Silicon-Carbon Unsaturated Compounds. 47. Dimerization of Silenes Generated from l,4-Bis(pentamethyldisilanyl) benzene and 1-(Pentamethyldisilany1)-4-(trimethylsilyl)benzene and Molecular Structure of a Dimer

Mitsuo Ishikawa,*,^{1a} Masayoshi Kikuchi,^{1a} Atsutaka Kunai,^{1a} Takae Takeuchi,^{1b} Tomitake Tsukihara,^{*,1c} and Masaru Kido^{1d}

Department *of* Applied Chemistry, Faculty *of* Engineering, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima *724,* Japan, Department *of* Chemistry, Faculty *of* Science, Nara Women's University, Kitauoya Nishimachi, Nara 630, Japan, Department *of* Biochemistry, Faculty *of* Engineering, Tokushima University, Minami- Jyosanjima, Tokushima *770,* Japan, and Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Kawauchi, Tokushima *770-01,* Japan

Received March *26,* 1993"

The photolysis of **1,4-bis(pentamethyldisilanyl)benzene** in hexane or cyclohexane gave two isomeric dimers, **7,7,8,8-tetramethyl-3,12-bis(pentamethyldisilanyl)-13,14-bis(trimethylsilyl)- 7,8-disilatricyc10[7.3.1.1~~~1 tetradeca-3,5,9,1l-tetraene (4)** and **7,7,8,8-tetramethyl-3,12-bis(pen**tamethyldisilanyl)-10,14-bis(trimethylsilyl)-7,8-disilatricyclo[7.3.1.1^{2,6}] tetradeca-3,5,9(13),11tetraene **(5),** in a ratio of 1:l. Similar photolysis of **l-(pentamethyldisilanyl)-4-(trimethyl**sily1)benzene afforded the two isomeric dimers **7** and **8,** analogous to **4** and **5,** in a ratio of 3:2. Heating 4 at 200 °C for 2 h produced 2-[4-(pentamethyldisilanyl)-6-(trimethylsilyl)cyclohexa-1,3-dienyl] -1- [**4-(pentamethyldisilany1)-2-(trimethylsilyl)phenyll** tetramethyldisilane **(3)** in 85 % yield. Treatment of 7 at 200 °C for 2 h afforded 1-[2,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)phenyl]-2-[4,6-bis-**(trimethylsilyl)cyclohexa-l,3-dienyll** tetramethyldisilane **(6)** in 95 % yield. Compound **8** also afforded **6** under the same conditions in 90% yield. The structure of **8** was verified by an X-ray crystallographic study. Compound **8** crystallizes in the space group Cc with cell dimensions of $a = 13.158(6)$ Å, $b = 18.04(1)$ Å, $c = 15.88(1)$ Å, $\beta = 104.77(4)$ °, and $V = 3645(4)$ Å³ (Z = 4).

Introduction

In 1975, we found that the photolysis of pentamethylphenyldisilane and **pentamethyl@-toly1)disilane** affords silenes, **1-(dimethylsilylene)-2-(trimethylsilyl)cyclohexa-**3,5-diene and **4-methyl-l-(dimethylsilylene)-2-(trimethylsilyl)cyclohexa-3,5-diene** arising from a 1,3-trimethylsilyl shift to the ortho position of the aryl ring:2

The silylenecyclohexadienes thus formed react with various trapping agents, such as alcohols, 3 carbonyl compounds,⁴ alkenes,⁵ and alkynes,⁶ to give addition products. In the absence of the trapping agent, the silylenecyclohexadienes undergo polymerization to give nonvolatile products. The photolysis of benzenoid aromatic disilanes such as pentamethyl-0-tolyl- and pentamethyl-m-tolyl-

disilane⁷ and 2-(trimethylsilyl)- and 3-(trimethylsilyl)-1-(pentamethyldisilanyl) benzene8 shows behavior similar to that of phenyl- and p-tolyl-substituted pentamethyldisilane. All of the silylenecyclohexadienes generated from these aryldisilanes afford nonvolatile products in the absence of a trapping agent.

Recently, we have reported that the photolysis of 1,2-, 1,3-, and **1,4-bis(pentamethyldisilanyl)benzene** in the presence of isobutene in a benzene solution gives adducts produced by the reaction of the silylenecyclohexadiene arising from a 1,3-trimethylsilyl shift from one of two pentamethyldisilanyl groups with isobutene in high yield.9 In the absence of isobutene in benzene, again the silylenecyclohexadienes thus formed undergo polymerization to give mainly nonvolatile substances. We have now found that the silylenecyclohexadiene generated photochemically from **1,4-bis(pentamethyldisilanyl)benzene** in a hexane or cyclohexane solution undergoes dimerization to give two isomers of a tricyclic dimer in good yields. In this paper we report a novel dimerization reaction of the silylenecyclohexadiene produced from the photolysis of **1,4-bis(pentamethyldisilanyl)benzene** and 1-(pentameth**yldisilanyl)-4-(trimethylsilyl)benzene.1O** We also report an X-ray crystallographic study of the dimer obtained from **l-(pentamethyldisilany1)-4-(trimethylsilyl)** benzene.

^{*}Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, August 15, 1993. (1) (a) Hiroshima University. (b) Nara Women's University. (c)

⁽²⁾ Ishikawa,M.; Fuchikami,T.; Sugaya,T.; Kumada, M. *J.* Am. *Chem.* Tokushima University. **(d)** Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., **Ltd.** *SOC.* 1975, *97,* 5923.

⁽³⁾ Ishikawa, M.; Fuchikami, T.; Kumada, M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1976,118, 155.

⁽⁴⁾ Ishikawa, M.; Fuchikami, T.; Kumada, M. *J. Organomet.* Chem. 1977, 133, 19.

^{1976,118, 139; 1978,162, 223.} (6) Ishikawa, M.; Fuchikami, T.; Kumada, M. *J. Organomet.* Chem. **(5)** Ishikawa, M.; Fuchikami, T.; Kumada, M. J. *Organomet. Chem.*

^{1977,127,261.}

⁽⁷⁾ Ishikawa, M.; Sakamoto, H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1991, 414, 1.

⁽⁸⁾ The photolysis of these compounds in the absence of a trapping agent afforded no volatile products.

⁽⁹⁾ Ishikawa, M.; Sakamoto, H.; Kanetani, F.; Minato, A. *Organo-*

⁽¹⁰⁾ Ishikawa, M.; Kikuchi, M.; Watanabe, K.; Sakamoto, H.; Kunai, *metallics* 1989,8, 2767. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1993,443, C3.

Results and Discussion

1,4-Bis(pentamethyldisilanyl)benzene (1) and 1-(pen**tamethyldisilanyl)-4-(trimethylsilyl)benzene (21,** used as starting compounds, were synthesized by the reaction of the di-Grignard reagent prepared from p-dibromobenzene with **chloropentamethyldisilane** in THF and the reaction of the Grignard reagent of **(4-bromopheny1)pentameth**yldisilane with chlorotrimethylsilane in THF, respectively (Scheme I).

Photolysis. First, we reinvestigated the photolysis of compound **1** in benzene. Irradiation of a benzene solution of compound **1** with a low-pressure mercury lamp bearing a Vycor filter (254 nm) in the absence of a trapping agent afforded a trace of a product, **1-(pentamethyldisilany1)- 4-(trimethylsilyl)benzene,** which was produced by extrusion of dimethylsilylene from the starting compound 1 **as** reported previously.¹¹ Careful analysis of the photolysis mixture by GC-mass spectrometry showed that the product with a molecular weight of 676, corresponding to the dimer of 1, was produced in 3% yield, although the main products were nonvolatile substances whose molecular weight was determined to be 2000, relative to polystyrene standards. The 13C NMR spectrum of the polymeric substances shows multiple resonances at **6** -3.5 to +3.5 and 134-142 ppm, due to methylsilyl carbons and phenylsilyl carbons, as well **as** resonances at **6** 24-31 ppm, attributed to methylene carbons.

Interestingly, when a similar photolysis of 1 was carried out in a hexane solution, the dimer of **1** was produced in 46% yield, along with a trace of **1-(pentamethyldisilany1)- 4-(trimethylsilyl)benzene,** when 89 % of the starting compound **1** was photolyzed (Scheme 11). We attempted to isolate the dimer by distillation. Thus, the photolysis mixture was distilled under reduced pressure to give the dimer. GC-mass spectrometric analysis of the distillate showed the presence of the dimer and small amounts of four products (less than 5% combined yields). The retention time of one of the four products was identical with that of **l-(pentamethyldisilanyl)-4-(trimethylsilyl)** benzene, but other products could not be detected in the photolysis mixture before distillation. Molecular weights of these three were measured to be 280, 396, and 544, respectively. The retention times of the first two compounds were identical with those of $[3-(\text{timethy} \text{lsily}])$ phenyl] pentamethyldisilane and **l-(pentamethyldisilanyl)-** 4-(**1,1,2,2-tetramethyldisilanyl)-3-(trimethylsilyl)** benzene, respectively. The molecular weight of the latter corresponds to the product which would be formed by elimination of pentamethyldisilane from the dimer. The dimer was isolated by preparative GLC and identified **as** 2- [4- **(pentamethyldisilany1)-6-(** trimethylsilyl) cyclohexa-1,3-dienyll- 1- **[4-(pentamethyldisilany1)-2-** (trimethylsily1) phenyl] tetramethyldisilane (3) by spectrometric analysis.

Scheme **I1**

1

The 13C NMR spectrum of **3** reveals 4 resonances at -4.10, $-4.04, 0.47,$ and 0.52 ppm and 4 resonances at $-2.19, -1.87$, 0.04, 2.12 ppm, attributed to $Me₂Si$ carbons and $Me₃Si$ carbons, respectively, in addition to 2 sp³ ring carbons and 10 sp2 ring carbons, in accord with the structure proposed for 3.

Surprisingly, when we attempted to isolate the photolysis products by fractional recrystallization without heating, two isomers were obtained **as** white crystals. These products were identified as **7,7,8,8-tetramethyl-3,12-bis- (pentamethyldisilanyl)-13,14-bis(trimethylsilyl)-7,8 disilatricyclo[7.3.1.1z~61 tetradeca-3,5,9,11-tetraene (4)** and **7,7,8,&tetramethyl-3,12-bis(pentamethyldisilany1)-10,14** bis(trimethylsilyl)-7,8-disilatricyclo[7.3.1.1^{2,6}]tetradeca-3,5,9(13),11-tetraene **(5)** by spectroscopic analysis, **as** well **as** by elemental analysis. The photolysis of **1** in cyclohexane led to the same result **as** that in hexane. Dimerization products were obtained in 45% combined yield. In both cases, the ratio of two dimeric isomers thus formed is determined to be 1:l by 'H NMR spectroscopic analysis of the reaction mixture.

¹H, ¹³C, and ²⁹Si NMR spectra of 4 show that the molecule has a C2 symmetry **axis** passing through the center of an Si^a-Si^{a'} bond and C_1 - C_1' bond (see the structure of 4 in Scheme 11). In fact, the %Si NMR spectrum of **⁴** reveals only four resonances at **6** 1.84, -18.26, -18.49, and -18.65 ppm. Furthermore, the location of the substituents on the six-membered rings was determined by NOE-FID difference experiments at 270 MHz. Thus, irradiation of an Ha proton led to a strong enhancement of trimethysilyl protons ($Me₃$ ^c Si^b) and two methyl protons on the ring silicon atom (Me^aSi^a, Me^a'Si^a'), as well as a proton (H_b)

⁽¹¹⁾ For mechanistic studiesof photoexcitedaryldisilanes, see: Slugget, G. W.; Leigh, W. J. Organometallics 1992,11,3731 and references cited therein.

Figure 1. Two-dimensional 1H COSY experiment for **5** in C_6D_6 at 270 MHz.

at 2.65 ppm. Saturation of the proton (H_b) resulted in a positive NOE of H_a, Me₃cSi^b protons, and two methyl protons on a pentamethyldisilanyl group (Me^dMe^eSi^c). These results are wholly consistent with the structure proposed for **4.**

In contrast to the case for **4,** compound **5** has no symmetry axis. Consequently, its ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra show complicated resonance patterns. The 29Si NMR spectrum reveals eight resonances, indicating that all silicon atoms are nonequivalent (see Experimental Section). The structure of **5** was verified by 'H, **13C,** and 29Si NMR spectra in comparison with those of the unsymmetric dimer produced from the photolysis of **2,** whose structure was confirmed by an X-ray crystallographic analysis (see below). The 2D 'H-lH COSY spectrum of **5** is shown in Figure 1.

Compounds **4** and **5** are probably formed by head-tohead dimerization of the silylenecyclohexadiene arising from a 1,3-trimethylsilyl shift to the ortho position of the phenylene ring from one of two pentamethyldisilanyl groups **as** shown in Scheme 111. Several examples of the head-to-head dimerization of photochemically generated silenes have been reported to date.¹²

Since linear dimer **3** is obtained by distillation of the photolysis mixture, cyclic dimers **4** and **5** should be transformed thermally into **3.** In fact, heating **4** in a sealed tube at 200 **"C** for 2 h produced **3** in 85 % yield, along with small amounts of decomposition products, whose GCmass spectra show parent ions at *mlz* 280,396, and 544, respectively.

It is worth noting that the photolysis of 1 in a saturated hydrocarbon such as hexane or cyclohexane produces dimers in good yields, but not in benzene. We carried out the photolysis of 1 with different concentrations in benzene and also in the mixed solvents consisting of hexane and benzene in different ratios. Interestingly, it was found that, in a benzene solution, the yield of the dimers highly depends on the concentration of the starting compound 1 used. The photolysis of a 3.0×10^{-2} M benzene solution of **1** gave dimers **4** and **5** only in 3 *5%* combined yield, while irradiation of a 6.0×10^{-2} M solution of 1 afforded 4 and **5** in 21 % combined yield. The higher concentration of 1 led to the formation of dimers in higher yield. Thus, irradiation of a 1.5×10^{-1} M solution of 1 gave the dimers in 30% yield. Irradiation of a 3.0×10^{-2} M solution of 1 in a 1:l mixture of benzene and hexane produced dimers in 12 % yield. Irradiation of the same concentration of 1 in a 1:2 benzene-hexane solution afforded **4** and **5** in 15% yield, while the photolysis of a 1:5 benzene-hexane solution of **1** gave dimers in 24 % yield. The reason for the decrease of dimers **4** and **5** in benzene or in the mixed solvent consisting of benzene and hexane is not yet clear at present.

The photolysis of **2** also afforded dimers in high yields. Thus, the photolysis of **2** in the absence of a trapping agent in hexane gave the dimers 7,7,8,8-tetramethyl-3,- 12,13,14-tetrakis(trimethylsilyl)-7,8-disilatricyclo[7.3.1.1^{2,6}]**tetradeca-3,5,9,11-tetraene (7)** and 7,7,8,8-tetramethyl-**3,10,12,14-tetrakis(trimethylsilyl)-7,8-disilatricyclo- [7.3.1.12~61tetradeca-3,5,9(13),ll-tetraene (8)** in 61 % yields, in addition to a 3 % yield of **1,4-bis(trimethylsilyl)benzene,** which would be produced by extrusion of dimethylsilylene from the starting compound **2** (Scheme IV). Interestingly, a similar photolysis of **2** in benzene afforded **7** and **8** in 63% combined yield. In this photolysis, no decrease of yields of dimers **7** and 8 was observed. The ratio of **7** and **8** was determined to be 3:2 by **'H** NMR spectrometric analysis **of** the photolysis mixture. Dimers **7** and **8** were isolated by MPLC and recrystallized from hexane. The structure of symmetric isomer **7** was confirmed by spectrometric analysis (see Experimental Section). The structure of 8 **was** verified by an X-ray crystallographic analysis (see below).

Like the dimers prepared from the photolysis of **1,** compounds **7** and **8** undergo thermal isomerization to give a ring-opened isomer. Thus when compound **7** was heated in a sealed glass tube at 200 °C for 2 h, 1- $[2,4$ -bis-**(trimethylsilyl)phenyl]-2- [4,6-bis(trimethylsilyl)cyclohexa-**1,3-dienyl] tetramethyldisilane **(6)** was obtained in almost quantitative yield (Scheme V). The formation of

⁽¹²⁾ Brook, A. G. In *The* Chemistry *of* Organic Silicon Compounds; **Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Ede.; Wiley: New York, 1989;** Part **11, Chapter 16.**

Scheme V

 ${}^a R = \sum ||F_0| - |F_c| / [\sum |F_0|] \cdot {}^b R_w = [{\sum w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2 / [\sum wF_0^2]}]^{1/2}, w =$ $4F_0^2/\sigma(F_0^2)$.

6 may be best understood in terms of scission of a $C_1 - C_1'$ bond in compound 7, followed by a $1,3-H_a$ shift onto a C_1 atom. The thermolysis of 8 under the same conditions also produced **6,** whose formation can be explained by scission of a C_1-C_2 bond in compound 8 and a 1,5-H_a shift, in quantitative yield. The structure of **6** was verified by mass, IR, and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectrometric analysis, as well **as** by elemental analysis.

X-ray Crystallographic Analysis of 8. Colorless crystals of 8 were obtained by recrystallization from ethanol. Detailed crystal data are shown in Table I. A crystal with dimensions of $0.4 \times 0.4 \times 0.4$ mm was used for the X-ray study. The crystal belongs to the space group *Cc* with cell dimensions of $a = 13.158(6)$ Å, $b = 18.04(1)$ Å, and $c = 15.88(1)$ Å, $\alpha = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 104.77(4)^{\circ}$, $V =$ 3645(4) Å³, $Z = 4$, and $D_{\text{calcd}} = 1.02 \text{ g/cm}^3$. Graphitemonochromatized Mo K α radiation was used to collect intensity data for all the reflections in the range $2\theta \le 50^{\circ}$ in *(hkl)* and *(-hkl).* All the intensities were measured on a Rigaku AFC-5 four-circle diffractometer. The θ -2 θ scan method was employed with θ -scanning width (1.78° + 0.30° tan θ). Three standard reflections monitored every 150 reflections showed no significant variation in intensity. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, and an empirical absorption correction was applied by using the program DIFABS.13 The structure was solved by direct methods using the program MITH-RIL.¹⁴ A total of 1213 observed reflections $(I > 3\sigma(I))$

Figure 2. View of compound **8,** showing the atomic **num**bering scheme.

were used in the least-squares refinement. Positional parameters and anisotropic temperature factors for all the non-hydrogen atoms were refined by full-matrix leastsquares refinement. Atomic parameters of hydrogen atoms at calculated positions were not refined in the refinement procedure but included in the structure factor calculation. $\sum w (F_o - F_c)^2$ was minimized in the refinement with weight $w = 4F_0^2/\sigma^2(F_0^2)$, where F_0 , F_c , and F_0^2 are an observed structure factor, a calculated factor, and an estimated standard deviation of F_o^2 , respectively. Finally, R, R_w , and GOF values of 0.043, 0.047, and 1.37, respectively, were obtained. The maximum and minimum peaks of the final difference Fourier map were 0.18 and $-0.18 \text{ e}/\text{\AA}^3$, respectively. Atomic scattering factors taken from ref 15 were used in the structure factor calculation. The molecular structure with the atomic numbering system is shown in Figure 2. The final atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic temperature factors are in Table 11. Bond distances and angles are listed in Table 111. The averaged temperature factor of 16 methyl carbon atoms is as high **as** 10 **A2.** There are no abnormal bond distances and angles and no close intermolecular contacts.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of dry argon. Ultraviolet spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-visible spectrophotometer. Infrared spectra were measured **as** thin liquid **fiis** for liquid samples and **as** KBr disks for crystal samples using a Perkin-Elmer 1600 FT-IR spectrometer. Gas chromatographic analysis was carried out using a Hitachi **263-30** gas chromatograph **(2%** OV-17 silicone on Uniport HP). Gas chromatographic separations of products were carried out by using a column packed with **30%** SE-30 silicone on Chromosorb P. NMR spectra were determined with a JEOL Model JNM-EX **270** spectrometer. Mass spectra were determined on a Shimadzu Model GCMS-QP 1OOO.

Materials. **1,4-Bis(pentamethyldisilanyl)benzene** was prepared as reported previously.⁹ Benzene and hexane used as solvents were dried over lithium aluminum hydride and distilled just before use. Diethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran were dried over sodium-benzophenone ketyl and distilled just before use.

Preparation of **l-(Pentamethyldisilany1)-4-(trimethyl**sily1)benzene **(2).** In a 100-mL three-necked flask with a condenser, stirrer, and dropping funnel was placed **37.8** g **(0.16**

⁽¹⁴⁾ Gilmore, **C. J.** *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1984,** *17,* **42.**

⁽¹⁵⁾ *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography;* Kynoch Prese: Birmingham, U.K., **1974; Vol. IV** (present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands).

⁽¹³⁾ Walker, **N.;** Stuart, D. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1983,** *A39,* **158-166.**

Table 11. Positional and Equivalent Isotropic Thermal Parameters with Their Esd's in Parentheses

atom	x	у	z	$B(eq)$, \AA^2
Si(1)	0.6372(4)	$-0.0004(2)$	0.2458(3)	4.5(2)
Si(2)	0.5256	$-0.0123(2)$	0.3377	4.1(2)
Si(3)	0.8650(4)	0.1560(3)	0.2662(4)	6.1(2)
Si(4)	0.3724(4)	0.1570(2)	0.4191(4)	5.0(2)
Si(5)	0.9931(4)	0.1318(3)	0.5796(4)	5.7(2)
Si(6)	0.6852(5)	0.3199(2)	0.4802(4)	5.0(2)
C(1)	0.708(1)	0.1577(7)	0.4721(8)	3.3(6)
C(2)	0.805(1)	0.1491(7)	0.4297(9)	3.5(6)
C(3)	0.891(1)	0.0981(8)	0.4835(8)	3.6(6)
C(4)	0.894(1)	0.0289(7)	0.455(1)	4.0(7)
C(5)	0.822(1)	$-0.0006(7)$	0.380(1)	4.1(6)
C(6)	0.757(1)	0.0432(8)	0.3212(8)	3.5(6)
C(7)	0.549(1)	0.0791(7)	0.3967(8)	3.4(7)
C(8)	0.484(1)	0.1472(7)	0.3670(8)	3.4(6)
C(9)	0.547(1)	0.2193(7)	0.3856(9)	3.9(7)
C(10)	0.643(1)	0.2247(7)	0.4381(9)	3.2(6)
C(11)	0.644(1)	0.0859(7)	0.4509(8)	3.3(6)
C(12)	0.771(1)	0.1256(7)	0.3328(8)	3.6(6)
C(13)	0.671(1)	$-0.091(1)$	0.204(1)	8(1)
C(14)	0.571(1)	0.059(1)	0.152(1)	8(1)
C(15)	0.570(1)	$-0.0915(7)$	0.412(1)	5.6(8)
C(16)	0.387(1)	$-0.0284(8)$	0.271(1)	6.7(9)
C(17)	0.999(1)	0.115(2)	0.307(1)	14(2)
C(18)	0.822(2)	0.125(1)	0.151(1)	9(1)
C(19)	0.870(2)	0.257(1)	0.269(1)	14(2)
C(20)	0.289(2)	0.074(1)	0.414(2)	12(1)
C(21)	0.288(2)	0.232(1)	0.369(1)	10(1)
C(22)	0.425(1)	0.179(1)	0.536(1)	11(1)
C(23)	1.072(2)	0.206(1)	0.545(1)	10(1)
C(24)	1.084(1)	0.056(1)	0.626(1)	10(1)
C(25)	0.939(1)	0.174(1)	0.665(1)	11(1)
C(26)	0.606(1)	0.3919(8)	0.411(1)	9(1)
C(27)	0.821(1)	0.346(1)	0.488(1)	10(1)
C(28)	0.665(2)	0.325(1)	0.592(1)	14(2)

mol) of p-dibromobenzene in 50 mL of THF. To this was added dropwise 90 mL of an n-butyllithium-hexane solution (0.16 mol) through the dropping funnel at -70 $^{\circ}$ C over a period of 1 h, and the mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Chloropentamethyldisilane (26.7 g, 0.16 mol) was added at room temperature over a period of 1 h, and this mixture was heated to reflux for 1 h. The resulting lithium salt was filtered off and the solvents were evaporated. The residue was distilled under reduced pressure to give 29.8 g $(63\% \text{ yield})$ of $(p\text{-}\text{bromophenyl})$ pentamethyldisilane.

In a 200-mL three-necked flask with a condenser, stirrer, and dropping funnel were placed 2.5 g (0.10 mol) of magnesium and 12.4 g (0.11 mol) of trimethylchlorosilane in 30 mL of THF. To this was added a solution of 28.7 g (0.10 mol) of $(p$ -bromophenyl)pentamethyldisilane in 30 mL of THF at room temperature over a period of 1 h. The mixture was heated to reflux for 1 h and then hydrolyzed with water. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether. The organic layer and extracts were combined, washed with water, and dried over magnesium sulfate. The solvents were evaporated, and the residue was distilled under reduced pressure to give 16.0 g (57% yield) of 2 as a colorless liquid: bp 131-132 °C/14 mmHg; MS m/z 280 (M⁺); IR 3043, 2995, 2954, 2897, 1408, 1378, 1242, 1132, 834, 794, 752,693, 659,619 cm-l; 'H NMR (6 in CDC13) 0.12 *(8,* 9H, Me₃Si-Si), 0.31 *(s, 9H, Me₃Si-C₆H₄), 0.37 <i>(s, 6H, Me₂Si)*, 7.51 (AB q, 4H, aromatic H, $J = 14.19, 7.92$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (δ in CDCl₃) -4.00 (Me₃Si-Si), -2.19 (Me₂Si), -1.15 (Me₃Si-C₆H₄), **132.58,133.10,140.20,140.29** (aromaticringcarbons). Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{28}Si_3$: C, 59.92; H, 10.06. Found: C, 59.80; H, 10.23.

Photolysis of **1.** A solution of 0.2568 g (0.76 mmol) of **1** and 0.0147 **g** (0.074 mmol) of tetradecane **as** an internal standard in 25 mL of hexane was placed in a 30-mL reaction vessel fitted with a low-pressure mercury lamp bearing a Vycor filter. The mixture was irradiated at room temperature for 20 min with a slow stream of argon bubbling through the mixture. The reaction mixture was analyzed by GLC as being 4 and **5** (46% combined yield) and 11% of the starting compound **1.** After the solvent was evaporated off, the mixture of **4** and **5** was isolated by preparative MPLC. Pure 4 and **5** were separated by fractional recrystallization from ethanol **as** colorless crystals. Data for **4:** mp 118.0-120.0 °C; MS m/z 545 (M⁺ - Si₂Me_b); IR 3053, 2990, **2953,2895,1340,1245,1121,1078,1042,947,862,835,789,757,** 731 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ in C₆D₆) 0.14 (s, 18H, Me₃cSi^b), 0.27 (s, 18H, $Me₃⁴Si^d$), 0.26 *(s, 6H, Me^bSi^a), 0.28 <i>(s, 6H, Me^aSi^a), 0.48 <i>(s, 6H,* Me^dSi^c), 0.53 (s, 6H, Me^eSi^c), 2.50 (s, 2H, H_a), 2.65 (s, 2H, H_b), 6.08 (d, 2H, H_c, $J = 4.95$ Hz), 6.68 (d, 2H, H_d, $J = 4.95$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (δ in C₆D₆) -6.55 (Me^bSi^a), -4.74 (Me^aSi^a), -2.15 (Me^dSi^c), 0.37 (Me*Si*), -1.38 (Me₃*Si^b), 0.02 (Me₃*Si^d), 28.79 (C-H_a), 40.63 Si); 29 Si NMR (δ in C₆D₆) -18.65, -18.49, -18.26, 1.84. Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₆₈Si₈: C, 56.80; H, 10.06. Found: C, 56.80; H, 10.02. Data for **5:** mp 127.5-130.0 "C; MS *mlz* 545 (M+ - Siz-Mes); IR 2942, 2898, 1921, 1744, 1626, 1599, 1563, 1529, 1463, 1340, 1247, 1194, 1128, 1084, 970, 833 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ in C₆D₆) 0.13 (s, 9H, MesfSid), 0.19 *(8,* 3H, MebSia), 0.21 *(8,* 9H, MeslSib), 0.25 *(8,* 9H, MeaeSic), 0.27 *(8,* 3H, MecSib), 0.28 **(e,** 3H, MedSib), 0.29 **(s, 9H, Me₃ⁱSi^f), 0.33 (s, 3H, Me**⁸Si^a), 0.44 **(s, 3H, Me^jSi^g)**, 0.47 *(s, 3H, Me^gSi^e)*, 0.48 *(s, 3H, Me^kSi^g), 0.52 <i>(s, 3H, Me^hSi^e)*, 2.24 (br *s*, 1H, H_a), 2.63 (t, 1H, H_b, $J = 3.90$ Hz), 2.82 (dd, 1H, $H_c, J = 1.10, 1.00 \text{ Hz}$), 3.44 (m, 1H, H_d), 5.73 (d, 1H, $H_e, J = 2.60$ Hz), 6.46 (dd, 1H, H_b, $J = 5.00$, 1.10 Hz); ¹³C NMR (δ in C₆D₆), -6.12 (Me^bSi^a), -5.92 (Me^aSi^a), -4.74 (Me^dSi^b), -3.16 (Me^cSi^b), -2.35 (Me₃fSi^d), -1.79 (Me^gSi^e), -1.59 (Me₃^eSi^c), -1.02 (Me₃ⁱSi^r), -0.48 (Me^kSi^g), -0.35 (Me^hSi^e), 0.19 (Me₃^lSi^h), 27.95 (C-H_a), 37.27 $(C-H_b)$, 42.72 $(C-H_c)$, 48.65 $(C-H_d)$, 129.74 $(C-H_f)$, 129.98, 133.28 $(C-Si)$, 134.69 $(C-H_e)$, 137.70 $(C-H_g)$, 140.31 $(C-Si)$, 142.25 $(C-H_e)$ H_h), 144.82 (C-Si); ²⁹Si NMR (δ in C₆D₆) -21.33, -20.17, -19.42, $-18.81, -16.56, -13.10, 1.32, 2.73.$ Anal. Calcd for $C_{32}H_{68}Si_8$: C, 56.80; H, 10.06. Found: C, 56.78; H, 10.06. $(C-H_b)$, 129.62 (C-H_c), 136.00 (C-Si), 138.84 (C-H_d), 144.24 (C-Hz), 6.07 (d, 1H, H_f , $J = 5.00$ Hz), 6.43 (dd, 1H, H_g , $J = 3.90$, 1.10

Isolation of 3. A solution of 0.5015 g (1.50 mmol) of **1** and 0.0155 g (0.08 mmol) of tetradecane **as** an internal standard in 60 mL of hexane was placed in a 65-mL reaction vessel fitted with a low-pressure mercury lamp bearing a Vycor filter. The mixture was irradiated at room temperature for 45 min with a slow stream of argon bubbling through the mixture. After the solvent was evaporated off, the residue was fractionally distilled under reduced pressure. The reaction mixture was analyzed by GLC **as** being 3 (48% yield), **l-(pentamethyldisilany1)-4-(trim**ethylsilyl) benzene (1 % yield), and 17 % of the starting compound **1.** Pure compound 3 was isolated by preparative GPC: MS m/z 676 (M+); IR 2951,2894,2798,1402,1347,1246,1141,1093,1058, 989, 833, 794, 756, 688, 659, 620, 535 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ in C₆D₆) 0.15, 0.16, 0.20 **(s,** 9H, Measi), 0.33, 0.36 *(8,* 3H, MeSi), 0.42 (8, 6H, MezSi), 0.43, 0.48 *(8,* 3H, MeSi), 0.49 **(a,** 9H, Measi), 0.640, 0.64₁ (s, 3H, MeSi), 1.84 (dd, 1H, H_a , $J = 7.76$, 1.65 Hz), 2.43 (m, $2H, H_b$, 6.21 (br s, 2H, H_c), 7.56 (dd, 1H, H_d, $J = 7.59$, 1.32 Hz), 7.89 (d, 1H, H_e, $J = 7.59$ Hz), 8.04 (br s, 1H, H_t); ¹³C NMR (δ in C_6D_6) -4.10, -4.04 (Me₂Si), -2.19, -1.87, 0.04 (Me₃Si), 0.47, 0.52 (Me₂Si), 2.12 (Me₃Si), 26.88 (C-H_b), 27.57 (C-H_a), 132.63 (C-(C-Si), 140.49 (C-H_f), 140.97, 144.51, 145.41 (C-Si); ²⁹Si NMR $(\delta$ in C₆D₆), -23.56, -21.88, -21.77, -19.42, -18.99, -3.55, 2.32. Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₆₈Si₈: C, 56.80; H, 10.06. Found: C, 56.75; H, 9.95. H_d), 133.06 (C-H_c), 134.75 (C-H_c), 135.72 (C-H_e), 137.84, 138.08

Photolysis of **2.** A solution of 0.2360 g (0.84 mmol) of **2** and 0.0297 g (0.19 mmol) of undecane **as** an internal standard in 25 mL of hexane **was** placed in a 30-mL reaction vessel. The mixture was irradiated at room temperature with a low-pressure mercury lamp for 30 min. The reaction mixture was analyzed by GLC **as** being a mixture of 7 and 8 in 61 % combined yield in the ratio of 3:2, along with 16% of the starting compound **2.** Pure 7 and 8 were separated by fractional recrystallization from ethanol. Data for 7: mp 125.0-126.5 °C, MS m/z 487 (M⁺ - SiMe₃); IR **3014,2951,2909,1401,1243,1138,1080,1048,953,834,785,748,** 658, 664 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ in C₆D₆) 0.10 (s, 18H, Me₃°Si^b), 0.28₇ **(a,** 6H, MebSia), 0.29 *(8,* 6H, Measi.), 0.34 *(8,* 18H, MesdSic), 2.43 *(s, 2H, H_a), 2.57 <i>(s, 2H, H_b), 6.07 <i>(d, 2H, H_c, J = 4.95 Hz), 6.49* (d, 2H, H_d, $J = 4.95$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (δ in C₆D₆), -6.54 (Me^bSi^a),

Table **111.** Bond Lengths **(A) and** Angles (deg) with Their Esd's in Parentheses

Bond Lengths											
$Si(1) - Si(2)$	2.328(5)	$Si(1) - C(6)$	1.89(1)	$Si(5) - C(25)$	1.85(2)	$Si(6)-C(10)$	1.88(1)				
$Si(1) - C(13)$	1.87(2)	$Si(1) - C(14)$	1.86(2)	$Si(6)-C(26)$	1.84(2)	Si(6) – C(27)	1.82(2)				
$Si(2) - C(7)$	1.88(1)	$Si(2) - C(15)$	1.85(1)	$Si(6) - C(28)$	1.87(2)	$C(1) - C(2)$	1.60(1)				
$Si(2) - C(16)$	1.88(1)	$Si(3) - C(12)$	1.90(1)	$C(1) - C(10)$	1.50(2)	$C(1) - C(11)$	1.53(2)				
$Si(3) - C(17)$	1.87(2)	$Si(3) - C(18)$	1.86(2)	$C(2) - C(3)$	1.54(2)	$C(2) - C(12)$	1.55(2)				
$Si(3) - C(19)$	1.83(2)	$Si(4) - C(8)$	1.87(1)	$C(3)-C(4)$	1.33(2)	$C(4)-C(5)$	1.42(2)				
$Si(4) - C(20)$	1.84(2)	$Si(4) - C(21)$	1.81(2)	$C(5)-C(6)$	1.35(2)	$C(6)-C(12)$	1.50(2)				
$Si(4) - C(22)$	1.84(2)	$Si(5)-C(3)$	1.86(1)	$C(7)-C(8)$	1.50(2)	$C(7) - C(11)$	1.33(1)				
$Si(5)-C(23)$	1.86(2)	$Si(5)-C(24)$	1.84(2)	$C(8)-C(9)$	1.53(2)	$C(9)-C(10)$	1.33(1)				
Bond Angles											
$Si(2) - Si(1) - C(6)$	101.5(4)	$Si(2) - Si(1) - C(13)$	112.9(6)	$C(10) - Si(6) - C(28)$	106.2(7)	$C(26) - Si(6) - C(27)$	104.7(9)				
$Si(2) - Si(1) - C(14)$	108.0(6)	$C(6)$ -Si (1) -C (13)	110.7(7)	$C(26) - Si(6) - C(28)$	110(1)	$C(27)$ -Si (6) -C (28)	107(1)				
$C(6) - Si(1) - C(14)$	114.1(7)	$C(13) - Si(1) - C(14)$	109.5(8)	$C(2) - C(1) - C(10)$	112(1)	$C(2)-C(1)-C(11)$	106.2(9)				
$Si(1) - Si(2) - C(7)$	100.5(4)	$Si(1) - Si(2) - C(15)$	109.1(5)	$C(10)-C(1)-C(11)$	112(1)	$C(1) - C(2) - C(3)$	112(1)				
$Si(1) - Si(2) - C(16)$	109.6(6)	$C(7)$ -Si (2) -C (15)	111.9(6)	$C(1)$ - $C(2)$ - $C(12)$	112.7(9)	$C(3)-C(2)-C(12)$	112(1)				
$C(7)-Si(2)-C(16)$	115.3(6)	$C(15) - Si(2) - C(16)$	109.9(7)	$Si(5)-C(3)-C(2)$	122.4(9)	$Si(5) - C(3) - C(4)$	120(1)				
$C(12) - Si(3) - C(17)$	112.5(8)	$C(12) - Si(3) - C(18)$	112.5(7)	$C(2) - C(3) - C(4)$	117(1)	$C(3) - C(4) - C(5)$	124(1)				
$C(12) - Si(3) - C(19)$	107.4(8)	$C(17) - Si(3) - C(19)$	112(1)	$C(4)$ -C(5)-C(6)	122(1)	$Si(1) - C(6) - C(5)$	118(1)				
$C(17) - Si(3) - C(18)$	104(1)	$C(18) - Si(3) - C(19)$	108.5(9)	$Si(1) - C(6) - C(12)$	123(1)	$C(5)-C(6)-C(12)$	117(1)				
$C(8)-Si(4)-C(20)$	115.4(8)	$C(8)$ -Si (4) -C (21)	109.8(8)	$Si(2) - C(7) - C(8)$	123.6(9)	$Si(2) - C(7) - C(11)$	114(1)				
$C(8)-Si(4)-C(22)$	109.2(6)	$C(20) - Si(4) - C(21)$	107(1)	$C(8)-C(7)-C(11)$	120(1)	$Si(4) - C(8) - C(7)$	112.8(9)				
$C(20) - Si(4) - C(22)$	107(1)	$C(21) - Si(4) - C(22)$	108(1)	$Si(4) - C(8) - C(9)$	106.4(8)	$C(7)-C(8)-C(9)$	113.5(9)				
$C(3)-Si(5)-C(23)$	109.4(7)	$C(3) - Si(5) - C(24)$	110.4(7)	$C(8)-C(9)-C(10)$	124(1)	$Si(6)-C(10)-C(1)$	121.3(9)				
$C(3) - Si(5) - C(25)$	113.8(7)	$C(23) - Si(5) - C(24)$	107.0(9)	$Si(6) - C(10) - C(9)$	116(1)	$C(1)$ -C(10)-C(9)	122(1)				
$C(23) - Si(5) - C(25)$	106.0(9)	$C(24) - Si(5) - C(25)$	109.9(9)	$C(7)$ -C(11)-C(1)	126(1)	$Si(3) - C(12) - C(2)$	114.1(8)				
$C(10) - Si(6) - C(26)$	111.3(7)	$C(10) - Si(6) - C(27)$	117.4(7)	$Si(3) - C(12) - C(6)$	107.1(9)	$C(2) - C(12) - C(6)$	113(1)				

 -4.76 (Me^sSi^a), -0.34 (Me₃^cSi^b), 0.62 (Me₃^dSi^c), 28.77 (C-H_a), 39.92 Si). Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{56}Si_6$: C, 60.00; H, 10.00. Found: C, 60.00; H, 9.92. Data for 8: mp 111.0-114.0 °C, MS m/z 487 (M⁺ - SiMe3); IR 3007,2953,2897,2861,1566,1400,1246,1246,1118, 1078, 1046, 941, 832, 750 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ in C₆D₆) 0.10 (s, 9H, Mes*Sid), 0.18 *(8,* 9H, MeseSic), 0.20 **(e,** 3H, MebSi*), 0.29 **(s,** 3H, MecSib), 0.30 *(8,* 3H, MedSib), 0.31 **(a,** 9H, MeshSi9, 0.33 *(8,* 9H, Me₃^gSi^e), 0.34 (s, 3H, Me^aSi^a), 2.24 (br s, 1H, H_a), 2.62 (t, 1H, H_b, $J = 3.63$ Hz), 2.70 (dd, 1H, H_c, $J = 0.99$, 0.66 Hz), 3.47 (m, 1H, $(C-H_b)$, 129.85 (C-H_c), 136.28 (C-Si), 137.09 (C-H_d), 144.03 (C- H_d), 5.83 (d, 1H, H_e, $J = 2.64$ Hz), 6.09 (d, 1H, H_e, $J = 4.95$ Hz), 6.36 (dd, 1H, H_g, $J = 3.63$, 0.99 Hz), 6.41 (dd, 1H, H_h, $J = 4.95$, 0.99 Hz); ¹³C NMR (δ in C₆D₆) -6.05 (Me^bSi^a), -5.94 (Me^aSi^a), -4.52 (Me^dSi^b), -3.14 (Me^cSi^b), -2.33 (Me₃^fSi^d), 0.02 (Me₃^eSi^c), 0.26 (Me₃^gSi^e), 0.71 (Me₃^bSi^f), 27.80 (C-H_a), 36.79 (C-H_b), 42.67 (C-H_e), 47.93 (C-H_d), 129.47 (C-Si), 129.60 (C-H_f), 133.37 (C-Si), 134.72 (C-H_e), 136.43 (C-H_h), 140.08 (C-Si), 140.67 (C-H_g), 145.81 (C-Si). Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{56}Si_8$: C, 60.00; H, 10.00. Found: C, 60.00; H, 9.97.

Thermolysis of 7. Compound **7** (0.020 g, 0.036 mmol) was heated in a sealed tube at 200 "C for 2 h. The GLC analysis of the resulting product showed the presence of **6** in quantitative vield: MS m/z 560 (M⁺); IR 2954, 2898, 2364, 1405, 1349, 1248, 1144, 1074, 989, 837, 790, 750, 688, 658, 624, 465 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(\delta$ in C₆D₆) 0.14, 0.15, 0.30 (s, 9H, Me₃Si), 0.35, 0.38 (s, 6 H, Me₂-Si), 0.47 **(s,** 9H, Measi), 0.65, 0.66 (s,6H, MezSi), 1.83 (dd, lH, H_a , $J = 7.26$, 1.65 Hz), 2.37 (m, 2H, H_b), 6.19 (dd, 1H, H_c, $J =$ *J* = 7.59, 1.32 Hz), 7.87 (d, lH, Hf, *J* = 7.59 Hz), 8.07 (br **a,** lH, H_g); ¹³C NMR (δ in C₆D₆), -2.26 (Me₂Si), -1.90, -1.16, 0.15 (Me₃-4.95, 2.64 Hz), 6.24 (d, 1H, H_d, $J = 4.95$ Hz), 7.54 (dd, 1H, H_e,

Si), 0.94 (Me₂Si), 2.23 (Me₃Si), 26.83 (C-H_b), 27.27 (C-H_a), 132.80 (C-H_e), 133.70 (C-H_d), 134.88 (C-H_c), 136.45 (C-H_t), 138.57, 138.87 (C-Si), 140.24 (C-K), 141.89,144.96,146.35 (C-Si). Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{56}Si_8$: C, 60.00; H, 10.00. Found: C, 59.93; H, 10.00.

Thermolysis of 8. Compound 8 (0.025 g, 0.045 mmol) was heated in a sealed tube at 200 °C for 2 h. The GLC analysis of the resulting product showed the presence of **6** in 90% yield.

Acknowledgment. This research **was** supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Area of Organic Unusual Valency (No. **04217103)** from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture. We thank Professor Emeritus Kazuo Hata, Tokyo Metropolitan University, for helpful suggestions on the nomenclature of compounds **4, 5, 7,** and **8.** We also express our appreciation to Shin-Etsu Chemial Co. Ltd., Nitto Electric Industrial Co. Ltd., **Dow** Corning Japan Ltd., Toshiba Silicone Co. Ltd., Sumitomo Electric Industry, Kaneka Corp., and Japan High Polymer Center for financial support.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of anisotropic temperature factors for non-hydrogen atoms, calculated positional and isotropic thermal parameters for hydrogen atoms, and selected torsion angles and a stereoview of 8 (4 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM930192P