Downloaded by CARLI CONSORTIUM on June 30, 2009 Published on March 19, 1996 on http://pubs.acs.org | doi: 10.1021/om950907j

Catalytic Alkane Dehydrogenation by IrClH₂(PPr^{*i*}₃)₂: Evidence for an Alkane Associative Mechanism

Jack Belli[†] and Craig M. Jensen^{*}

Department of Chemistry, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822

Received November 27, 1995[®]

Summary: $IrCIH_2(PPr^i_3)_2$ catalyzes the dehydrogenation of alkanes to alkenes in the presence of the hydrogen acceptor, tert-butylethylene at 150 °C. Mechanistic studies, including labeling experiments with $IrCID_2(PPr^i_3)_2$, indicate that reversible coordination and hydride migration to tert-butylethylene is facile and that the slower, subsequent elimination of tert-butylethane requires prior coordination of alkane.

Catalytic dehydrogenation of alkanes by soluble iridium and rhodium complexes has been extensively studied in recent years. Systems involving hydrogen transfer from alkane to hydrogen acceptors, 1-3 photoirradiation,⁴⁻⁶ and, most recently, thermal hydrogen evolution,⁷ have been identified. It is accepted that alkane activation in these systems occurs through oxidative addition to intermediate, 14-electron complexes which arise from catalyst precursors such as $IrH_5(PPr_{3}^{i})_2$ (1).^{2,3,5,6} A recent theoretical study by Cundari found markedly different reaction enthalpies for alkane C–H activation by $IrH(PH_3)_2$ vs $IrCl(PH_3)_2$.⁸ The chloro complex $IrClH_2(PPr^i_3)_2$ (2) undergoes D/H exchange between the hydride ligands and deuterated solvents.⁹ Therefore, it was of interest to explore **2** as a catalyst for alkane dehydrogenation and compare its activity to that of 1. We have found that catalytic alkane dehydrogenation by 2 operates by a very different mechanism than has been found for previously studied systems.

A cyclooctane solution (2 mL, 14.86 mmol) of 2^{10} (56 mg, 0.10 mmol) and the hydrogen acceptor *tert*-butyl-ethylene¹¹ (tbe) (0.5 mL, 3.99 mmol) was fully immersed for 2 days in a 150 °C oil bath. Analysis of the reaction

(5) Burk, M. W.; Crabtree, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1987**, 109, 8025–8032.



mixture by gas chromatography¹² indicated that 4 turnovers of cyclooctane to cyclooctene had occurred. A nearly quantitative amount of **2** was recovered upon removal of the hydrocarbons in vacuo. An analogous experiment in which **2** was substituted with an equimolar amount of **1** resulted in 9 turnovers of cyclooctane to cyclooctene.

Despite the similar levels of activity, deuterium labeling experiments show that 1 and 2 catalyze the dehydrogenation of alkanes through different mechanisms. The ²H NMR spectrum (76.8 MHz) of a tbe solution (0.8 mL, 6.2 mmol) of IrClD₂(PPrⁱ₃)₂ (**2**-d₂)⁹ (10 mg, 0.02 mmol) is seen in Figure 1a. The signal for the deuterides of $2 - d_2$ are seen to have much greater intensity than those for the natural abundance deuterium in the. The tube was fully immersed in a 150 °C bath for 2 days and the ²H NMR spectrum again obtained. As seen in Figure 1b, the deuterium label was exclusively incorporated into the β position of the. This result establishes the occurrence of reversible coordination of the and subsequent reversible migration of hydride as seen in reaction A of Scheme 1. The preference for formation of the linear alkyl is established by the exclusive incorporation of the deuterium into the the β position. The absence of deuterium label incorporation into the α position of the contrasts the preferential α incorporation found for IrH₅(PPr^{*i*}₃)₂/C₆D₆¹³ and equal α and β incorparation observed with IrD₂{OC(O)- CF_3 }(PPrⁱ₃)₂.¹⁴ Label incorporation into the α position has been proposed to occur through vinyl C-H activation¹³ and α elimination of an alkyl hydrogen¹⁴ as respectively seen in reactions B and C of Scheme 1. Both

[†] 1993 J. J. Zuckermann fellow.

[®] Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, March 1, 1996.
(1) (a) Baudry, D; Ephritikhine, M.; Felkin, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 1243–1244; 1982, 606–607; 1983, 788–789. (b) Felkin, H.; Fillebeen-Khan, T.; Holmes-Smith, R.; Zakrzewski, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 1279–1282. (c) Burk, M. W.; Crabtree, R. H.; Parnell, C. P.; Uriarte, R. J. Organometallics 1984, 3, 816–817.
(2) Felkin, H.; Fillebeen-Khan, T.; Holmes-Smith, R; Lin, Y. Tetra-

<sup>hedron Lett. 1985, 26, 1999–2000.
(3) Maguire, J. A.; Petrillo, A.; Goldman, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc.</sup>

 ^{(4) (}a) Burk, M. W.; Crabtree, R. H.; McGrath, D. V. J. Chem. Soc.,
 (b) Commun. 1025, 1820, 1820, (b) Normana, K. Soita, Y. J. Chem.

Chem. Commun. **1985**, 1829–1830. (b) Nomura, K.; Saito, Y. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1988**, 161–162. (c) Nomura, K.; Saito, Y. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1989**, *54*, 57–64. (d) Sakakura, T.; Sodeyama, T.; Tanaka, M. *New J. Chem.* **1989**, *13*, 737–745. (e) Sakakura, T.; Sodeyama, T.; Abe, F.; Tanaka, M. *Chem. Lett.* **1991**, 297–298.

⁽⁶⁾ Maguire, J. A.; Boese, W. T.; Goldman, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7088.

 ^{(7) (}a) Fujii, T.; Satio, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 757–758.
 (b) Fujii, T.; Higashino, Y.; Satio, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1993, 517–520.
 (c) Aoki, T.; Crabtree, R. H. Organometallics 1993, 12, 294.

⁽⁸⁾ Cundrai, T. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 340.

⁽⁹⁾ Mediati, M.; Tachibana, G. N.; Jensen, C. M. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 1827

⁽¹⁰⁾ Werner, H.; Wolf, J.; Hohn, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 287, 395-405.

⁽¹¹⁾ In order circumvent analytical problems arising from the presence of small amounts of *tert*-butylethane present in most commercially available *tert*-butylethylene, 99.98% *tert*-butylethylene from API Standard Reference Materials, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, was used in this study.

⁽¹²⁾ GC–MS analysis was performed on a temperature-programmed (35 °C isothermo for 3 min; 2 °C/min to 60 °C) Hewlett Packard 5890 gas chromatograph using a 250 μ m × 25 m OV-1 capillary column coupled to a VG 70SE dual sector high-resolution mass spectrometer.

 ⁽¹³⁾ Faller, J. W.; Felkin, H. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1488–1490.
 (14) Burk, M. J.; McGrath, M. P.; Crabtree, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 1988, 110, 620.





Figure 1. ²H NMR spectra (76.8 MHz): (a) Solution of **2**- d_2 in the solution at 25 °C; (b) reaction mixture after 2 days of heating at 150 °C.

of these processes require formation of Ir(V) intermediates. Apparently the presence of the electronegative chloride ligand prevents the formation of an Ir(V) intermediate in our system. GC–MS analysis¹² of the reaction mixture showed no *tert*-butylethane (tba) was produced. We conclude that reductive elimination of tba from the alkyl hydride complex to form the 14-electron IrCl(PPr^{*i*}₃)₂ complex, as seen in reaction D of Scheme 1, does not occur at 150 °C.

In order to determine if alkane coordination is required to effect the reductive elimination of tba, solutions of 2 (56 mg, 0.10 mmol) in the (0.5 mL, 4.0 mmol) and 2 mL of a variety of alkanes were fully immersed in a 150 °C bath for 2 days. Production of tha was established by gas chromatographic analysis of the reaction mixtures. The solutions containing cyclooctane, cyclohexane, *n*-pentane, and *n*-nonane produced 3.9, 1.3, 0.4, and 1.2 equiv of tba, respectively. These results clearly demonstrate that alkanes are generally effective at inducing tba elimination. As expected for an associative process, the amount of the produced in a 12 h period was found to increase with increasing alkane. Immersing solutions of 2 (70 mg, 0.13 mmol) in the (2.0 mL, 16 mmol) containing 0.15, 0.30, and 0.60 mL of cyclooctane in a 150 °C bath for 12 h resulted in the production of 0.8, 1.1, and 1.5 turnovers of tba, respectively. The lack of a linear relationship between cyclooctane concentration and the production may be due to complications associated with catalyst decomposition. A full kinetic study of this system is in progress. In a second deuterium labeling experiment, a the solution (0.5 mL, 4.0 mmol) of $2 - d_2$ (10 mg, 0.02 mmol) and cyclooctane (0.125 mL, 0.93 mmol) was fully immersed in a 150 °C bath for 2 days. Production of 2.1 turnovers of tba was detected by gas chromatographic analysis of the reaction mixture. The ²H NMR spectrum

of the reaction mixture showed the deuterium label was exclusively incorporated into the β position of the. Apparently, the coordination of cyclooctane and subsequent elimination of tha is much slower than the hydride migration/ β elimination equilibrium.

Our results demonstrate that the mechanism of dehydrogenation of cyclooctane by 2 does not involve an intermediate 14-electron complex but rather association of cyclooctane to an intermediate alkyl hydride complex as seen in Scheme 2. This may entail an initial oxidative addition of the cyclooctane C-H bond to give an Ir(V) intermediate as seen in pathway A. However, our labeling experiments indicate the that Ir(V) oxidation state is inaccessible to complexes with the IrCl-(PPr¹)₂ framework. Alternatively, the key alkane activation step may occur through concerted pairwise

coupling-decoupling as seen in pathway B. This process may be related to the rapid, pairwise interconversion of dihydrogen and hydride ligands in IrClH₂(H₂)- $(PPr_{3}^{i})_{2}$.^{15,16} We hope to establish whether these H–H and C–H σ bond activations involve the same general mechanistic features.

Acknowledgment. Support of this research by the U.S. Department of Energy Hydrogen Program is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Prof. Robert Crabtree for valuable discussions.

OM950907J

⁽¹⁵⁾ Wisiniewski, L. L.; Mediati, M.; Jensen, C. M.; Zilm, K. W. J.

Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 7533.
 (16) Eckert, J.; Jensen, C. M.; Koetzle, T. F.; Le Husebo, T.; Nicol, J.; Wu, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 7271-7272.