

Additions and Corrections

1996, Volume 13

H. El Amouri* and Y. Besace: An Unusual Dicarbenium Fulvalene Complex, $[\text{FvMo}_2(\text{CO})_4(\mu\text{-}\eta^3\text{:}\eta^3\text{-CH}_2\text{-C}\equiv\text{CCH}_2)][\text{BF}_4]_2$ (Fv = Fulvalene), Which Exhibits Reactivity Toward Weak Nucleophiles.

Page 1514. In the summary, the formula for compound **7** should read $[\text{FvMo}_2(\text{CO})_4(\mu\text{-}\eta^2\text{:}\eta^2\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5\text{N-CH}_2\text{C}\equiv\text{CCH}_2\text{NC}_5\text{H}_5)][\text{BF}_4]_2$. In the first paragraph of the Results and Discussion, line 9 should read "equivalence of the two methoxy..."; line 15 in this paragraph should read "while most M–M bonds are less than 3.5 Å".

Page 1515. In the second full paragraph in the first column, the formula for **7** on the 12th line should have a triple (not a double) bond. The formula for **8** at the end of this paragraph should read $[\text{FvMo}_2(\text{CO})_4(\mu\text{-}\eta^2\text{:}\eta^2\text{-PPh}_3\text{H}_2\text{CC}\equiv\text{CCH}_2\text{PPh}_3)][\text{BF}_4]_2$.

Page 1516. In the second full paragraph in the first column, the formula on the last line should read $[\text{FvMo}_2(\text{CO})_4(\mu\text{-}\eta^2\text{:}\eta^2\text{-CH}_3\text{C}\equiv\text{CCH}_2\text{R})]$.

Due to an oversight at the Columbus Editorial Office, these changes were not addressed before publication. We regret the error.