Conformationally Rigid Diamide Complexes: Synthesis and Structure of Titanium(IV) Alkyl Derivatives

Frédéric Guérin,[†] David H. McConville,*,[†] and Nicholas C. Payne

Department of Chemistry, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada N6A 5B7

Received June 17, 1996^X

Titanium complexes bearing a pyridinediamide ligand $[2,6-(RNCH₂)₂NC₅H₃]²⁻$ (R = 2,6diisopropylphenyl (BDPP); $R = 2.6$ -dimethylphenyl (BDMP)) have been synthesized. The dichloride complexes $[2,6\text{-}(RNCH_2)_2NC_5H_3]TiCl_2$ are prepared in high yield from $\{2,6\text{-}[Me_3\text{-}R]$ $Si)$ RNCH₂]₂NC₅H₃} and TiCl₄ via the elimination of 2 equiv of CiSiMe₃. Mono(alkyl) and bis(alkyl) complexes are prepared from $[2,6-(RNCH_2)_2NC_5H_3]TiCl_2$ and various Grignard reagents. A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of $(BDMP)TiBr(CH_2CMe_2Ph)\cdot C_6H_6$ $(8b \cdot C_6H_6)$ revealed a distorted square pyramid structure with the neophenyl group occupying the axial position.

Introduction

The organometallic chemistry of Ti(IV) has been dominated by complexes supported by the cyclopentadienyl ligand.¹ In contrast, the alkyl chemistry of Ti-(IV) in non-Cp ligand environments remains relatively unexplored.²⁻¹³ Amide complexes of titanium¹⁴⁻²² have been shown to stabilize a number of reactive species

- (3) Lubben, T. V.; Wolczanski, P. T.; Van Duyne, G. D. *Organometallics* **1984**, *3*, 977.
- (4) Duff, A. W.; Kamarudin, R. A.; Lappert, M. F.; Norton, R. J. *J*. *Chem*. *Soc*.*, Dalton Trans*. **1986**, 489.
- (5) Jensen, J. A.; Wilson, S. R.; Schultz, A. J.; Girolami, G. S. *J*. *Am*. *Chem*. *Soc*. **1987**, *109*, 8094.
- (6) Miyatake, T.; Mizunuma, K.; Seki, Y.; Kakugo, M. *Makromol*. *Chem*.*, Rapid Commun*. **1989**, *10*, 349.
- (7) Floriani, C.; Corazza, F.; Lesueur, W.; Chiesi-Villa, A.; Guestini, C. *Angew*. *Chem*.*, Int*. *Ed*. *Engl*. **1989**, *28*, 66.
- (8) Durfee, L. D.; Hill, J. E.; Fanwick, P. E.; Rothwell, I. P. *Organometallics* **1990**, *9*, 75.
- (9) Hill, J. E.; Fanwick, P. E.; Rothwell, I. P. *Organometallics* **1990**, *9*, 2211.
- (10) Hill, J. E.; Balaich, G. J.; Fanwick, P. E; Rothwell, I. P. *Organometallics* **1991**, *9*, 3428.
- (11) Cummins, C. C.; Schaller, C. P.; van Duyne, G. D.; Wolczanski, P. T.; Chan, A. W. E.; Hoffmann, R. *J*. *Am*. *Chem*. *Soc*. **1991**, *113*, 2985.
- (12) Solari, E.; Floriani, C.; Chiesi-Villa, A.; Rizzoli, C. *J*. *Chem*. *Soc*.*, Dalton Trans*. **1992**, 367.
- (13) van der Linden, A.; Schaverien, C. J.; Meijboom, N.; Ganter, C.; Orpen, A. G. *J*. *Am*. *Chem*. *Soc*. **1995**, *117*, 3008.
- (14) Bürger, H.; Kluess, C. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1976**, *108*, 69. (15) Jones, R. A.; Seeberger, M. H.; Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W. E. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1983**, *247*, 1.
- (16) tom Dieck, H.; Rieger, H. J.; Fendesak, G. *Inorg*. *Chim*. *Acta*
- **1990**, *177*, 191. (17) Bradley, D. C.; Chudzynska, H.; Backer-Dirks, J. D. J.; Hurst-
- house, M. B.; Ibrahim, A. A.; Motevalli, M.; Sullivan, A. C. *Polyhedron* **1990**, *9*, 1423.
- (18) Herrmann, W. A.; Denk, M.; Albach, R. W.; Behm, J.; Herdtweck, E. *Chem*. *Ber*. **1991**, *124*, 683.
- (19) Friedrich, S.; Gade, L. H.; Edwards, A. J.; McPartlin, M. *J*. *Chem*. *Soc*.*, Dalton Trans*. **1993**, 2861.
- (20) Dick, D. G.; Duchateau, R.; Edema, J. J. H.; Gamborotta, S.
- *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32,* 1959.
(21) Clark, H. C. S.; Cloke, F. G. N.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Love, J. B.;
Wainwright, A. P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, *501*, 333.
(22) Aoyagi, K.; Gantzel, P. K.; Kalai, K.; Tilley, T. D.
- *tallics* **1996**, *15*, 923.

including alkyl groups with β -hydrogens²³ and methylidene ligands. 24 Our interest in the steric and electronic effects of conformationally rigid ligands led us to explore the synthesis of bulky chelating diamide ancillaries,25,26 in particular, amides which bear bulky 2,6 disubstituted aryl groups. Recently, we reported that chelating diamide complexes of the type $[RN(CH_2)_3NR]$ -TiMe₂ ($\breve{\text{R}} = 2.6$ -ⁱPr₂C₆H₃, 2.6-Me₂C₆H₃) are active catalyst precursors for the polymerization of α -olefins.²⁷ Hence, we became interested in investigating the ability of other diamide ligand systems to stabilize Ti(IV) alkyls. We report here the synthesis, structure, and characterization of alkyl complexes of titanium supported by a conformationally rigid diamide ligand.

Results and Discussion

We have reported 28 that the aminolysis reaction between the diamines 2,6-(RHNCH₂)₂NC₅H₃ (R = 2,6- ${}^{\mathrm{i}}\!Pr_2C_6H_3$, 2,6-Me $_2C_6H_3$) and $Zr(NMe_2)_4$ provides 2 equiv of HNMe₂ and the mixed amide complexes $[2,6-(RNCH_2)₂$ - $NC_5H_3]Zr(NMe_2)_2$ in greater than 90% yield. These mixed amide complexes serve as excellent precursors to dichloride derivatives. Surprisingly, no reaction occurs between the diamines 2,6-(RHNCH₂)₂NC₅H₃ and Ti(NMe₂)₄, even at elevated temperatures (110 °C). Therefore, an alternative route to pyridinediamide complexes of titanium was necessary.

The addition of 2 equiv of LiNR(SiMe₃) ($a, R = 2,6$ - ${}^{1}\text{Pr}_{2}\text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{3}$;²⁹ **b**, R = 2,6-Me₂C₆H₃) to a dimethoxyethane (DME) solution of 2,6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine³⁰ at -30 °C affords the white crystalline silylated diamines

- (23) Cummins, C. C.; Schrock, R. R.; Davis, W. M. *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 1452.
- (24) Scoles, L.; Minhas, R.; Duchateau, R.; Jubb, J.; Gambarotta, S. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 4978. (25) Gue´rin, F.; McConville, D. H.; Vittal, J. J. *Organometallics*
- **1995**, *14*, 3154.
- (26) Scollard, J. D.; McConville, D. H.; Vittal, J. J. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 5478.
- (27) (a) Scollard, J. D.; McConville, D. H.; Payne, N. C.; Vittal, J. J. *Macromolecules* **1996**, *29*, 5241. (b) Scollard, J. D.; McConville, D. H. *J*. *Am*. *Chem*. *Soc*., in press.
- (28) Guérin, F.; McConville, D. H.; Vittal, J. J. Organometallics, in press.
- (29) Kennepohl, D. K.; Brooker, S.; Sheldrick, G. M.; Roesky, H. W.
- *Chem*. *Ber*. **1991**, *124*, 2223. (30) Haeg, M. E.; Whitlock, B. J.; Whitlock, H. W., Jr. *J*. *Am*. *Chem*. *Soc*. **1989**, *111*, 692.

S0276-7333(96)00484-0 CCC: \$12.00 © 1996 American Chemical Society

[†] Current address: Department of Chemistry, University of British Columbia, 2036 Main Hall, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z1.
[®] Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, November 1, 1996.

⁽¹⁾ Bochmann, M. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*; Abel, E. W.; Stone, F. G. A., Wilkinson, G., Eds.; Elsevier Science

Ltd.: New York, 1995; Vol. 4, pp 273-431. (2) Dawoodi, Z.; Green, M. H. L.; Mtetwa, V. S. B.; Prout, K. *J*. *Chem*. *Soc*.*, Chem*. *Commun*. **1982**, 1410.

a Reagents and conditions: (i) 2 equiv of MeMgBr, Et₂O, -78 °C; (ii) $\overline{1}$ equiv of PhCH₂MgCl or LiCH₂SiMe₃, Et₂O, 22 °C; (iii) 2 equiv of PhCH₂MgCl or LiCH₂SiMe₃, Et₂O, 22 °C; (iv) 1 equiv of NaCp \cdot DME or PhMe₂CCH₂MgCl, Et₂O, -30 °C.

2,6-[(Me3Si)RNCH2]2NC5H3 **(1a,b)** in moderate yield after workup (Scheme 1). Ligands **1a,b** can be prepared in about 40% yield on a scale of $2-5$ g. The silylated ligand precursors react cleanly with $TiCl₄$ to give 2 equiv of ClSiMe₃ (confirmed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy) and the red dichloride complexes **(2a,b)** in >80% yield (Scheme 1). The dichlorides are insoluble in aliphatic hydrocarbons, slightly soluble in ether, and soluble in aromatic solvents and THF.

The proton NMR spectra of complexes **2a,b** are consist with a meridional coordination of the ligand as evidenced by the singlet observed for the methylene protons (CH₂N) of the ligand. Similar shifts are observed for the analogous zirconium dichloride derivatives $[2,6\text{-}(RNCH_2)_2N C_5H_3]ZrCl_2$ $(R = 2,6\text{-}{}^{\text{i}}Pr_2C_6H_3, 2,6\text{-}{}^{\text{ii}}$ $Me₂C₆H₃$.²⁸ In contrast, a facial coordination geometry is enforced by the pyridinediamide ligand in [CH(2- C_5H_4N)(CH₂NSiMe₃)₂]TiBr₂.¹⁹ The isopropyl methyl groups of complex **2a** are diastereotopic, which we interpret as a consequence of restricted rotation about the $N-C_{ipso}$ bond. We have no direct spectroscopic means of determining whether the same restricted rotation exists in the 2,6-dimethylphenyl-substituted derivative 2b; however, modeling studies³¹ and a structurally characterized halooalkyl derivative *(vide infra)* indicate that the barrier to rotation is likely high. The rigid coordination of the ligand and enforced location of the aryl groups creates a "pocket" opposite the pyridine and necessarily protects the metal above and below the N_3 plane.

With the aim of preparing titanium alkyl derivatives, the reaction of compounds **2a,b** with various alkylating reagents has been investigated (Scheme 2). The addition of 2 equiv of MeMgBr to ether suspensions of **2a,b**

at -78 °C affords the dimethyl derivatives **3a,b** in good yield. Compounds **3a,b** are thermally sensitive in the absence of coordinating ligands; for example, they can be crystallized readily from ether or THF but decompose slowly in toluene or benzene. In contrast, the isoelectronic zirconium dimethyl derivatives $[2,6-(RNCH_2)_2$ - $NC_5H_3]ZrMe_2$ (R = 2,6-iPr₂C₆H₃, 2,6-Me₂C₆H₃) are thermally stable.²⁸ Titanium dimethyl complexes bearing amide ligands are known to form methylidene species²⁴ via α -elimination, and we are examining this possible transformation.

The addition of 1 equiv of $PhCH₂MgCl$ or $LiCH₂SiMe₃$ to an ether suspension of **2a** at 22 °C yields the monoalkyl derivatives **4a** and **5a**, respectively, in high yield (Scheme 2). The proton NMR spectra of complexes **4a** and **5a** show characteristic AB quartet patterns for the methylene protons $(CH_A H_B N)$ of the ligand indicating that there is asymmetry above and below the N_3 plane. In addition, two isopropyl methine and four isopropyl methyl resonances are observed which is in agreement with the *Cs* symmetry of the complexes and the restricted rotation of the $N-C_{ipso}$ bond. The addition of excess alkylating reagent does not afford bis(alkyl) derivatives; evidently, the steric bulk of the 2,6-diisopropylphenyl-substituted ligand precludes formation of these species.

The alkylation chemistry of the dichloride complex **2b** clearly demonstrates the difference in steric bulk between the two ligand systems. The addition of 2 equiv of $PhCH₂MgCl$ or $LiCH₂SiMe₃$ to an ether suspension of **2b** at 22 °C yields the bis(alkyl) derivatives **4b** and **5b**, respectively, in high yield (Scheme 2). The C_{2v} symmetry of complexes **4b** and **5b** is supported by the observed singlet in the proton NMR for the methylene protons (CH₂N) of the ligand. The addition of 1 equiv of PhCH₂MgCl or LiCH₂SiMe₃ at -78 °C affords a 50% yield (by 1H NMR spectroscopy) of **4b** and **5b**.

The *η*5-cyclopentadienyl derivative **6b** is obtained from the dichloride complex **2b** and 1 equiv of NaCp'- DME in ether (Scheme 2). No reaction is observed between the bulkier dichloride compound **2a** and NaCp'- DME. The proton NMR spectrum of **6b** reveals a *Cs*symmetric complex as evidenced by a AB quartet pattern for the methylene protons (CHAHBN) of the ligand. In addition, the aryl methyl groups of **6b** are inequivalent suggesting that free rotation about the $N-C_{inso}$ bond is hindered by the presence of the Cp group. Surprisingly, the reaction of **2b** with 2 equiv of PhMe₂CCH₂MgCl affords only the mono(alkyl) complex **7b** and not the expected bis(neophyl) derivative. Spectroscopic data are consistent with a *Cs*-symmetric complex and restricted rotation about the $N-C_{ipso}$ bond of **7b** (no evidence of $N-C_{ipso}$ bond rotation is observed to 80 °C). Although we have been unable to grow X-rayquality crystals of complex **7b**, the bromide analogue **8b** does provide suitable crystals. The bromide complex **8b** was obtained from complex **7b** and excess MgBr₂- $(OEt₂)$ in ether (eq 1).

The solid-state structure of $8b$ ⁻C₆H₆ was determined (31) Calculations were performed on an appropriate model using $\begin{array}{c} \text{In } \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ is } \math$

CAChe Scientific Inc. software.

^a $R1 = \sum (||F_0| - |F_0|)/\sum |F_0|$; w $R2 = [\sum w(F_0^2 - F_0^2)^2]/2wF_0^4]^{1/2}$;
GooF = $[\sum w(F_0^2 - F_0^2)^2/(n - p)]^{1/2}$ (where *n* is the number of reflections and *p* is the number of parameters refined).

Figure 1. Top: Chem 3D drawing of the molecular structure of $8b$ ⁻C₆H₆. (The benzene molecule is not shown.) Bottom: Chem 3D drawing of the core $8b$ ⁻C₆H₆.

structure of complex $8b$ ⁻C₆H₆ can be found in Figure 1, and relevant bond distances and angles, in Table 2. The structure is best described as a distorted square pyramid with the neophyl carbon $(C(8))$ occupying the axial position (Figure 1). The titanium atom lies about 0.48

Table 2. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for $8b \cdot C_6H_6$

Bond Distances			
$Ti-Br$	2.399(2)	$Ti-N(1)$	1.979(5)
$Ti-N(2)$	2.126(6)	$Ti-N(3)$	1.977(6)
$Ti-C(8)$	2.121(7)		
	Bond Angles		
$N(1) - Ti - N(3)$	142.1(2)	$Br-Ti-N(3)$	100.3(2)
$C(8)-Ti-Br$	102.8(2)	$Br-Ti-N(1)$	98.1(2)
$C(8)-Ti-N(2)$	101.4(3)	$Br-Ti-N(2)$	155.8(2)
$C(8)-Ti-N(1)$	102.7(3)	$C(8)-Ti-N(3)$	105.1(3)

Å above the basal plane defined by the bromide, amides, and pyridine ligands. The Ti-amide distances (1.979- (5) and 1.977(6) Å) are comparable to other titaniumamide complexes.^{15,18,19,21-24,27} Each amide is nearly sp2-hybridized as evidenced by the sum of the angles about each nitrogen (N(1) = 359.1° and N(3) = 359.3°). The rigid coordination of the ligand and enforced location of the aryl methyl groups (the aryl rings lie perpendicular to the plane of the ligand) necessarily protects the metal above and below the N_3 plane.

Conclusion

A high yield route to pyridinediamide complexes of titanium has been demonstrated. Restricted rotation about the $N-C_{ipso}$ bond of the ligand and the availability of substituted anilines provides an opportunity to vary the sterics with little change to the electronic environment about the metal. Both mono(alkyl) and bis(alkyl) complexes are stabilized by these pyridinediamide ligand systems; however, the dimethyl derivatives are thermally unstable. On the basis of preliminary results, compounds **2a,b** show very low activities for the polymerization of ethylene when activated with methyl aluminoxane (MAO), presumably due to reduction to Ti(III). Successful preparation of Ti(III) derivatives via reduction of the mono(alkyl) complexes will be reported shortly.

Experimental Section

General Details. All experiments were performed under a dry dinitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or in an Innovative Technology Inc. glovebox. Solvents were distilled from sodium/benzophenone ketyl (DME, THF, hexanes, diethyl ether, benzene) or molten sodium (toluene) under argon and stored over activated 4 Å molecular sieves. TiCl4 and MeMgBr were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. 2,6-Diisopropylaniline and 2,6-dimethylaniline were purchased from Aldrich and distilled under reduced pressure before use. LiNR(SiMe₃) (R = 2,6-ⁱPr₂C₆H₃, 2,6-Me₂C₆H₃) was prepared as noted in the literature.²⁹ A CH_2Cl_2 solution of 2,6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine·HBr³⁰ was extracted with saturated NaHCO₃ to yield 2,6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine. $MgBr_2(Et_2O)$ was made from Mg and $BrCH_2CH_2Br$ in ether. $Me₃SiCH₂Li³²$ and $NaC₅H₅·DME³³$ were prepared using previously reported syntheses. Proton (300 MHz) and carbon (75.46 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded in C_6D_6 , unless otherwise noted, at approximately 22 °C on a Varian Gemini-300 spectrometer. The proton chemical shifts were referenced to internal C_6D_5H (δ = 7.15 ppm), and the carbon resonances, to C_6D_6 ($\delta = 128.0$ ppm). Elemental analyses were performed by Oneida Research Services, Inc., Whitesboro, NY. $Ar = 2.6$ -

⁽³²⁾ Schrock, R. R.; Fellmann, J. D. *J*. *Am*. *Chem*. *Soc*. **1978**, *100*, 3359.

⁽³³⁾ Smart, J. C.; Curtis, C. J. *Inorg*. *Chem*. **1977**, *16*, 1788.

diisopropylphenyl, Ar′ = 2,6-dimethylphenyl, [2,6-(ArNCH₂)₂- $NC_5H_3]^{2-} = BDPP$, and $[2,6-(Ar'NCH_2)_2NC_5H_3]^{2-} = BDMP$.

2,6-[(Me3Si)ArNCH2]2NC5H3 (1a). A DME (150 mL) solution of LiNR(SiMe₃) (8.820 g, 35.53 mmol) was added slowly to a DME (100 mL) solution of 2,6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine (4.621 g, 17.44 mmol) at -30 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 12 h. The solvent was removed in *vacuo* and the resulting solid extracted with hexanes (3×100 mL) and filtered through Celite. The volume of the filtrate was reduced to 50 mL and cooled to -30 °C for 12 h. White crystalline **1a** was isolated by filtration and dried under vacuum (4.530 g, 7.502 mmol, 44%): 1H NMR *δ* 7.15 (t, 2H, Ar), 7.02 (d, 4H, Ar), 6.48 (t, 1H, py), 6.47 (d, 2H, py), 4.28 (s, 4H, NC*H*2), 3.31 (sept, 4H, C*HMe*2), 1.17 (d, 12H, CH*Me*2), 0.90 (d, 12H, CH*Me*2), 0.27 (s, 18H, Si*Me*3); 13C{1H} NMR *δ* 159.88, 148.69, 143.40, 135.88, 126.30, 124.24, 122.28, 58.78, 27.94, 25.18, 1.06; MS (EI) *m/z* 601.423 (M⁺), calcd for $C_{37}H_{59}N_3Si_2 601.424.$

2,6-[(Me₃Si)Ar[']NCH₂]₂NC₅H₃ (1b). The preparation of compound **1b** is identical to that for **1a**. LiNR(SiMe3) (4.500 g, 23.30 mmol) and 2,6-bis(bromomethyl)pyridine (3.083 g, 11.73 mmol) gave white crystalline **1b** (2.389 g, 4.882 mmol, 42%): 1H NMR *δ* 6.88-6.98 (t, 6H, Ar), 6.72 (t, 1H, py), 6.34 (d, 2H, py), 4.18 (s, 4H, NC*H*2), 2.01 (S, 12H, Me), 0.23 (s, 18H, Si*Me*3); 13C{1H} NMR *δ* 159.89, 146.32, 138.22, 135.54, 128.74, 125.09, 121.51, 56.50, 19.30, 0.87; MS (EI) *m/z* (M⁺) 489.2997, calcd for C₂₉H₄₃N₃Si₂ 489.2995.

(BDPP)TiCl₂ (2a). A toluene solution of TiCl₄ (9.37 mL, 1.04 M, 9.752 mmol) was added in small portions to a toluene (100 mL) solution of **1a** (5.880 g, 9.737 mmol) at -40 °C. The solution immediately turned bright red, was warmed to room temperature, and heated to 80 °C for 12 h. The solution was filtered through Celite and the solvent removed in *vacuo*. The resulting solid was washed with cold hexanes $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ to yield **2a** as a bright red powder (4.450 g, 7.747 mmol, 80%): 1H NMR *δ* 7.14 (m, 6H, Ar), 6.75 (t, 1H, py), 6.27 (d, 2H, py), 4.87 (s, 4H, NC*H*2), 3.75 (sept, 4H, C*HMe*2), 1.53 (d, 12H, CH*Me*2), 1.19 (d, 12H, CH*Me*2); 13C{1H} NMR *δ* 161.58, 154.08, 142.83, 138.88, 124.80, 117.56, 103.30, 70.60, 28.58, 26.33, 25.12. Anal. Calcd for C31H41N3TiCl2: C, 64.81; H, 7.19; N, 7.31. Found: C, 64.35; H, 7.08; N, 6.95.

(BDMP)TiCl2 (2b). The preparation of compound **2b** is identical to that for $2a$. TiCl₄ (2.35 mL, 1.04 M, 2.44 mmol) and **1b** (1.088 g, 2.223 mmol) gave **2b** as a red powder (0.839 g, 1.815 mmol, 82%): 1H NMR *δ* 6.95-7.05 (m, 6H, Ar), 6.85 (t, 1H, py), 6.36 (d, 2H, py), 4.52 (s, 4H, NC*H*2), 2.42 (S, 12H, Me); 1H NMR (CD2Cl2) *δ* 8.09 (t, 1H, Py), 7.58 (d, 2H, py), 7.00-7.15 (m, 6H, Ar), 5.8 (s, 4H, NC*H*2), 2.32 (S, 12H, Me); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂) *δ* 163.51, 154.50, 140.29, 133.54, 129.22, 126.91, 118.96, 69.13 (*C*H2N), 19.48.

(BDPP)TiMe₂ (3a). To a diethyl ether (25 mL) suspension of **2a** (0.500 g, 0.870 mmol) was added 2.2 equiv of MeMgBr (0.58 mL, 3.0 M, 1.7 mmol) at -78 °C. The solution changed from orange to dark red within minutes and was stirred for 12 h. The solvent was removed in *vacuo*. The resulting solid was extracted with toluene $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and filtered through Celite to give a red-brown solution. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the solid dissolved in a minimum amount of diethyl ether, and the solution cooled to -30 °C for 12 h. Yellow crystalline **3a** was isolated by filtration and dried under vacuum (0.314 g, 0.461 mmol, 53%). The solid is thermally sensitive but can be stored at -40 °C to prevent decomposition: 1H NMR *δ* 7.02 (m, 6H, Ar), 6.76 (t, 1H, py), 6.30 (d, 2H, py), 4.98 (s, 4H, NC*H*2), 3.69 (sept, 4H, C*H*Me2), 1.28 (d, 12H, CH*Me*2), 1.11 (d, 12H, CH*Me*2), 1.06 (s, 6H, Ti*Me*); 13C{1H} NMR *δ* 162.93, 145.41, 137.87, 129.29, 126.33, 124.51, 117.03, 68.33, 64.40 (Ti*C*H3), 28.11, 28.04, 24.35.

(BDMP)TiMe2 (3b). The preparation of compound **3b** is identical to that for **3a**. **2b** (0.100 g, 0.216 mmol) and 2.2 equiv of MeMgBr (0.2 mL, 2.40 M, 0.48 mmol) gave yellow crystalline **3b** (0.072 g, 0.171 mmol, 79%). The solid is thermally sensitive but can be stored at -40 °C to prevent decomposition: ¹H NMR *δ* 7.21 (d, 4H, Ar), 7.08 (t, 2H, Ar), 6.91 (t, 1H, py), 6.47 (d, 2H, py), 4.73 (s, 4H, NC*H*2), 2.38 (s, 12H, Me), 1.08 (s, 6H, Ti*Me*); 13C{1H} NMR *δ* 163.49, 153.08, 137.50, 135.09, 129.09, 125.41, 117.13, 65.96, 62.28 (Ti*C*H3), 18.62.

(BDPP)TiCl(CH2Ph) (4a). To a diethyl ether (30 mL) suspension of **2a** (0.500 g, 0.870 mmol) was added 1 equiv of PhCH2MgCl (0.62 mL, 1.4 M, 0.87 mmol) at room temperature. The solution changed from orange to dark red within minutes and was stirred for 12 h. The solvent was removed in *vacuo*. The resulting solid was extracted with toluene $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and filtered through Celite to give a red-brown solution. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the solid dissolved in a minimum amount of hot hexanes, and the solution cooled to -40 °C for 12 h. Red crystalline **4a** was isolated by filtration and dried under vacuum $(0.418 \text{ g}, 0.663 \text{ mmol}, 75\%)$: ¹H NMR *δ* 7.30-6.80 (Ar and CH2*Ph*), 6.69 (t, 1H, py), 6.54 (d, 2H, CH₂Ph), 6.19 (d, 2H, py), 4.92 (AB quartet, ²J_{HH} = 22.7, 4H, NC*H*2), 4.71 (sept, 2H, C*HMe*2), 3.72 (s, 2H, C*H*2Ph), 3.09 (sept, 2H, C*H*Me2), 1.60 (d, 6H, CH*Me*2), 1.52 (d, 6H, CH*Me*2), 1.30 (d, 6H, CH*Me*2), 1.06 (d, 6H, CH*Me*2); 13C{1H} NMR *δ* 161.57, 154.84, 149.49, 143.83, 142.69, 138.27, 127.52, 126.66, 125.30, 124.52, 124.39, 121.81, 117.04, 79.89, 69.41, 28.87, 26.17, 25.79, 24.96, 24.81. Anal. Calcd for $C_{38}H_{45}N_3TiCl·C_6H_{14}$: C, 73.78; H, 8.72; N, 5.87. Found: C, 73.98; H, 8.42; N, 5.90.

(BDPP)TiCl(CH2SiMe3) (5a). To a diethyl ether (30 mL) suspension of **2a** (0.500 g, 0.870 mmol) was added 1 equiv of LiCH2SiMe3 (0.082 g, 0.871 mmol) at room temperature. The solution changed from orange to yellow within minutes and was stirred for 12 h. The solvent was removed in *vacuo*. The resulting solid was extracted with toluene $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and filtered through Celite. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the solid dissolved in a minimum amount of diethyl ether, and the solution cooled to -40 °C for 12 h. Yellow crystalline 5a was isolated by filtration and dried under vacuum (0.430 g, 0.687) mmol, 79%): 1H NMR *δ* 7.25-7.15 (Ar), 6.83 (t, 1H, py), 6.38 (d, 2H, py), 5.07 (AB quartet, ² J_{HH} = 22.0, 4H, NC*H*₂), 4.39 (sept, 2H, C*H*Me2), 3.25 (sept, 2H, C*H*Me2), 2.89 (s, 2H, C*H*2Si), 1.54 (d, 6H, CH*Me*2), 1.45 (d, 6H, CH*Me*2), 1.40 (d, 6H, CH*Me*2), 1.11 (d, 6H, CH*Me*2), -0.18 (s, 9H, Si*Me*3); 13C{1H} NMR *δ* 162.76, 154.21, 143.74, 142.95, 138.62, 127.00, 126.52, 124.77, 124.35, 117.31, 83.70, 69.47, 38.83, 27.66, 26.81, 26.34, 25.28, 24.63, 2.34. Anal. Calcd for C35H52N3SiTiCl: C, 67.13; H, 8.37; N, 5.71. Found: C, 67.08; H, 8.44; N, 5.79.

(BDMP)Ti(CH2Ph)2 (4b). To a diethyl ether (30 mL) suspension of **2b** (0.100 g, 0.216 mmol) was added 2.2 equiv of PhCH2MgCl (2.2 mL, 0.22 M, 0.48 mmol) at room temperature. The solution changed from orange to dark red within minutes and was stirred for 12 h. The solvent was removed in *vacuo*. The resulting solid was extracted with toluene $(3 \times$ 10 mL) and filtered through Celite to give a red-brown solution. The volume of the solvent was reduced (5 mL) and the solution cooled to -40 °C. Dark red crystalline **4b** was isolated by filtration (0.089 g, 0.124 mmol, 72%): 1H NMR *δ* 7.17 (d, 4H, Ar), 7.05 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.88 (t, 4H, CH2*Ph*), 6.77 (t, 1H, py), 6.62 (t, 2H, CH2*Ph*), 6.60 (d, 4H, CH2*Ph*), 6.25 (d, 2H, py), 4.59 (s, 4H, NC*H*2), 2.62 (s, 4H, C*H*2Ph), 2.46 (s, 12H, Me); 13C{1H} NMR *δ* 161.85, 156.88, 145.89, 137.83, 134.43, 129.26, 128.63, 125.49, 124.81, 122.31, 118.16, 116.83, 65.49, 19.92.

(BDMP)Ti(CH2SiMe3)2 (5b). To a diethyl ether (30 mL) suspension of **2b** (0.100 g, 0.216 mmol) was added 2.2 equiv of LiCH₂SiMe₃ (0.045 g, 0.476 mmol) at -40 °C. The solution changed from orange to yellow within minutes and was stirred for 12 h. The solvent was removed in *vacuo*. The resulting solid was extracted with toluene $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and filtered through Celite. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the solid dissolved in a minimum amount of hexanes, and the solution cooled to -40 °C for 12 h. Yellow crystalline **5b** was isolated by filtration and dried under vacuum (0.112 g, 0.198 mmol, 92%): 1H NMR *δ* 7.17 (d, 4H, Ar), 7.03 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.89 (t, 1H, py), 6.45 (d, 2H, py), 4.76 (s, 4H, NC*H*2), 2.52 (s, 12H, Me), 1.84 (s, 4H, CH₂Si), -0.19 (s, 18H, SiMe₃); ¹³C{¹H} NMR δ 162.64, 156.36, 138.17, 134.07, 129.35, 125.29, 117.10, 83.16, 66.23, 19.62, 2.38.

(BDMP)TiCl(n^5 **-C₅H₅) (6b).** To a diethyl ether (30 mL) suspension of **2b** (0.100 g, 0.216 mmol) was added 1.3 equiv of NaC₅H₅ \cdot DME (0.049 g, 0.275 mmol) at -40 °C. The solution changed from orange to yellow within minutes and was stirred for 12 h. The solvent was removed in *vacuo*. The resulting solid was extracted with toluene $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and filtered through Celite. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the solid dissolved in a minimum amount of diethyl ether, and the solution cooled to -40 °C for 12 h. Orange crystalline **6b** was isolated by filtration and dried under vacuum (0.097 g, 0.197 mmol, 77%): 1H NMR *δ* 7.17-7.00 (m, 7H, Ar and py), 6.50 (d, 2H, py), 6.02 (s, 5H, Cp), 4.47 (AB quartet, ²J_{HH} = 21.83 Hz, 4H, NC*H*₂), 2.44 (s, 6H, Me), 1.80 (s, 6H, Me); ¹³C{¹H} NMR *δ* 163.98, 159.76, 136.62, 133.44, 130.99, 129.29, 128.52, 124.53, 119.63 (Cp), 116.15, 69.26, 18.65.

(BDMP)TiCl(CH2CMe2Ph) (7b). To a diethyl ether (30 mL) suspension of **2b** (0.400 g, 0.865 mmol) was added 1.1 equiv of $PhMe₂CCH₂MgCl$ (1.09 mL, 0.867 M, 0.952 mmol) at -40 °C. The solution changed from orange to dark red within minutes and was stirred for 12 h. The solvent was removed in *vacuo*. The resulting solid was extracted with toluene (3 × 10 mL) and filtered through Celite. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the solid dissolved in a minimum amount of a 20:1 mixture of THF/benzene, and the solution cooled to -40 °C for 12 h. Red crystalline **7b** was isolated by filtration and dried under vacuum (0.400 g, 0.627 mmol, 72%): ¹H NMR δ 7.19-6.98 (m, 11H, Ar and Ph), 6.89 (t, 1H, py), 6.39 (d, 2H, py), 4.59 (AB quartet, ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 21.98$ Hz, 4H, NC*H*₂), 2.92 (s, 2H, C*H*2CMe2), 2.75 (s, 6H, Me), 2.10 (s, 6H, Me), 1.10 (s, 6H, CH2C*Me*2); 13C{1H} NMR *δ* 163.38, 157.73, 152.96, 138.26, 133.36, 132.50, 128.99, 128.87, 125.55, 125.42, 125.22, 117.35, 97.42, 66.33, 46.33, 32.05, 20.67, 18.50. Anal. Calcd for $C_{33}H_{38}N_3TiCl·C_6H_6$: C, 73.40; H, 6.95; N, 6.48. Found: C, 73.60; H, 7.26; N, 6.16.

(BDMP)TiBr(CH₂CMe₂Ph) (8b). To a diethyl ether (30 mL) solution of **7b** (0.400 g, 0.714 mmol) was added 10 equiv of $MgBr_2(Et_2O)$ (2.373 g, 7.14 mmol) at room temperature. The solution was stirred for 12 h. The solvent was removed in *vacuo*. The resulting solid was extracted with toluene (3 \times 10 mL) and filtered through Celite. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the solid dissolved in a minimum amount of a 20:1 mixture of THF/benzene, and the solution cooled to -40 °C for 12 h. Red crystalline **8b** was isolated by filtration and dried under vacuum (0.356 g, 0.522 mmol, 73%): ¹H NMR δ 7.19-6.98 (m, 11H, Ar and Ph), 6.86 (t, 1H, py), 6.36 (d, 2H, py), 4.59 (AB quartet, $^2J_{HH} = 21.98$ Hz, 4H, NC*H*₂), 2.95 (s, 2H,

C*H*2CMe2), 2.80 (s, 6H, Me), 2.03 (s, 6H, Me), 1.08 (S, 6H, CH2C*Me*2); 13C{1H} NMR *δ* 163.36, 158.33, 152.80, 138.22, 133.34, 132.51, 129.03, 128.88, 125.53, 125.51, 117.28, 102.03, 66.50, 46.89, 31.96, 20.78, 19.66. Anal. Calcd for C33H38N3TiBr'C6H6: C, 68.62; H, 6.50; N, 6.16. Found: C, 68.61; H, 6.69; N, 5.76.

X-ray Crystallographic Analysis. A suitable crystal of **4b** was grown from a saturated THF/benzene solution at room temperature. Crystal data may be found in Table 1. Data were collected on a Enraf-Nonius CAD4F diffractometer using CAD4F software.34 Intensity data were recorded in *ω*-2*θ* scan mode at variable scan speeds within a maximum time per datum of 45 s. Moving background estimates were made at 25% scan extensions on each side. Standard reflections were monitored every 180 min of X-ray exposure time. Lorentz, polarization, and decay corrections were applied. Crystal faces were identified by optical goniometry, and a Gaussian absorption correction made to the data, which were averaged to yield 6115 unique data for structure solution and refinement. The structure was solved by a combination of SHELXS and difference Fourier syntheses using SHELXL-93 software.³⁵ Anisotropic thermal parameters were refined for all nonhydrogen atoms. All phenyl hydrogen atoms were located by difference Fourier methods, placed in calculated positions $(C-H = 0.9$ Å), and included in the structure factor calculations. The four methyl groups $C(27)$, $C(28)$, $C(37)$, and $C(38)$ showed disorder. The idealized tetrahedral groups were assigned 0.5 multiplicities. The benzene solvent molecule showed considerable thermal motion but refined well to an acceptable geometry.

Acknowledgment. Funding from the NSERC (Canada) in the form of a Research Grant to D.H.M. is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available: Text describing X-ray procedures, tables of final crystallographic atomic coordinates, equivalent isotropic thermal parameters, hydrogen atom parameters, anisotropic thermal parameters, and complete bond lengths and angles, and ORTEP diagrams for $8b$ ^{\cdot}C₆H₆ (11 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM9604841

⁽³⁴⁾ Enraf-Nonius. Enraf-Nonius Data Collection Package, Version 5.0, Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands, 1984.

⁽³⁵⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-93, Institute fuer Anorg. Chemie, Goettingen, Germany, 1993.