Substitution and Oxidative Addition Reactions of the Monoolefin Complex Rh(acac)(cyclooctene)(PCy3) Including the X-ray Structure Analyses of Rh(acac)(PCy3)2 and $[Rh(\text{acac})\{(E)\text{-CH=CHCy}\}(PCy_3)_2]BF_4$

Miguel A. Esteruelas, *,† Fernando J. Lahoz,† Enrique Oñate,† Luis A. Oro, *,† Laura Rodríguez,[†] Paul Steinert,[‡] and Helmut Werner^{*,‡}

Departamento de Quı´*mica Inorga*´*nica, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Arago*´*n, Universidad de Zaragoza, CSIC, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain, and Institut fu*¨ *r Anorganische Chemie, Universita*¨*t Wu*¨ *rzburg, Am Hubland, D-97074 Wu*¨ *rzburg, Germany*

Received February 28, 1996^{\circ}

The olefin complex Rh(acac)(cyclooctene)(PCy3) (**2**), which is formed from Rh(acac)- (cyclooctene)₂ (1) and PCy₃ in nearly quantitative yield, reacts with CO and alkynes $RC=CR$ by ligand displacement to give Rh(acac)(CO)(PCy₃) (**3**) and Rh(acac)(η ²-RC=CR)(PCy₃) [R = CO₂Me (4), Ph (5)], respectively. The bis(phosphine) compound Rh(acac)(PCy₃)₂ (6) cannot be prepared directly from **2** and excess PCy₃ but via Rh(acac)($η$ ²-HC=CCO₂Me)(PCy₃) (**7**) as intermediate. The X-ray crystal structure analysis of **6** reveals that the rhodium is coordinated in a distorted square-planar manner with O-Rh-O and P-Rh-P bond angles of 85.9(1) and 105.63(4)°. Compound **2** reacts with H_2 in the presence of PC_{Y3} to yield Rh-(acac)H₂(PCy₃)₂ (8) and with HC=CR/PCy₃ to give Rh(acac)H(C=CR)(PCy₃)₂ [R = Ph (9), Cy (10) , SiMe₃ (11)]. On treatment of 10 and 11 with $HBF₄$ \cdot OEt₂, the cationic alkenylrhodium(III) derivatives $[Rh(aca)(E)-CH=CHC_y](PC_{y3})₂|BF₄ (12)$ and $[Rh(aca)(CH=CH₂)$ - $(PCy_3)_2|BF_4$ (13) are obtained. Labeling experiments using DBF_4 $·OE_2$ illustrate that the deuterium is found at the *â*-C carbon atom of the alkenyl ligand. Both **12** and [Rh(acac)- $\{(E)\text{-CH=CDCy}\}(PC_{y3})_2|BF_4$ (12-*d*₁) react with NEt₃ to regenerate 10. The structure of 12 was determined by X-ray analysis. The coordination geometry around the metal center can be rationalized as a square pyramid with the alkenyl group in the apical position.

Introduction

In the search for transition-metal complexes which are catalytically active in the hydrogenation, hydrosi- $\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathbf{y}}}}}$ lation, and hydrostannylation of unsaturated organic substrates, we have recently reported on the reactivity of the (acetylacetonato)iridium compound Ir(acac)(cy $e^{\frac{3}{2}}$ ooctene)(PCy₃),¹ which is formed by ligand displace- $\frac{1}{2}$ ent from Ir(acac)(cyclooctene)₂ and PCy₃.² The cy- \mathcal{C} looctene compound Ir(acac)(cyclooctene)(PCy₃) not only reacts with silanes $HSiR_3$ to give Ir(acac) $H(SiR_3)(PCy_3)$ and with $HSnPh_3$ to afford Ir(acac) $H(SnPh_3)(PCy_3)$ but in the presence of 3 equiv of phenylacetylene also to yield an unusual chelated alkynyl(alkenyl)iridium(III) complex which is a result of the oxidative addition of the $HC \equiv$ bond of an alkyne, the insertion of a second alkyne into an Ir $-C^3$ (acac) bond, and the subsequent insertion of a third alkyne into the Ir-H bond initially formed.1 Moreover, the five-coordinate hydrido-silyl derivative Ir(acac)H(SiEt3)(PCy3) not only adds one molecule of hydrogen to give the trihydrido compound Ir(acac) $H_3(SiEt_3)(PCy_3)$ but is also an active catalyst for the addition of $HSiR₃$ to phenylacetylene.¹

- [‡] Universität Würzburg.
[⊗] Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, June 15, 1996.
- (1) Esteruelas, M. A.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oñate, E.; Oro, L. A.; Rodríguez, L.; *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 823.
- (2) Esteruelas, M. A.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oñate, E.; Oro, L. A.; Rodríguez, L.; *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 263.

As a continuation of this work, we became interested to find out whether the rhodium complex Rh(acac)- $(cyclooctene)(PCy₃)$ behaves similarly to its iridium counterpart and whether it can also be used as starting material for the preparation of the more nucleophilic bis(phosphine)metal derivative $Rh(\text{ac}a)(PCy_3)_2$. One of us has recently shown that the acetato compound Rh- ($η$ ²-O₂CMe)(P^{*i*}Pr₃)₂ which is monomeric³ and thus probably structurally related to the desired acetylacetonato species $Rh (acac)(PCy₃)₂$ is an excellent precursor both for the preparation of alkyne and vinylidenerhodium complexes⁴ and also for the stepwise trimerization of a terminal alkyne; the latter reaction does not lead to a benzene but selectively to a hexadienyne derivative.⁵

In this paper we report on the synthesis of Rh(acac)- (cyclooctene)(PCy₃) and Rh(acac)(PCy₃)₂, as well as on substitution and oxidative addition reactions of the monoolefin complex. In addition, we illustrate the completely different behavior of the bis(phosphine) complex $Rh(\text{acc})(PCy_3)_2$ when compared with the carboxylato derivatives $Rh(\eta^2$ -O₂CR)(P^{i}Pr₃)₂ (R = CH₃, CF₃) toward molecular hydrogen and terminal alkynes which is probably due to the rigidity of the Rh(acac) ring system.

+ +

[†] Universidad de Zaragoza.

⁽³⁾ Werner, H.; Schäfer, M.; Nürnberg, O.; Wolf, J. *Chem. Ber*. 1994, *127*, 27.

⁽⁴⁾ Scha¨ fer, M.; Wolf, J.; Werner, H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, *485*, 85.

⁽⁵⁾ Werner, H.; Schäfer, M.; Wolf, J.; Peters, K.; von Schnering, H. G. *Angew. Chem.* **1995**, *107*, 213; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *34*, 191.

Results and Discussion

1. Synthesis and Characterization of Rh(acac)- (cyclooctene)(PCy3) and Rh(acac)(PCy3)2. On treat- $\mathbf{\widehat{R}}$ ent with PCy $_3$, the bis(cyclooctene)rhodium complex **1** (Scheme 1) affords the phosphine derivative Rh(acac)- (cyclooctene)(PCy3) (**2**) in 95% yield. The reaction proceeds at room temperature and does not lead to $\frac{3}{2}$ displacement of the second olefin even if excess phosphine is used. The complex **2**, which is a yellow solid, is relative stable under argon at -20 °C. The cyclooctene ligand of **2** is easily displaced by strong *π*-acceptor ligands such as carbon monoxide, acetylenedicarboxylic dimethyl ester and diphenylacetylene. By passage of a slow stream of carbon monoxide through a $\ddot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$ luene solution of **2**, the carbonyl complex Rh(acac)-(CO)(PCy3) (**3**) is formed. Similarly, treatment of hexane solutions of **2** with the stoichiometric amount of acetylenedicarboxylic dimethyl ester and diphenylacetylene affords Rh(acac)($η$ ²-RC=CR)(PCy₃) [R = CO₂Me (4), Ph (**5**)] as orange (**4**) or yellow (**5**) solids in good yield [89% (**4**), 86% (**5**)]. Published on July 23, 1996 on Huly 2006 on the United States.

The IR spectra of **2**-**5** in Nujol display two strong *ν*- E O) absorptions between 1590 and 1500 cm⁻¹ indicating that the acetylacetonato ligand is coordinated in a *η*2-oxygen bonding mode.6 The *π*-coordination of the alkyne in **4** and **5** is also supported by the IR spectra of these compounds, in which the $C\equiv C$ stretching frequency is found at 1890 (**4**) and 1910 (**5**) cm-1, thus shifted 260 (4) and 310 (5) cm^{-1} to lower wavenumbers if compared with the free alkyne. In agreement with the square-planar coordination of the rhodium atom, at room temperature the 1H NMR spectra of **2** and **3** display two singlets between 1.91 and 1.61 ppm for the protons of the methyl groups of the acetylacetonato ligand. These spectra are temperature invariant down to -50 °C. However, the 1H NMR spectra of **4** and **5** are temperature dependent. At room temperature, the methyl protons of the acetylacetonato ligands give only one singlet at 1.86 (4) and 1.94 (5) ppm, while at -50 °C they display two singlets at 1.91 and 1.80 (**4**) and 2.01 and 1.86 (5) ppm, respectively. The ${}^{13}C[{^1}H]$ NMR spectra of **4** and **5** are also temperature dependent. At

+ +

room temperature, the spectrum of **4** does not contain resonances due to the methyl and carbonyl carbon atoms of the acetylacetonato ligand. They were located at 188.9, 183.1 (CO) and 28.35 and 26.65 (CH3) ppm in the spectrum at -50 °C. The spectrum of **5** at room temperature displays two broad resonances due to the carbonyl groups at 187.7 and 183.4 ppm, which are converted into singlets at -50 °C. At this temperature, the spectrum also contains a doublet at 28.15 ($J_{P-C} = 4$) Hz) and a singlet at 26.95 ppm, assigned to the inequivalent methyl groups. At -50 °C, the spectra of both compounds show only one resonance at 88.55 (**4**) and 86.2 (**5**) for the acetylenic carbon atoms, suggesting that in both compounds the $C\equiv C$ bond lies perpendicular to the coordination plane of the rhodium as should be expected according to the Dewar-Chatt-Duncanson bonding scheme.

The above mentioned spectroscopic data indicate that complexes **4** and **5** have a rigid structure only at low temperature. At room temperature, a slow (on the NMR time scale) exchange process takes place, which involves the relative positions of the alkyne and phosphine ligands (eq 1).

Complex **2** does not react with an excess of tricyclohexylphosphine. However, the addition of 1 equiv of methyl propiolate to equimolar amounts of **2** and tricyclohexylphosphine in toluene leads to the formation of the bis(phosphine) derivative Rh(acac)(PCy3)2 (**6**). The reaction proceeds via the intermediate Rh(acac)(*η*2- $HC=CCO₂Me)(PCy₃)$ (7). In fact, the addition of the stoichiometric amount of methyl propiolate to a solution of **2** in hexane affords **7**, which subsequently yields **6** upon the addition of 1 equiv of tricyclohexylphosphine (Scheme 2).

Complex **6** was characterized by elemental analysis, IR and 1H and 31P{1H} NMR spectroscopy, and singlecrystal X-ray diffraction studies. A view of the molecular geometry is shown in Figure 1, and selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table 1. The coordination geometry around the rhodium center is almost square-planar. The deviations from the best plane are $-0.0030(5)$ (Rh), $-0.005(1)$ (P(1)), $0.016(1)$ (P(2)), $0.127-$ (3) $(O(1))$, and $-0.064(3)$ $(O(2))$ Å. The most noticeable (6) Oro, L. A.; Carmona, D.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Foces-Foces, C.; Cano, (3) (O(1)), and $-0.064(3)$ (O(2)) A. The most noticeable of K . J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 307, 83. $\text{structural feature is the } P-Rh-P \text{ angle } (105.63(4)^\circ),$

F. H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, *307*, 83.

Figure 1. Molecular diagram of complex Rh(acac)(PCy₃)₂ (**6**).

Table 1. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for the Complex $\text{Rh}(\text{acac})(\text{PCy}_3)_2$ (6)

458			(deg) for the Complex $Rh (acc)(PCy_3)_2$ (6)				
	$\begin{array}{c}\n\sum_{0}^{8} Rh-P(1) \\ \sum_{1}^{8} Rh-P(2) \\ \sum_{2}^{8} Rh-O(1)\n\end{array}$	2.252(1)	$C(1) - C(2)$	1.503(9)			
		2.260(1)	$C(2)-C(3)$	1.387(6)			
		2.089(4)	$C(3)-C(4)$	1.389(8)			
		2.083(3)	$O(2) - C(4)$	1.279(6)			
		1.276(6)	$C(4)-C(5)$	1.508(7)			
	$\begin{array}{c}\n 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 9\n \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}\n 1 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1\n \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}\n 1 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1\n \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}\n 1 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1\n \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}\n 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1\n \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}\n 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1\n \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}\n 1 \\ 1 \\ 1\n \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(1) - Rh - P(2)$	105.63(4)	$O(1) - C(2) - C(1)$	115.1(4)			
$\overline{5}$	$\text{P}(1) - \text{Rh} - \text{O}(1)$	84.34(8)	$O(1) - C(2) - C(3)$	125.7(4)			
	$P(1) - Rh - O(2)$	169.98(9)	$C(1)-C(2)-C(3)$	119.3(4)			
ORTIUM	$\Phi(2) - \text{Rh} - \text{O}(1)$	169.26(9)	$C(2)-C(3)-C(4)$	125.5(4)			
	$\mathcal{L}(2) - Rh - O(2)$	84.26(9)	$C(3)-C(4)-C(5)$	120.0(4)			
	$\angle 0(1) - Rh - O(2)$	85.9(2)	$O(2)-C(4)-C(3)$	125.7(5)			
CONS	\exists Rh-O(1)-C(2)	128.4(3)	$O(2) - C(4) - C(5)$	114.3(5)			
Ξ which is statistically identical with the P-Rh-P angle Ξ of the related complex Rh(η^2 -O ₂ CCH ₃)(P ^{<i>i</i>} Pr ₃) ₂ (106.00-							
(4)°). ³ These relatively large values can be explained							
\geq $\frac{84}{9}$. ³ . These relatively large values can be explained $\frac{1}{2}$ by the fact that for both compounds the two phosphine $\frac{1}{2}$ by the fact that for both compounds the two phosphine $\frac{1}{2}$ digands, <i>c</i>							
							The β -diketonato bite angle O-Rh-O of 85.9(1)° is
Similar to values found in related chelated rhodium							
	$\mathbf{\vec{A}}$ mulexes 7 The Rh-P Rh-O C-O and C-C dis-						

 $\frac{1}{2}$ mich is statistically identical with the P-Rh-P angle $\bar{\mathfrak{F}}$ the related complex Rh($\eta^2\text{-O}_2$ CCH3)(P[,]Pr3)2 (106.00- $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i}{i!}$ °).³ These relatively large values can be explained by the fact that for both compounds the two phosphine ligands, *cis* disposed, experience a large steric hindrance, as a result of the large cone angle of the tricyclohexylphosphine and triisopropylphosphine groups. **The** β -diketonato bite angle O-Rh-O of 85.9(1)° is similar to values found in related chelated rhodium ϵ ^omplexes.⁷ The Rh-P, Rh-O, C-O, and C-C distances are clearly in the range expected and deserve no further comment.

In agreement with the structure shown in Figure 1, the 1H NMR spectrum of **6** contains only one singlet at 1.81 ppm for the protons of the methyl groups of the β -diketonate ligand, while the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum shows a doublet at 49.9 ppm with a Rh-P coupling constant of 191 Hz.

Complex **7** was isolated as a yellow solid in 68% yield. In the IR spectrum in Nujol the most noticeable absorption is that of the C \equiv C bond stretch, which appears at 1810 cm^{-1} , i.e., shifted by 311 cm⁻¹ when compared with the free alkyne (2121 cm^{-1}) . Similarly to the ¹H NMR spectra of **4** and **5**, the 1H NMR spectrum of **7** is temperature dependent. At room temperature, the spectrum shows only one singlet at 1.80 ppm for the

+ +

methyl protons of the acetylacetonato ligand, while at -50 °C two singlets at 1.88 and 1.75 ppm are observed, in agreement with the square-planar structure proposed in Scheme 2. In addition, a doublet at 5.63 ppm with a $Rh-H$ coupling constant of 3 Hz is assigned to the $\equiv CH$ proton. The temperature behavior of the ${}^{13}C_1{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of **7** is similar to that of **5**. At room temperature, the spectrum shows two broad resonances centered at 188.5 and 182.8 ppm and a singlet at 27.15 ppm, which are assigned to the carbonyl and methyl carbons of the acetylacetonato ligand. At -50 °C, the broad resonances are converted into singlets, while the singlet at 27.15 ppm is split into a singlet at 27.9 ppm and a doublet at 26.9 ppm with a $P-C$ coupling constant of 5 Hz. At room temperature, the signals of the acetylenic carbon atoms of the *π*-alkyne ligand appear at 93.8 and 76.0 ppm as doublet-of-doublets with Rh-C coupling constants of 17 and 19 Hz and a $P-C$ coupling constant of 5 Hz. At -50 °C, these carbon atoms display broad resonances at 95.5 and 76.9 ppm.

2. Oxidative Addition Reactions of Complex 2. While complex **6** does not react with tricyclohexylphosphine or molecular hydrogen individually, the dihydrido compound $Rh (acac)H_2 (PCy_3)_2$ (8) is easily formed by passing a slow stream of molecular hydrogen through a toluene solution of **2** in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of tricyclohexylphosphine. Similarly, the addition of 1 equiv of phenylacetylene, cyclohexylacetylene, or (trimethylsilyl)acetylene to equimolecular amounts of **2** and tricyclohexylphosphine in solution leads to the hydrido-alkynyl complexes $Rh (acac)H (C_2R) (PCy_3)_2$ [R $=$ Ph (9), Cy (10), SiMe₃ (11); see Scheme 3]. The behavior of **6** toward molecular hydrogen and terminal alkynes is in contrast with that previously observed for Rh(*η*2-O2CR)(P*ⁱ* Pr3)2, which on treatment with molecular hydrogen and terminal alkynes affords Rh($η$ ²-O₂CR)- $H_2(P'Pr_3)_2$ and $Rh(\eta^2 \text{-} O_2CR)H(C_2R')(P'Pr_3)_2$ ($R = CH_3$, CF_3), respectively.⁴

Recently, we have reported on the synthesis of the iridium(III) complexes Ir(acac)H₂(PCy₃)₂ and Ir(acac)H- $(C_2R)(PCy_3)_2$ (R = Ph, Cy, SiMe₃), which were obtained on reaction of Ir(acac)(cyclooctene)(PCy₃) with HX (X = H, C_2R) in the presence of an excess of phosphine. These reactions probably occur by the oxidative addition of HX to the bis(phosphine) intermediate Ir(*C*3-acac)- (cyclooctene) $(PCy_3)_2$, which is formed by reaction of Ir-

^{(7) (}a) Leipoldt, J. G.; Lamprecht, G. J.; Van Zyl, G. J. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1985**, *96*, L31. (b) Van Zyl, G. J.; Lamprecht, G. J.; Leipoldt, J.
G. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1985**, *102*, L1. (c) Duan, Z.; Hampden-Smith, M. J.; Duesler, E. N.; Rheingold, A. L. *Polyhedron* **1994**, *13*, 609.

 $(acac)(cyclooctene)(PCy₃)$ with phosphine.¹ A similar reaction pathway for the formation of **8**-**11** does not seem likely, given that the bis(phosphine) complex **6** does not undergo oxidative addition reactions, most probably as a result of the steric hindrance imposed by the tricyclohexylphosphine groups and of the stability of the Rh(acac) chelate ring system. In this context, it should be mentioned that the η^2 -O₂(acac) $\rightarrow \eta^1$ -C³(acac) conversion is known for iridium(I), 6 whereas it has no precedent for rhodium(I). Hence, it can be proposed that in the presence of the HX substrates **2** is in equilibrium with undetectable concentrations of the five-coordinate $Rh(\text{aca})H(X)(PCy_3)$ species, and thus the addition of phosphine could shift the equilibrium toward the rhodium(III) complexes by coordination, generating in this way **8**-**11**. The stability of the Rh(acac) ring system also seems to be responsible for the different behavior of **6** when compared with that of the carboxylato complexes $Rh(\eta^2 \text{-} O_2CR)(P'Pr_3)_2$ ($R = CH_3$, CF_3).

The formation of the five-coordinate rhodium(III) intermediates $Rh (acac)H (C_2R) (PCy_3)$ most probably involves the generation of labile species related to **7**, Rh(acac)(η^2 -HC=CR)(PCy₃), which evolve by carbonhydrogen activation of the H-C(sp) bond of the *π*-alkyne ligands. The high stability of **7** toward the C-H $\frac{1}{2}$ ctivation of the H-C(sp) bond of the coordinated methyl propiolate is not surprising. We note in this \approx $\frac{1}{2}$ context that the complexes $Rh\{\eta^1\textrm{-OC}(O)CF_3\}(\eta^2\textrm{-}O)$ $\text{HC} \equiv \text{CR}(P/Pr_3)_2$ ($R = H$, Ph) afford the corresponding six-coordinate hydrido-alkynyl RhH(*η*2-O2CCF3)(C2R)- (P*ⁱ* Pr3)2 derivatives in benzene at room temperature, $\overline{\text{w}}$ hereas the compound Rh{*η*¹-OC(O)CF₃}(*η*²-HC≡CCO₂- $\rm \tilde{M}e$)(P^{*i*}Pr₃)₂ is stable under the same conditions.⁴

 $\overline{\mathrm{m}}$

کڑ

Downloaded

Published on July 23, 1996 on http://published on http://published on http://published.com/ Downloaded by CARLI CONSORTIUM on June 30, 2009 CARLI CONSORTIUM Complex **8** was isolated as a white, air-stable solid in 67% yield. In agreement with the *cis* disposition of the hydrido ligands, the IR spectrum in Nujol displays two $\overline{\text{strong}}$ absorptions at 2120 and 2085 cm⁻¹ assigned to the Ir-H stretches, while in the 1H NMR spectrum the hydride resonance appears at -22.18 ppm as a doublet- δf -triplets with Rh-H and P-H coupling constants of 20 and 16 Hz, respectively. The $^{31}P{^1H}$ NMR spectrum $\ddot{\text{a}}$ moding a doublet at 15.8 ppm with a Rh-P coupling constant of 117 Hz, indicating that the phosphine ligands are equivalent and mutually *trans* disposed. Under off-resonance conditions the doublet is split into a doublet-of-triplets due to P-H coupling.

Complexes **9**-**11** were isolated as white or pale yellow solids by addition of methanol. Although the reactions shown in Scheme 2 spectroscopically proceed nearly quantitatively, the products were obtained in 45-50% yield as a result of their moderate solubility in the alcohol. The most noticeable features in the IR spectra of **9**-**11** (in Nujol) are the two bands between 2155 and 2042 cm⁻¹, which were assigned to the ν (Ir-H) and ν - $(C=C)$ vibrations. The presence of an alkynyl ligand in these compounds is also supported by the ${}^{13}C_1{}^{1}H$ } NMR spectra. The signal of the α -C carbon atom appears at about 103 ppm as doublet-of-triplets with Rh-C and P-C coupling constants between 48 and 40 Hz and 18 and 16 Hz, respectively, while the *â*-C carbon atom gives rise to a doublet at about 107 ppm with a Rh-C coupling constant of 10 Hz. In the 1H NMR spectra, the hydrido ligands display doublet-of-triplets between -19.33 and -18.79 ppm with Rh-H and P-H coupling constants of about 18 and 13 Hz, respectively.

+ +

Figure 2. Molecular diagram of complex [Rh(acac){(*E*)- $CH=CHCy$ }(PCy₃)₂]BF₄ (**12**).

The presence of only one hydrido ligand in these compounds was inferred from the ${}^{31}P{^1H}$ NMR spectra which contain doublets between 38 and 35 ppm $(J_{\text{Rh}-\text{P}})$ \approx 103 Hz), in agreement with the mutually *trans* disposition of the phosphine ligands. Under off-resonance conditions these signals split into doublets-ofdoublets due to P-H coupling.

Protonation of 10 and 11. Treatment of complex **10** with a stoichiometric amount of $HBF₄·OEt₂$ in diethyl ether leads to the precipitation of a yellow solid, which was characterized as the five-coordinate alkenyl complex $[Rh(\text{acac})\{(E)-CH=CHCy\}(PCy_3)_2]BF_4$ (12, Scheme 4) by elemental analysis, IR and ¹H, ^{31}P ^{{1}H}, and ${}^{13}C[{^1}H]$ NMR spectroscopy, and an X-ray diffraction study. The molecular structure is presented in Figure 2, while selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table 2. The most remarkable features of the structure are the square-pyramidal coordination of the metal with the alkenyl group located at the apex and the *trans* position of the two substituents C_6H_{11} and Rh- $(acac)(PCy₃)₂$ at the C=C double bond. The four atoms $O(1)$, $O(2)$, $P(1)$, and $P(2)$ forming the base of the pyramid are approximately in one plane, while the rhodium atom is located 0.1384(8) Å above this plane toward the apical position. As a result of the large steric hindrance experienced by the two *cis* disposed phosphine ligands, the P-Rh-P angle (105.3(1)°) strongly deviates from the ideal value of 90°. The acetylacetonato bite angle $O-Rh-O$ (87.3(2)°) is similar to that found in **6**.

Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for the Cation of Complex
 (Bb(assa) (E) $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{v}$) **(DC**y) **(DF [Rh(acac)**{**(***E***)-CH**d**CHCy**}**(PCy3)2]BF4 (12)**

		$\mu_{\text{min}}(u, u)$ on $\sigma_{\text{max}}(u, u)$		
$Rh-P(1)$	2.336(3)	$O(1) - C(9)$	1.27(1)	
$Rh-P(2)$	2.357(3)	$C(9)-C(10)$	1.49(2)	
$Rh-O(1)$	2.049(8)	$C(9)-C(11)$	1.39(2)	
$Rh-O(2)$	2.054(7)	$C(11) - C(12)$	1.37(2)	
$Rh-C(1)$	1.98(1)	$O(2) - C(12)$	1.27(1)	
$C(1) - C(2)$	1.29(2)	$C(12) - C(13)$	1.49(2)	
$C(2)-C(3)$	1.54(2)			
$P(1) - Rh - P(2)$	105.3(1)	$Rh - C(1) - C(2)$	124.2(9)	
$P(1) - Rh - O(1)$	84.4(3)	$C(1)-C(2)-C(3)$	121(1)	
$P(1) - Rh - O(2)$	168.6(2)	$Rh-O(1)-C(9)$	127.5(7)	
$P(1) - Rh - C(1)$	92.2(2)	$O(1) - C(9) - C(10)$	116(1)	
$P(2) - Rh - O(1)$	168.4(3)	$O(1) - C(9) - C(11)$	126(1)	
$P(2) - Rh - O(2)$	82.1(2)	$C(10)-C(9)-C(11)$	118(1)	
$P(2) - Rh - C(1)$	93.7(3)	$C(9)-C(11)-C(12)$	124(1)	
$O(1) - Rh - O(2)$	87.3(2)	$C(11) - C(12) - C(13)$	119(1)	
$O(1) - Rh - C(1)$	92.3(5)	$O(2) - C(12) - C(11)$	127(1)	
$O(2) - Rh - C(1)$	96.0(4)	$O(2) - C(12) - C(13)$	114(1)	

The $Rh-C(1)$ distance $(1.98(1)$ Å) is shorter than the Rh-C distances found in related six-coordinate alkenylrhodium(III) compounds such as $Rh(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(2.6-C_6H_3 Me_2$){(*E*)-C(CO₂Me)=CHCO₂Me}(PMe₃) (2.065(3) Å), $Rh(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)\{(Z)\text{-}C(CO_2Me)=CHCO_2Me\}$ - $_{\textcircled{FMe}_3}$ (2.056(3) Å),⁸ Rh(CPh=CPhCPh=CHCH₂)(acac)- $\oint \mathbb{P} \text{Me}_3$)₂ (2.032(4) Å),⁹ Rh(η ⁵-C₅H₅){(*E*)-CPh=CHPh}{ η ¹- $\check{\mathsf{Q}}$ C(O)CF₃}(P^{*i*}Pr₃) (2.189(13) Å), and Rh(*η*⁵-C₅H₅)- ${E}$ CPh=CH(C₆H₄)}{ η ¹-OC(O)CF₃}(P^{*i*}Pr₃) (2.066(7) Å)¹⁰ $a_n = a_n$ statistically identical with those reported for the $\overline{\mathbf{e}}$ mplexes Rh{C(CH=CHCO₂Me)=CHCO₂Me}(C≡CCO₂- $\rm \bar{M}$ e)(η^2 -O₂CCH₃}(P^{*i*}Pr₃)₂ (2.015(9) Å)⁵ and Rh{C(CF₃)= $\frac{5}{3}$ C(CF₃)CH₂CH₂}(acac)(py)₂ (2.020(7) Å).¹¹ The C(1)- $C(2)$ bond length (1.29(2) Å) is similar to that found in the above-mentioned compounds, and it agrees well with $\ddot{\mathbf{z}}$ verage carbon-carbon bond distances for a C(sp²)- $E(\text{sp}^2)$ double bond (1.32(1) Å).¹² Downloaded by CARLI CONSORTIUM on June 30, 2009 Published on Dubs.acs.org は、中国のSonthing on Hotel Ass.org | doi: 10.1021

In agreement with the structure shown in Figure 2, the IR spectrum of **12** in Nujol shows two strong *ν*(CO) \mathbb{R}^3 absorptions at 1570 and 1530 cm⁻¹, due to the carbonyl $\hat{\Sigma}$ groups of the β -diketonato ligand. The ¹H NMR spectrum, which is not temperature dependent, contains only one singlet for the methyl protons of the acac unit at 2.11 ppm, while the vinylic protons of the alkenyl ligand are located at 6.50 (RhC*H* \equiv) and 4.25 (\equiv C*H*Cy) ppm. In agreement with the *E*-stereochemistry, the $\overline{H}-H$ coupling constant is 10 Hz.¹³ In the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum, the most noticeable resonances are those corresponding to the $C(sp^2)$ carbon atoms of the unsaturated $η$ ¹-carbon ligand. The resonance of the α-C carbon atom is observed at 111.6 ppm as a doublet-oftriplets with $Rh-C$ and $P-C$ coupling constants of 35 and 9 Hz, respectively, while the resonance of the *â*-C carbon atom appears at 135.6 ppm as a singlet. The ${}^{31}P{^1H}$ NMR spectrum shows a doublet at 28.7 ppm with a Rh-P coupling constant of 132 Hz.

In general the coordination of an acetylide anion to a transition metal center transfers the nucleophilicity

(13) Werner, H.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Otto, H. *Organometallics* **1986**, *5*, 2295.

+ +

from the α -C to the β -C carbon atom.¹⁴ Thus, at first glance, complex **10** has three nucleophilic centers, namely the metal, the hydrido ligand, and the *â*-C carbon atom of the alkynyl group. Therefore, the initial electrophilic attack of the proton, which subsequently affords the alkenyl derivative **12**, could occur by the reaction pathways shown in Scheme 5. According to pathway **a**, the protonation takes place at the metal center to yield a dihydrido-alkynyl intermediate, which could afford a hydrido-*π*-alkyne species by intramolecular reductive elimination. The subsequent insertion of the *π*-alkyne ligand into the Rh-H bond should lead to **12**. According to pathway **b**, the initial formation of an alkynyl dihydrogen intermediate is followed by the electrophilic attack of the acidic proton of the dihydrogen ligand at the *â*-C carbon atom of the alkynyl group. Migratory insertion of the resulting vinylidene group into the Rh-H bond could also give **12**. A similar mechanism has been proposed for the formation of Os- $\{(E)\text{-CH}=\text{CHCy}\}\text{Cl}(CO)(\text{PiPr}_3)_2$ from OsHCl(CO)(PiPr₃)₂ and cyclohexylacetylene.16 Pathway **c** suggests that the protonation directly occurs at the *â*-C carbon atom of the alkynyl group.17 As discussed for route **b**, the subsequent migratory insertion of the vinylidene ligand into the metal-hydride bond would lead to **12**.

If the reaction of 10 with HBF_4 to give 12 goes via pathway **a** or **b**, treatment of **10** with DBF4 should result in an approximate 1:1 distribution of the deuterium at the α -C and β -C carbon atoms of the alkenyl ligand. However, the addition of a stoichiometric amount of DBF4 to a suspension of **10** in diethyl ether leads almost exclusively to $12-d_1$ with the deuterium atom located on the *â*-C carbon atom of the alkenyl ligand. This has been confirmed by the ²H NMR spectrum which shows only one resonance at 4.33 ppm. According to this observation there is no doubt that the reaction of **10** with HBF4 occurs along pathway **c**. The reaction is reversible, and thus, on treatment of $12-d_1$ with a stoichiometric amount of triethylamine, complex **10** is regenerated. The conclusion is that the pK_a of the alkenyl ligand is lower than the p K_a of $HNEt_3^+$.

Under the same experimental conditions as those previously described for the preparation of **12**, the hydrido-alkynyl complex 11 affords [Rh(acac)(CH=CH₂)-(PCy3)2]BF4 (**13**, Scheme 4). There are precedents for the cleavage of the $Si-C$ bond in related processes. The protonation of the alkynyl complex Rh₂(μ -OOCCH₃)(μ $η¹:η²-C₂SiMe₃)(CO)₂(PCy₃)₂ with HBF₄·OEt₂ leads to the$ vinylidene-bridged rhodium compound [Rh₂(μ -OOCCH₃)- $(\mu$ -C=CH₂)(CO)₂(PCy₃)₂]BF₄.¹⁸ The vinylidene ligand $C=CH_2$ of the complex $[OsI(\eta^6-C_6H_6)(C=CH_2)$ (PMe^{*r*}Bu₂)]-PF6 is formed on treatment of OsI2(*η*6-C6H6)(PMe*^t* Bu2) with $AgPF_6$ in the presence of (trimethylsilyl)acetylene.19 With the same alkyne, the iridium complex

⁽⁸⁾ Jones, W. D.; Chandler, V. L.; Feher, F. J. *Organometallics* **1990**, *9*, 164.

⁽⁹⁾ Egan, J. W.; Hughes, R. P.; Rheingold, A. L. *Organometallics* **1987**, *6*, 1578.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Werner, H.; Wolf, J.; Schubert, U.; Ackermann, K. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, *317*, 327.

⁽¹¹⁾ Dean, C. E.; Kemmitt, R. D. W.; Russell, D. R.; Schilling, M. D. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1980**, *187*, C1

⁽¹²⁾ Orpen, A. G.; Brammer, L.; Allen, F. H.; Kennard, O.; Taylor, R. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1989**, S1.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Elschenbroich, Ch.; Salzer, A. *Organometallics;* VCH Verlagsgesellschaft: Weinheim, Germany, 1989.

⁽¹⁵⁾ The protonation of saturated transition metal hydrido compounds has been described as an useful synthetic method for the preparation of dihydrogen compounds. See: Jessop, P. G.; Morris, R. H. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1992**, *121*, 155.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Esteruelas, M. A.; Oro, L. A.; Valero, C. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 3596.

⁽¹⁷⁾ The addition of electrophiles to alkynylmetal complexes is a general method of preparing vinylidene compounds. See: Bruce, M. I. *Chem. Rev*. **1991**, *91*, 197.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Esteruelas, M. A.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oñate, E.; Oro, L. A.; Rodríguez, L.; *Organometallics* **1993**, *12*, 4219.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Knaup, W.; Werner, H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *411*, 471.

+ +

 $[Rh] = Rh(acac)(PCy₃)$

trans-IrCl(C=CH₂)(P^{*i*}Pr₃)₂ has been prepared.²⁰ It has been suggested that these desilylation processes are due the presence of traces of water in the reaction media acting as an electrophilic reagent.^{19,21} We note that, in contrast to compounds containing silylvinylidene ligands, $\frac{1}{2}$ corresponding species with silylalkynyl ligands are quite $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ stable in aqueous media. This is exemplified, i.e., by the preparation of the complex $\text{Rh}(\text{C}\text{=}\text{C}\text{SiMe}_3)(\text{PMe}_3)_{3}$ from *cis*-[RhH(C=CSiMe₃)(PMe₃)₃]Cl in concentrated $\frac{5}{4}$ $\frac{3}{4}$ aueous KOH.²² Therefore, the formation of 13 from the silylalkynyl-hydrido complex **11** is in full agreement with the participation of vinylidenerhodium species (pathway **c**, Scheme 5) as reaction intermediates. With regard to the structural proposal for complex **13** we note that the IR spectrum in Nujol shows two $\frac{1}{12}$ (CO) absorptions at 1573 and 1530 cm⁻¹. The ¹H NMR spectrum contains only one singlet for the methyl $\hat{\sigma}$ **p**rotons of the β -diketonato ligand at 2.11 ppm, while the signals of the vinyl protons appear at 7.31 (RhC*H* $=$), 4.38 and 4.22 (=CH₂) ppm. In the ¹³C{¹H} NMR $\overline{\mathbf{\mathfrak{S}}}$ pectrum, the resonance of the α -C carbon atom is observed at 127.3 ppm as a doublet-of-triplets with $\mathbf{\tilde{R}}h - C$ and P-C coupling constants of 37 and 9 Hz, **respectively**; the resonance of the β -C carbon atom appears at 117.6 as a singlet. In accordance with the square-pyramidal geometry, proposed in Scheme 4, the 31P{1H} NMR spectrum of **13** displays a doublet at 28.7 ppm with a Rh-P coupling constant of 130 Hz. Downloaded by CARLI CONSORTIUM on June 30, 2009 Published on On the Life of Oricle of One of the Most of Oricle of Oricle of Oricle of Oricle of Oricle of Ori

Concluding Remarks

The results of this study have revealed that the olefinic unit of $Rh (acac) (cyclooctene) (PCy₃)$ can be easily displaced by strong *π*-acceptor ligands such as carbon monoxide, acetylenedicarboxylic dimethyl ester, and diphenylacetylene. The bis(phosphine) complex Rh- $(acac)(PCy₃)₂$ is not accessible by direct reaction of Rh-(acac)(cyclooctene)(PCy3) and tricyclohexylphosphine. However, it can be prepared from 2 and PCy_3 in the

presence of methyl propiolate. The reaction involves the displacement of the cyclooctene ligand by the terminal alkyne to give $Rh(\text{acac})$ (η^2 -HC=CCO₂Me)(PCy₃) and the subsequent sustitution of the *π*-alkyne by the phosphine.

The X-ray structural characterization of Rh(acac)- $(PCy_3)_2$ proves the presence of a large P-Rh-P angle (105.63(4)°), probably as a result of the steric hindrance between the two *cis* disposed phosphine ligands. The bis(phosphine) complex does not react with molecular hydrogen and terminal alkynes by oxidative addition. However, the rhodium(III) compounds Rh(acac)H(X)- $(PCy_3)_2$ (X = H, C=CPh, C=CCy, C=CSiMe₃) can be obtained by addition of HX to Rh(acac)(cyclooctene)- (PCy3) in the presence of the stoichiometric amount of tricyclohexylphosphine. The protonation of the rhodium(III) hydrido-alkynyl derivatives with HBF_{4} [.]OEt₂ affords the cationic five-coordinate alkenyl complexes $[Rh(\text{acac})\{(E)\text{-CH}=\text{CHR}\}(PC_{y_3)_2}]BF_4$ ($R = Cy$, H). These reactions proceed via the initial electrophilic attack of the proton at the β -C carbon atom of the alkynyl ligand. As shown by the X-ray crystal structure analysis of **12**, the coordination geometry around the rhodium atom of these alkenyl compounds can be described as squarepyramidal with the alkenyl group in the apical position.

In conclusion, the monoolefin complex Rh(acac)(cy- $\text{clooctene}(PCy_3)$ is a useful starting material for the preparation of new organometallic rhodium compounds, including *π*-alkyne, hydrido-alkynyl, and cationic fivecoordinate alkenylmetal derivatives.

Experimental Section

General Considerations. All reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere using Schlenk tube techniques. Solvents were dried and purified by known procedures and distilled under argon prior to use. The starting complex Rh- $(\text{acac})(\text{cyclooctene})_2$ (1) was prepared by a published method.²³ Elemental analyses were performed with a Perkin-Elmer 240 XL microanalyzer. NMR spectra were recorded on Varian 200 XL or Varian UNITY 300 instruments. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million, downfield from $SiCH₃)₄$ $(^{13}C(^{1}H)$, ¹H) and 85% H₃PO₄ (³¹P{¹H}). Infrared spectra were obtained from a Perkin-Elmer 783 instrument.

^{(20) (}a) Höhn, A.; Otto, H.; Dziallas, M.; Werner, H. *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.* **1987**, 852. (b) Höhn, A.; Werner, H. *J. Organomet.*
Chem. **1990**, *382*, 255.

⁽²¹⁾ Espuelas, J.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oro, L. A.; Ruiz, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 4683. (22) Zargarian, D.; Chow, P.; Taylor, N. J.; Marder, T. B. *J. Chem.*

Soc., Chem. Commun. **1989**, 540.

⁽²³⁾ Diversi, P.; Ingrosso, G.; Immirzi, A.; Zocchi, M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1977**, *125*, 253.

Preparation of Rh(acac)(cyclooctene)(PCy3) (2). A solution of **1** (130 mg, 0.31 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was treated with PCy₃ (86 mg, 0.31 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 15 min at room temperature and filtered through Kieselguhr. <ssen>The filtrate was concentrated to ca. 0.1 mL in vacuo; addition of methanol led to the precipitation of a yellow solid. The solvent was decanted, and the residue was washed twice with methanol and then dried in vacuo. Compound **2** was isolated as a yellow solid: yield 173 mg (95%). Anal. Calcd for C31H54O2PRh: C, 62.83; H, 9.18. Found: C, 62.99; H, 9.27. IR (Nujol, cm-1): *ν*(acac) 1575, 1500. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6 , 20 °C, δ): 5.20 (s, 1 H, CH of acac); 3.13 (br, 2 H, *HC*=C*H*); 2.60-2.42 (m, 4 H, CHC*H*₂); 2.02 (m, 4 H, CH₂); 2.2-1.2 (m, 37 H, C₆H₁₁ and CH₂); 1.91 and 1.61 (both s, 6 H, C H_3 of acac). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.45 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 47.2 (d, $J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 183$ Hz).

Preparation of Rh(acac)(CO)(PCy3) (3). A stream of CO was passed through a solution of **2** (123 mg, 0.21 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) for 15 min. The resulting solution was filtered through Kieselguhr, and the filtrate was concentrated to ca. 0.1 mL in vacuo; addition of hexane led to the precipitation of a yellow solid. The solvent was decanted, and the solid was washed twice with hexane and then dried in vacuo: yield 82 mg (77%). Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₄₀O₃PRh: C, 56.47; H, 7.90. Found: C, 56.36; H, 7.82. IR (Nujol, cm-1): *ν*(CO) 1945; *ν*- (acac) 1583, 1518. 1H NMR (300 MHz, C6D6, 20 °C, *δ*): 5.32 ξ , 1 H, CH of acac); 2.00–1.11 (m, 33 H, C₆H₁₁); 1.78 and 1.66 β oth s, 6 H, CH₃ of acac).³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.45 MHz, C₆D₆): δ _{Rh-P} = 168 Hz).

 $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{B})\oplus\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})\cong\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})\oplus\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})\oplus\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})\oplus\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})\oplus\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})\oplus\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})\oplus\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})\oplus\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{B})$ Downloaded by CARLI CONSORTIUM on June 30, 2009 **Preparation of Rh(acac)(***η***2-CH3O2CC**t**CCO2CH3)(PCy3) (4).** A solution of **2** (109 mg, 0.18 mmol) in hexane (15 mL) $\frac{2}{5}$ was treated with $CH_3O_2CC=\text{CCO}_2CH_3$ (23 μ L, 0.18 mmol) whereupon the yellow solution rapidly became orange. After $\overline{5}$ stirring of the solution for 30 min at room temperature, an orange solid was formed. The solvent was decanted, and the CARLI CONSORTI solid was washed twice with hexane and dried in vacuo: yield 101 mg (89%). Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₄₆O₆PRh: C, 55.77; H, $7.442.$ Found: C, 55.48; H, 7.45. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν(C≡C) 1890; *ν*(C=O) 1710, 1690, *ν*(acac) 1590, 1520. ¹H NMR (300 **MHz**, C_7D_8 , 20 °C, δ): 5.41 (s, 1 H, CH of acac); 3.79 (s, 6 H, $\mathfrak{S}_{Q_2}CH_3$); 2.19-1.20 (m, 33 H, C₆H₁₁); 1.86 (s, 6 H, CH₃ of acac). $H NMR (300 MHz, C₇D₈, -50 °C, \delta): 1.91 and 1.80 (both s, 6)$ \widehat{H} , CH₃ of acac). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.45 MHz, C₇D₈, 20 °C, δ): $\hat{158.8}$ (s, *C*O₂CH₃); 99.8 (s, *C*H of acac); 88.25 (dd, $J_{\text{Rh-C}} = 19$ \mathbf{H} z, *J*_{P-C} = 6 Hz, *C*=C); 52.4 (s, CO₂*C*H₃); 32.15 (d, *J*_{P-C} = 23 Ξ z, P*C*HCH₂); 29.2 (s, *C*H₂); 27.6 (d, *J*_{P-C} = 11 Hz, PCH*C*H₂); 26.4 (s, *C*H2). 13C{1H} NMR (75.45 MHz, C7D8, -50 °C, *δ*): **‡88.9** and 183.1 (both s, *C*O of acac); 88.55 (br *C*≡C); 28.35 (d, $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\mathsf{L}}$ = 6 Hz, *C*H₃ of acac); 26.65 (s, *C*H₃ of acac). ³¹P{¹H} NMR $(1,45 \text{ MHz}, C_7D_8): \delta$ 48.8 (d, $J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 167 \text{ Hz}$).

Preparation of Rh(acac)(*η***²-PhC=CPh)(PCy₃) (5). The** complex was prepared using the procedure described for **4**, starting from $2(118 \text{ mg}, 0.20 \text{ mmol})$ and $PhC \equiv CPh(36 \text{ mg},$ 0.20 mmol). Complex **5** was isolated as a yellow solid: yield 114 mg (86%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{37}H_{50}O_2$ PRh: C, 67.26; H, 7.63. Found: C, 66.96; H, 8.07. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): $ν$ (C=C) 1910; *ν*(acac) 1575, 1510. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C, *δ*): 8.05-7.23 (m, 10 H, Ph); 5.40 (s, 1 H, C*H* of acac); 1.94- 1.02 (m, 33 H, C6*H*11); 1.94 (s, 6 H, C*H*³ of acac). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, -50 °C, *δ*): 2.01 and 1.86 (both s, 6 H, C*H*³ of acac). 13C{1H} NMR (75.45 MHz, CDCl3, 20 °C, *δ*): 187.7 and 183.4 (both br, *C*O of acac); 131.3, 130.6, 130.2, 128.2, 127.4, 125.9 (all s, Ph); 99.2 (s, CH of acac); 86.2 (dd, $J_{\text{Rh-C}} =$ 17 Hz, $J_{P-C} = 4$ Hz, $C \equiv C$); 32.6 (d, $J_{P-C} = 23$ Hz, P*C*HCH₂); 29.6 (s, *C*H₂); 27.8 (d, *J*_{P-C} = 10 Hz, PCH*C*H₂); 26.8 (s, *C*H₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.45 MHz, CDCl₃, −50 °C, *δ*): 86.2 (br, *C*≡*C*); 28.15 (d, $J_{P-C} = 4$ Hz, CH₃ of acac); 26.95 (s, CH₃ of acac). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.45 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* 49.6 (d, $J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 179$ Hz).

Preparation of Rh(acac)(PCy₃)₂ (6). This complex can be prepared by two different procedures. (a) A solution of **2** (154 mg, 0.26 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was treated with PCy3

+ +

(73 mg, 0.26 mmol) and $HC = CCO_2CH_3$ (16 μ L, 0.26 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 45 min at room temperature and then filtered through Kieselguhr. The filtrate was concentrated to ca. 0.1 mL in vacuo, and addition of methanol led to precipitation of an orange solid. The solvent was decanted, and the solid was washed twice with methanol and then dried in vacuo; yield 84 mg (42%). (b) A solution of **7** (125 mg, 0.23 mmol) in toluene (15 mL) was treated with PCy3 (62 mg, 0.22 mmol). After the mixture was stirred for 45 min at room temperature, the solution was filtered through Kieselguhr. The filtrate was concentrated to ca. 0.1 mL in vacuo, and addition of methanol led to precipitation of an orange solid. The solvent was decanted, and the solid was washed twice with methanol and then dried in vacuo: yield 60 mg (34%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{41}H_{73}O_2P_2Rh$: C, 64.45; H, 9.64. Found: C, 64.89; H, 10.06. IR (Nujol, cm-1): *ν*(acac) 1593, 1528. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 20 °C, δ): 5.04 (s, 1 H, CH of acac); 2.20–1.18 (m, 66 H, C_6H_{11}); 1.81 (s, 6 H, CH₃ of acac). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (121.45 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 49.9 (J_{Rh-P} = 191 Hz

Preparation of Rh(acac)($η$ **²-HC=CCO₂CH₃)(PCy₃)(7).** The complex was prepared using the procedure described for **4**, starting from **2** (111 mg, 0.26 mmol) and $HC = CCO₂CH₃$ (16 μ L, 0.26 mmol). Complex 7 was isolated as a yellow solid: yield 99 mg (68%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{27}H_{44}O_4PRh$: C, 57.24; H, 7.83. Found: C, 56.87; H, 8.31. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): $ν$ (C=C) 1810; *ν*(C=O) 1685; *ν*(acac) 1582, 1514. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_7D_8 , 20 °C, *δ*): 5.63 (d, $J_{Rh-H} = 3$ Hz, $\equiv CH$); 5.21 (s, 1 H, C*H*) of acac); 3.52 (s, 3 H, CO2C*H*3); 1.94-1.10 (m, 33 H, C6*H*11); 1.80 (s, 6 H, C H_3 of acac). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₇D₈, -50 °C, *δ*): 1.88 and 1.75 (both s, 6 H, C*H*³ of acac). 13C{1H} NMR (75.45 MHz, C7D8, 20 °C, *δ*): 188.5 and 182.8 (both br, *C*O of acac); 158.8 (s, CO_2CH_3); 99.7 (s, *C*H of acac); 93.8 (dd, $J_{\text{Rh-C}}$ $= 17$ Hz, $J_{P-C} = 5$ Hz, one C of *C*=C); 76.0 (dd, $J_{Rh-C} = 19$ Hz, $J_{P-C} = 5$ Hz, one C of *C*=C); 51.5 (s, CO₂*C*H₃); 32.35 (d, J_{P-C}) 23 Hz, P*C*HCH2); 29.9 and 29.6 (both s, *C*H2); 28.65 (d, *J*P-^C $=$ 11 Hz, PCH*C*H₂); 27.15 (s, *C*H₃ of acac); 26.9 (s, *C*H₂). ¹³C-{1H} NMR (75.45 MHz, C7D8, -60 °C, *δ*): 188.5 and 182.8 (both s, *C*O of acac); 95.5 (br, one of *C*=C); 76.9 (br, one of *C*=*C*); 27.9 (s, *C*H₃ of acac); 26.9 (d, $J_{P-C} = 5$ Hz, *C*H₃ of acac). ${}^{31}P\{{}^{1}H\}$ NMR (121.45 MHz, C₇D₈): δ 50.0 (d, $J_{Rh-P} = 172$ Hz).

Preparation of Rh(acac)H2(PCy3)2 (8). A solution of **1** (105 mg, 0.23 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was treated with PCy3 (129 mg, 0.46 mmol), while a slow stream of H_2 was passed through the solution for 30 min at room temperature. The resulting solution was filtered through Kieselguhr, and the filtrate was concentrated to ca. 0.1 mL in vacuo; addition of hexane led to the precipitation of a white solid. The solvent was decanted, and the solid was washed twice with hexane and dried in vacuo: yield 118 mg (67%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{41}H_{75}O_2P_2Rh$: C, 64.38; H, 9.88. Found: C, 64.35; H, 10.64. IR (Nujol, cm-1): *ν*(Rh-H) 2120, 2085; *ν*(acac) 1600, 1510. 1H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 20 °C, δ): 5.27 (s, 1 H, C*H* of acac); 2.21-1.26 (m, 66 H, C_6H_{11}); 1.93 (s, 6 H, C H_3 of acac); -22.18 (dt, 2 H, J_{Rh-H} = 20 Hz, J_{P-H} = 16 Hz, Rh-H). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (80 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 15.8 (d, $J_{Rh-P} = 117$ Hz).

Preparation of Rh(acac)H(C=CPh)(PCy₃)₂ (9). A solution of **2** (83 mg, 0.14 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was treated with PCy₃ (40 mg, 0.14 mmol) and PhC=CH (16 μ L, 0.14 mmol). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature and then filtered through Kieselguhr. The filtrate was concentrated to ca. 0.1 mL in vacuo, and the addition of methanol led to the precipitation of a white solid. The solvent was decanted, and the solid was washed twice with methanol and dried in vacuo: yield 57 mg (47%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{49}H_{79}O_2P_2Rh$: C, 68.04; H, 9.21. Found: C, 67.75; H, 9.66. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): *ν*(Rh-H) 2155; *ν*(C≡C) 2118; *ν*(acac) 1600, 1515. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C, δ): 9.90-7.45 (m, 5 H, Ph); 5.35 (s, 1 H, CH of acac); 2.40-1.20 (m, 66 H, C_6H_{11}); 2.08 and 1.86 (both s, 6 H, CH₃ of acac); -18.79 (dt, 1 H, $J_{\text{Rh-H}}$ $=$ 19 Hz, J_{P-H} = 12 Hz, Rh-H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.45 MHz, CDCl3, 20 °C, *δ*): 188.3 and 184.4 (both s, *C*O of acac); 130.7,

+ +

130.6, 167.7, 123.4 (all s, Ph); 106.25 (d, $J_{\text{Rh-C}} = 10$ **Hz,** $\breve{\mathbb{G}}$ =*C*Ph); 103.85 (dt, $J_{\text{Rh-C}}$ = 48 Hz, $J_{\text{P-C}}$ = 16 Hz, *C*=CPh); $\tilde{D}99.55$ (s, *C*H of acac); 33.8 and 33.7 (both d, $J_{P-C} = 20$ Hz, **LACH2); 29.9 and 29.5 (both s,** *C***H2); 28.65 and 28.4 (both d,
茅−c = 8 Hz, PCH***C***H2); 27.4 and 27.3 (s,** *C***H2); 23.75 (s,** *C***H3** $\frac{d}{dt}$ acac). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (80 MHz, C₆D₆): *δ* 38.0 (d, $J_{\text{Rh-P}} =$ 103 Hz).

Preparation of Rh(acac)H(Ct**CCy)(PCy3)2 (10).** The \approx complex was prepared using the procedure described for **9**, starting from **2** (166 mg, 0.28 mmol), PCy3 (78 mg, 0.28 mmol), \hat{a} nd CyC≡CH (36 µL, 0.28 mmol). Complex 10 was isolated as a pale yellow solid: yield 115 mg (47%). Anal. Calcd for $\mathcal{C}_{49}H_{85}O_2P_2Rh$: C, 67.57; H, 9.84. Found: C, 67.75; H, 10.03. **IR** (Nujol, cm⁻¹): *ν*(Rh-H) 2140; *ν*(C=C) 2115; *ν*(acac) 1600, 1515. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, 20 °C, *δ*): 5.35 (s, 1 H, C*H* \overrightarrow{d} acac); 2.40-1.20 (m, 77 H, C₆H₁₁); 2.04 and 1.72 (both s, 6 H, CH₃ of acac); -19.33 (dt, 1 H, $J_{\text{Rh-H}} = 18$ Hz, $J_{\text{P-H}} = 13$ Hz, Rh-H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.45 MHz, CDCl₃, 20 °C, *δ*): 187.9 and 184.1 (both s, *C*O of acac); 107.45 (d, $J_{\text{Rh-C}} = 10 \text{ Hz}$, C=CCy); 101.85 (dt, $J_{\text{Rh-C}} = 40$ Hz, $J_{\text{P-C}} = 18$ Hz, C=CCy); 99.3 (s, *C*H of acac); 35.0 (s, *C*H2); 33.5 and 33.4 (both d, *J*P-^C) 23 Hz, P*C*HCH2); 29.65 and 29.6 (both s, *C*H2); 26.9 (s, *C*H2); 26.5 (s, *C*H3 of acac); 26.0 (s, *C*H2). 31P{1H} NMR (80 MHz, C_6D_6 : δ 37.1 (d, $J_{Rh-P} = 104$ Hz). Published on July 2007 on July 2009 \$4, 1996 of the doi: 34, 10.1000140012011 on 1100159 \$4, 10:1021

Preparation of Rh(acac)H(C=CSiMe₃)(PCy₃)₂ (11). The complex was prepared using the procedure described for **9**, starting from **2** (142 mg, 0.24 mmol), PCy3 (67 mg, 0.24 mmol), and Me₃SiC=CH (34 μ L, 0.24 mmol). Complex 11 was isolated as a white solid: yield 95 mg (46%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{46}H_{83}O_2P_2RhSi$: C, 64.16; H, 9.72. Found: C, 64.52: H; 10.68. IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): $ν(Rh-H)$ 2146; $ν(C\equiv C)$ 2042; $ν(acac)$ 1587, 1514. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, 20 °C, *δ*): 5.10 (s, 1 H, CH of acac); 2.19-1.18 (m, 66 H, C₆H₁₁); 1.84 and 1.69 (both s, 6 H, C*H*³ of acac); 0.20 (s, 9 H, C*H*3Si); -19.19 (dt, 1 H, $J_{\text{Rh-H}} = 18 \text{ Hz}$, $J_{\text{P-H}} = 13 \text{ Hz}$, Rh-H). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 35.6 (d, $J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 102 \text{ Hz}$).

Preparation of [Rh(acac){ (E) -CH=CHCy} $(PCy_3)_2$]BF₄ **(12)**. A suspension of **10** (100 mg, 0.12 mmol) in diethyl ether

(15 mL) was treated with $HBF₄·OEt₂$ (16 μ L, 0.12 mmol), causing an immediate color change to bright yellow. After the mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, a yellow precipitate formed. The solvent was decanted, and the solid was washed twice with diethyl ether and then dried in vacuo; yield 93 mg (81%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{49}H_{86}BF_4O_2P_2Rh$: C, 61.38; H, 9.04. Found: C, 60.87; H, 9.34. IR (Nujol, cm-1): *ν*(acac) 1570, 1530. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, 20 °C, *δ*): 6.50 (dt, 1 H, $J_{H-H} = 10$ Hz, $J_{P-H} = 9$ Hz, RhC*H*=CHCy); 5.90 (s, 1) H, CH of acac); 4.25 (dd, 1 H, $J_{H-H} = 10$ Hz, $J_{Rh-H} = 8$ Hz, RhCH=C*H*Cy); 2.59-1.00 (m, 77 H, C₆H₁₁); 2.11 (s, 6 H, CH₃ of acac). 13C{1H} NMR (75.45 MHz, CDCl3, 20 °C, *δ*): 185.2 (s, *C*O of acac); 135.6 (s, RhCH=*C*HCy); 111.6 (dt, $J_{\text{Rh-C}} = 35$ Hz, $J_{P-C} = 9$ Hz, Rh*C*H=CHCy); 99.8 (s, *C*H of acac); 35.6 (d, *J*_{P-C} = 22 Hz, P*C*HCH₂); 32.1 (s, Cy); 29.95 and 28.7 (both s, *C*H₂); 27.0 and 26.8 (both d, $J_{P-C} = 10$ Hz, PCH*C*H₂); 26.2 (s, *C*H₂); 25.6 (s, CH₃ of acac); 25.4, 25.2, 24.9 (all s, *C*H₂). ³¹P- 1H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 28.7 (d, $J_{Rh-P} = 132$ Hz).

Preparation of [Rh(acac)(CH=CDCy)(PCy₃)₂]BF₄ (12 d_1). To prepare a solution of DBF₄, 1 mL of D_2O was added dropwise to an equal volume of HBF₄[.]OEt₂ until effervescence ceased. Addition of the stoichiometric amount of this solution to an ether slurry of **11** proceeded as described above for the preparation of **12**. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, 20 °C, *δ*): 6.56 $(t, 1 H, J_{P-H} = 10 Hz, RhCH = CDCy); 5.90 (s, 1 H, CH of acac);$ 2.59-1.00 (m, 77 H, C₆H₁₁); 2.11 (s, 6 H, CH₃ of acac). ²H NMR (46.07 MHz, CH₂Cl₂, 20 °C, *δ*): 4.33 (s, RhCH=C*D*Cy). ³¹P- $\{^1H\}$ NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 28.9 (d, $J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 132$ Hz).

Preparation of [Rh(acac)(CH=CH₂)(PCy₃)₂]BF₄ (13). The complex was prepared using the procedure described for **12** starting from **11** (112 mg, 0.13 mmol) and $HBF₄·OEt₂$ (18 μ L, 0.13 mmol). Compound **13** was isolated as a yellow solid: yield: 87 mg (76%). Anal. Calcd for $C_{43}H_{76}BF_4O_2P_2Rh$: C, 58.91; H, 8.74. Found: C, 58.30; H, 9.03. IR (Nujol, cm-1): *ν*(acac) 1573, 1530. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, 20 °C, *δ*): 7.31 (m, RhC*H*=CH₂); 5.91 (s, 1 H, CH of acac); 4.38 (br, 1H, one H of RhCH=CH₂ *cis* to Rh); 4.22 (dd, 1 H, J_{H-H} = 12 Hz, J_{Rh-H} $=$ 5 Hz, one H of RhCH=C H_2 *trans* to Rh); 2.59–1.00 (m, 77

H, C₆H₁1); 2.11 (s, 6 H, CH₃ of acac). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.45 MHz, CDCl3, 20 °C, *δ*): 186.2 (s, *C*O of acac); 127.3 (dt, *J*Rh-^C $=$ 37 Hz, J_{P-C} = 9 Hz, Rh*C*H=CH₂); 117.6 (s, RhCH=CH₂); 100.7 (s, *C*H of acac); 36.3 (d, $J_{P-C} = 20$ Hz, P*C*HCH₂); 30.75 and 29.65 (both s, *C*H₂); 27.8 (d, *J*_{P-C} = 10 Hz, PCH*C*H₂); 26.25 (s, CH3 of acac); 25.9 (s, *C*H2). 31P{1H} NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 28.7 (d, $J_{\text{Rh-P}} = 130 \text{ Hz}$).

X-ray Structure Analysis of Rh(acac)(PCy3)2 (6). Crystals suitable for an X-ray diffraction experiment were obtained by slow diffusion of hexane into a concentrated solution of **6** in CH₂Cl₂. A summary of crystal data, intensity collection procedure, and refinement data is reported in Table 3. The crystal studied was glued on a glass fiber and mounted on a Siemens AED-2 diffractometer. Cell constants were obtained from the least-squares fit of the setting angles of 65 reflections in the range $20 \leq 2\theta \leq 30^{\circ}$. The recorded reflections were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. Reflections were also corrected for absorption by an empirical method (*ψ*-scan method).24

The structure was solved by Patterson (Rh atom) and conventional Fourier techniques. Refinement was carried out by full-matrix least-squares methods with initial isotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were calculated according to the ideal geometry (distance $C-H = 0.96$ Å) and included in the refinement riding on carbon atoms with a commom isotropic thermal parameter. Anisotropic thermal parameters were used in the last cycles of refinement for all gon-hydrogen atoms. All calculations were performed using SHELXTL-PLUS25 and SHELX-93.26

 X -ray Structure Analysis of $[Rh(acac)\{ (E)\text{-CH=CHCy}\}$ - $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ $(\hat{\mathbf{P}}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{y}_3)_2$]**BF₄** (12). Crystals suitable for an X-ray diffraction experiment were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether igto a concentrated solution of 12 in $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2.}\;$ A summary of crystal data, intensity collection procedure, and refinement data is reported in Table 3. The crystal studied was glued on

 $\ddot{\mathcal{B}}$ (24) North, A. C. T.; Phillips, D. C.; Mathews, F. S. *Acta Crystallogr.*
1986, *A24*, 351.
 \lesssim (25) Sheldrick, G. SHELXTL-PLUS; Siemens Analytical X-ray Inst.

E.c., Madison WI, 1990.
 Ξ (26) Sheldri

a glass fiber and mounted on a Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. Cell constants were obtained from the least-squares fit of the setting angles of 23 reflections in the range $20 \leq 2\theta$ $\leq 26^{\circ}$. Intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and Polarization effects, and a semiempirical absorption correction (*ψ*-scan method) was applied.24 The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS-86).²⁷ Atomic coordinates and anisotropic thermal parameters of the non-hydrogen atoms were refined by full-matrix least-squares methods (unit weights, Enraf-Nonius SDP28). The hydrogen atoms were calculated according to the ideal geometry (distance $C-H = 0.95$ Å) and used only in structure factor calculations. The BF_4 group was found to be disordered over three sites; with equal occupancies the positions were refined independently with isotropic temperature factors.

Acknowledgment. We thank the DGICYT (Projet PB 92-0092, Programa de Promoción General del Conocimiento) and European Community (Network ERB-CHRXCT 930147; Project: Selective Processes and Catalysis Involving Small Molecules) for financial support. E.O. thanks the DGA (Diputación General de Aragón) for a grant. L.R. thanks the Spanish Government for a grant (Programa de Formación Científica para Iberoamérica). P.S. and H.W. acknowledge support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Grant SFB 347).

Supporting Information Available: Tables of anisotropic thermal parameters, complete atomic coordinates and thermal parameters, experimental details of the X-ray study, bond distances and angles, selected least-squares planes, and interatomic distances (31 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM9601458

+ +

⁽²⁷⁾ Sheldrick, G. SHELXS-86 Program for Crystal Structure Solution, Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität, Göttingen, Germany, 1986.

⁽²⁸⁾ Frentz, B. A. The Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 SDP, a real system for concurrent X-Ray data collection and structure determination. In *Computing in Crystallography*; Delft University Press: Delft, Holland, 1978; pp 64-71.