The Highly Reactive Thiolate-Bridged Diruthenium Complex [Cp*Ru(µ2-Cl)(µ2-SPri)2RuCp*][OTf]: Its Reactions with Alkynes To Form Dinuclear Metallacycles and Terminal Allenylidene Complexes

Hiroyuki Matsuzaka, Yukihiro Takagi, and Masanobu Hidai*

Department of Synthetic Chemistry, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo 113, Japan

Received August 2, 1993*

Summary: Addition of $AgOTf(OTf = OSO_2CF_3)$ to a THF solution of $Cp^*Ru(Cl)(\mu_2 - SPr^i)_2Ru(Cl)Cp^*$ (1; $Cp^* = \eta^5 - C_5Me_5)$ produces $[Cp^*Ru(\mu_2 - Cl)(\mu_2 - SPr^i)_2RuCp^*][OTf]$ (2), which readily reacts with alkynes to form a new set of novel diruthenium complexes in high to excellent yield

(Scheme 1). Reaction of 2 with $HC = C\dot{C} - CH(CH_2)_3\dot{C}H_2$ (8 equiv) in THF at room temperature results in coupling of the two alkyne molecules at the diruthenium center to form the unique dinuclear metallacycle 3. Similar treatment of 2 with HC = CR (R = Tol, Ph) induces another type of almost quantitative coupling of the alkyne to afford diruthenacyclopentadienoindane complexes 4 (4a, R' = Me; 4b, R' = H). Complex 2 also smoothly reacts with $HC = CC(OH)R_2$ (R = Tol, Ph) to give dinuclear terminal allenylidene complexes 6 (6a, R = Tol; 6b, R =Ph). Complexes 3 and 6a have been defined by X-ray crystallography, whereas other compounds were spectroscopically characterized.

Although organoruthenium complexes containing phosphine ligands have been widely employed in various chemical transformations,¹ the chemistry of organoruthenium complexes with sulfur ligands has been surprisingly unexplored.^{2,3} We have recently established general synthetic routes to a series of thiolate-bridged dinuclear Cp*Ru complexes (Cp* = η^5 -C₅Me₅),^{4,5} which provide bimetallic reaction sites for novel modes of chemical transformation of organic substrates. Thus, reactions of alkynes with Cp*Ru(μ_2 -SPrⁱ)₂RuCp* produce dinuclear ruthenacyclopentenyl complexes,⁶ whereas those with Cp*Ru(μ_2 -SPrⁱ)₃RuCp* yield dinuclear terminal dialkynyl compounds.⁷ These observations clearly indicate that



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of the cationic part of complex 3.

reactions of alkynes with the diruthenium-thiolate complexes proceed in quite a different manner, depending upon the nature of the diruthenium site. In this context, we have investigated the reactivity of alkynes with Cp*Ru-(Cl)(μ_2 -SPrⁱ)_2Ru(Cl)Cp* (1)^{4a} and found that complex 1 did not itself react with alkynes; however, addition of AgOTf (OTf = OSO₂CF₃) to 1 produces the highly reactive cationic complex [Cp*Ru(μ_2 -Cl)(μ_2 -SPrⁱ)_2RuCp*][OTf] (2), which readily incorporates alkynes to give a new set of diruthenium complexes (Scheme 1). As described herein, the chemistry is markedly sensitive to the alkyne employed.

Complex 2 was isolated as a brown crystalline solid and spectroscopically characterized.⁸ Its ¹H NMR spectrum shows only one set of signals due to Cp* and SPrⁱ protons, which indicate the triply bridged structure depicted in Scheme 1.

Reaction of 2 with HC=CC-CH(CH₂)₃CH₂ (5 equiv) in THF at room temperature results in coupling of the

[•] Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, December 1, 1993. (1) Collman, J. P.; Hegedus, L. S.; Norton, J. R.; Finke, R. G. Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1987.

^{(2) (}a) Berg, J. M.; Holm, R. H. In Metal Ions in Biology; Wiley: New York, 1982; Vol. 4. (b) Rakowski DuBois, M. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1. (c) Angelici, R. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1988, 21, 387.

<sup>Angelci, R. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1988, 21, 387.
(3) For the pertinent examples, see: (a) Houser, E. J.; Amarasekera, J.; Rauchfuss, T. B.; Wilson, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7440. (b)
Amarasekera, J.; Rauchfuss, T. B.; Wilson, S. R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 14. (c) Koch, S. A.; Millar, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3362. (d) Millar, M. M.; O'Sullivan, T.; Vries, N.; Koch, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3714. (e) Shaver, A.; Plouffe, P.-Y.; Lilles, D. C.; Singleton, E. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 997. (f) Kölle, U.; Kang, B.-S.; Englert, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 420, 227.</sup>

^{(4) (}a) Dev, S.; Imagawa, K.; Mizobe, Y.; Cheng, G.; Wakatsuki, Y.; Yamazaki, H.; Hidai, M. Organometallics 1989, 8, 1232. (b) Dev, S.; Mizobe, Y.; Hidai, M. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 4797. (c) Takahashi, A.; Mizobe, Y.; Matsuzaka, H.; Dev, S.; Hidai, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 456, 243.

<sup>400, 243.
(5)</sup> Some dinuclear CpRu and Cp*Ru complexes have been recently reported. See: (a) Rauchfuss, T. B.; Rodgers, D. P. S.; Wilson, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3114. (b) Loren, S. D.; Campion, B. K.; Heyn, R. H.; Tilley, T. D.; Bursten, B. E.; Luth, K. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 4712. (c) Knox, S. A. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 400, 255 and references cited therein. (d) Kölle, U.; Kang, B.-S.; Thewalt, U. Organometallics 1992, 11, 2833. (e) Suzuki, H.; Takao, T.; Tanaka, M.; Moro-oka, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 476.

⁽⁶⁾ Nishio, M.; Matsuzaka, H.; Mizobe, Y.; Hidai, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 375.

⁽⁷⁾ Matsuzaka, H.; Hirayama, Y.; Nishio, M.; Mizobe, Y.; Hidai, M. Organometallics 1993, 12, 36.

⁽⁸⁾ A brown suspension of Cp*Ru(Cl)(μ_2 -SPri)₂Ru(Cl)Cp* (1; 850 mg, 1.22 mmol) in THF (20 mL) immediately turned to a reddish brown solution when a THF (2 mL) solution of AgOTf (312 mg, 1.22 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After removal of the solvent, the resultant solid was extracted with CH₂-Cl₂. Addition of hexane to the concentrated CH₂Cl₂ solution afforded 2 as a dark brown crystalline solid, yield 92%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 4.05 (sep, 2H, J = 6.7 Hz, SCHMe₂), 1.64 (s, 30H, Cp*), 1.52 (d, 12H, J = 6.7Hz, SCHMe₂).

Cp'

3, 89 %



two alkyne molecules at the diruthenium center to form the unique dinuclear metallacycle 3 in high yield, which was isolated as a green microcrystalline solid⁹ and fully characterized by X-ray crystallography¹⁰ (Figure 1). Two $HC = CC - CH(CH_2)_3 CH_2$ molecules form a fused-ring system with Ru(1) and Ru(2). The five-membered metallacycle is essentially planar and appears to be best described as a hybrid of the two resonance forms 3A and

3B, considering bond distances.¹⁰ Similar treatment of 2 with HC=CR (R = Tol, Ph) induces another type of almost quantitative coupling of the alkyne to afford diruthenacyclopentadienoindane complexes 4 (4a, R' = Me; 4b, R' = H),¹¹ which have been spectroscopically characterized. Previously complexes 4 were alternatively obtained by protonation of dialkynyl complexes Cp*Ru(C=CR)(μ_2 -SPrⁱ)₂Ru(C=CR)Cp* (5: **5a**, R = Tol; **5b**, R = Ph) and crystallographically defined.⁷

(9) To a reddish brown THF (10 mL) solution of 2 (64 mg, 0.079 mmol)

(11) Complexes 4 were obtained by analogous treatment of 2 with HC==CR (R = Tol, Ph): 4a, yield 93%; 4b, yield 73%.



It is interesting to note that HC==CR (R = Tol, Ph) directly coupled on the diruthenium site in 2 to produce 4 in high yield. Extremely high reactivity of 2 toward alkynes is also demonstrated by instant formation of 3, which could not be obtained by protonation of the corresponding dialkynyl complex 5c (R = C==CH(CH₂)₃CH₂).⁷ The unique chemical transformation of these terminal alkynes at the diruthenium center to afford complexes 3 and 4 is considered to proceed via vinylidene-alkynyl intermediates such as 7, which was proposed for the coupling of two terminal alkynyl moieties in 5.⁷





was added HC==CC-=CH(CH₂)₃CH₂ (74 μ L, 0.63 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After removal of the solvent, the resultant solid was washed with hexane (5 mL × 3) and chromatographed on alumina with CH₂Cl₂. Evaporation of the solvent from a green band gave 3 as a microcrystalline solid (69 mg, 89%). Single crystals suitable for structural analysis were obtained by recrystallization from THF-ether. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, methylene protons are omitted): δ 6.96 (s, 1H, vinyl), 4.61 (br s, 1H, vinyl), 3.45, 2.64 (sep, 1H each, J = 6.8Hz, SCHMe₂), 1.85, 1.69 (s, 15H each, Cp*), 1.40, 1.25, 0.95, 0.82 (d, 3H each, J = 6.8 Hz, SCHMe₂).

⁽¹⁰⁾ Crystal data: $P\bar{1}$ (triclinic), a = 12.552(3) Å, b = 16.310(4) Å, c = 11.019(2) Å, $\alpha = 94.72(2)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 100.96(1)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 88.33(2)^{\circ}$, V = 2206.9(8) Å³, Z = 2, $R(R_w) = 6.3\%$ (5.2%) for 5272 reflections ($I > 3\sigma(I)$). Bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Ru(1)-Ru(2), 2.777(1); Ru(1)-C(1), 2.06-(1); Ru(2)-C(3), 2.04(1); C(1)-C(2), 1.44(1); C(2)-C(3), 1.42(1); Ru(2)-Ru(1)-C(1), 87.1(3); Ru(1)-Ru(2)-C(3), 85.9(3); Ru(1)-C(1)-C(2), 120.1(8); C(1)-C(2), 122.7(9).

Communications

complexes 6 (6a, R = Tol; 6b, R = Ph) in excellent yield, which were obtained as violet crystalline solids and spectroscopically characterized;¹² 6a was further defined by X-ray crystallography¹³ (Figure 2). The η^1 -allenylidene and Cl ligands are on different Ru atoms in a mutually *cis* configuration. Complex 6 offers a rare example of a multinuclear allenylidene compound with only a terminal allenylidene ligand on the bimetallic center.¹⁴

Finally, it should be mentioned that transformations of alkynes described above proceed without isolating 2. Thus, addition of alkyne to a mixture of 1 and AgOTf in THF results in clean formation of 3, 4, and 6, respectively. These cationic complexes would have a rich chemistry with organic and inorganic nucleophilic substrates, and further studies are in progress toward this direction.

Acknowledgment. The Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan is gratefully acknowledged for support of this research.

(13) Crystal data: $P_{2_1/c}$ (monoclinic), a = 10.090(3) Å, b = 23.067(6) Å, c = 19.529(2) Å, $\beta = 92.75(1)^{\circ}$, V = 4540(1) Å³, Z = 4, $R(R_w) = 9.2\%$ (6.7%) for 4115 reflections $(I > 3\sigma(I))$. Bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Ru(1)-Ru(2), 2.801(2); Ru(1)-C(1), 1.94(2); Ru(2)-Cl(1), 2.414(5); C(1)-C(2), 1.24(2); C(2)-C(3), 1.36(2); Ru(2)-Ru(1)-C(1), 97.4(5); Ru(1)-Ru(2)-Cl(1), 96.4(1); Ru(1)-C(1)-C(2), 174(1); C(1)-C(2)-C(3), 165-(2)^{\circ}.



Figure 2. ORTEP drawing of the cationic part of complex 6a.

Supplementary Material Available: Text giving experimental details, including results of elemental analysis, and for 3 and 6a, tables of positional parameters, anisotropic thermal parameters, and bond distances and angles (16 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM930524Q

(14) Berke, H.; Härter, P.; Huttner, G.; Zsolnai, L. Chem. Ber. 1984, 117, 3423.

⁽¹²⁾ A THF (20 mL) solution of 2 (578 mg, 0.716 mmol) immediately turned to dark violet when a THF (5 mL) solution of $HC=CC(OH)Tol_2$ (177 mg, 0.749 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After removal of the solvent, the resultant solid was washed with hexane (5 mL × 3) and chromatographed on silica gel with THF. Evaporation of the solvent from a violet band gave 6a as a microcrystalline solid (650 mg, 88%). Single crystals suitable for structural analysis were obtained by recrystallization from ethanol/hexane. 6a: yield 88%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.57, 7.20 (d, 4H each, J = 8.1 Hz, aryl), 4.31 (sep, 2H, J = 6.8 Hz, SCHMe₂), 2.36 (a, 6H, C₆H₄Me₂), 1.85, 1.67 (s, 15H each, Cp^{*}), 1.32, 1.20 (d, 6H each, J = 6.8 Hz, SCHMe₂). IR (KBr): ν_{C-C-C} 1941 cm⁻¹. 6b: yield 98%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.62-7.05 (m, 10H, aryl), 4.46 (sep, 2H, J = 6.8 Hz, SCHMe₂), 1.85, 1.44 (s, 15H each, Cp^{*}), 1.26, 1.12 (d, 6H each, J = 6.8 Hz, SCHMe₂). IR (KBr): ν_{C-C-C} 1933 cm⁻¹. (13) Crystal data: $P2_1/c$ (monoclinic), a = 10.099(3) Å, b = 23.067(6)