosphide bridge. This suggested that protonation of 8a had

⁽³¹⁾ Choukroun,R.;Raoult,Y.; Gervais,D.J. *Organomet. Chem.* **1990, 391, 189.**

⁽³²⁾ Wiestra, **Y.;** Gambarotta, S.; Spek, **A.** L.; Smeeta, W. J. J. *Organometallics* **1990, 9, 2142.**

U.; Honold, B. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986, 310,27. (33)** Kwl, **L.** B.; Rauech, M. D.; Alt, H. G.; Herberhold, M.; Thewalt,

⁽³⁴⁾ Bullock, R. M.; Lemke, F. R.; Szalda, D. J. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* **1990,112,3244.**

⁽³⁵⁾ (a) Walsh, **P.** J.; Hollander, F. J.; Bergman, R. G. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* **1988,110,8729.** (b) Walsh, **P.** J.; Carney, M. J.; Bergman, R. G. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC. 1991, 113, 6343.** (c) Walsh, **P.** J.; Hollander, F. 3.; Bergman, R. G. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **1992,428,13.**

⁽³⁶⁾ Baker, **E.** C.; Raymond, K. N.; Marks, T. J.; Wachter, W. **A.** J.

Am. Chem. SOC. **1974,96,7586. (37)** Guggenberger, **L. J.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1973,12, 294.**

⁽³⁸⁾ The species Cp₂ZrH is proposed as an intermediate in the Mg reduction of Cp₂ZrCl₂ arising from cyclopentadienyl C-H activation by Cp₂Zr".³⁷ While the role of this species in the mechanism of formation of **2** and **4** can not be eliminated, it is noted that the formation of CpzZrH requires **48** h, whereas evidence of **P-H** activation by CpzZr is observed upon addition of phosphine.

⁽³⁹⁾ Samuel, **E.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1983,22, 2967.**

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Crystallography data for **88,** support this formulation: Ho, J.; Drake, R. E.; Stephan, D. W. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1993,115, 3792.**

Figure 1. EPR spectra of (a) $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PPh)]_2^{2-}$ (8), (b) $[(Cp_2Zr)_2(\mu-PHPh)(\mu-PPh)]$ - **(9)**, and **(c)** $[(Cp₂Zr)₂(\mu-PMePh)(\mu-PPh)]$ ⁻ (10).

occurred. Similar to 8a, **9** also exhibited a half-field transition in the solid state spectrum indicative of two Zr(II1) centers. 'H NMR data for this crystalline product are consistent with the ratio of cyclopentadienyl, phenyl, TMEDA, and THF protons of 10:5:8:8. No signal attributable to a proton on phosphorus was observed, although this is not surprising given the paramagnetism of the **Zr-** (111) centers. The formulation of **9** as the phosphinidenephosphide-bridged complex $[(Cp_2Zr)_2(\mu-PPH)(\mu-PHPh)]$ - $[(\text{TMEDA})\text{Li}(\text{THF})_2]$ was confirmed crystallographically. Tetraalkylammonium salts of the the monoanion of 9 were isolated in a similar fashion by employing $NR₃H⁺$ and NR4+.40 The protonation of 8a to give **9** is reversible. Treatment of 9 with excess KH led to the complete loss of the EPR signal arising from **9** and the regeneration of the signal derived from 8a. Methylation of 8a using Me₃-OBF₄ afforded $[(Cp_2Zr)_2(\mu-PPh)(\mu-PMePh)]$ ⁻ (10) as evidenced by the doublet of doublets signal in the EPR spectrum (Figure 1c). The inequivalence of the phosphorus atoms is evidenced by the two distinct hyperfine couplings to phosphorus. Attempts to isolate **10** have been unsuccessful to date.

Scheme IV. Synthetic Route to Phosphide- and Phosphinidene-Bridged Heterobimetallics

A related, diamagnetic, primary phosphide-bridged heterobimetallic system is derived from the reaction of **2** with $[CpMo(CO)₃]$ ₂, a reaction that has been previously established for the analogous dialkylphosphido complexes.⁴²⁻⁴⁴ The product in this case is $Cp_2Zr(\mu$ - $PHCy)(\mu-\eta^1-\eta^2-OC)Mo(CO)Cp(11)$ (Scheme IV). The ³¹P-{'HI NMR of **11** is a single resonance of **136.0** ppm which exhibits coupling to a single proton of **298** Hz. Although both the P and Mo centers of **11** are chiral, the NMR data are consistent with the presence of only a single pair of diastereomers. Steric arguments suggest a preference for the diastereomers in which the phosphide substituent and the cyclopentadienyl ring on Mo are on opposite sides of the ZrPMo plane. This is confirmed by a crystallographic study. The reaction of **11** with excess KH was monitored by ³¹P NMR. As the reaction proceeds, the resonance attributable to **11** diminishes in intensity and ultimately is completely replaced by a single resonance at **259.8** ppm which exhibits no P-H coupling. The low-field shift **as** well as the absence of hydrogen coupling suggests that the phosphide complex **11** has been deprotonated yielding the phosphinidine-bridged complex $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PCy) (\mu - \eta^1 - \eta^2 - \text{OC})\text{Mo(CO)Cp}$ ⁻ (12). In a similar manner, the differences in the cyclopentadienyl lH resonances and *uco* between **11** and **12** are consistent with the generation of an anion. The deprotonation is quantitatively reversed by the addition of NH4C1 resulting in the regeneration of both the 'H and 31P resonances arising from **11.** The protonation of **12** appears to proceed regioselectively, in that only the original diastereomers of **11** are re-formed. Further, deuteration of **12** performed by treatment of **¹¹** with ND₄Cl affords the deuterated complex $Cp_2Zr(\mu-$ PDCy $(\mu-\eta^1-\eta^2-OC)M_0(CO)C_p$ (11b). The spectroscopic properties of **llb** are identical with those of **11** with the exception of the slight shift in the 31P resonance at **134.3** ppm and the P-D coupling of **47** Hz.

Structural Studies. ORTEP drawings of molecules **3-6,9,** and **11** are shown in Figures **2-7,** respectively. The numbering scheme for **7** is identical to that shown for **9;** thus an ORTEP of **7** is not shown.

Phosphide Dimers. In the case of 3 and **5,** Zr is bonded to two phosphido groups, a π -coordinated cyclopentadienyl ring, and a π -bound C₅H₄ fragment of a fulvalene moiety. It is the presence of the fulvalene unit that distinguishes

⁽⁴¹⁾ The compound $[(Cp_2Zr)_2(\mu-PHPh)(\mu-PPh)}[NPr_1]$ crystallizes in the space group $P\overline{1}$ with $a = 11.308(5)$ Å, $b = 18.430(9)$ Å, $c = 11.267(8)$ A, $\alpha = 93.86(6)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 116.83(4)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 89.85(5)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 2$, and $V = 2090(2)$
A, $\alpha = 93.86(6)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 116.83(4)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 89.85(5)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 2$, and $V = 2090(2)$ in the space group $C2/c$ with $a = 19.424(1)$ Å, $b = 12.294(8)$ Å, $c = 20.901$ - (11) $\text{Å}, \beta = 95.55(5)^\circ, Z = 4$, and $V = 4968(5)$ Å^3 . The compound $[(Cp_2 - Cq_1 + Cq_2)]$ Zr ₂(*u*-PHPh)(*u*-PPh)][NEt₈Pr] crystallizes in the space group $C2/c$ with $a = 20.946(31)$ Å, $b = 10.482(3)$ Å, $c = 18.771(7)$ Å, $\beta = 111.91(4)$ °, $Z = 4$, and $V = 3824(9)$ Å³.

⁽⁴²⁾ Zheng, P. Y.; Nadasdi, T. T.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 1989,8, 1393.

⁽⁴³⁾ Dick, D. G.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 1990, 9, 1910. (44) Dick, D. G.; Hou, Z.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 1992,11,

^{2378.}

⁽⁴⁵⁾ (a) Gambarotta, S.; Chiang, M. Y. Organometallics 1987,6,897. (b) Cuenca, T.; Herrmann, W. A,; Aahworth, T. V. Organometallics 1986, 5, 2514.

Figure **2.** ORTEP drawing of molecule **3** with 30% thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, with the exception of the hydrogen atoms on phosphorus.

Figure **3.** ORTEP drawing of molecule of **4** with 30% thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, with the exception of the hydrogen atoms on phosphorus.

Figure **4. ORTEP** drawing of molecule **5** with **30%** thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted **for** clarity.

the "top" from the "bottom" of these dimers. Presumably as a result of the fulvalene fragment the phosphide substituents on phosphorus adopt a cisoid orientation. In the case of **3** the P-H bonds are oriented away from the fulvalene moiety. In contrast, in **5,** the triphenylsilyl substituents are oriented away from the fulvalene unit, thereby minimizing the steric interactions between the fulvalene and Ph₃Si fragments. The fulvalene ligands are slightly bent with angles between the planes of the rings of 11.65 and 8.33° in 3 and 5, respectively. Small twists between the adjacent rings are also observed.

Figure **5.** ORTEP drawing **of** molecule **6** with 30% thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted and only the α carbon atoms of the phenyl substituents on Si are shown for clarity.

Figure **6.** ORTEP drawing of the anion of **9** with 30% thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Note that the numbering scheme used here is identical to that used for the neutral species **7.**

Figure **7.** ORTEP drawing of the two independent molecules of **11** in the asymmetric unit with 30% thermal ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Molecules **2,4,** and **7** are also Zr(II1) phosphido-bridged dimers, although the fulvalene moiety is replaced by two cyclopentadienyl groups. In these compounds the phosphide substituents adopt a transoid orientation. This conformation presumably offers the least steric interactions between the cyclopentadienyl rings and the phosphide substituents.

In the case of compounds 3 and 5 the Zr_2P_2 cores are not planar; rather they are puckered forming angles of 23.56 and 28.24° between the $\rm ZrP_2$ planes, respectively. In contrast the Zr2P2 cores of **2, 4,** and **7** are planar. The Zr-P distances range from 2.599(4) to 2.675(5) **A.** These

Table **111.** Selected Bond Distances **(A)** and Angles **(de)** for Molecule **11**

Distances								
$Mo(1)-Zr(1)$	3.230(2)	$Mo(2)-Zr(2)$	3.220(2)					
$Mo(1)-P(1)$	2.385(2)	$Mo(2)-P(2)$	2.385(3)					
$Mo(1)-C(7)$	1.897(9)	$Mo(2)-C(57)$	1.89(1)					
$Mo(1)-C(8)$	1.94(1)	$Mo(2) - C(58)$	1.90(1)					
$Mo(1)-C(41)$	2.35(1)	$Mo(2)-C(81)$	2.32(1)					
$Mo(1)-C(42)$	2.34(1)	$Mo(2)-C(82)$	2.34(1)					
$Mo(1)-C(43)$	2.33(1)	$Mo(2)-C(83)$	2.37(1)					
$Mo(1)-C(44)$	2.36(1)	$Mo(2)-C(84)$	2.36(1)					
$Mo(1)-C(45)$	2.36(1)	$Mo(2)-C(85)$	2.37(1)					
$Zr(1)-P(1)$	2.627(3)	$Zr(2)-P(2)$	2.620(3)					
$Zr(1)-O(1)$	2.295(6)	$Zr(2)-O(3)$	2.286(6)					
$Zr(1) - C(7)$	2.353(8)	$Zr(2) - C(57)$	2.331(9)					
$Zr(1)-C(21)$	2.516(9)	$Zr(2) - C(61)$	2.50(1)					
$Zr(1)-C(22)$	2.495(9)	$Zr(2)-C(62)$	2.48(1)					
$Zr(1)-C(23)$	2.498(9)	$Zr(2)$ –C(63)	2.46(1)					
	2.50(1)	$Zr(2) - C(64)$	2.52(1)					
$Zr(1) - C(24)$								
$Zr(1) - C(25)$	2.51(1)	$Zr(2) - C(65)$	2.53(1)					
$Zr(1) - C(31)$	2.48(1)	$Zr(2) - C(71)$	2.43(2)					
$Zr(1) - C(32)$	2.48(1)	$Zr(2) - C(72)$	2.51(1)					
$Zr(1) - C(33)$	2.48(1)	$Zr(2) - C(73)$	2.50(1)					
$Zr(1)-C(34)$	2.49(1)	$Zr(2) - C(74)$	2.48(1)					
$Zr(1)-C(35)$	2.50(1)	$Zr(2) - C(75)$	2.50(1)					
$P(1) - C(1)$	1.857(8)	$P(2) - C(51)$	1.845(8)					
$O(1) - C(7)$	1.23(1)	$O(3) - C(57)$	1.21(1)					
$O(2) - C(8)$	1.15(1)	$O(4) - C(58)$	1.19(1)					
Angles								
$Zr(1)-Mo(1)-P(1)$	53.25(6)	$Zr(2)-Mo(2)-P(2)$	53.25(6)					
$Zr(1)$ -Mo(1)-C(7)	46.1(2)	$Zr(2)-Mo(2)-C(57)$	45.7(3)					
$Zr(1)$ -Mo(1)-C(8)	91.9(3)	$Zr(2)$ -Mo(2)-C(58)	92.8(3)					
$P(1)$ -Mo(1)-C(7)	99.2(3)	$P(2)-Mo(2)-C(57)$	98.8(3)					
$P(1)$ -Mo(1)-C(8)	86.6(3)	$P(2)$ -Mo(2)-C(58)	90.0(3)					
$C(7)-Mo(1)-C(8)$	90.8(4)	$C(57)$ -Mo(2)-C(58)	90.1(4)					
$P(1) - Zr(1) - O(1)$	112.7(2)	$P(2) - Zr(2) - O(3)$	112.6(2)					
$P(1) - Zr(1) - C(7)$	82.0(2)	$P(2) - Zr(2) - C(57)$	82.3(2)					
$O(1) - Zr(1) - C(7)$	30.6(2)	$O(3) - Zr(2) - C(57)$	30.3(2)					
$Mo(1)-Zr(1)-P(1)$	46.66(6)	$Mo(2)-Zr(2)-P(2)$	46.83(6)					
$Mo(1)-Zr(1)-O(1)$	66.1(1)	$Mo(2)-Zr(2)-O(3)$	65.8(2)					
$Mo(1)-P(1)-Zr(1)$	80.09(8)	$Mo(2)-P(2)-Zr(2)$	79.92(8)					
$Zr(1)-O(1)-C(7)$	77.3(5)	$Zr(2) - O(3) - C(57)$	76.9(5)					
$Mo(1)-C(7)-O(1)$	170.0(7)	$Mo(2) - C(57) - O(3)$	171.0(8)					
$Zr(1)-C(7)-O(1)$	72.1(5)	$Zr(2) - C(57) - O(3)$	72.8(5)					
$Mo(1)-C(8)-O(2)$	177.1(9)	$Mo(2)-C(58)-O(4)$	178.5(9)					

values compare with those reported for $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PMe_2)]_2$ and $[Cp_2Zr]_2(\mu$ -PMe₂)(μ -Cl)¹³ (Table IV) and are slightly shorter than those found for $Cp_2Zr(\mu-PPh_2)_2RhH(CO)$ - PPh_3 (Zr- P_{av} = 2.680(3) Å).⁴⁶ The P-Zr-P angles in 1-5 and 7 range from 89.7(1) to 98.3(1)°, while the Zr-P-Zr' angles vary from $80.1(1)$ to $88.4(1)$ °. These values are similar to those seen in $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PMe_2)]_2$ and $[Cp_2Zr]_2$ - $(\mu$ -PMe₂)(μ -Cl).¹³ Zr...Zr distances in 1-5 and 7 were as short as 3.423(3) **A** and as long as 3.674(5) **A.** These data reflect compromise between three factors, the presence or absence of a fulvalene link, the steric and electronic properties of the phosphides, and conformation of the substituents at phosphorus. However, on examination some trends do emerge. First, the puckering of the $\rm Zr_2P_2$ core dictated by the presence of fulvalenide moieties results generally in a closer approach of the metal centers than is seen in the related dicyclopentadienyl-Zr dimers. For example, comparison of the Zr...Zr distances in 4 (3.674(5) **A)** and **5** (3.585(3) **A)** supports this view. Second, the angles about the Zr_2P_2 cores generally fall within a small rang with the exception of compound 3. In the case of 3, the steric demands of the ortho-substituents appear to be accommodated by a substantial core distortion resulting in the comparatively small angle at P and the large angle at Zr. However, further increases in the steric demands

Table IV. Structural Data for **Zr** Dimers

$\text{compd}/$ bridge	Zr $-Zr$	Zr–X		$Zr-X-Zr'$ $X-Zr-X'$		ref				
Dicyclopentadienyl Derivatives										
I	3.669(2)	2.916(1)		77.97(3)	102.03(3)	49				
S	3.530(2)	2.490(3)	2.482(3)	90.4(1)	89.6(1)	48				
PMe ₂	3.653(2)	2.672(5)		86.3(2)	93.8(2)	13				
PMe_2 , Cl	3.524(2)	2.661(2)	2.587(2)	83.2(1)	95.5(1)	13				
		2.648(2)	2.595(2)	85.7(1)	95.6(1)					
2	3.607(4)	2.648(3)	2.649(5)	86.0(1)	94.0(1)	18				
	3.638(4)	2.643(4)	2.647(3)	86.8(1)	93.2(1)					
4	3.674(5)	2.636(4)	2.613(4)	88.4(1)	91.6(1)	a				
7	3.677(4)	2.654(7)	2.673(7)	87.3(2)	92.3(2)	a				
		2.655(7)	2.672(7)	87.3(2)	92.4(2)					
9	3.672(2)	2.594(4)	2.585(3)	90.1(1)	89.8(1)	â				
		2.595(3)	2.588(4)	90.4(1)	89.7(1)					
Fulvalene Derivatives										
Cl	3.233(1)	2.591(2)	2.568(2)	77.6(1)	99.5(1)	45a				
		2.583(2)	2.571(2)	77.7(1)	100.5(1)					
S	3.4254(4)	2.487(1)	2.493(1)	86.60(3)	87.85(1)	32				
SPh	3.420(1)	2.533(1)	2.546(1)	84.66(3)	89.78(3)	32				
1	3.549(2)	2.610(4)	2.609(4)	85.4(1)	90.5(1)	21				
		2.627(4)	2.620(4)	85.5(1)	90.7(1)					
3	3.423(2)	2.655(5)	2.663(4)	80.3(1)	98.3(1)	a				
		2.635(4)	2.675(5)	80.1(1)	97.1(1)					
5	3.585(3)	2.599(4)	2.613(4)	86.9(1)	89.7(1)	a				
6	3.570(4)	2.619(5)	2.582(5)	86.0(1)	89.5(2)	a				
		2.617(5)	2.678(5)	87.5(2)	89.7(2)					

*^a***This** work.

of the phosphide substituent, as in 4 and **5,** are overcome by the adoptation of alternative conformations at phosphorus which result in a more typical core geometry.

Phosphinidene Complexes. The compound **6** is structurally similar to a diphosphide-bridged fulvalene dimer **5,** with the replacement of one of the phosphide bridges with a phosphinidene moiety. This substitution makes 6 a rare example of a mixed-valent $Zr(IV)/Zr(III)$ complex. The phosphide and phosphinidene fragments are distinguished in the structural data by the geometry about the respective phosphorus atoms. The geometry about P(1) is pseudopyramidal with the Zr-P-Zr' and Zr-P-C angles summing to 338.8', whereas about P(2) the geometry is almost planar with the corresponding angles summing to 352.2°. In the only other structurally characterized Zr-phosphinidene, $(\text{Cp}_2\text{ZrCl})_2(\mu-\text{PC}_6\text{H}_2\text{Me}_3)$, ¹⁸ the geometry about the P atom was also planar. The Zr-P distances are also consistent with this formulation as Zr-P(1) and Zr-P(2) distances averag $2.617(5)$ and $2.580(5)$ **A,** respectively. The Zr-P(2) distance is significantly shorter than those seen in 4 or **5** consistent with the presence of the phosphinidene moiety in 6.

The complex 9 is a salt containing the cation $[(THF)_2Li (TMEDA)$ ⁺ and the anion $[(Cp₂Zr)₂(\mu-PHPh)(\mu-PPh)]$ ⁻. The geometry of the cation is typical and requires no further comment. The anion is geometrically similar to the diphosphide dimer **7.** Despite the fact that the formulation requires inequivalent phosphorus atoms, the geometry about the two phosphorus atoms is indistinguishable. The single proton on one of the phosphorus atoms, implied by both the reaction chemistry and the formulation, was not located. Both P atoms are pseudopyramidal with $Zr(1)-P-Zr(2)$ angles of 90.1(1) and 90.4(1)[°], respectively. It is also noteworthy that while three other salts of this anion have been crystallographically characterized,41 the anion geometry and proton disorder is identical in each case. Such a geometry at P for a bridging phosphinidene fragment while in contrast to that observed in 6 is not unexpected. In the absence of steric interactions with other ligands, the constraints of placing a phosphin-

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Gelmini, L.; **Stephan,** D. W. Organometallics **1988, 7, 849.**

Figure **8.** Plots of the total energy as a function of the orientation of the substituent on the phosphinidene P atom for $[(Cp_2Zr)_2(\mu-PH_2)(\mu-PR)]$ ⁻ $(R = H, S\bar{P}h_3)$.

idene moiety in a four-membered ring may force a pyramidal geometry at P.47 The phenyl substituents on the P atoms of **9** adopt a transoid disposition with respect to the Zr_2P_2 plane. The P-Zr-P angles are found to be **90.5(1)** and **90.7(1)',** while the Zr-P-Zr angles are **85.4(1)** and **87.3(2)'.** The apparent disorder of the single proton position could not be modeled. Nonetheless, the average Zr-P distance in **9** is slightly shorter than that seen in 7, consistent with the presence of the phosphinidene ligand. The angles about the $\rm Zr_2P_2$ core of this paramagnetic anion are similar to that of the neutral diamagnetic dimer 7, with small perturbations resulting in a Zr...Zr separation of **3.677(4) A.**

The pyramidal nature of the phosphorus atoms in **9** is in contrast to the planar geometry about the phosphinidene phosphorus in **6.** Steric effects as well as the mixed oxidation state nature of **6** may play a role in the differing geometries. However, Fenske-Hall molecular orbital calculations performed for the model compounds of the form $[(Cp_2Zr)_2(\mu-PR)(PH_2)]$ (R = H, SiH₃) reveal some relevant information. Calculation of the total energy as a function of the orientation of the substituent on the phosphinidene fragment are shown in Figure 8. For $R =$ H, the predicted geometry is pseudopyramidal at the phosphinidene phosphorus atom, indeed that which is observed in **9.** In contrast, the calculations predict a planar orientation for the model containing the silyl substituent suggesting $d\pi$ -p π bonding between the P and Si may stabilize a planar geometry at the phosphinidene phosphorus atom in **6.**

Phosphide-Bridged Heterobimetallic. The structure of 11 (Figure **7)** confirms the connectivity in which a single phosphide ligand bridges the Cp₂Zr and $Mo(CO)_{2}Cp$ fragments. One of the CO moieties on Mo also bridges to the Zr affording an η^1 - η^2 -CO fragment. The cyclohexyl substituent on P and the cyclopentadienyl ring on Mo are *transoid* with respect to the ZrPMo plane. The Zr-P distance is slightly shorter than those reported for Cp_2M - $(\mu$ -PEt₂)(μ - η ¹- η ²-OC)M'(CO)Cp (M = Ti, Zr; M = Mo, W) and $\rm{Cp_{2}Zr(\mu-PPh_{2})(\mu-\eta^{1}-\eta^{2}-OC)Mo(CO)Cp}$ reflecting the basicity of the primary phosphide fragment in $11.^{39-41}$

Metal-Metal Bonding? The observed diamagnetism of zirconocene(II1) or titanocene(II1) diorganophosphide dimers arises as a result of antiferromagnetic coupling of the d' metal centers. The mechanism responsible for the diamagnetism is the subject of some interest. The spectroscopic and structural results described herein shed further light on this issue.

The structural data for the present and related Zr dimers are summarized in Table IV. The Zr.-Zr distances range from 3.233(1) Å in $[CDZr(\mu-Cl)]_2(\mu-\eta^5-\eta^5-C_{10}H_8)$ to 3.674-**(2) A** in **4.** Gambarotta et al. have previously pointed out that the Zr...Zr separation in $[CDZr(\mu-Cl)]_2(\mu-\eta^5-\eta^5-C_{10}H_8)$ is comparable to those in β -Zr, β -ZrCl₃ and $[ZrCl_3(PBu_3)_2]_2$ thus suggesting the presence of a Zr-Zr metal-metal bond.⁴³ In contrast, Gambarotta et al. noted that the Zr--Zr distance of 3.653 Å in $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PMe_2)]_2$ is well beyond the *sum* of the covalent radii thus arguing against the presence of a Zr-Zr bond.13 The structural data for compounds 1-7 and **9** suggest the similar latter conclusion. Furthermore, the Zr-Zr distances in $[CDZr(\mu-S)]_2(\mu-n^5 \eta^{5}-C_{10}H_{8}$ ⁴⁸ and $[CDZr(\mu-SPh)]_{2}(\mu-\eta^{5}-\eta^{5}-C_{10}H_{8})$,³² which are **3.4254(4)** and **3.420(1) A,** respectively, suggest that metalmetal separation is independent of the oxidation state. Thus, if direct metal-metal interactions are present in the Zr(II1) dimers, apparently they result in a minimal perturbation of the geometry. The metric parameters of the ZrzPz core of **9** are within the ranges seen in the diamagnetic phosphide-bridged dimers. Yet **8** and **9** are paramagnetic, while the phosphide-bridged dimers are all diamagnetic at **25** "C. This leads to the suggestion of ligand-mediated antiferromagnetic coupling **as** perturbations of the electronic environments at one or both of the P atoms have a significant effect on the degree of coupling between the Zr(II1) centers. Furthermore, the absence of the 'H and 31P signals for phosphide groups in the diamagnetic dimers suggests P participation in the mechanism of coupling between the Zr centers.

The conclusion derived from the above considerations is in conflict to the alternative explanation put forth by Benard et al.^{19,20} In these detailed reports, they showed that EH and ab initio theoretical considerations for Zr- (111) phosphide dimers are consistent with the presence of direct metal-metal interactions over the range of Zr-Zr separations of **3.05-4.25 A.** Further the calculations predict a dimagnetic ground state in the absence of the bridging ligands. Thus Benard et al. concluded the bridging ligands are not involved in the attainment of a observed diamagnetic ground state and attributed the diamagnetism to the "super-long" Zr-Zr bonds. We have performed EH and Fenske-Hall MO calculations for a model of the diamagnetic dimers, i.e. $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PH_2)]_2$. In addition, we have modeled the paramagnetic dimers **8** and **9** with the model compounds $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PH)]_2^{2-}$ and $[(Cp₂Zr)₂(\mu-PH)(\mu-PH₂)]$. In each case, we have employed basis sets which both exclude and included orbitals on P. The general bonding scheme in these dimers derived from either set of calculations is similar to that described by Benard et **al.,19920** however, comparison among these systems reveals some important points. The net overlap populations between the **Zr** atoms were essentially invariant among these three models. Thus, while the presence of super-long Zr-Zr bonds seems consistent with our calculations, their strength does not parallel the magnetic properties. Also in this series of calculations when P d orbitals are included, it is observed that deprotonation of the phosphide ligands results in a significant decrease in the $P(d_z^2)$ contribution to the HOMO with a corresponding increase in the $P(p_x)$ and $P(p_y)$ contributions. These results suggest that antifer-

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Bartlctt, R. A.; Diae, H. V. R.; Flynn, **K. M.; Ohstead, M. M.; Power, P. P.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1987,109, 5699.**

⁽⁴⁸⁾ **Bottomley, F.; Drummond, D. F.; Egharevba, G. 0.;** White, **P. S.** *Organometallics* **1986,** *5,* **1620.**

⁽⁴⁹⁾ Wiestra, Y.; Gambarotta, S.; Meetama, A.; de Boer, J. L. *Organometallics* **1989, 8, 250.**

Zirconocene Phosphide and Phosphinidene Complexes

romagnetic coupling between the Zr(II1) centers may be mediated by P and that perturbation of the electronic environment at P leads to a disruption in this communication and thus paramagnetism. In a previous study, we suggested that the diamagnetism of $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-PEt_2)]_2$ is mediated by the bridging ligands;¹⁴ however, the present results are the first to suggest the participation of the P d orbitals. Further work is necessary to confirm and clarify this postulate.

Acknowledgment. Financial support from the NSERC of Canada is gratefully acknowledged. B. R. McGarvey is thanked for helpful discussion of the EPR data.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables **of** thermal and hydrogen **atom** parameters and bond distances **and** angles **(24** pages). Ordering information is **given on any** current masthead *page.*

OM930274D