# Synthesis and Photoisomerization of Divinyltetramethyldisiloxane and Divinyltetramethyldisilazane Complexes of $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)Rh$ $(\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{Me})$ . Crystal and Molecular Structure of $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})Rh[\eta^{4}-(CH_{2}=CH)Me_{2}SiOSiMe_{2}(CH=CH_{2})]$

Scott S. D. Brown,<sup>1</sup> Stephen N. Heaton,<sup>2</sup> Madeleine H. Moore,<sup>2</sup> Robin N. Perutz,<sup>\*,2</sup> and Giles Wilson<sup>2</sup>

Research and Development, Dow Corning Ltd., Barry CF6 7YL, U.K., and Department of Chemistry, University of York, York YO1 5DD, U.K.

Received October 17, 1995<sup>®</sup>

The synthesis and photochemistry of a series of new rhodium complexes of 1,3-divinyl-1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane, (CH2=CHSiMe2)2O, and its disilazane analogue (CH2=CHSiMe2)2-NH are reported. The divinyldisiloxane or divinyldisilazane ligands in  $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)Rh[\eta^4 (CH_2=CHSiMe_2)_2X$ ] (R = H, Me; X = O, NH; type **1** complexes) are coordinated through the vinyl groups as for a diene. The structure of  $(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Rh[\eta^4-(CH_2=CHSiMe_2)_2O]$  was established crystallographically. The two coordinated double bonds are arranged with their Si substitutents in a *cis* orientation with respect to the metal. The strain in the structure is suggested by the scissor geometry of the vinyl C=C bonds relative to the  $C_5$  plane of the ring, combined with unequal Rh-C and C=C bond lengths. Photolysis of  $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)Rh(C_2H_4)_2$ (R = H, Me) in the presence of  $(CH_2=CHSiMe_2)_2X$  (X = O, NH) results in the formation of the complexes of type 1, together with an isomer in which the substituents on the coordinated C=C bonds are oriented *trans* at rhodium (2) and species containing two dangling divinyldisiloxane ligands,  $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CHSiMe_2)_2X]_2$ , in both *cis* and *trans* configurations. Direct irradiation of species of type **1** converts them to the photoisomer **2**. The 16-electron intermediate with one  $\eta^2$ -divinyldisiloxane ligand may be trapped by addition of  $CH_2$ =CHSiMe<sub>3</sub> or excess ( $CH_2$ =CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>X (X = O, NH). The isomerization of type 2 species to type **1** species proceeds by first-order kinetics. For trans- $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Rh[\eta^4 (CH_2=CHSiMe_2)_2O]$  (2a) the values of  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  and  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  are  $114 \pm 1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  and  $41 \pm 4 \text{ J mol}^{-1}$  $K^{-1}$ , consistent with rate-determining dissociation of one double bond. Replacement of  $C_5H_5$ by  $C_5Me_5$  increases  $H^{\sharp}$  by  $9 \pm 2$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. The assignment of the photoisomers **2** as the trans species is supported by further observations that (i) a mixture of 1 and 2 can be synthesized by hydrolysis of chlorovinylsilane precursors ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Rh( $\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>Cl)<sub>2</sub>, a method which generates the Si-O-Si linkage in situ, (ii) the conformationally locked species with a cyclic trivinyltrimethyltrisiloxane ligand,  $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Rh[\eta^4-(CH_2=CHSi(Me)O)_3]$ , does not undergo photoisomerization, and (iii) the species with the Si-O-Si linkage replaced by a C–O–Si linkage ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)Rh[ $\eta^4$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CMe)CH<sub>2</sub>OSiMe<sub>2</sub>(CH=CH<sub>2</sub>)] also fails to undergo photoisomerization. These complexes represent the first examples of adoption of a trans configuration at a metal by  $\eta^4$ -divinyldisiloxane ligands and the first examples of this type of isomerization.

## Introduction

Transition-metal complexes with vinylsilane or vinylsiloxane ligands play an important role in the manufacture of silicones.<sup>3,4</sup> Karstedt's catalyst for hydrosilation contains the 1,3-divinyl-1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane ligand, (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O, bound to platinum in a chelating and bridging fashion.<sup>5</sup> Following our studies of hydrosilation of ethene at rhodium- $(\eta^{5}$ -cyclopentadienyl),<sup>6</sup> we wished to investigate how

the alkene moiety used in commercial systems would bind to this center. We chose as model ligands the divinyltetramethyldisiloxane and divinyltetramethyldisilazanes,  $(CH_2=CHSiMe_2)_2X$  (X = O, NH). Although many vinylsilane and vinylsiloxane complexes are now known,<sup>7-17</sup> few of these are half-sandwich complexes, e.g.  $(\eta^5 - C_9 H_7) Rh[\eta^4 - (CH_2 = CH)_2 SiMe_2]$ , CpCo[{1,2- $\eta^2$ -

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>®</sup> Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, February 1, 1996. (1) Dow Corning Ltd.

<sup>(2)</sup> University of York.

 <sup>(3)</sup> Ookawa, S.; Yamada, S. Jpn. Patent JP 92-282533 920928.
 Waier, S. H.; Li, C-T.; Gyles-Mayon, D. U.S. Patent 93-133491 931007. Sato, R.; Yasuda, H. Jpn. Patent 92-242523 920820.

<sup>(4)</sup> Pan, J.; Lau, W. W. Y.; Lee, C. S. J. Polym. Sci. A, Polym. Chem. 1994, *32*, 997.

<sup>(5)</sup> Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Warhurst, N. J. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 438. Lappert, M. F.; Scott, F. P. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 492, C11.

<sup>(6)</sup> Duckett, S. B.; Perutz, R. N. *Organometallics* **1992**, *9*, 90. (7) Christofides, A.; Ciriano, M.; Spencer, J. L.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 178, 273.

<sup>(8)</sup> Isaeva, L. S.; Peganova, T. A.; Petrovski, P. V.; Kayumov, F. F.; Yusupova, F. G.; Yur'ev, V. P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1983**, *248*, 375. (9) Lewis, L. N.; Colborn, R. E.; Grade, H.; Bryant, G. L.; Simpter,

C. A.; Scott, R. A. Organometallics 1995, 14, 2202. Cloke, F. G. N.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; MacBeath, C.; Mempsted, G. O. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 87.

 $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)Rh[\eta^4-(CH_2=CHSiMe_2)_2X]$  (1 stable, 2 unstable)  $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}R_{5})Rh[\eta^{2}-(CH_{2}=CHSiMe_{2})_{2}X]_{2}$  (3 t, 4 c)  $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}R_{5})Rh(\eta^{2}-CH_{2}=CH_{2})[\eta^{2}-(CH_{2}=CHSiMe_{2})_{2}X]$  (5)  $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}R_{5})Rh(\eta^{2}-CH_{2}=CHSiMe_{3})[\eta^{2}-(CH_{2}=CHSiMe_{2})_{2}X]$  (6 t, 7 c)  $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}R_{5})Rh(PMe_{3})[\eta^{2}-(CH_{2}=CHSiMe_{2})_{2}X]$  (8)  $CpRh(\eta^2-CH_2=CHSiMe_2Cl)_2$  (9 t, 10 c)  $CpRh(\eta^2-CH_2=CH_2)(\eta^2-CH_2=CHSiMe_2Cl)$  (11)  $CpRh[\eta^{4}-(CH_{2}=CHSiMe)_{3}(\mu-O)_{3}]$  (12)  $CpRh[\eta^4-(CH_2=CHSiMe_2)(CH_2=CMeCH_2)O]$  (13)

 $\mathbf{c} \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{O}$  $Cp = \eta^5 - C_5 H_5$ a R = H, X = O $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}$ **d** R = Me, X = NH  $Cp^* = \eta^5 - C_5 Me_5$ t = transc = cis

 $(cis-CH_3COCH=CH)$  $(\eta^2-CH_2=CHSiMe_2)$ ],<sup>18,19</sup> and little photochemistry has been explored.

We report the synthesis and photochemistry of ( $\eta^{5}$ - $C_5R_5$  Rh[ $\eta^4$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>X] complexes and show that they exist as two isomers which are interconverted photochemically. The structure of the more stable isomer of one species has been determined crystallographically. The unstable isomers have been characterized by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy and by several indirect methods: the generation of the same complexes by a hydrolytic route, comparisons to a complex with a cyclic trivinyltrisiloxane ligand, and comparison to an analogue with one silicon replaced by carbon. The kinetics of conversion of the unstable isomer to the stable one are shown to be consistent with initial dissociation of one arm of the chelate. We also report the formation of complexes containing two "dangling" divinyldisiloxane ligands,  $(\eta^5-C_5R_5)Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_2=CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_5)]Rh[\eta^2-(CH_5)]Rh[$  $SiMe_2_2X_2$ , and several trapping products with one dangling ligand,  $(\eta^5 - C_5 R_5) Rh[\eta^2 - (CH_2 = CHSiMe_2)_2 X]L$  (L = PMe<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>3</sub>, etc.). The compounds under study here are numbered in Chart 1.

# Results

1. Synthesis of  $\eta^4$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>X Complexes. Several new half-sandwich rhodium complexes of the form  $(\eta^5 - C_5 R_5) Rh[\eta^4 - (CH_2 = CHSiMe_2)_2 X]$  (R = H, X = O (1a), NH (1b); R = Me, X = O (1c)) have been synthesized (Scheme 1). The procedure for 1a,b was

(19) Herberich, G. E.; Thönnessen, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 177, 357.

## Scheme 1. Synthesis of (a) 1a and 1b and (b) 1c



adapted from that for CpRh(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.<sup>20</sup> At room temperature both complexes are air-stable orange oils, but 1a crystallizes below 10 °C. Complex 1c was prepared by a method similar to that used in the synthesis of  $Cp^*Rh(C_2H_4)_2$ .<sup>21</sup> Complex **1c** is an air, moisture, and light stable solid at room temperature. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained.

The complexes **1a**-**c** were characterized unambiguously by multinuclear NMR (Figure 1a, Table 1). The divinyl moiety of each is bound with a mirror plane containing the Rh-O vector, since the vinyls are magnetically equivalent, as are the SiMe<sub>2</sub> groups. Typically, a <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum contains just one SiMe2 doublet which is shifted downfield from that of the free ligand by ca. 10 ppm, indicative of a vinylsilane bound to rhodium.<sup>22</sup>  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR spectra contain two vinyl resonances ( $J_{\rm Rh-C} \approx 13-14$  Hz), which are assigned as either  $C^{\alpha}$  (*C*H) or  $C^{\beta}$  (*C*H<sub>2</sub>) on the basis of DEPT NMR experiments. Two signals due to SiMe2 are detected; as the SiMe<sub>2</sub> groups show one <sup>29</sup>Si resonance, the methyls on each silicon must be inequivalent. Three vinyl resonances ( $J_{\rm Rh-H} \approx 1-3.5$  Hz) are observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra, which are assigned as  $H^{\alpha}$  (C*H*),  $H^{\beta}$  (CH<sub>2</sub>-trans), or  $H^{\gamma}$  (CH<sub>2</sub>-cis) from coupling constant data (see I for labeling).



For **1a**,**b** the two CH<sub>2</sub> signals straddle that of the CH resonance. In accordance with Cramer's description of vinyl protons, the resonance at high field is assigned to the inner and those at low field to the outer protons (II).<sup>23</sup> Chelation forces  $H^{\alpha}$  and  $H^{\gamma}$  to adopt outer

- (22) Bassindale, A. R.; Fitch, J. W.; Pannell, K. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 209, C65.
  - (23) Cramer, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 217.

<sup>(10)</sup> Fitch, J. W.; Flores, D. P.; George, J. E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 29, 263. Haschke, E. M.; Fitch, J. W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 7, C93

<sup>(11)</sup> Kelly, R. D.; Young, G. B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 361, 123.
Kelly, D. R.; Young, G. B. Polyhedron 1989, 8, 433.
(12) Haiduc, I.; Popa, V. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 15, 113.

<sup>(13)</sup> Schubert, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 358, 215. Schubert,

U.; Grönen, J. Organometallics 1987, 6, 2458.
 (14) Pearson, A. J.; Holder, M. S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 383, 307. Paquette, L. A.; Daniels, R. G.; Gleiter, R. Organometallics 1984, 3, 560.

<sup>(15)</sup> Chow, T. J.; Cheng, C. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 368, 323. (16) Fitch, J. W.; Ripplinger, E. B.; Shouldersand, B. A.; Sorey, S. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 352, C 25.

<sup>(17)</sup> Bandodokar, B. S.; Nagendrappa, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 430. 373

<sup>(18)</sup> Fitch, J. W.; Westmoreland, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 268, 269

<sup>(20)</sup> Belt, S. T.; Duckett, S. B.; Haddleton, D. M.; Perutz, R. N. Organometallics 1989, 8, 748.

<sup>(21)</sup> Moseley, K.; Kang, J. W.; Maitlis, P. M. J. Chem. Soc. A 1970, 2875



**Figure 1.** (a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **1c** in toluene- $d_8$  (\* = solvent signal) at 293 K (protons labeled A–E). (b) Spectrum after irradiation showing formation of **2c** (protons labeled 1–5).

positions while,  $H^{\beta}$  lies at the inner position. For **1c** the vinyl resonance pattern is quite different. Proton  $H^{\alpha}$  gives rise to the highest field signal of the three, while the resonance due to  $H^{\gamma}$  is at lowest field. An identical pattern is observed for the silazane analogue **1d**.

The fluxional behavior of ( ${}^{1}Bu_{3}P$ )Pt[ $\eta^{4}$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CH-SiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O] manifests itself in Si*Me*<sub>2</sub> signal broadening in the  ${}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ } NMR spectra.<sup>24</sup> We acquired the  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectra of **1a** between 294 and 343 K, but no Si*Me*<sub>2</sub> signal broadening was observed. The rhodium– vinyl bond is sufficiently inert to prevent thermal vinyl dissociation. The inertness of **1a** was further confirmed by dissolving it in neat PMe<sub>3</sub>. No reaction took place even after 15 h at room temperature.

**2. Crystal Structure of 1c.** The molecular structure of **1c** is shown in Figure 2a (Table 2). Notable features of the structure are as follows: (i) the Si substituents on the vinyl groups have a *cis* arrangement at the metal; (ii) a pseudo boat conformation is adopted by the divinyldisiloxane ligand as the vectors C(2)–Si-(1), C(8)–Si(2), Si(1)–O, and Si(2)–O point away from the plane of the Cp\* ring; (iii) the two methyl groups on each silicon atom are in pseudo axial and equatorial positions; (iv) the vinyl C=C bonds, C(1)–C(2) and

C(7)–C(8), are tilted with respect to the C<sub>5</sub> plane of the Cp<sup>\*</sup> ring in opposite directions by +6.2° and -8.9°, respectively; (v) the dihedral angle between planes containing rhodium, the centroid of the Cp<sup>\*</sup> ring, and the center of each alkene C=C bond,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , is 5.5° (Figure 2b).

**3.** Photochemical Reaction of CpRh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> with (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>X. We have shown previously that CpRh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> is an excellent precursor for photoinduced substitution and oxidative addition reactions.<sup>6.20</sup> We therefore attempted to form **1a** and its analogues by photolysis of CpRh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in neat (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>X (X = O, NH). The four photoproducts formed from each precursor fall into two distinct categories: (i) those with one  $\eta^4$ -bound divinyl ligand, **1a**,**b** and **2a**,**b**, and (ii) those with two  $\eta^2$ -bound divinyl ligands, **3a**,**b** and **4a**,**b** (Chart 2). A typical product ratio was 3.7:3.8:1.5:1.0, respectively.

The assignment of  $\eta^2$ -vinyl coordination in **3** and **4** was made on the basis of NMR experiments (Table 3, Supporting Information). Both species contain two distinct types of vinyl groups. In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum one of these gives rise to a low-field ABC spin system and the other to a high-field AMX spin system. The AMX pattern results from a vinyl group bound to rhodium, while the ABC pattern is due to the uncoordinated vinyl group. Rotation about the Rh-vinyl bond can take place, resulting in broadening of NMR signals of 3 and 4 at 323 K. No chemical exchange occurs between the two at this temperature ( $^{1}H-^{1}H$  SECSY), indicating that they are noninterconverting isomers, both with two equivalent divinyl ligands. The major species, 3, is assumed to have the silicon substituents arranged *trans* at the metal vinyl groups, while the minor isomer, 4, has the *cis* structure (see below). The remaining two photoproducts have the divinyl ligand bound in a bidentate mode. The minor product of these is identified as a species of form 1. We will show that the other, 2, contains one chelating divinyl ligand which adopts a trans arrangement at the metal. When Cp\*Rh- $(C_2H_4)_2$  was irradiated in neat  $(CH_2=CHSiMe_2)_2X$  (X = O, NH), only two photoproducts were generated for each silicon precursor, both containing an  $\eta^4$ -divinyldisiloxane ligand. The minor product with X = O was identified as 1c, and the other was a species of form 2c. Similar complexes, 1d and 2d, were observed with X = NH.

Species **2a**–**d** are kinetic photoproducts which isomerize into **1a**–**d** over several days at room temperature. There are many differences between the NMR spectra of isomers of type **1** and those of type **2**, especially in signals due to the divinyldisiloxane ligand (Table 1). The <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of species of type **2** contain a doublet (typically,  $J_{Rh-Si} = 2$  Hz) which is shifted downfield from that of **1** by ca. 3 ppm. A comparison of <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra shows that the chemical shift difference,  $\delta$ , between the two vinyl carbons (C<sup> $\beta$ </sup>–C<sup> $\alpha$ </sup>) is much smaller in the case of **2** (Table 4). In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of a species of type **2** the vinyl resonances are significantly shifted relative to those for **1** (Figure 3).

An intermediate photoproduct,  $CpRh(\eta^2-CH_2=CH_2)-[\eta^2-(CH_2=CHSiMe_2)_2X]$  (X = O (**5a**), NH (**5b**)), is formed during the photochemical reaction between CpRh-(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>X (Scheme 2, Table 3). Complex **5b** gives rise to two sets of vinyl resonances

<sup>(24)</sup> Bassindale, A. R.; Brown, S. S. D.; Lo, P. Y. Organometallics 1994, 13, 738.

[]UM on June 30, 2009	cs.org   doi: 10.1021/om9508261
y CARLI CONSOR	.996 on http://pubs.
Downloaded b	Published on March 5, 1

Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}, and <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR Data for Species of Types 1 and 2 in Toluene-d<sub>8</sub> at 293 K

				<sup>1</sup> H NMR				
	$C_5R_5 \ \delta/ppm$			vinyl ð/ppm (J	/Hz) <sup>a</sup>			
species	(J/Hz) R = H, Me		Ηα		Hβ	Ηγ		$SiMe_{2}^{b} \delta/ppm$
1a 1b°	d, 4.70 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 0.5, 5H) d, 4.75 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 0.7, 5H)	ddd, 2.04 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 1.6 ddd, 1.99 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 1.7 ddd, 1.99 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 1.7 ddd, 1.99 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 1.7 ddd) ddd) ddd ddd ddd ddd ddd ddd ddd	9, $J_{trans} = 14.4$ , $J_{cis} = 11.7$ , 2H 7, $J_{trans} = 14.7$ , $J_{cis} = 11.5$ , 2H	) dd, 1.66 $(J_{Rh-H} = 1, 0, 0, 1.66, (J_{Rh-H} = 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,$	$1.2, J_{trans} = 14.4, 2H)$ = 1.4, $J_{gem} = 0.6,$ H)	dd, 3.53 ( $J_{Rh-H} = 2.4$ , $J_{cis} = 11$ , ddd, 3.56 ( $J_{Rh-H} = 2.5$ , $J_{gem} = 1$ , $J_{cis} = 11.5$ , $2H$ )	.8, 2H) s, 0.2 0.6, s, 0.1	1 (6H); s, 0.38 (6H) 3 (6H); s, 0.37 (6H)
1د 1d	d, 1.50 ( $J_{Rh-H} = 0.5$ , 15H) d, 1.52 ( $J_{Rh-H} = 0.5$ , 15H)	ddd, 1.03 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 1.7 ddd, 0.93 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 1.8	7, $J_{trans} = 14.3$ , $J_{cis} = 11.5$ , 2H 8, $J_{trans} = 14.2$ , $J_{cis} = 11.8$ , 2H	() dd, 1.92 ( $J_{Rh-H} =$ () dd, 1.94 ( $J_{Rh-H} =$	$1.5, J_{\text{trans}} = 14.3, 2H$ $1.4, J_{\text{trans}} = 14.2, 2H$	dd, $2.54$ (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 2.3, J <sub>cis</sub> = 11, dd, $2.58$ (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 2.4, J <sub>cis</sub> = 11.	.7, 2H) s, 0.2 .5, 2H) s, 0.1	(7 (6H); s, 0.36 (6H) 6 (6H); s, 0.37 (6H)
2a 2b°	d, 4.72 ( $J_{Rh-H} = 0.5$ , 5H) d, 4.78 ( $J_{Rh-H} = 0.7$ , 5H)	ddd, 2.18 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 2.0 ddd, 2.17 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 1.0 $d$	0, $J_{trans} = 13.7$ , $J_{cis} = 11.4$ , $2H$ 9, $J_{trans} = 14.0$ , $J_{cis} = 11.2$ , $2H$	) dd, 2.78 ( $J_{Rh-H} =$ ) dd, 2.67 ( $J_{Rh-H} =$	1.8, $J_{trans} = 13.9, 2H$ ) 1.9, $J_{trans} = 13.9, 2H$ )	dd, 3.25 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 1.8, J <sub>dis</sub> = 11 dd, 3.25 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 1.9, J <sub>dis</sub> = 11	.4, 2H) s, 0.2 .2, 2H) s, 0.1	(6 (6H); s, 0.37 (6H) 1 (6H); s, 0.30 (6H)
2c 2d°	d, 1.50 $(J_{Rh-H} = 0.5, 15H)$ d, 1.52 $(J_{Rh-H} = 0.5, 15H)$	ddd, 1.40 $(J_{Rh-H} = 2.5)$ ddd, 1.40 $(J_{Rh-H} = 1.7)$	$2, J_{trans} = 13.5, J_{cis} = 11.2, 2H$ $7, J_{trans} = 13.5, J_{cis} = 11.1, 2H$	) dd, $3.08$ ( $J_{Rh-H} =$ ) dd, $3.05$ ( $J_{Rh-H} =$ )	1.8, $J_{\text{trans}} = 13.6, 2H$ ) 1.7, $J_{\text{trans}} = 13.4, 2H$ )	dd, 2.12 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 1.8, J <sub>cis</sub> = 11 dd, 2.13 (J <sub>Rh-H</sub> = 1.8, J <sub>cis</sub> = 11	.2, 2H) s, 0.2 .1, 2H) s, 0.1	9 (6H); s, 0.34 (6H) 9 (6H); s, 0.31 (6H)
			13C.	$\{1H\}$ and <sup>29</sup> Si $^{1}H\}$ N	MR			
			13C	{ <sup>1</sup> H} NMR				
	CA5R5 (F	$c = C^B H_3$ , H) $\delta/ppm (J/s)$	(ZH/	vinyl ð/ppm	(J/Hz) <sup>a,d</sup>		29S	ii{ <sup>1</sup> H} NMR
sp(	scies C <sup>A</sup>		CB	Cα	Cß	$\operatorname{SiMe}_{2^{\mathrm{b}}} \delta/\operatorname{ppm}$	SiMe	2 δ/ppm (J/Hz) <sup>f</sup>
	a d, 88.30 (J <sub>Rh</sub>	-c = 4.3	d, 34.20 (.	$J_{ m Rh-C}=13.5)$	d, 44.25 ( $J_{\rm Rh-C} = 12$	.8) s, 2.62; s, 3.91	d, 4.0	$(J_{\rm Rh-Si} = 2.1)$
	<b>b</b> d, 88.47 (J <sub>Rh</sub> -	-c = 3.9	d, 32.5 (J <sub>1</sub>	$_{\rm 3h-C} = 14.1)$	d, 43.5 ( $J_{\rm Rh-C} = 13$ .	4) s, 1.99; s, 3.45	d, 3.7	$(J_{\rm Rh-Si}=2.0)$
	c d, 96.45 (J <sub>Rh</sub> -	-c = 4.5) s	s, 8.70 d, 41.90 (.	$J_{ m Rh-C}=14.2)$	d, 51.6 ( $J_{\rm Rh-C} = 14$ .)	2) s, 2.20; s, 2.70	d, 3.2	$(J_{ m Rh-Si}=2.2)$
	d d, 95.81 (J <sub>Rh</sub> -	-c = 4.2) s	s, 8.18 d, 41.13 (.	$J_{ m Rh-C}=14.8)$	d, 51.56 ( $J_{\rm Rh-C} = 13$	.2) s, 2.06; s, 3.68	d, 2.9	$(J_{ m Rh-Si}=2.0)$
~	a <sup>e</sup> d, 88.75 (J <sub>Rh</sub> -	-c = 4.1	OVE	erlapping doublets, 3	$(4.91 \ (J_{Rh-C} = 13.3))$	s, 2.92; s, 5.78	d, 6.3	$(J_{\rm Rh-Si} = 1.9)$
~~	${\bf b}^{\rm e}$ d, 88.52 (J <sub>Rh</sub> .	-c = 4.5)	OVE	rlapping doublets, 3	$(4.75 (J_{Rh-C} = 13.4))$	s, 2.01; s, 4.44	d, 6.6	$(J_{\rm Rh-Si} = 1.6)$
	c d, 96.69 (J <sub>Rh</sub>	-c = 4.5) s	s, 9.00 d, 42.30 (.	$J_{ m Rh-C}=15.3)$	d, 44.1 ( $J_{Rh-C} = 13$ .	2) s, 2.40; s, 5.20	d, 5.9	$(J_{ m Rh-Si}=2.0)$
20	d, 96.06 (J <sub>Rh</sub> .	-c = 4.3) 5	s, 8.43 d, 41.43 (.	$J_{ m Rh-C}=14.8)$	d, 44.40 ( $J_{Rh-C} = 13$	.7) s, 3.46; s, 5.52	d, 6.2	$(J_{\rm Rh-Si}=1.7)$
<sup>a</sup> Lat	eling: H <sup>o</sup> H <sup>r</sup> /	Assignments confin	rmed by 1H–1H COSY experi	iments in most cases	. <sup>b</sup> Methyl groups in axi	al or equatorial positions could	not be identifi	ied unambiguously.
		~						
	Si H <sup>B</sup> Si							

<sup>c</sup> Signals due to NH could not be detected. <sup>d</sup> Spectral editing (DEPT) experiments were performed to confirm the vinyl carbon assignments. <sup>e</sup> The overlapping vinyl resonances gave rise to a broad doublet. Component signals could not be resolved by spectral editing. <sup>f</sup> A normal INEPT pulse sequence was employed.



**Figure 2.** (a) Two ORTEP views of the crystal structure of **1c**. Anisotropic displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. (b) Representation of dihedral angle between planes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Point x is the centroid of the Cp\* ring. Points y and z are positioned at  $\frac{1}{2}r[(C(1)-C(2))]$  and  $\frac{1}{2}r[(C(7)-C(8))]$ , respectively.

Table 2. Bond Lengths (Å) and Selected BondAngles (deg) for  $1c^a$ 

	Aligies (	ueg) for re	
Rh-C(1)	2.115(5)	Si(1)-C(3)	1.867(7)
Rh-C(2)	2.180(5)	Si(1)-C(4)	1.866(7)
Rh-C(7)	2.133(5)	Si(2)-C(5)	1.869(5)
Rh-C(8)	2.154(5)	Si(2)-C(6)	1.852(6)
Rh-C(9)	2.208(4)	C(9)-C(10)	1.444(7)
Rh-C(10)	2.284(5)	C(9)-C(13)	1.430(7)
Rh-C(11)	2.269(5)	C(10)-C(11)	1.404(7)
Rh-C(12)	2.219(5)	C(11)-C(12)	1.430(7)
Rh-C(13)	2.242(5)	C(12)-C(13)	1.432(7)
C(1) - C(2)	1.436(7)	C(9)-C(14)	1.504(7)
C(7)-C(8)	1.400(7)	C(10)-C(15)	1.500(7)
Si(1)-C(2)	1.852(5)	C(11)-C(16)	1.512(7)
Si(2)-C(8)	1.850(5)	C(12)-C(17)	1.514(7)
O-Si(1)	1.641(4)	C(13)-C(18)	1.493(7)
O-Si(2)	1.637(4)		
Si(1)-O-Si(2)	132.0(2)	Rh-C(8)-Si(2)	117.9(2)
C(1)-Rh-C(2)	39.0(2)	O-Si(1)-C(2)	112.6(2)
C(7)-Rh-C(8)	38.1(2)	O-Si(2)-C(8)	109.7(2)
C(1)-Rh-C(7)	86.8(2)	C(10) - C(9) - C(13)	108.7(4)
C(2)-Rh-C(8)	100.2(2)	C(9)-C(10)-C(11)	107.4(4)
Si(1) - C(2) - C(1)	121.5(4)	C(10) - C(11) - C(12)	108.7(4)
Si(2) - C(8) - C(7)	126.1(2)	C(11)-C(12)-C(13)	108.6(4)
Rh-C(2)-Si(1)	125.0(2)	C(9)-C(13)-C(12)	106.5(4)

<sup>*a*</sup> Estimated standard deviations in the least significant figure are given in parentheses.

Chart 2. Structure of Species 1-4



in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum which broaden on heating. The  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum contains signals due to rhodiumbound ethene.

The photochemical reaction between  $CpRh(C_2H_4)_2$  and  $(CH_2=CHSiMe_2)_2O$  in toluene- $d_8$  was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H

Table 4. Difference between Vinyl Resonances in the <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR Spectra of Species of Types 1 and 2<sup>a</sup>

-	
species	$\Delta\delta(\mathbf{C}^{eta}\mathbf{-C}^{lpha})/\mathrm{ppm}$
1a	10.05
1b	11.00
1c	9.70
1d	10.43
2a	0
2b	0
<b>2</b> c	1.80
2d	2.97

<sup>a</sup> Conditions: 75.47 MHz; 298 K; toluene-d<sub>8</sub>.



**Figure 3.** Relative chemical shifts of the vinyl protons in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **1a**, **2a**, **1c**, **and 2c** (toluene- $d_8$ , 293 K).

NMR (Figure 4). At the photostationary state the product distribution (1a-4a) was the same as that observed in the reaction with neat siloxane. All photoproducts must themselves be photoactive. During irradiation a buildup and subsequent decay of **5a** takes place; the amount of free ethene in solution also increases.

**4. Direct Photolysis of 1a,c.** The UV–visible spectra of **1a,c** contain maxima at the following values:  $\lambda_{max}/$  nm ( $\epsilon/dm^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) **1a**, 308 (4200), 414 (300); **1c**, 322 (6040), 421 (480). The lower energy bands extend well into the visible region. Irradiation ( $\lambda > 375$  nm) of **1a** in neat (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O generates a mixture of **1a**–**4a**, suggesting that photoinduced vinyl dissociation in **1a** results in a 16-electron species which is trapped by free vinylsiloxane. When the divinyl-disiloxane is substituted by CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>3</sub>, the major photoproducts are CpRh( $\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>3</sub>)[ $\eta^2$ -



**Figure 4.** Variation of product distribution with irradiation time during photolysis of  $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Rh(C_2H_4)_2$  and  $(CH_2=CHSiMe_2)_2O$  in toluene- $d_8$  at 293 K. Relative concentrations are measured from the area of  $C_5H_5$  resonances.

Scheme 2. Photochemical Reaction Mechanism Involved in the Formation of Species 1–4, the Photoisomerization of Species of Form 1, and the Trapping of the 16-Electron Intermediate



 $(CH_2=CHSiMe_2)_2O]$  (**6a**-**7a**, *cis* and *trans*, respectively) (Scheme 2, Table 5 (Supporting Information)). Trace amounts of **1a**,**2a** and CpRh( $\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (*cis* and *trans*) are also detected. Prolonged irradiation generates exclusively the latter.

Irradiation of **1a** in toluene- $d_8$  in the absence of free vinylsilane generates a mixture of **1a** and **2a** (**1a**:**2a** = 0.81:1 at the photostationary state) without any sign of decomposition. Irradiation of **1c** in toluene- $d_8$  generates a mixture of **1c** and **2c** in a ratio of 0.74:1 (Figures 1b and 5). When an equimolar mixture of **1a** (Cp) and **1c** (Cp\*) was irradiated in toluene- $d_8$ , only the photoisomerizations mentioned previously were observed, showing that species of type **2** are mononuclear. If dimer formation occurred on irradiation, a new Cp- and Cp\*-containing product would be generated.

5. Kinetics of Isomerization of Complexes 2a-d



**Figure 5.** Variation of the concentrations of **1c** and **2c** during irradiation of **1c** in toluene- $d_8$  at 293 K. Concentrations are based on the area of the vinyl resonances.

Table 6. Rate Constants and ActivationParameters for the Thermal Isomerization of2a,c,d in Toluene-d<sub>8</sub> at Various Temperatures<sup>a,b</sup>

system	([Rh]:[PMe <sub>3</sub> ])	temp/K		$k/10^{-5} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
2a		305		$3.0\pm0.2$
		313		$8.2\pm0.1$
		320		$27.0\pm0.8$
		328		$70.0\pm2.6$
		336	1	$98.0 \pm 3.7$
<b>2c</b>		310		$6.9\pm0.4$
		315		$16.0 \pm 1.2$
		320		$30.0 \pm 1.6$
		325		$68.0 \pm 9.7$
2d		313		$3.6\pm0.3$
		320		$9.3\pm0.8$
		330		$39.0 \pm 3.1$
		340	1	$72.0 \pm 5.0$
2a + 1	$PMe_3 (1:7)^c$	305		$4.0 \pm 0.2$
<b>2a</b> + F	$PMe_3 (1:12)^c$	305		$4.1 \pm 0.1$
	$\Delta H^{\sharp}/kJ$	$\Delta S^{\ddagger}/J$	E <sub>a</sub> /kJ	log
system	$mol^{-1}$	$mol^{-1} K^{-1}$	$mol^{-1}$	$[A/s^{-1}]$
2a	$114\pm1$	$41\pm4$	$116\pm1$	$15\pm0.3$
2c	$123\pm2$	$72\pm 8$	$126\pm3$	$17\pm0.3$
2d	$124\pm2$	$66 \pm 6$	$127\pm2$	$17\pm0.4$

 $^a$  An alcohol thermometer was used for temperature calibration.  $^b$  95% confidence limits.  $^c$  In the presence of PMe<sub>3</sub>, the product is **8a**, not **1a**.

to 1a–d. Species of type 2 isomerize thermally into 1. The kinetics of this process were investigated for 2a,c,d. Aliquots from stock toluene- $d_8$  solutions containing both 1 and 2 were monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR as they were maintained in the probe of the NMR spectrometer in the temperature range 305–340 K. All the species of type 2 were found to decay via a first-order process (Table 6, Figure 6). Values of  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ ,  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ , and  $E_a$  were calculated from Eyring and Arrhenius plots. Substitution of NH for O in the divinyl backbone increases  $E_a$ insignificantly. Replacement of Cp by Cp\* increases  $E_a$ and  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  by 10 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.

The thermal isomerization mechanism is thought to involve dissociation of a vinyl group from rhodium and its subsequent recoordination in a *cis* orientation relative to the other rhodium-bound vinyl group (Scheme 3). Trapping experiments were performed to confirm the initial cleavage of a rhodium-vinyl bond by allowing **2a** to react thermally in the presence of two-electron-donor ligands. <sup>1</sup>H NMR was used to monitor the thermal reaction between **1a**,**2a** and PMe<sub>3</sub> at 305 K. Species **2a** is slowly converted into the phosphine divinyldisiloxane complex CpRh(PMe<sub>3</sub>)[ $\eta^2$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O] (**8a**) (Table 5), while the amount of



**Figure 6.** Thermal isomerization of **2a** into **1a** in toluene $d_8$ : (a) decay of a vinyl resonance of **2a** in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum with time, at various temperatures; (b) first-order plots for the decay of **2a**; (c) Eyring plot for the decay of **2a**.

**1a** in the system remains constant. The decay of **2a** is first order, with a rate independent of the [Rh]:[PMe<sub>3</sub>] ratio. The rate constant was  $4.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , compared to  $3.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$  observed for the intramolecular thermal isomerization of **2a** to **1a** at this temperature. The identity of **8a** was confirmed by independent synthesis from CpRh(PMe<sub>3</sub>)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>). An analysis of the kinetics associated with the thermal isomerization mechanism (Scheme 3) yields the relationship for  $k_{obs}$  given by eq 1. With added PMe<sub>3</sub>, the  $k_2$  and  $k_{-1}$  steps become insignificant and  $k_{obs} = k_1$ .

$$k_{\rm obs} = \frac{k_2 k_1}{(k_{-1} + k_2)} \tag{1}$$

The rate constants measured at 305 K in toluene- $d_8$  yield the relationship  $k_2 \approx 3k_{-1}$ . This analysis shows that the rate of formation of **1a** from intermediate CpRh[ $\eta^2$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O] is 3 times greater than the rate of **2a** formation from this same precursor. Less effective trapping ligands were employed which did not prevent the isomerization of **2a** into **1a** completely. A mixture of **1a** and **2a** was dissolved in neat





 $\mathsf{R}=\mathsf{H}; \ \mathsf{X}=\mathsf{O}; \ \mathsf{L}=(\mathsf{CH}_2{=}\mathsf{CHSiMe}_2)_2\mathsf{O} \ \textbf{(3-4a)}, \ \mathsf{CH}_2{=}\mathsf{CHSiMe}_3 \ \textbf{(6-7a)}, \ \mathsf{PMe}_3 \ \textbf{(8a)}$ 

CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiR'<sub>2</sub>R (R' = R = Me; R' = Me, R =  $-OSiMe_2$ -CH=CH<sub>2</sub>). Over several days at room temperature in the dark, **2a** was completely converted into **1a** and CpRh( $\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiR'<sub>2</sub>R)[ $\eta^2$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O] (*cis* and *trans*). Under the same conditions **1a** fails to react with CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiR'<sub>2</sub>R.

**6.** Hydrolytic Synthesis of 1a and 2a. In order to test the hypothesis that the divinyl ligand in a species of type **2** has a *trans* vinyl configuration, **2a** and **1a** were synthesized from precursors which already contained vinyl ligands in *trans* and *cis* arrangements, respectively. By hydrolysis of CpRh( $\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>Cl)<sub>2</sub> (**9** and **10**, *trans* and *cis*, respectively) a divinyldisiloxane ligand is formed within the coordination sphere of the metal. The vinyl groups remain bound to rhodium, while the two SiMe<sub>2</sub>Cl functionalities couple together.

The complex  $CpRh(\eta^2-CH_2=CHSiMe_2Cl)_2$  was formed photochemically from the precursors  $CpRh(C_2H_4)_2$  and CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>Cl. It was isolated as a moisture-sensitive yellow solid which contained the impurities CpRh- $(\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=CH<sub>2</sub>) $(\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>Cl) (**11**) and unreacted  $CpRh(C_2H_4)_2$ . Multinuclear NMR was used to identify **9–11** unambiguously (Table 5). The two methyls on each silicon are inequivalent, whereas the two vinyl groups are equivalent. Assignment of a *cis* (10) or *trans* (9) vinyl arrangement in the two bis(vinyl)-containing species was made on the basis of their relative proportions, as was the case for species of type **3** and **4**. The reaction of 9 and 10 with water in the presence of NEt<sub>3</sub> generated 2a and 1a respectively (Scheme 4). Only intramolecular ring closure is observed during hydrolysis. When the reaction was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR in situ, we discovered that 9 has a half-life of ca. 20 min in the presence of water, while that of **10** is much longer, at several hours.

7. Synthesis of Analogues of 1 Which Cannot Undergo Photoisomerization. In a further test of the assignment of species of type 2 as a *trans* isomer of 1, a complex with a rigid cage structure, 12, was synthesized and tested for photoactivity (Table 5). This species contains a cyclic trivinyltrisiloxane ligand which is  $\eta^4$ -bound to rhodium. The Si<sup> $\alpha$ </sup>-O-Si<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> linkage between the chelating vinyl groups is held rigid by a second O-Si<sup> $\beta$ </sup>-O chain; thus, the formation of a *trans* 





complex should be impossible. A toluene- $d_8$  solution of **12** was irradiated, but photoisomerization was not observed.



The *trans* bridge postulated for species of type **2** imposes considerable steric strain, as is shown by the conversion of **2a**-**d** into **1a**-**d**, respectively. An analogue of **2** with a shorter bridging group may well not be possible. With this reasoning in mind, a complex with a C-O-Si bridge, **13**, was synthesized (Table 5). In **13** the chelating bidentate ligand is an unsymmetrical allylvinylsiloxane. Irradiation of a toluene- $d_8$  solution of this species fails to produce a photoproduct. A control reaction was performed in which a toluene- $d_8$  solution containing an equimolar mixture of **13** and **1a** was irradiated. Only the photoisomerization of **1a** into **2a** was observed.

Trapping experiments were used to confirm that photoinduced rhodium-alkene bond cleavage does occur in **12** and **13**. Both species react photochemically with  $CH_2$ =CHSiMe<sub>3</sub> to give several products, none of which were characterized.

#### Discussion

1. Molecular Structure and NMR Spectra of Complexes of Type 1. Thermal and photochemical routes were used to synthesize species of type 1 from suitably active rhodium-containing precursors (Schemes 1 and 2). The crystal structure of 1c (Figure 2a) shows that the divinyldisiloxane ligand adopts a conformation with vinyl groups linked *cis* at rhodium and both SiMe<sub>2</sub> groups in inner positions. An unexceptional Si-O-Si bond angle of 132.0(2)° results; cf. (<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>P)-Pt[ $\eta^4$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O] (129.9(4)°) and [(Pt{ $\eta^4$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O})<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O)] ( $\eta$ , 136.0-

(1)°;  $\mu$ , 134.7(8)°).<sup>5,25</sup> The mean rhodium–vinyl carbon bond length of 2.146 Å is in line with expectations; cf.  $CpRh(C_2H_4)_2$  (2.109 Å) and ( $\eta^5$ - $C_9H_4Me_3$ )Rh( $C_2H_4$ )<sub>2</sub> (2.131 Å).<sup>26,27</sup> However, the difference in lengths of Rh-C(1)and Rh–C(2) ( $\Delta r(Rh-C) = 0.065(7)$  Å) combined with the difference in lengths of the C=C bonds ( $\Delta r$ (C-C) = 0.036(7) Å) and the scissoring of the C=C bonds by 15° is suggestive of strain imposed by the disiloxane bridge. For comparison, the differences in Rh-C and C=C bond lengths are much smaller in CpRh( $\eta^4$ -COD) and  $Cp^{*}Rh(\eta^{2}-CH_{2}=CHCO_{2}Me)_{2}$  (maximum differences  $\Delta r(Rh-C) = 0.021$  and 0.027 Å,  $\Delta r(C=C) 0.011(15)$ and 0.007(11), respectively). However, the scissoring in Cp\*Rh( $\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=CHCO<sub>2</sub>Me)<sub>2</sub> is far larger.<sup>28,29</sup> In summary, strain in the structure of  $CpRh(\eta^4-COD)$ is much less severe than for 1c. No corresponding structural perturbation is observed for  $({}^{t}Bu_{3}P)Pt[\eta^{4} (CH_2 = CHSiMe_2)_2O].^{25}$ 

Only for 1c can an unambiguous assignment of either a *cis* or *trans* vinyl arrangement at rhodium be made. Multinuclear NMR data for 1d corresponds closely with that obtained for 1c. Evidence to suggest that the divinyl moiety in 1a,b has the same symmetry as that in 1c, and not the alternative  $C_2$  symmetry, comes from a study of photochemistry. Irradiation of 1a-d generates the corresponding isomers 2a-d (see below). For 1a and 1c the change in chemical shift for a particular vinylic proton is approximately the same on photoisomerization (Figure 3). We conclude that all species of type 1 have similar structures.

In the <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of **1a**–**d** the vinylic carbon resonances are at much higher field than those of the free ligand. Similar upfield shifts have been reported in the spectra of Rh(I) complexes which contain either vinylsilane or allylvinylsilane ligands; this shift is more pronounced if the coordinated vinyl group is part of a chelating ligand.<sup>30</sup> For all species of type **1** the C<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> resonance is at higher field than that of C<sup> $\beta$ </sup>. This is commonly observed for rhodium-bound chelating divinyl ligands, but for monodentate vinyl ligands the order is often reversed.

In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **1a**–**d** unambiguous assignment of the vinylic proton H<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> could be made, since this proton is coupled strongly to two others. The vinylic protons, H<sup> $\beta$ </sup> and H<sup> $\gamma$ </sup> were assigned on the basis of the standard assumption that  $J_{\text{trans}} > J_{\text{cis}}$ . In keeping with the structure proposed for these species, the resonances for **1a**,**b** due to H<sup> $\beta$ </sup> are at higher field than those of H<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> and H<sup> $\gamma$ </sup>, as described by Cramer.<sup>23</sup> This same resonance pattern has been reported for (acac)Rh[ $\eta^4$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CH)<sub>2</sub>-SiMe<sub>2</sub>] and ( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)Rh[ $\eta^4$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CH)<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>2</sub>] as well as for both alkene functions in the diallyl complex (acac)-Rh[ $\eta^4$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>2</sub>].<sup>18,30</sup>

The vinylic resonances in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **1c**,**d** appear at positions contrary to those expected from the

(30) Fitch, J. W.; Osterloh, W. T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 213, 493.

<sup>(25)</sup> Chandra, G.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Lo, P. Y. Organometallics 1987, 6, 191.

<sup>(26)</sup> Blom, R.; Perutz, R. N.; Rankin, D. W. H.; Robertson, H. E. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1993**, 1983.

<sup>(27)</sup> Kakkar, A. K.; Taylor, N. J.; Calabrese, J. C.; Nugent, W. A.; Roe, D. C.; Connaway, E. A.; Marder, T. B. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 990.

<sup>(28)</sup> Adams, H.; Bailey, N. A.; Mann, B. E.; Taylor, B. F.; White, C.;
Yavari, P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 1947.
(29) Brookhart, M.; Calabrese, J. C.; Sabo-Etienne, S.; Fagan, P.

<sup>(29)</sup> Brookhart, M.; Calabrese, J. C.; Sabo-Etienne, S.; Fagan, P. J.; Garner, J. M.; Hauptman, E.; White, P. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 8038.

solid-state structure of **1c**. Although  $H^{\alpha}$  and  $H^{\gamma}$  in both **1c** and **1d** occupy outer positions, they straddle the  $H^{\beta}$  resonance so that assignment of a vinylic proton as either inner or outer from chemical shift data is not possible. As shown below, the same is true for species of type **2**.

In contrast to related platinum complexes<sup>5,24</sup> the divinyldisiloxane ligand of **1a** is quite inert.

**2.** Photochemical Reactivity. In order to synthesize species of type **1** photochemically, bis(ethene) complexes ( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>R<sub>5</sub>)Rh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (R = H, Me) were irradiated in (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>X (X = O, NH).<sup>20,31,32</sup> Four photoproducts (**1**-**4**) were formed from CpRh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and two (**1**, **2**) were formed from Cp\*Rh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, irrespective of the divinyl precursor used. In each case a photostationary state is reached with all products in photoequilibrium.

Species of type 2 are mononuclear and contain one chelating divinyl ligand (see below). The remaining photoproducts 3 and 4 are also mononuclear but contain two dangling  $\eta^2$ -bound divinyl ligands. The major isomer, **3**, has two ligands arranged *trans* at rhodium, while the minor isomer, 4, has a cis structure. Brookhart et al. have reported that the thermal reaction between Cp\*Rh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>=CH(CO<sub>2</sub>Me)<sup>29</sup> yields two isomers of Cp\*Rh( $\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=CH(CO<sub>2</sub>Me))<sub>2</sub> in a ratio of 6:4. Brookhart et al. observe rotamers with inequivalent ligands, whereas 3 and 4 adopt rotameric conformations with ligands equivalent.<sup>33</sup> If one acrylate ligand in each of Brookhart's species were rotated about the metalacrylate bond, trans and cis isomers would result with conformations equivalent to those we observe, with the *trans* isomer dominant. For all nonchelating bis(vinyl) complexes mentioned in this work, *cis* and *trans* isomers are assigned on the basis that the dominant isomer is always the *trans* species.

The photochemical reaction between CpRh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O yields a mono(ethene) complex, **5a**, prior to attainment of the photostationary state (Figure 4). The amount of **5a** peaks rapidly and then slowly falls to zero as irradiation progresses, suggesting that the eventual formation of **1a**–**4a** occurs via stepwise loss of ethene from the rhodium precursor. The mechanism of consumption of **5a** probably involves the photodissociation of ethene to give the 16-electron intermediate, CpRh[ $\eta^2$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O], with a dangling vinyl ligand which is then trapped by free divinyldisiloxane. Intermolecular vinyl coordination generates **3a** and **4a**, and intramolecular vinyl coordination forms **1a** and **2a**. The last two are the major species due to the chelate effect.

Irradiation of a toluene- $d_8$  solution of **1a** generates a mixture of **1a** and **2a**, with the latter being the major species at the photostationary state; an analogous result is obtained for **1c** (Figure 5, Scheme 1). The formation of a species of type **2** occurs via a photochemical intramolecular isomerization of **1**. For **1a** the photostationary state is reached after only 90 min of photolysis, whereas thermal equilibration at the same

temperature takes days. Hence, the photoproducts are almost certainly photosensitive, themselves providing a pathway to regenerate **1a**. To confirm that we are examining an intramolecular process and not the formation of some dinuclear species, a toluene- $d_8$ solution containing equimolar quantities of 1a and 1c was irradiated. No new Cp- and Cp\*-containing products were formed, implying that species of type **2** are mononuclear. The intermediate CpRh[ $\eta^2$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O] may be trapped by irradiation of **1a** in neat  $CH_2$ =CHSiR'<sub>2</sub>R (R' = R = Me, R' = Me, R = OSiMe<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), yielding two isomeric forms of CpRh- $(\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiR'<sub>2</sub>R)[ $\eta^2$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O] as well as 2a (Table 5). This strongly suggests that the photoisomerization process is initiated by photoinduced rhodium-vinyl bond cleavage. In the absence of other twoelectron-donor ligands the free vinyl group rebinds in one of two ways to give either a *cis* or *trans* vinyl arrangement at the metal.

Both **1a** and CpRh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> react photochemically with (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O to give **1a**-**4a**. The product distribution is the same at the photostationary state irrespective of the rhodium precursor used. As species of type **1** and **2** have been shown to be in photoequilibrium, this implies that species of type **3** and **4** must also be photoactive. In each of these systems the intermediate, CpRh[ $\eta^2$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O], is involved.

**3. Structure of Complexes of Type 2 and Their Thermal Conversion to 1.** Multinuclear NMR data are not sufficient to characterize species of type **2** unambiguously. However, they show that the divinyl ligand is chelating and is bound symmetrically to rhodium. As in complexes of type **1**, the two methyl groups on Si are inequivalent: SiMe<sup>a</sup>Me<sup>b</sup>. The difference in chemical shift between the vinylic <sup>13</sup>C resonances is substantially smaller than that observed for the corresponding species of type **1** (Table 4).

Assignment of the positions of vinylic protons as either inner or outer by considering only the relative chemical shifts of their signals can be misleading, as we have seen for species **1c**. We will adopt the simplest assumption, namely that each species of type **1** has the same structure and that the divinyl configuration in each species of type **2** is the same.

The kinetic investigation of the thermal isomerization of 2a into 1a gives insight into the source of strain that must exist in the divinyl ligand of **2** and, hence, into the structure of these species (Scheme 3). The rhodiumethene bond strength in CpRh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> is ca. 129 kJ  $mol^{-1}$ ;<sup>34</sup> the value of  $E_a$  for the isomerization of **2a** to **1a** is  $116 \pm 1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , consistent with the postulate that the rate-determining step of this decay is rhodiumvinyl bond cleavage. The value of  $E_a$  for the decay of **2c** is 10 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> greater than that measured for the decay of **2a**. Substitution of Cp for Cp\* in **2c** increases the electron density at the metal center, resulting in a strengthening of the rhodium-vinyl bond. Activation parameters for the decay of 2c and 2d are effectively the same. The rate-determining step for the thermal isomerization is not influenced by the replacement of O by NH in the backbone.

When **2a** decays in the presence of a two-electrondonor ligand, L (L = PMe<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiR'<sub>2</sub>R (R' = R = Me; R' = Me, R = -OSiMe<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>)) complexes of the

<sup>(31)</sup> Duckett, S. B.; Haddleton, D. M.; Jackson, S. A.; Perutz, R. N.; Poliakoff, M.; Upmacis, R. K. *Organometallics* **1988**, *7*, 1526.

<sup>(32)</sup> Bentz, P. O.; Ruiz, J.; Mann, B. E.; Spencer, C. M.; Maitlis, P. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1374. Ruiz, J.; Mann, B. E.; Spencer, C. M.; Taylor, B. F.; Maitlis, P. M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 1963.

<sup>(33)</sup> Cramer, R.; Reddy, G. S. Inorg. Chem. 1973, 12, 346.

Chart 3. Configuration of 1 and Possible Configurations of 2



form CpRh[ $\eta^2$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O]L result. Trapping with PMe<sub>3</sub> completely prevents the isomerization of **2a** into **1a**; the thermally unstable complex is converted into CpRh(PMe<sub>3</sub>)[ $\eta^2$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O] (**8a**) exclusively. The vinylsilane is a much less effective trapping agent; species **2a** decays in its presence to give **1a** together with traces of mixed vinyl complexes. These trapping experiments confirm not only that a rhodium– vinyl bond is cleaved during isomerization, yielding CpRh[ $\eta^2$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O], but also that the thermally stable isomer results from intramolecular ring closure within this 16-electron species.

In order to determine if thermal rhodium–vinyl bond cleavage in **2a** is reversible, <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy was used to monitor its decay in the presence of PMe<sub>3</sub> at 305 K. This allows the first-order rate constant,  $k_1$ , for the dissociation of a vinyl group from rhodium to be measured unambiguously. The rate of formation of **1a** from the intermediate is determined to be 3 times that for the formation of **2a** from the same intermediate; i.e.,  $k_2 \approx 3k_{-1}$ .

There are four possible configurations in which the divinyldisiloxane ligand in 2a-d could be symmetrically bound at rhodium (B-E; Chart 3). The structure of complexes of type **1** is shown as A.

The structures were modeled by taking the parameters of the crystal structure of 1c and reconnecting bonds in the desired orientation followed by energy minimization. On the basis of the preceding thermal isomerization data, configuration C can be discounted, as thermal isomerization from a chair into a pseudo boat as in A would only involve the rotation of C-Si and Si-O bonds. Configurations D and E can also be ruled out. Molecular modeling shows that configurations D and E suffer several sources of steric strain: (i) in both there are methyl groups which approach close to the Cp ring; (ii) the tipping of the C-Si bonds in both D and E away from rhodium expands the Si...Si distance so as to strain the Si-O-Si bridge; (iii) the Si-O-Si bridge in D approaches close to rhodium and the Cp ring. This strain would probably result in enthalpies of activation for the thermal isomerization of 2a-dlower than those actually observed. Molecular modeling of B shows that it has a slightly higher energy than that of A but demonstrates that the Si-O-Si linkage can bridge the *trans* positions and that the silicon-bound methyls lie outside the van der Waals radii of the Cp ring. This process gave a scale of steric strain in arbitrary units: A (0), B (6), C (79), D (119), E (122). Configuration B is the most likely to be adopted in species of type **2**.

In order to obtain conclusive evidence that the vinyl groups in 2a-d adopt the *trans* geometry of type B, species 2a and 1a were generated from bis(vinyl)-





chlorosilane precursors with preassigned *trans* and *cis* vinyl arrangements, respectively. Two isomers of CpRh- $(\eta^2$ -CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>Cl)<sub>2</sub> (**9**, **10**) result from the irradiation of CpRh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>Cl. The vinyl arrangement in the major isomer (**9**) is assigned as *trans* and that in the minor isomer (**10**) as *cis* on the basis of <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (Table 5).

The reaction of  $Fe(CO)_4(\eta^2-CH_2=CHSiMe_2Cl)$  with water is known to generate a vinylsilanol complex and the dimeric species [ $(Fe(CO)_4)_2(\mu-(CH=CHSiMe_2)_2O)$ ] (see Note Added in Proof).<sup>35</sup> In contrast, hydrolysis of  $CpRh(\eta^2-CH_2=CHSiMe_2Cl)_2$  causes only intramolecular ring closure. The reaction of **9** with water generates **2a** exclusively; hydrolysis of **10** gives only **1a**. The halflife for **9** was approximately 20 min, while that of **10** was several hours. We postulate a hydrolysis mechanism which involves rotation of vinyl groups about the rhodium–vinyl bond in **9** and **10** prior to ring closure. Different barriers to vinyl rotation would account for the differing rates of **1a** and **2a** formation.

One notable difference between the structure of a species of type **1** and that proposed for species of type **2** is that equivalent silicon-bound methyl groups in **1** become inequivalent in **2**, as shown in Chart **4**. This follows because the isomerization of **1** into **2** involves Rh–vinyl bond cleavage followed by rotation of the free Si–vinyl carbon and Si–O bonds. The complex CpRh- $[\eta^4-(CH_2=CHSiMe)_3(\mu-O)_3]$  (**12**) was synthesized, as it contains a chelating bidentate vinylsiloxane ligand, which can only adopt a *cis* arrangement at the metal. Equivalent positions at silicon are effectively locked together by a secondary siloxane linkage (Table 5).

The allylvinylsiloxane complex  $CpRh[\eta^4-(CH_2=CH-SiMe_2)(CH_2=CMeCH_2)O]$  (13) was synthesized by the same procedure as that used to form 1a,b. Species 13 is thermally stable and so is assumed to have a *cis* alkene arrangement at the metal. Substitution of  $CH_2$ 

<sup>(35)</sup> Nurtdinova, G. V.; Pogrebnyak, A. A.; Rybin, L. V.; Rybinskaya, M. I.; Yur'ev, V. P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1981**, *217*, 373.

for SiMe<sub>2</sub> in the diene ligand backbone is estimated to reduce the length of the linkage between alkene groups by 0.8 Å compared to that in **1a**.<sup>36</sup> Molecular modeling suggests that the *trans* isomer of **13** would be considerably strained because of the short diene linkage.

The failure of both **12** and **13** to generate detectable photoisomerization products when irradiated provides further evidence that 2a-d do indeed adopt a structure with two vinyl groups *trans* to one another.

In this discussion we made assumptions about the structures of the major and minor isomers of the nonchelating vinylsilane and vinylsiloxane complexes and that all species of type **1** are *cis* and those of type **2** are *trans*. Taken together, all evidence is consistent with these structural assignments.

# Conclusions

Complexes of the type  $(\eta^5 - C_5 R_5) Rh[\eta^4 - (CH_2 = CH - CH_2)]$  $SiMe_2_X$  (R = H, Me; X = O, NH) exist in two isomeric forms which differ in their vinyl arrangements at the metal. The divinyldisiloxane ligand configuration in the less thermally stable of these, 2, is unusual, as the vinyl groups are arranged with their substituents in trans positions with respect to the metal. The Si-X-Si bridge passes "across" the molecule with X in line with rhodium and the centroid of the  $C_5R_5$  ring. To our knowledge this trans linkage between vinyl groups is the first of its kind. The thermally stable isomer **1** has a *cis* vinyl arrangement and a divinyl ligand configuration similar to that of the chelating vinylsiloxane ligand in (<sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>P)- $Pt[\eta^4-(CH_2=CHSiMe_2)_2O]^{25}$  In the solid-state structure of 1c there is some indication of strain in the divinyldisiloxane backbone.

Species of type **2** isomerize thermally into the corresponding species of type **1** via a mechanism which involves rhodium-vinyl bond cleavage as the ratedetermining step. Irradiation of **1** regenerates **2**, again via a mechanism which is initiated by the dissociation of a vinyl group from the metal. The intermediate ( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>R<sub>5</sub>)Rh[ $\eta^{2}$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>X] is common to both these processes. The properties of disiloxane and disilazane analogues of species of type **1** are almost identical, as their vinyl group linkages are of similar length and flexibility. Complexes with chelating trivinyltrisiloxane or allylvinylsiloxane ligands fail to adopt *trans* ligand configurations when the linkage between the rhodium-coordinated alkenes is either much more rigid or much shorter.

Irradiation of **1a** or  $CpRh(C_2H_4)_2$  in the presence of excess divinyldisiloxane ligand results in the formation of complexes with dangling divinyldisiloxane ligands in addition to formation of **2a**.

## **Experimental Section**

**General Considerations.** All reactions were carried out under an inert atmosphere of argon with standard Schlenk techniques or a glovebox. Solvents were dried and distilled from sodium/benzophenone prior to use. Chromatography columns (10 cm length, 1.5 cm diameter) were packed with alumina (activated, neutral, 150 mesh). Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were purchased from Aldrich or Fluorochem Ltd. and used without further purification.  $[(C_2H_4)_2Rh-$   $(\mu$ -Cl)]<sub>2</sub>, [Cp\*Rh( $\mu$ -Cl)Cl]<sub>2</sub>, CpRh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Cp\*Rh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and CpRh(PMe<sub>3</sub>)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) were prepared according to literature procedures.<sup>20,21,37–39</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H (300 MHz), <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} (75 MHz), <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} (59 MHz) (referenced to external TMS) and <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} (121 MHz) (referenced to external H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker MSL 300 spectrometer in the solvent indicated. When integrations of Cp protons were required, delays of up to 150 s between pulses were employed for <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra because of long  $T_1$ 's. Similarly, delays of 60 s were used for <sup>29</sup>Si{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra, and around 700 scans were collected. The temperatures of NMR spectra were calibrated with an alcohol thermometer (<60 °C methanol, >60 °C glycol).<sup>40</sup> UV– visible spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 7 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a VG Autospec mass spectrometer. Desk Top Molecular Modeller was used in molecular modeling studies.<sup>41</sup> Elemental analysis was performed by Butterworths Laboratories.

The photolysis source was a Philips HPK 125 W mediumpressure mercury arc with a quartz focusing lens. Typically, a cutoff filter ( $\lambda > 375$  nm or  $\lambda > 410$  nm) was positioned in front of this lens. Photochemical reactions were carried out either in sealed 5 mm NMR tubes (Wilmad 507-PP) or in Pyrex ampules; both were fitted with a PTFE stopcock. Samples were suspended in a partially silvered Dewar containing distilled water (<10 °C) and irradiated through the walls of the Dewar.

Synthesis of CpRh[ $\eta^4$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>X] (X = O (1a), **NH (1b)).** To a stirred solution of  $[(C_2H_4)_2Rh(\mu-Cl)]_2$  (1.3) mmol, 500 mg) in THF (20 mL) was added 2 equiv of (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>X over 10 min. After 20 min at ambient temperature, cyclopentadienylthallium (3.0 mmol, 0.81 g) was added and stirring continued for a further 3 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum to leave a dark brown solid residue, which was washed with hexane (2  $\times$  20 mL). The resulting solution was eluted through an alumina column with a 50/50 (v:v) mixture of hexane and toluene. Solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the resulting orange oil was sublimed at 30 °C,  $4 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar, onto a liquid-nitrogen-cooled finger. Both **1a** and **1b** were isolated as orange oils in 90% and 85% yield, respectively, based on rhodium. Neither these nor other oils were obtained in high enough purity to justify elemental analysis. Data for 1a are as follows. Mass spec (EI) (m/z)(%)): 354 (75),  $[M]^+$ ; 339 (70),  $[M - 15]^+$ ; 323 (30),  $[M - 30]^+$ ; 168 (100),  $[CpRh]^+$ . Accurate mass (EI) (*m/z*): calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>23</sub>-ORhSi<sub>2</sub>, 354.034 25; found, 354.034 30.

**Synthesis of Cp\*Rh**[ $\eta^4$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O] (1c). To a suspension of [Cp\*Rh( $\mu$ -Cl)Cl]<sub>2</sub> (0.49 mmol, 300 mg) and Na<sub>2</sub>-CO<sub>3</sub> (2.1 mmol, 220 mg) in ethanol (40 mL) was added (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O (1.0 mmol, 0.19 g). This mixture was stirred continuously for 3 h at 70 °C. The resulting pale yellow solution was cooled and filtered, and the solvent was removed under vacuum to leave a yellow oil. The oil was sublimed at 40 °C,  $4 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar, onto a liquid-nitrogen-cooled finger and recrystallized from a methanol and water mixture at -20 °C. Orange crystals of **1c** were isolated in 60% yield, based on rhodium. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>33</sub>ORhSi<sub>2</sub>: C, 50.94; H, 7.78. Found: C, 50.68; H, 8.01. Mass spec (EI) (m/z (%)): 424 (25), [M]<sup>+</sup>; 409 (7), [M - 15]<sup>+</sup>; 394 (4), [M - 30]<sup>+</sup>; 238 (100), [Cp\*Rh]<sup>+</sup>. Accurate mass (EI) (m/z): calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>33</sub>ORhSi<sub>2</sub>, 424.1125; found, 424.1120.

Synthesis of CpRh[ $\eta^4$ -(CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe)<sub>3</sub>( $\mu$ -O)<sub>3</sub>] (12). The method employed was identical with that used in the synthesis of **1a**. After sublimation the product was recrystallized from

<sup>(36)</sup> Atomic radii are taken from: Slater, J. C. *Quantum Theory of Molecules and Solids*; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1965; Vol. 2, Table 3–1.

<sup>(37)</sup> Cramer, R. Inorg. Synth. 1974, 15, 14.

<sup>(38)</sup> Moseley, K.; Kang, J. W.; Maitlis, P. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 5970.

 <sup>(39)</sup> Werner, H.; Feser, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 252, 351.
 (40) Amman, C.; Meier, P.; Merbach, A. E. J. Magn. Reson. 1982, 46, 319.

<sup>(41)</sup> Appleyard, J.; Crabbe, C.; James, M. Desktop Molecular Modeller, Version 1.2; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 1989.

a methanol and water mixture at -20 °C. Yellow crystals of **12** were isolated in 70% yield based on rhodium. Mass spec (EI) (*m*/*z* (%)): 426 (100), [M]<sup>+</sup>; 411 (7), [M - 15]<sup>+</sup>; 396 (5), [M - 30]<sup>+</sup>; 356 (45), [M - 70]<sup>+</sup>; 168 (70), [CpRh]<sup>+</sup>. Accurate mass (EI) (*m*/*z*): calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>3</sub>RhSi<sub>3</sub>, 426.0010; found, 426.0009.

Synthesis of (CH2=CHSiMe2)(CH2=CMeCH2)O. A twoneck, 1 L round-bottom flask was charged with CH2=CMeCH2-OH (64.0 mmol, 5.4 mL), NEt<sub>3</sub> (69.0 mmol, 9.6 mL), and Et<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. The flask was then fitted with a 50 mL pressure-equalizing dropping funnel which contained CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>Cl (66.4 mmol, 9.1 mL). Over 40 min the chlorosilane was added dropwise to the ether solution with continuous stirring. A white precipitate of (NEt<sub>3</sub>H)Cl formed. The reaction vessel was warmed to room temperature and stirred for a further 15 h. The solution was filtered through Celite, and solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator. The product was distilled under reduced pressure into a liquidnitrogen-cooled trap. A clear colorless liquid, free of impurities, was isolated in 100% yield, based on allyl alcohol. 1H NMR spectrum (toluene-d<sub>8</sub>, 293 K): 0.20 (s, 6H, SiMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.70 (s, 3H, CH<sub>2</sub>=CMeCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.02 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>=CMeCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.82 (s, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>=CMeCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.97 (s, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>=CMeCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.79 (dd, 1H,  $J_{\text{H-H}} = 20.0, 5.7 \text{ Hz}, \text{ SiCH}=CH_2$ , 6.02 (s, 1H,  $J_{\text{H-H}} = 14.3$ , 5.7 Hz, SiCH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.15 (dd, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = 20.0$ , 14.3 Hz, SiCH=CH<sub>2</sub>).

**Synthesis of CpRh**[ $\eta^4$ -(**CH**<sub>2</sub>=**CHSiMe**<sub>2</sub>)(**CH**<sub>2</sub>=**CMeCH**<sub>2</sub>)**O**] (13). The method employed was identical with that used for **1a**. Species **13** was isolated as an orange oil in 82% yield, based on rhodium. Mass spec (EI) (m/z (%)): 324 (98), [M]<sup>+</sup>; 309 (5), [M - 15]<sup>+</sup>; 295 (8), [M - 30]<sup>+</sup>; 283 (25), [M - 41]<sup>+</sup>; 168 (100), [CpRh]<sup>+</sup>.

**Synthesis of CpRh(PMe<sub>3</sub>)**[ $\eta^2$ -(**CH**<sub>2</sub>=**CHSiMe**<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>**O**] (8a). A Pyrex ampule was charged with CpRh(PMe<sub>3</sub>)(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) (0.18 mmol, 50 mg) and 10 equiv of (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O (0.34 g); the volume of this mixture was made up to 3 mL by the addition of hexane. The resulting solution was thoroughly degassed and irradiated for 8 h with occasional agitation. Volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the resulting dark orange oil was redissolved in toluene. This solution was passed through an alumina column, eluting with toluene. The product was isolated as an orange oil in 86% yield, based on rhodium. Mass spec (EI) (m/z (%)): 430 (26), [M]<sup>+</sup>; 354 (10), [M – 76]<sup>+</sup>; 284 (9), [M – 146]<sup>+</sup>; 244 (100), [CpRh(PMe<sub>3</sub>)]<sup>+</sup>; 168 (28), [CpRh]<sup>+</sup>. Accurate mass (EI) (m/z): calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>32</sub>OPRhSi<sub>2</sub>, 430.078 44; found 430.078 43.

**Synthesis of CpRh**( $\eta^2$ -**CH**<sub>2</sub>=**CHSiMe**<sub>2</sub>**Cl**)<sub>2</sub> (9, 10). An ampule was charged with CpRh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.20 mmol, 45 mg) and hexane (1 mL) and CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>Cl (3 mL). After degassing, the solution was irradiated for 7 h. All volatiles were evaporated in vacuo, giving a yellow solid which was purified by sublimation at 30 °C,  $4 \times 10^{-3}$  mbar, onto a liquid-nitrogen-cooled finger. A typical sample contained 9, 10, 13, and CpRh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in a ratio of 1.0:0.81:0.23:0.08.

**Photochemical Reaction of**  $(\eta^5 \cdot C_5 R_5) Rh(C_2 H_4)_2$  (R = Me, H) with  $(CH_2 = CHSiMe_2)_2 X$  (X = O, NH). Typically, an ampule was charged with 50 mg of  $(\eta^5 \cdot C_5 R_5) Rh(C_2 H_4)_2$  and 3 mL of  $(CH_2 = CHSiMe_2)_2 X$ . The resulting solution was thoroughly degassed, cooled to <10 °C, and irradiated for no more than 6 h. Following the removal of volatiles under vacuum, the resulting orange oil was redissolved in hexane and the solution filtered through a pad of Celite. On evaporation of the solvent in vacuo an oil was isolated. Throughout the procedure the sample temperature was maintained at <10 °C.

**Synthesis of Cp\*Rh**[ $\eta^4$ -(**CH**<sub>2</sub>=**CHSiMe**<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>**NH**] (1d). The photochemical procedure above was used to generate a mixture of 1d and 2d. The resulting oil was heated in a water bath at 50 °C for 24 h, resulting in the complete conversion of 2d into 1d. Compound 1d was isolated as an orange oil. Mass spec (EI) (m/z (%)): 423 (73), [M]<sup>+</sup>; 408 (17), [M – 15]<sup>+</sup>; 393 (5), [M – 30]<sup>+</sup>; 238 (100), [Cp\*Rh]<sup>+</sup>. Accurate mass (EI) (m/z): calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>34</sub>NRhSi<sub>2</sub>, 423.1284 85; found, 423.1283 62.

In Situ Monitoring of the Photochemical Reaction between CpRh( $C_2H_4$ )<sub>2</sub> and (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O. An NMR tube was charged with CpRh( $C_2H_4$ )<sub>2</sub> (89.29  $\mu$ mol, 20 mg) and toluene- $d_8$  (0.6 mL). To this solution was added 4 equiv of (CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O. After degassing, the mixture was irradiated and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were acquired at regular intervals. A long delay between excitation pulses was employed, thus allowing reliable integration of the Cp resonances. During both irradiation and NMR acquisition the sample temperature was maintained at 16 °C.

**Photoisomerization of 1a and 1c.** An NMR tube was charged with approximately 50  $\mu$ mol of either **1a** or **1c** and toluene- $d_8$  (0.6 mL). The tube was suspended in a partially silvered Dewar of distilled water, maintained at 16 °C. The mixture was irradiated, and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were acquired at regular intervals.

**Trapping of Intermediate in Photochemical Isomerization of 1a to 2a with CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiR'<sub>2</sub>R (R' = R = Me; R'** = **Me, R = -OSiMe<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>).** Species **1a** (0.14 mmol, 50 mg) was placed in an ampule and dissolved in neat CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiR'<sub>2</sub>R (3 mL). This solution was degassed and irradiated for 3 h. The reaction mixture turned deep yellow but remained free of particulate material. All volatiles were removed under vacuum to give an orange oil.

Trapping of Intermediate in Thermal Isomerization of 2a to 1a with PMe<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiR'<sub>2</sub>R (R' = R = Me; R' = Me,  $R = -OSiMe_2CH=CH_2$ ). A mixture of 1a and 2a was produced by irradiating 1a (0.08 mmol, 30 mg) in hexane (3 mL) until a photostationary state was attained. Hexane was removed under vacuum, and the resulting orange oil was dissolved in either PMe<sub>3</sub> (3 mL) or CH<sub>2</sub>=CHSiR'<sub>2</sub>R (3 mL). This solution was allowed to stand for 3 days in the dark, at ambient temperature. All volatiles were evaporated in vacuo to give an orange oil.

**Hydrolysis of 9 and 10.** An NMR tube was charged with toluene- $d_8$  (0.6 mL), NEt<sub>3</sub> (20  $\mu$ L), and 20 mg of a typical mixture of **9**, **10**, **11**, and CpRh(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. After solution homogeneity was ensured, D<sub>2</sub>O (40  $\mu$ L) was added by syringe. The mixture was agitated to facilitate chlorosilane hydrolysis. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were acquired at regular intervals after the addition of D<sub>2</sub>O (298 K).

**Thermal Isomerization Kinetics.** (a) Sample Preparation. Species 1x (x = a, c, d) (0.24 mmol) was placed in an ampule and dissolved in toluene- $d_8$  (3 mL). The solution was thoroughly degassed and irradiated for 6 h. Aliquots of 0.6 mL were taken from this stock solution and sealed in NMR tubes. These were stored at -78 °C prior to use.

(b) Monitoring of Isomerization. An NMR tube containing a mixture of 1x and 2x was placed in the preheated probe of an NMR spectrometer. The sample was allowed to equilibrate thermally for 5 min. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were acquired at regular intervals until the conversion of 2x into 1x was complete.

**Thermal Isomerization Kinetics in the Presence of PMe<sub>3</sub>. (a) Sample Preparation.** An ampule was charged with **1a** (0.11 mmol, 40 mg) and toluene- $d_8$  (1 mL), degassed, and irradiated for 6 h. The resulting mixture was split into two portions of equal volume (A and B). Two standard PMe<sub>3</sub> solutions were made up in toluene- $d_8$  (5 mL) (3.9 M and 6.8 M), and 0.1 mL of each was added to A and B respectively. These solutions were sealed in NMR tubes. The ratios of rhodium to phosphine in A and B were 1:7 and 1:12, respectively.

(b) Monitoring of Isomerization. An NMR tube containing a mixture of **1a**, **2a**, and phosphine was placed in the preheated probe of an NMR spectrometer (305 K). The sample was allowed to equilibrate thermally for 5 min. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were acquired at regular intervals until the conversion of **2a** into **8a** was complete.

**X-ray Crystal Structure of 1c.** A yellow needle-shaped crystal was mounted on a glass fiber. Intensity data were collected on a Rigaku AFC6S four-circle diffractometer with

# Table 7. Crystallographic Parameters for 1c

empirical formula fwC18H33ORhSi2 424.53 yellow needle, $1.0 \times 0.30 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}^3$ monoclinic Phabit, color, dimensions $424.53$ yellow needle, $1.0 \times 0.30 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}^3$ monoclinic Pacryst syst lattice typePno. of rflns used for unit cell determination (20 range) $\omega$ scan peak width at half-height lattice params $0.25^{\circ}$ $a = 15.177(6) Å$ $b = 7.681(6) Å$ $c = 18.376(7) Å$ $\beta = 93.76(4)^{\circ}$ $V = 2138(2) Å^3$ space group $\mu(Mo K\alpha)$ $P2_1/c (No. 14)$ Z $2$ $4$ $D_{calc}$ B. Intensity Measurementsdiffractometer radiationRigaku AFC6S graphite monochromated temp scan rate scan rate $2.0^{\circ}/min (in \omega)$ (2 rescans) scan width $(1.36 + 0.30 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ index ranges0 $\leq h \leq 18, -5 \leq k \leq 9,$ $-21 \leq l \leq 21$ $\theta$ range no. of rflns meas0 $\leq h \leq 18, -5 \leq k \leq 9,$ $-21 \leq l \leq 21$ $\theta$ range corC. Structure Solution and Refinement structure solnC. Structure Solution and Refinement structure solnC. Structure Solution and Refinement structure solnrefinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. of variablesno. observes ( $I \geq 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 no. of variables199 rfln/param ratio	A. Crystal	Data
fw424.53habit, color, dimensionsyellow needle,cryst systnonocliniclattice typePno. of rflns used for unit25 (22.0-25°)cell determination (20 range)0.25° $\omega$ scan peak width at half-height0.25°lattice paramsa = 15.177(6) Å $\omega$ scan peak width at half-height0.25°lattice paramsa = 15.177(6) Å $\omega$ scan peak width at half-height0.25°lattice paramsa = 15.177(6) Å $\omega$ scan peak width at half-height0.25°lattice paramsa = 15.177(6) Å $\omega$ scan peak width at half-height0.25°lattice paramsa = 18.376(7) Å $\beta = 93.76(4)^{\circ}$ $V = 2138(2) Å^3$ space group $P2_1/c$ (No. 14)Z4 $Q_{alc}$ 1.319 g cm <sup>-3</sup> $F_{000}$ 888.00 $\omega$ (Mo K $\alpha$ )9.11 cm <sup>-1</sup> B. Intensity MeasurementsdiffractometerRigaku AFC6SradiationMoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.710$ 70 Å),graphite monochromatedtemp293 Kcryst detector dist40 cmscan rate2.0°/min (in $\omega$ ) (2 rescans)scan rate2.52-25°no. of rflns meastotal, 3959; unique, 3761 ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ )corLorentz-polarization absorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors 0.79-1.00) decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourier expansionrefinementfull-matrix least squares on inte	empirical formula	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>33</sub> ORhSi <sub>2</sub>
habit, color, dimensionsyellow needle, $1.0 \times 0.30 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}^3$ monocliniccryst systmonocliniclattice typePno. of rflns used for unit25 (22.0-25°) cell determination (20 range) $\omega$ scan peak width at half-height $0.25^{\circ}$ $a = 15.177(6) Å$ $b = 7.681(6) Å$ $c = 18.376(7) Å$ $\beta = 93.76(4)^{\circ}$ $V = 2138(2) Å^3$ space group $P2_1/c$ (No. 14) $Z$ $Z$ 4 $D_{calc}$ $F_{000}$ 888.00 $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) $\mu$ Intensity Measurementsdiffractometer radiationRigaku AFC6S $MoK\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.710$ 70 Å), graphite monochromatedtemp cryst detector dist scan rate scan width293 K $(1.36 + 0.30 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ index ranges $\theta$ range no. of rflns meas $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le l \ge 21$ $\theta$ range cor $2.52-25^{\circ}$ no. of rflns measC. Structure Solution true solnand Refinement structure solnC. Structure Solution refinementPatterson and Fourier expansion refinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. of variables199 rfln/param ratio scan ratio	fw	424.53
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	habit, color, dimensions	yellow needle,
cryst syst monoclinic $P$ p no. of rflns used for unit $25 (22.0-25^{\circ})$ cell determination $(2\theta$ range) $\omega$ scan peak width at half-height lattice params $25 (22.0-25^{\circ})$ $\omega$ scan peak width at half-height $0.25^{\circ}$ a = 15.177(6) Å b = 7.681(6) Å c = 18.376(7) Å $\beta = 93.76(4)^{\circ}$ V = 2138(2) Å <sup>3</sup> space group $P2_1/c$ (No. 14) Z 4 $D_{calc}$ 1.319 g cm <sup>-3</sup> $F_{000}$ 888.00 $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) 9.11 cm <sup>-1</sup> B. Intensity Measurements diffractometer Rigaku AFC6S radiation MoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.710$ 70 Å), graphite monochromated temp 293 K cryst detector dist 40 cm scan rate 2.0°/min (in $\omega$ ) (2 rescans) scan width (1.36 + 0.30 tan $\theta$ )° index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le 1 \le 21$ $\theta$ range 2.52-25° no. of rflns meas total, 3959; unique, $3761 (R_{int} = 0.0969)$ cor Lorentz-polarization absorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors 0.79-1.00) decay (-6.59% decline) C. Structure Solution and Refinement structure soln Patterson and Fourier expansion refinement full-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. of variables 199 rfln/param ratio 18.6		$1.0 \times 0.30 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}^3$
lattice typePno. of rflns used for unit25 (22.0-25°)cell determination (2θ range)0.25° $\omega$ scan peak width at half-height0.25°lattice params $a = 15.177(6)$ Å $b = 7.681(6)$ Å $c = 18.376(7)$ Å $\beta = 93.76(4)^\circ$ $V = 2138(2)$ ųspace group $P2_1/c$ (No. 14)Z4 $D_{calc}$ 1.319 g cm <sup>-3</sup> $F_{000}$ $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ )9.11 cm <sup>-1</sup> B. Intensity MeasurementsdiffractometerradiationMoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.710$ 70 Å), graphite monochromatedtemp293 Kcryst detector distscan ratescan width(1.36 + 0.30 tan $\theta$ )°index ranges0 < $h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9$ , $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta$ rangecorLorentz-polarization absorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors 0.79-1.00) decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourier expansionrefinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 no. of variables199rfln/param ratio18.6	cryst syst	monoclinic
Initial type25 (22.0-25°)cell determination (2θ range)0.25° $\omega$ scan peak width at half-height0.25°lattice params $a = 15.177(6)$ Å $b = 7.681(6)$ Å $c = 18.376(7)$ Å $\beta = 93.76(4)^\circ$ $V = 2138(2)$ ųspace group $P2_1/c$ (No. 14)Z4 $D_{calc}$ $T_{0000}$ 888.00 $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ )9.11 cm <sup>-1</sup> B. Intensity MeasurementsdiffractometerradiationMoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.710$ 70 Å), graphite monochromatedtempcryst detector distscan ratescan ratescan width(1.36 + 0.30 tan $\theta$ )°index ranges0 < f s s s s s total, 3959; unique, $3761$ ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ )corcorLorentz-polarization absorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors $0.79-1.00$ ) decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourier expansionrefinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 no. of variables199rfln/param ratio versichele	lattice type	P
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{finite} fi$	no of rflps used for unit	$25(220-25^{\circ})$
w scan peak width at half-height lattice params $0.25^{\circ}$ a = 15.177(6) Å b = 7.681(6) Å c = 18.376(7) Å $\beta = 93.76(4)^{\circ}$ V = 2138(2) Å <sup>3</sup> space group $P_{21}/c$ (No. 14) Z A $D_{calc}$ 1.319 g cm <sup>-3</sup> $F_{000}$ $(Mo K\alpha)$ 0.11 cm <sup>-1</sup> B. Intensity Measurements diffractometer radiation $MoK\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.710$ 70 Å), graphite monochromated temp 293 K cryst detector dist scan rate $2.0^{\circ}/min$ (in $\omega$ ) (2 rescans) scan width $(1.36 + 0.30 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta$ range no. of rflns meas total, 3959; unique, $3761$ ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ ) cor $Lorentz-polarization absorption (\psi scan)(transmissn factors0.79-1.00$ ) decay (-6.59% decline) C. Structure Solution and Refinement structure soln Patterson and Fourier expansion refinement no. observns ( $I \ge 3.00\sigma(I)$ ) 3705 no. of variables 199 rfln/param ratio $3761$ ( $R_{int} = 0.996$ ) 18.6	coll determination (20 range)	23 (22.0 23)
ab scali peak with at har-height0.23lattice params $a = 15.177(6)$ Å $b = 7.681(6)$ Å $c = 18.376(7)$ Å $\beta = 93.76(4)^{\circ}$ $V = 2138(2)$ Å <sup>3</sup> $\beta = 93.76(4)^{\circ}$ $V = 2138(2)$ Å <sup>3</sup> $P_{21/c}$ (No. 14) $Z$ 4 $D_{calc}$ $F_{000}$ $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) $9.11$ cm <sup>-1</sup> B. Intensity MeasurementsdiffractometerradiationMoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.710$ 70 Å),graphite monochromatedtemp293 Kcryst detector distscan rate $2.0^{\circ}$ min (in $\omega$ ) (2 rescans)scan width(1.36 + 0.30 tan $\theta$ )°index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9$ , $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta$ rangeno. of rflns meastotal, 3959; unique, $3761$ ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ )corCorLorentz-polarizationabsorption ( $\psi$ scan)(transmissn factors 0.79-1.00)decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourier expansionrefinementfilm/param rationo. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 no. of variables199rfln/param ratiostructure log	$\omega$ scap peak width at half height	0.25°
attice paralis $a = 15.17/10$ A $b = 7.681(6)$ Å $c = 18.376(7)$ Å $\beta = 93.76(4)^{\circ}$ $V = 2138(2)$ Å3space group $P2_1/c$ (No. 14)Z4 $D_{calc}$ 1.319 g cm <sup>-3</sup> $F_{000}$ 888.00 $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ )9.11 cm <sup>-1</sup> B. Intensity MeasurementsdiffractometerRigaku AFC6SradiationMoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.710$ 70 Å), graphite monochromatedtemp293 Kcryst detector dist40 cmscan rate2.0°/min (in $\omega$ ) (2 rescans)scan width(1.36 + 0.30 tan $\theta$ )°index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta$ range2.52-25°no. of rflns meastotal, 3959; unique, $3761$ ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ )corLorentz-polarization absorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors $0.79-1.00$ ) decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourier expansion refinementno. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 199no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 199rfln/param ratio workbule18.6	lottico poromo	0.23 a = 15, 177(6) Å
$D = 7.061(0) A$ $c = 18.376(7) Å$ $\beta = 93.76(4)^{\circ}$ $V = 2138(2) Å^{3}$ space group $P_{2_{1}/c} (No. 14)$ $Z$ $4$ $D_{calc}$ $1.319 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ $F_{000}$ $888.00$ $\mu(Mo K\alpha)$ 9.11 cm <sup>-1</sup> B. Intensity Measurements diffractometer radiation MoKa ( $\lambda = 0.710 \ 70 \ Å$ ), graphite monochromated temp $293 \text{ K}$ cryst detector dist 40 cm scan rate $2.0^{\circ}$ min (in $\omega$ ) (2 rescans) scan width (1.36 + 0.30 tan $\theta$ )° index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta$ range $2.52-25^{\circ}$ no. of rflns meas total, 3959; unique, $3761 (R_{int} = 0.0969)$ cor $Lorentz-polarization absorption (\psi scan) (transmissn factors 0.79-1.00) decay (-6.59\% decline) C. Structure Solution and Refinement structure soln Patterson and Fourier expansion refinement full-matrix least squares on intensities, F^{2} no. observns (I > 3.00\sigma(I)) 3705 no. of variables 199 rfln/param ratio Structure lease State Stat$	lattice parallis	a = 15.177(0) A b = 7.091(0) Å
$\begin{array}{c} c = 18.376(1)^{\circ} A \\ \beta = 93.76(4)^{\circ} \\ V = 2138(2) Å^{3} \\ \text{space group} \\ Z \\ 4 \\ D_{\text{calc}} \\ 1.319 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \\ F_{000} \\ \#(Mo \ K\alpha) \\ 9.11 \ \text{cm}^{-1} \\ \hline B. \text{ Intensity Measurements} \\ \text{diffractometer} \\ \text{radiation} \\ \text{Rigaku AFC6S} \\ \text{radiation} \\ \text{MoK}\alpha \ (\lambda = 0.710 \ 70 \ \text{Å}), \\ \text{graphite monochromated} \\ \text{temp} \\ 293 \ \text{K} \\ \text{cryst detector dist} \\ \text{scan rate} \\ 2.0^{\circ}/\min (\text{in } \omega) (2 \text{ rescans}) \\ \text{scan rate} \\ 2.0^{\circ}/\min (\text{in } \omega) (2 \text{ rescans}) \\ \text{scan rate} \\ 2.0^{\circ}/\min (\text{in } \omega) (2 \text{ rescans}) \\ \text{scan width} \\ (1.36 + 0.30 \ \tan \theta)^{\circ} \\ \text{index ranges} \\ 0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9, \\ -21 \le l \le 21 \\ \theta \text{ range} \\ 3.761 \ (R_{\text{int}} = 0.0969) \\ \text{cor} \\ \text{Lorentz-polarization} \\ \text{absorption} \ (\psi \ \text{scan}) \\ (\text{transmissn factors} \\ 0.79 - 1.00) \\ \text{decay} \ (-6.59\% \ \text{decline}) \\ \text{C. Structure Solution and Refinement} \\ \text{structure soln} \\ \text{Patterson and Fourier} \\ \text{expansion} \\ \text{refinement} \\ \text{full-matrix least squares} \\ \text{on intensities, } F^{2} \\ \text{no. observns} \ (I > 3.00\sigma(I)) \\ 3.705 \\ \text{no. of variables} \\ 199 \\ \text{rfln/param ratio} \\ 18.6 \\ \end{array}$		D = 7.681(6)  A
$\beta = 93.76(4)^{\circ}$ $V = 2138(2) Å^{3}$ space group $P_{2_{1}/c} (No. 14)$ $Z$ $4$ $D_{calc}$ $F_{000}$ $888.00$ $\mu(Mo K\alpha)$ 9.11 cm <sup>-1</sup> B. Intensity Measurements diffractometer radiation B. Intensity Measurements diffractometer radiation B. Intensity Measurements diffractometer radiation $MoK\alpha (\lambda = 0.710 \ 70 \ Å),$ graphite monochromated temp $293 \ K$ cryst detector dist $40 \ cm$ scan rate $2.0^{\circ}/min (in \omega) (2 \text{ rescans})$ scan width $(1.36 + 0.30 \ tan \ \theta)^{\circ}$ index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta \text{ range}$ $3761 (R_{int} = 0.0969)$ cor $Lorentz-polarization absorption (\psi \text{ scan}) (transmissn factors 0.79-1.00) decay (-6.59\% decline) C. Structure Solution and Refinement structure soln Patterson and Fourier expansion refinement full-matrix least squares on intensities, F2 no. observns (I > 3.00\sigma(I)) 3705 no. of variables 199 rfln/param ratio Patterson and Fourier Patterson and Fou$		c = 18.376(7)  A
$V = 2138(2) A^{3}$ $P_{21}/c (No. 14)$ $Z$ $A$ $D_{calc}$ $I.319 g cm^{-3}$ $F_{000}$ $\#(Mo K\alpha)$ $9.11 cm^{-1}$ B. Intensity Measurements diffractometer radiation B. Intensity Measurements $MoK\alpha (\lambda = 0.710 \ 70 \ Å),$ $graphite monochromated$ $temp$ $293 K$ $cryst detector dist$ $40 cm$ $scan rate$ $2.0^{\circ}/min (in \omega) (2 rescans)$ $scan width$ $(1.36 + 0.30 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta range$ $2.52-25^{\circ}$ no. of rflns meas $total, 3959; unique,$ $3761 (R_{int} = 0.0969)$ cor $Lorentz-polarization absorption (\psi scan)$ $(transmissn factors 0.79-1.00)$ $decay (-6.59\% decline)$ C. Structure Solution and Refinement structure soln Patterson and Fourier expansion refinement $full-matrix least squares$ on intensities, $F^{2}$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ ) $3705$ no. of variables $199$ $rfln/param ratio$ $Patterson and Fourier$ $Right AFC Cost 18, Cost 199$ $Rind Cost 18, Cost 18, Cost 18, Cost 199$ $Rind Cost 18, Cost 18, Cost 18, Cost 199$ $Rind Cost 18, Cost 18, Cost 18, Cost 199$ $Rind Cost 18, Cost 18, Cost 18, Cost 199$ $Rind Cost 18, Cost 18, Cost 18, Cost 18, Cost 18, Cost 199$ $Rind Cost 18, Cost 18,$		$\beta = 93.76(4)^{\circ}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		$V = 2138(2) \text{ A}^3$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	space group	$P2_1/c$ (No. 14)
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} D_{\rm calc} & 1.319 \ {\rm g} \ {\rm cm}^{-3} \\ F_{000} & 888.00 \\ \mu({\rm Mo} \ {\rm K}\alpha) & 9.11 \ {\rm cm}^{-1} \\ & {\rm B. \ Intensity \ Measurements} \\ diffractometer & Rigaku \ {\rm AFC6S} \\ {\rm radiation} & {\rm Mo} \ {\rm K}\alpha \ (\lambda=0.710\ 70\ {\rm \AA}), \\ & {\rm graphite\ monochromated} \\ temp & 293 \ {\rm K} \\ {\rm cryst\ detector\ dist} & 40 \ {\rm cm} \\ {\rm scan\ rate} & 2.0^{\circ}/{\rm min\ (in\ }\omega) \ (2\ {\rm rescans}) \\ {\rm scan\ width} & (1.36 + 0.30\ {\rm tan\ }\theta)^{\circ} \\ {\rm index\ ranges} & 0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9, \\ & -21 \le l \le 21 \\ \theta \ {\rm range} & 2.52 - 25^{\circ} \\ {\rm no.\ of\ rflns\ meas} & {\rm total, 3959;\ unique,} \\ & 3761 \ (R_{\rm int} = 0.0969) \\ {\rm cor} & {\rm Lorentz-polarization} \\ & {\rm absorption\ (\psi\ scan)} \\ ({\rm transmissn\ factors\ 0.79 - 1.00}) \\ {\rm decay\ (-6.59\%\ decline)} \\ {\rm C.\ Structure\ Solution\ and\ Refinement} \\ {\rm structure\ soln} & {\rm Patterson\ and\ Fourier\ expansion} \\ {\rm refinement\ full-matrix\ least\ squares\ on\ intensities,\ F^2} \\ {\rm no.\ observns\ (I > 3.00\sigma(I))\ 3705} \\ {\rm no.\ of\ variables\ 199 \\ {\rm rfln/param\ ratio\ 18.6} \\ \end{array}$	Z	4
$ \begin{array}{c} F_{000} & 888.00 \\ \mu({\rm Mo}\ {\rm K}\alpha) & 9.11\ {\rm cm}^{-1} \\ {\rm B.\ Intensity\ Measurements} \\ {\rm diffractometer} & {\rm Rigaku\ AFC6S} \\ {\rm radiation} & {\rm Mo}\ {\rm K}\alpha\ (\lambda=0.710\ 70\ {\rm \AA}), \\ {\rm graphite\ monochromated} \\ {\rm temp} & 293\ {\rm K} \\ {\rm cryst\ detector\ dist} & 40\ {\rm cm} \\ {\rm scan\ rate} & 2.0^{\circ}/{\rm min\ (in\ }\omega)\ (2\ {\rm rescans}) \\ {\rm scan\ width} & (1.36\ +0.30\ {\rm tan\ }\theta)^{\circ} \\ {\rm index\ ranges} & 0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9, \\ -21 \le I \le 21 \\ \theta\ {\rm range} & 2.52-25^{\circ} \\ {\rm no.\ of\ rflns\ meas} & {\rm total,\ 3959;\ unique,} \\ {\rm 3761\ (R_{\rm int}=0.0969) \\ {\rm cor} & {\rm Lorentz-polarization} \\ {\rm absorption\ }(\psi\ {\rm scan}) \\ {\rm (transmissn\ factors\ } \\ 0.79-1.00) \\ {\rm decay\ }(-6.59\%\ {\rm decline}) \\ {\rm C.\ Structure\ Solution\ and\ Refinement} \\ {\rm structure\ soln} & {\rm Patterson\ and\ Fourier\ } \\ {\rm expansion\ refinement\ } \\ {\rm full-matrix\ least\ squares\ } \\ {\rm on\ intensities,\ } F^2 \\ {\rm no.\ observns\ }(I > 3.00\sigma(I)) \ 3705 \\ {\rm no.\ of\ variables\ } \\ 199 \\ {\rm rfln/param\ ratio\ } \\ {\rm matrix\ least\ } \\ {\rm matrix\ least\ } \\ {\rm structure\ loc} \\ {\rm determined} \\ {\rm determined\ } \\ {\rm determine\ } \\ {\rm cor\ } \\ {\rm determine\ } \\ \ {\rm determine\ } \\ {\rm det$	$D_{\text{calc}}$	1.319 g cm <sup>-3</sup>
$ \begin{array}{c} \mu({\rm Mo}\;{\rm K}\alpha) & 9.11\;{\rm cm}^{-1} \\ & {\rm B.\;Intensity\;Measurements} \\ {\rm diffractometer} & {\rm Rigaku\;AFC6S} \\ {\rm radiation} & {\rm Mo}{\rm K}\alpha\;(\lambda=0.710\;70\;{\rm Å}), \\ & {\rm graphite\;monochromated} \\ {\rm temp} & 293\;{\rm K} \\ {\rm cryst\;detector\;dist} & 40\;{\rm cm} \\ {\rm scan\;rate} & 2.0^\circ{\rm min\;(in\;\omega)}\;(2\;{\rm rescans}) \\ {\rm scan\;rate} & 2.0^\circ{\rm min\;(in\;\omega)}\;(2\;{\rm rescans}) \\ {\rm scan\;width} & (1.36+0.30\;{\rm tan\;}\theta)^\circ \\ {\rm index\;ranges} & 0 \leq h \leq 18, -5 \leq k \leq 9, \\ -21 \leq l \leq 21 \\ \theta\;{\rm range} & 2.52-25^\circ \\ {\rm no.\;of\;rflns\;meas} & {\rm total}, 3959; {\rm unique}, \\ 3761\;(R_{\rm int}=0.0969) \\ {\rm cor} & {\rm Lorentz-polarization} \\ {\rm absorption\;(\psi\;scan)} \\ ({\rm transmissn\;factors} \\ 0.79-1.00) \\ {\rm decay\;(-6.59\%\;decline)} \\ {\rm C.\;Structure\;Solution\;and\;Refinement} \\ {\rm structure\;soln} & {\rm Patterson\;and\;Fourier} \\ {\rm expansion} \\ {\rm refinement} & {\rm full-matrix\;least\;squares} \\ {\rm on\;intensities,\;} F^2 \\ {\rm no.\;observns\;}\;(I \geq 3.00\sigma(I)) & 3705 \\ {\rm no.\;of\;variables} & 199 \\ {\rm rfln/param\;ratio} \\ {\rm used} \end{array} $	$F_{000}$	888.00
B. Intensity Measurementsdiffractometer radiationRigaku AFC6S MoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.710$ 70 Å), graphite monochromatedtemp293 K cryst detector distcryst detector dist40 cm scan ratescan rate2.0°/min (in $\omega$ ) (2 rescans) scan width(1.36 + 0.30 tan $\theta$ )° index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta$ range $\theta$ range2.52-25° no. of rflns meastotal, 3959; unique, $3761$ ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ ) corcorLorentz-polarization absorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors $0.79-1.00$ ) decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinement structure solnrefinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 199 rfln/param rationo. black199 rfln/param ratio	μ(Μο Κα)	$9.11 \text{ cm}^{-1}$
B. Intensity MeasurementsdiffractometerRigaku AFC6SradiationMoKa ( $\lambda = 0.710$ 70 Å), graphite monochromatedtemp293 Kcryst detector dist40 cmscan rate2.0°/min (in $\omega$ ) (2 rescans)scan width(1.36 + 0.30 tan $\theta$ )° index ranges0 $\leq h \leq 18, -5 \leq k \leq 9$ , $-21 \leq l \leq 21$ $\theta$ range2.52-25° 3761 ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ )corLorentz-polarization absorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors $0.79-1.00$ ) decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourier expansion refinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 199 rfln/param ratio18.6	D. Interester Mee	
animactometerFigaku AFC6SradiationMoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.710$ 70 Å), graphite monochromatedtemp293 Kcryst detector dist40 cmscan rate $2.0^{\circ}$ /min (in $\omega$ ) (2 rescans)scan width(1.36 + 0.30 tan $\theta$ )°index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9$ , $-21 \le I \le 21$ $\theta$ range $2.52-25^{\circ}$ no. of rflns meastotal, 3959; unique, $3761$ ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ )corLorentz-polarization absorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors $0.79-1.00$ ) decay ( $-6.59\%$ decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourier expansion refinementrefinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 199rfln/param ratio18.6 meriduale	B. Intensity Mea	Distalas AECOC
radiationMoR( $\alpha$ ( $i = 0.710, 70$ Å), graphite monochromatedtemp293 Kcryst detector dist40 cmscan rate $2.0^{\circ}$ /min (in $\omega$ ) (2 rescans)scan width $(1.36 + 0.30 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta$ range $2.52-25^{\circ}$ no. of rflns meastotal, 3959; unique, $3761$ ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ )corLorentz-polarization absorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors $0.79-1.00$ ) decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourier expansionrefinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 199rfln/param ratio18.6	diffractometer	Rigaku AFC6S
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{graphite monochromated} \\ \mbox{temp} & 293 \ {\rm K} \\ \mbox{cryst detector dist} & 40 \ {\rm cm} \\ \mbox{scan rate} & 2.0^{\circ}\mbox{min} (\mbox{in}\ \omega) (2 \ {\rm rescans}) \\ \mbox{scan width} & (1.36 + 0.30 \ {\rm tan}\ \theta)^{\circ} \\ \mbox{index ranges} & 0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9, \\ -21 \le l \le 21 \\ \theta \ {\rm range} & 2.52 - 25^{\circ} \\ \mbox{no. of rflns meas} & total, 3959; unique, \\ 3761 (R_{\rm int} = 0.0969) \\ \mbox{cor} & {\rm Lorentz-polarization} \\ \mbox{absorption} (\psi \ {\rm scan}) \\ \mbox{(transmissn factors} \\ 0.79 - 1.00) \\ \mbox{decay} (-6.59\% \ {\rm decline}) \\ \mbox{C. Structure Solution and Refinement} \\ \mbox{structure soln} & {\rm Patterson and Fourier} \\ \mbox{expansion} \\ \mbox{refinement} & {\rm full-matrix least squares} \\ \mbox{on intensities, } F^2 \\ \mbox{no. observns} (I > 3.00\sigma(I)) & 3705 \\ \mbox{no. of variables} & 199 \\ \mbox{rfln/param ratio} \\ \mbox{mishould} & {\rm scan} \\ \mbox{determined} \\ \mbox{mishould} & {\rm scan} \\ \mbox{determined} \\ \m$	radiation	MoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.710$ 70 A),
temp293 Kcryst detector dist40 cmscan rate $2.0^{\circ}$ /min (in $\omega$ ) (2 rescans)scan vidth $(1.36 + 0.30 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta$ range $2.52-25^{\circ}$ no. of rflns meastotal, 3959; unique, $3761$ ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ )corLorentz-polarizationabsorption ( $\psi$ scan)(transmissn factors $0.79-1.00$ )decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourierexpansionrefinementfull-matrix least squareson intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705no. of variables199rfln/param ratio18.6		graphite monochromated
cryst detector dist40 cmscan rate $2.0^{\circ}$ /min (in $\omega$ ) (2 rescans)scan width $(1.36 + 0.30 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta$ range $\theta$ range $2.52-25^{\circ}$ no. of rflns meastotal, 3959; unique, $3761$ ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ )corLorentz-polarization $absorption$ ( $\psi$ scan)(transmissn factors $0.79-1.00$ )decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourierexpansionrefinementfull-matrix least squareson intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705no. of variables199rfln/param ratio18.6	temp	293 K
scan rate $2.0^{\circ}/\min(in \omega)$ (2 rescans)scan width $(1.36 + 0.30 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le l \le 21$ $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta$ range $2.52-25^{\circ}$ no. of rflns meastotal, 3959; unique, $3761$ ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ )corLorentz-polarizationabsorption ( $\psi$ scan)(transmissn factors $0.79-1.00$ )decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnrefinementfull-matrix least squareson intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705no. of variables199rfln/param ratio18.6	cryst detector dist	40 cm
scan width $(1.36 + 0.30 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta$ range $2.52-25^{\circ}$ no. of rflns meastotal, 3959; unique, $3761$ ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ )corLorentz-polarization absorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors $0.79-1.00$ ) decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinement structure solnrefinementPatterson and Fourier expansionrefinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 199rfln/param ratio residuela18.6	scan rate	2.0°/min (in $\omega$ ) (2 rescans)
index ranges $0 \le h \le 18, -5 \le k \le 9,$ $-21 \le l \le 21$ $\theta$ range $2.52-25^{\circ}$ no. of rflns meastotal, 3959; unique, $3761$ ( $R_{int} = 0.0969$ )corLorentz-polarization absorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors $0.79-1.00$ ) decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinement structure solnPatterson and Fourier expansion refinementc. Structure Solution refinementno observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 no. of variables199 199rfln/param ratio residuela18.6	scan width	$(1.36 + 0.30 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$
$\begin{array}{c} -21 \leq I \leq 21 \\ \theta \text{ range} & 2.52-25^{\circ} \\ \text{no. of rflns meas} & \text{total, 3959; unique,} \\ 3761 (R_{\text{int}} = 0.0969) \\ \text{cor} & \text{Lorentz-polarization} \\ absorption (\psi \text{ scan}) \\ (\text{transmissn factors} \\ 0.79-1.00) \\ \text{decay} (-6.59\% \text{ decline}) \\ \text{C. Structure Solution and Refinement} \\ \text{structure soln} & \text{Patterson and Fourier} \\ \text{expansion} \\ \text{refinement} & \text{full-matrix least squares} \\ \text{on intensities, } F^2 \\ \text{no. observns } (I > 3.00\sigma(I)) & 3705 \\ \text{no. of variables} & 199 \\ \text{rfln/param ratio} \\ \text{no. black} \\ \text{structure between the structure} \\ \text{structure} \\ struc$	index ranges	$0 \leq h \leq 18, -5 \leq k \leq 9,$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	8	$-21 \le l \le 21$
no. of rfIns meas total, 3959; unique, $3761 (R_{int} = 0.0969)$ cor Lorentz-polarization absorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors 0.79-1.00) decay (-6.59% decline) C. Structure Solution and Refinement structure soln Patterson and Fourier expansion refinement full-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ ) 3705 no. of variables 199 rfln/param ratio 18.6	$\theta$ range	2.52-25°
$\begin{array}{c} 3761 \ (R_{int}=0.0969) \\ \text{cor} & \text{Lorentz-polarization} \\ & \text{absorption} \ (\psi \ \text{scan}) \\ & (\text{transmissn factors} \\ 0.79-1.00) \\ & \text{decay} \ (-6.59\% \ \text{decline}) \\ \hline \text{C. Structure Solution and Refinement} \\ \text{structure soln} & \text{Patterson and Fourier} \\ & \text{expansion} \\ \text{refinement} & \text{full-matrix least squares} \\ & \text{on intensities, } F^2 \\ \text{no. observns} \ (I > 3.00\sigma(I)) & 3705 \\ \text{no. of variables} & 199 \\ \text{rfln/param ratio} & 18.6 \\ & \text{erichuals} \end{array}$	no. of rflns meas	total. 3959: unique.
cor cor Lorentz-polarization absorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors 0.79–1.00) decay (-6.59% decline) C. Structure Solution and Refinement structure soln Patterson and Fourier expansion refinement full-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ ) 3705 no. of variables 199 rfln/param ratio 18.6		$3761 (R_{\odot} = 0.0969)$
controlExerciseabsorption ( $\psi$ scan) (transmissn factors 0.79-1.00) decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourier expansion refinementrefinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 no. of variables199 rfln/param ratio18.6 wridwale	cor	Lorentz-polarization
The structure solution ( $\varphi$ scan) (transmissn factors $0.79-1.00$ ) decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinement structure solnPatterson and Fourier expansion refinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705 no. of variables199 rfln/param ratio18.6	COI	chearntian (1/ acon)
(transmissin factors $0.79-1.00$ ) decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourier expansionrefinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705no. of variables199rfln/param ratio18.6		absorption ( $\psi$ scan)
$\begin{array}{c} 0.79-1.00)\\ decay (-6.59\% \ decline)\\ C. Structure Solution and Refinement\\ structure soln Patterson and Fourier\\ expansion\\ refinement full-matrix least squares\\ on intensities, F^2no. observns (I > 3.00\sigma(I)) 3705no. of variables 199rfln/param ratio 18.6$		(transmissn factors
decay (-6.59% decline)C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourier expansionexpansionrefinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705no. of variables199rfln/param ratio18.6residuale18.6		0.79-1.00)
C. Structure Solution and Refinementstructure solnPatterson and Fourier expansionrefinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ ) $3705$ no. of variables199rfln/param ratio18.6		decay (–6.59% decline)
structure solution and itermentstructure solnPatterson and Fourier expansionrefinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ ) $3705$ no. of variables199rfln/param ratio18.6	C Structure Solution	and Refinement
structure solitrattersolit and Fourierexpansionrefinementno. observns $(I > 3.00\sigma(I))$ 3705no. of variables199rfln/param ratio18.6	structure soln	Patterson and Fourier
refinementexpansionrefinementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns ( $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ )3705no. of variables199rfln/param ratio18.6residuale18.6	structure som	
rennementfull-matrix least squares on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns $(I > 3.00\sigma(I))$ 3705no. of variables199rfln/param ratio18.6		expansion
on intensities, $F^2$ no. observns $(I > 3.00\sigma(I))$ 3705no. of variables199rfln/param ratio18.6residuels18.6	reimement	iun-matrix least squares
no. observns $(I > 3.00\sigma(I))$ 3705no. of variables199rfln/param ratio18.6variables199		on intensities, $F^z$
no. of variables 199 rfln/param ratio 18.6	no. observns $(I > 3.00\sigma(I))$	3705
rfln/param ratio 18.6	no. of variables	199
residuals	rfln/param ratio	18.6
residuals	residuals	
$R_{F} W R_{I} (I > 2\sigma(I))$ 0.0364, 0.1106	$R_{F_i}$ w $R_I(I > 2\sigma(I))$	0.0364, 0.1106
$R_E  \mathrm{w} R_I$ (all data) 0.0509, 0.3909	$R_F  \mathrm{w} R_I$ (all data)	0.0509, 0.3909
goodness of fit indicator on $F^2$ 1.149	goodness of fit indicator on $F^2$	1.149
max peak in final diff map $0.939 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$	max peak in final diff map	0.939 e Å <sup>−3</sup>
	min peak in final diff map	-0/699 e Å <sup>-3</sup>
	min peak in final diff map	−0/699 e Å <sup>-3</sup>

graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  X-radiation (Table 7). Accurate unit cell dimensions were obtained by a least-squares refinement of the values of 25 centered reflections in the range  $22^{\circ} < 2\theta < 25^{\circ}$ . Intensities of 3959 reflections were measured in the range  $2.52^{\circ} < \theta < 25.00^{\circ}$  in a  $\omega/2\theta$  scan mode. An empirical absorption correction based on azimuthal scans of four reflections was applied, resulting in transmission factors ranging from 0.79 to 1. Equivalent reflections were merged, and Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied. Atomic scattering factors were obtained from ref 42.

The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier expansion techniques using DIRDIF92<sup>43</sup> and refined on intensities,  $F^2$ , using the refinement program SHELXL93.<sup>44</sup> All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, and hydrogen atoms were refined using a rigid model ( $C_{sp}^2-H = 0.93$  Å,  $C_{sp}^3-H = 0.96$  Å) with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(C_{sp}^2)$  and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 U_{eq}(C_{sp}^3)$ . Atomic coordinates (Table 8, Supporting Information), and full-matrix least-squares refinement of 199 parameters for 3705 independent reflections ( $I \ge \sigma(I)$ ) gave  $R_F = 0.0509$  and  $wR_I = 0.3909$  ( $R_F = 0.0364$  and  $wR_I = 0.1106$  on  $I \ge 2\sigma(I)$  data). Full bond lengths and angles (Table 9), anisotropic displacement coordinates (Table 10), hydrogen coordinates and  $U_{eq}$  values (Table 11), and least-squares planes (Table 12) are given in the Supporting Information.

**Note Added in Proof.** In situ functionalization of a coordinated silyl group related to the conversion of **9** to **2a** has been described recently: Malisch, W.; Lankat, R.; Fey, O.; Reising, J.; Schmutzer, S. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1995**, 1917.

**Acknowledgment.** We acknowledge the support of the EPSRC, The European Commission, The Royal Society, and NATO. S.N.H. thanks Dow Corning Ltd. for their support. We appreciate valuable advice from Dr. S. B. Duckett.

**Supporting Information Available:** Tables of NMR data for complexes **3–13** and tables giving crystallographic data for **1c** (atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, anisotropic displacement parameters, hydrogen coordinates and  $U_{eq}$ values, least-squares planes) (13 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

## OM950826N

(44) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL93, Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1995.

<sup>(42)</sup> Creagh, D. C.; McAuley, W. J. In *International Tables for Crystallography*; Wilson, A. J. C., Ed.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Boston, MA, 1992; Vol C.

<sup>(43)</sup> Beurksens, P. T.; Admiraal, G.; Beurskens, G.; Bosman, W. P.; Garcia-Granda, S.; Gould, R. O.; Smits, J. M. M.; Smykalla, C. The DIRDIF Program System; Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory; University of Nijmegen: Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1992.