Synthetic, Structural, and Redox Studies of Arene Alkyl **Complexes of Tantalum(III) Supported by Aryloxide and Arenethiolate Ligands**

David S. J. Arney,[†] Peter A. Fox,[‡] Michael A. Bruck, and David E. Wigley*

Carl S. Marvel Laboratories of Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721-0041

Received March 10, 1997[®]

A series of η^6 -hexamethylbenzene alkyl and aryl complexes of tantalum(III) supported by aryloxide and arenethiolate ligands have been prepared, characterized, and compared to their halide analogues. Thus, $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)_2Cl$ (1, $Ar = 2,6-C_6H_3^{i}Pr_2$) reacts with MeMgBr at low temperature to afford (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)₂Me (3). Low-temperature alkylation of $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Cl_2$ (2) with 2 equiv of RMgBr forms $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)R_2$ (4, R = Me; 5, R = Et) and with 2 equiv of RLi affords (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)R₂ (6, R = CH₂SiMe₃; 7, R = Ph). Complexes **3**–**7** are more stable than their halide precursors; no products arising from α - or β -H elimination processes were identified upon thermolysis. In addition to NMR studies of these compounds, cyclic voltammetry experiments show two oxidation processes; the Ta(III) \rightleftharpoons Ta(IV) couple is quasi-reversible, and the Ta(IV) \rightarrow Ta(V) process is irreversible. Molecules of 5 exhibit a folded arene ligand with π -electron localization (diene-diyl structure) and normal ethyl ligands (no evidence for agostic interactions). Under the appropriate conditions, $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Cl_2$ (2) can be monoalkylated using 1 equiv of LiCH₂SiMe₃ or LiPh to afford $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(CH_2SiMe_3)Cl$ (8) and $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(Ph)Cl$ (9). However, attempts to monoalkylate (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Cl₂ with 1 equiv of either MeMgBr or EtMgBr provide the "double-exchange" products (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(Me)Br (**10**) and (η^6 -C₆- Me_6 Ta(OAr)(Et)Br (11), respectively. The metathesis product (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(Et)Cl (12) is isolated in good yield upon attempts to alkylate $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(CH_2SiMe_3)Cl$ (8) with ZnEt₂. However, $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)$ Ta(OAr)(CH₂SiMe₃)Cl (8) reacts with PhLi to afford $(\eta^6-C_6 Me_6$ Ta(OAr)(CH₂SiMe₃)Ph (13). The halide alkyl complexes (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(Et)Br (11) and $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(CH_2SiMe_3)Cl$ (8) react with LiBEt₃H to provide the hydrido complexes $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(Et)H$ (14) and $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(CH_2SiMe_3)H$ (15), respectively. The arenethiolate complexes (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(SAr')Cl (**16**) (Ar' = 2,4,6-C₆H₂ⁱPr₃) and (η^6 -C₆-Me₆)Ta(OAr)(S(mes))Cl (17) (mes = 2,4,6-C₆H₂Me₃) are formed upon reacting (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)- $Ta(OAr)Cl_2$ (2) with the appropriate lithium arenethiolate reagent, and the characterization of these species is discussed.

Introduction

Several successful forays have been made into niobium and tantalum arene chemistry in recent years as a result of improved methods for introducing an arene ligand to these metals.¹ The initial coordination of an η^{6} -arene is typically accomplished by one of the following approaches: (1) by reduction of a metal halide in the presence of the arene,²⁻⁴ including Al/AlX₃ reduction under Fischer-Hafner conditions;⁵ (2) by substitution reactions using a halide acceptor, in which reduction of the metal does not occur; $^{6-8}$ (3) by metal vapor synthesis procedures;^{9–12} (4) by alkyne cyclotrimerization chem-

(4) Stollmaier, F.; Thewalt, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 222, 227. (5) Fischer, E. O.; Röhrscheid, F. J. Organomet. Chem. 1966, 6, 53. istry;^{13–19} or more recently, (5) by arene exchange reactions.⁸ These synthetic strategies have permitted access

- (6) Calderazzo, F.; Castellani, M.; Pampaloni, G.; Zanazzi, P. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1985, 1989.
- (7) Calderazzo, F.; Pampaloni, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 423, 307
- (8) Calderazzo, F.; Englert, U.; Pampaloni, G.; Rocchi, L. Angewa Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 1235.
- (9) Cloke, F. G. N.; Green, M. L. H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1981, 1938.
- (10) Cloke, F. G. N.; Courtney, K. A. E.; Sameh, A. A.; Swain, A. C. Polyhedron 1989, 8, 1641.
- (11) Green, M. L. H.; O'Hare, D.; Watkin, J. G. J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun. 1989, 698.
- (12) Green, M. L. H.; O'Hare, D.; Mountford, P.; Watkin, J. G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1991, 1705.
- (13) Bruck, M. A.; Copenhaver, A. S.; Wigley, D. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 6525
- (14) Ballard, K. R.; Gardiner, I. M.; Wigley, D. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, *111*, 2159
- (15) Wexler, P. A.; Wigley, D. E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 664.
- (16) Arney, D. J.; Wexler, P. A.; Wigley, D. E. Organometallics 1990, 9. 1282.
- (17) Arney, D. J.; Wexler, P. A.; Wigley, D. E. Organometallics 1991, 10, 3947.
- (18) Wexler, P. A.; Wigley, D. E.; Koerner, J. B.; Albright, T. A. *Organometallics* **1991**, *10*, 2319.
- (19) Smith, D. P.; Strickler, J. R.; Gray, S. D.; Bruck, M. A.; Holmes, R. S.; Wigley, D. E. *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 1275.

© 1997 American Chemical Society

[†] Present address: 3M Ceramic Technology Center, St. Paul, MN, 55144-1000.

[‡] Present address: Department of Chemistry, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200 Thailand.

Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, June 15, 1997.

⁽¹⁾ Wigley, D. E.; Gray, S. D. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*; Abel, E. W., Stone, F. G. A., Wilkinson, G., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1995; Vol. 5, pp 57–153.

⁽²⁾ Calderazzo, F.; Pampaloni, G.; Rocchi, L.; Strähle, J.; Wurst, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1991**, *30*, 102.

⁽³⁾ Calderazzo, F.; Pampaloni, G.; Rocchi, L.; Strähle, J.; Wurst, K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 413, 91.

to a range of oxidation states in niobium and tantalum arenes, from $d^6 M(-I)$ to $d^1 M(IV)$.¹

In contrast to complexes of niobium, the majority of d² Ta(III) arene species have been prepared by alkyne cycloaddition methods and contain a metal supported by aryloxide ligation.¹ These complexes have proven especially valuable since rare Ta(II) and Ta(IV) arenes are both accessible from redox reactions of their Ta(III) counterparts. Thus, stable Ta(II) complexes (η^6 -C₆R₆)-Ta(OAr)₂ (R = Me, Et; Ar = $2.6 \cdot C_6 H_3^i Pr_2$) are prepared from the one-electron reduction of $(\eta^6-C_6R_6)Ta(OAr)_2$ -Cl,^{15,18} and electrochemical evidence has been presented for the existence of labile $[(\eta^6 - C_6 Me_6)Ta(OAr)R_2]^+$ complexes,¹⁷ thereby affording the first evidence for d¹ arene species.

Arene complexes of Ta(III) are also of interest for the unusual structural properties they may exhibit. For example, Wolczanski and co-workers have prepared $\{\mu$ - $\eta^{2}(1,2):\eta^{2}(4,5)-C_{6}H_{6}$ [Ta(silox)₃]₂ (silox = OSi^tBu₃) in which two d² tantalum species bind opposite faces of benzene in an η^2 -fashion.²⁰ More relevant to this study are the structural distortions that often occur when an arene coordinates η^6 to Ta(III), viz. significant ligand folding and π -electron localization are typically observed.16

Finally, the hexamethylbenzene complex (η^6 -C₆Me₆)- $Ta(OAr)_2Cl$ (1, $Ar = 2,6-C_6H_3^iPr_2$) has been shown to engage in an intramolecular C-H bond activation to provide an unstable, transient "tuck-in" complex (η^6, η^1 -C₆Me₅CH₂)Ta(OAr)₂(H)Cl.¹⁴ This oxidation reaction generates a d⁰ metal center that labilizes the η^6 portion of a nascent η^6 , η^1 -C₆Me₅CH₂ ligand and results in the isolation of a $d^0 \eta^1$ -C₆Me₅CH₂ complex.¹⁶ This process is related to the intramolecular metalation in pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligands (η^{5} -C₅Me₅) that provide stable complexes containing the η^5 , η^1 -C₅Me₄CH₂ moiety.²¹ The prospect of preparing *isolable* η^6, η^1 -C₆Me₅-CH₂ complexes led us to identify η^6 -C₆Me₆ Ta(III) species containing alkyl or hydride ligands as synthetic targets, in an effort to induce C–H addition of the η^6 -C₆Me₆ moiety to a Ta-R or Ta-H bond. In this report, the preparation and properties of a series of arene alkyl complexes of Ta(III) are described in which the metal is supported by aryloxide or arenethiolate ligands. Niobium and tantalum η^6 -arenes have recently been reviewed,^{1,7} and a portion of these results have been communicated.17

Results

Alkylation Studies of Tantalum(III) Arene Species: Dialkyl and Alkyl Halide Complexes. Blue crystals of $(\eta^6 - C_6 Me_6) Ta(OAr)_2 Cl (1, Ar = 2, 6 - C_6 H_3^i Pr_2)$



are prepared by reducing $Ta(OAr)_2Cl_3(OEt_2)$ by two electrons in the presence of MeC=CMe as previously





described.^{13,14} Turquoise (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Cl₂ (**2**) is subsequently available in near quantitative yield from the metathesis of $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)_2Cl$ (1) with Ta- $(OAr)_2Cl_3(OEt_2)$, a reaction that is driven by the stability of byproduct Ta(OAr)₃Cl₂(OEt₂).¹⁶ Alkylation studies of both **1** and **2** have been carried out. $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)_{2}$ -Cl (1) can be smoothly alkylated with 1 equiv of MeMgBr (Et₂O, -60 °C) to afford (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)₂-Me (3) as purple crystals in moderate yield, eq 1. Attempts to alkylate 1 with larger alkyls (Et, CH₂SiMe₃, or Ph), using either Grignards or alkyllithium reagents, yielded only intractable oils from which no organometallic products could be isolated.

 $(\eta^6 - C_6 Me_6) Ta(OAr) Cl_2$ (2) reacts rapidly with 2 equiv of MeMgBr at low temperature to provide, after appropriate workup, blue-violet crystals of (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta-(OAr)Me₂ (4) in ca. 75% yield, Scheme 1. The analogous reactions using 2 equiv of EtMgBr, Me₃SiCH₂Li, or PhLi afford blue-violet (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Et₂ (5), dark violet $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(CH_{2}SiMe_{3})_{2}$ (6), and purple $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}-C_{6})^{-1}$ Me₆)Ta(OAr)Ph₂ (7) in high yield. Molecular ion peaks were obtained for $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Me_2$ (4, m/z = 550) and $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Et_2$ (5, m/z = 578) in low resolution CI mass spectrometry studies. In contrast to the precursor dichloride 2 and monochloride 1, complexes **3**–7 are more stable thermally (qualitatively, $7 > 3 \approx$ 4 > 6 > 5). For example, while $(\eta^6 - C_6 Me_6) Ta(OAr)_n$ Cl_{3-n} (n = 1, 2) are completely decomposed in <1 min in refluxing toluene- d_{8} , ¹⁶ (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Me₂ (4) and $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)Ph_{2}$ (7) are only ca. 40–50% decomposed after 5 days under these conditions. The only identifiable product formed upon thermolyzing 3-7 is C₆Me₆; the metal-containing species reduce to an intractable, insoluble material. Even the β -H-containing complex $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Et_2$ (5) thermally decomposes more slowly than the chloride complexes, and the expected elimination products (e.g., C₂H₆ or C₂H₄) were not observed spectroscopically. Exhaustive photolysis of these compounds achieved the same results over a shorter period; for example, solutions of 5 and 7 were completely decomposed over a period of 2-3 h, and all the Ta(III) arene complexes were decomposed in <48 h under photolytic conditions (¹H NMR, C₆D₆).

Because facile elimination or tuck-in reactions were not observed in the dialkyl complexes,²² we sought to prepare monoalkyl halide species from which we might access the corresponding alkyl hydrides. However,

⁽²⁰⁾ Neithamer, D. R.; Párkányi, L.; Mitchell, J. F.; Wolczanski, P. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4421.
 (21) Rothwell, I. P. Polyhedron 1985, 4, 177.

⁽²²⁾ McDade, C.; Green, J. C.; Bercaw, J. E. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1629.





attempts to prepare pure $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(Me)Cl$ by the reaction of $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Cl_2$ with 1 equiv of MeMgCl or MeLi under the conditions outlined above provides a low yield of $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Me_2$, along with intractable decomposition products. However, the mono(alkyl) complex $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(CH_2SiMe_3)Cl$ (8) is readily prepared from reacting $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta$ -(OAr)Cl₂ (2) with 1 equiv of LiCH₂SiMe₃ under these conditions (toluene/Et₂O, -60 °C), Scheme 2. Our attempts to prepare (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(Ph)Cl from (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Cl₂ and 1 equiv of LiPh under similar conditions were also problematic. However, we have found that this reaction proceeds smoothly using Ph-MgCl in benzene/THF, even at room temperature, to afford blue $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(Ph)Cl$ (9) in moderate to high yields, Scheme 2. Therefore, the mixed alkyl halide complexes such as 8 and 9 are clearly stable, but the synthetic approaches to these species are critical to ensure that further reaction or degradation is halted.

Quite different results were obtained when alkylating $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Cl_2$ with the bromide Grignards. $(\eta^6-$ C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Cl₂ (2) reacts with 1 equiv of MeMgBr or EtMgBr to provide the "double-exchange" products $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(Me)Br$ (10) and $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)$ -(Et)Br (11), respectively, in >95% yield (¹H NMR), Scheme 2. The complexes were identified by, inter alia, their CI mass spectra in which bromine isotopes were obvious: $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(Me)Br$ (10) m/z = 614 (^{79}Br) , 616 (^{81}Br) ; $(\eta^6 - C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(Et)Br$ (11) m/z =628 (⁷⁹Br), 630 (⁸¹Br). These reactions are no doubt driven by the lattice energy of MgCl₂, as the expected product in the reaction **2** and EtMgBr, $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta$ -(OAr)(Et)Cl (vide infra), is observed in <5% yield. This species is presumed to be a kinetic product that reacts further with byproduct "MgClBr" to form 11 and MgCl₂.

 $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(Et)Cl$ (12) can be prepared by an independent and equally interesting metathesis reaction. Thus, $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(CH_{2}SiMe_{3})Cl$ reacts with 0.5 equiv of ZnEt₂ (Et₂O, -30 °C) in an unusual exchange reaction to form the unexpected product ($\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6}$)Ta(OAr)(Et)Cl (12) in moderate yield, along with Zn(CH₂SiMe₃)₂, identified by ¹H NMR, eq 2. This



reaction may provide some insight into the relative bond energetics of early transition metal verses zinc alkyl since qualitatively the bond energies follow [(Ta^{III}-Et) + (Zn-CH₂SiMe₃)] > [(Ta^{III}-CH₂SiMe₃) + (Zn-Et)].

The utility of the monohalide compounds as precursors to mixed ligand dialkyl species was examined using $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(CH_{2}SiMe_{3})Cl$ (8). The reaction of $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(CH_{2}SiMe_{3})Cl$ (8) with 1 equiv of PhLi gives $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(CH_{2}SiMe_{3})Ph$ (13) in high yield as a purple solid, eq 3. Compound 13 is formed



in near quantitative yield but isolated in ca. 70% yield due to its high solubility. This species exhibits a thermal stability that is intermediate between the diphenyl derivative 7 and the bis(CH₂SiMe₃) complex **6**.

Tantalum(III) Arene Alkyl Hydride Complexes. With the required alkyl halide species in hand, we set out to prepare alkyl hydride complexes. (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta-(OAr)EtBr (**11**) reacts with LiBEt₃H (Et₂O, -60 °C) to provide (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Et(H) (**14**) as an extremely soluble, magenta solid in high yield, eq 4. Similarly,



 $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(CH_{2}SiMe_{3})Cl$ (8) reacts smoothly with LiBEt₃H under identical conditions to afford $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(CH_{2}SiMe_{3})(H)$ (15) as a violet solid, eq 5. Both 14 and 15 exhibit a Ta*H* hydride resonance at



ca. δ 5.87 (C₆D₆) and a ν (Ta-H) stretch between 1760 and 1780 cm⁻¹. While **15** is stable for months at room temperature in Et₂O (and for days in THF), **14** decomposes slowly (to C₆Me₆ and unidentified products) over a period of hours.

Thermolytic decomposition studies of **14** and **15** provided no evidence for the generation of a tuck-in complex (see Discussion section). However, preliminary evidence was uncovered for the occurrence of a hydride exchange process. Thus, the reaction of (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta-(OAr)(CH₂SiMe₃)Cl (**10**) with LiBEt₃D (Et₂O, -60 °C) provides a violet solid in high yield. A ¹H NMR study of this complex reveals a spectrum identical to that of (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(CH₂SiMe₃)(Cl (**12**) with the hydride resonance at δ 5.87 (C₆D₆) integrating to ca. 0.67 protons. Although evidence as to the nature of this supposed exchange process has not been obtained,

Table 1. Details of the X-ray Diffraction Study of $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Et_2$ (5)

Crystal Parameters				
molecular formula	C ₂₈ H ₄₅ OTa			
mol wt	578.62			
<i>F</i> (000)	1176			
cryst color	red			
space group	orthorhombic, Pnma (No. 62)			
unit cell volume, Å ³	2671.8(11)			
a, Å	18.717(4)			
b, Å	12.487(4)			
<i>c</i> , Å	11.431(3)			
Ζ	4			
$D(\text{calcd}), \text{ g cm}^{-3}$	1.44			
cryst dimens, mm	$0.50\times0.30\times0.62$			
ω width, deg	0.25			
abs coeff, cm ⁻¹	40.8			
data collection temp, °C	23 ± 1			
Data Colle	ection			
diffractometer	Enraf-Nonius CAD4			
monochromator	graphite crystal			
	incident beam			
Mo K α radiation λ Å	0 710 73			
2θ range deg	2-50			
octants collected	$\frac{2}{k}$ $\frac{30}{k}$ $\frac{1}{k}$ $\frac{1}{k}$			
scan type	$w=2\theta$			
scan speed deg min $^{-1}$	1-7			
scan width deg	$20 \pm (2\theta K\alpha_{e} - 2\theta K\alpha_{1})$			
total no of refins measd	2811 (2456 unique)			
corrections	Lorentz-nolarization: <i>w</i> -scan			
	absorption (min 0.585,			
	max 0.999, avg 0.789)			
Solution and R	efinement			
solution	direct methods			
refinement	full-matrix least-squares			
minimization function	$\sum W(F_0 - F_c)^2$			
no. of reflns used in refinement; $I > 3\sigma(I)$	2005			
no. of params refined	228			
$R\left(\sum F_{o} - F_{c} /\sum F_{o} \right)$	0.020			
$Rw ([\Sigma w(F_0 - F_c)^2 / \Sigma w(F_0)^2]^{1/2})$	0.027			
esd of obs of unit weight	1.18			
convergence, largest shift	0.02σ			
$\Delta/\sigma(\max), e^{-1/A^3}$	0.42 (6)			
$\Delta/\sigma(\text{min}), e^{-1}/\text{Å}^3$	-0.58 (0)			
computer hardware	VAX			
computer software	SDP/VAX (Enraf-Nonius)			

experiments to determine whether this is an exchange process into the ring or other ancillary ligand are being examined.

X-ray Structural Study of (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Et₂ (5). Dark blue-violet, single crystals of (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta-(OAr)Et₂ (5) suitable for an X-ray structural study were grown from pentane at -35 °C. A summary of the crystal data and structural analysis are given in Table 1, and relevant bond distances and bond angles are provided in Table 2. Figure 1 presents two views of (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Et₂ in which the crystallographic mirror symmetry is evident. Altogether, 14 of the 30 nonhydrogen atoms lie along this crystallographically imposed mirror plane. The plane is *perpendicular* to the C₆Me₆ ligand and *contains* the OAr phenyl ring and the Ta atom, as well as C(1), C(1a), C(4), and C(4a) of the C₆Me₆ ligand.

The molecular structure of (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Et₂ (**5**) reveals an interesting comparison to the parent dichloride complex **2**.¹⁶ As seen in Figure 1, the η^{6} -C₆Me₆ ligand in (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Et₂ displays the following structural features: (1) substantial folding; the dihedral angle between the C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4) and C(1)-C(2')-C(3')-C(4) planes in **5** is 27.3(0.2)°, which compares with 26.8(0.3)° for (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Cl₂ (**2**); (2)

Table 2.	Selected	Bond	Distanc	es (Å)	and Bond
Angle	s (deg) in	(η ⁶ -C	Me ₆)Ta(OAr)E	Et ₂ (5) ^{a,b}

Bond Distances								
Ta-C(1)	2.302(5)	Ta-C(10)	2.207(4)					
Ta-C(2)	2.513(3)	C(10) - C(11)	1.510(7)					
Ta-C(3)	2.448(4)	Ta-O(20)	1.912(3)					
Ta-C(4)	2.187(5)	O(20)-C(21)	1.363(6)					
C(1)-C(2)	1.457(4)	C(3)-C(4)	1.469(5)					
C(2) - C(3)	1.372(5)							
Bond Angles								
Bz*-Ta-C(10)	109.38(9)	C(1)-Ta-O(20)	170.9(2)					
Bz*-Ta-O(20)	151.0(1)	C(1)-Ta-C(10)	86.4(1)					
C(10)-Ta-C(10')	103.1(1)	C(1)-Ta-C(4)	78.2(2)					
O(20)-Ta-C(10)	88.0(1)	C(4)-Ta-O(20)	110.9 (2)					
Ta-O(20)-C(21)	177.4(3)	C(4)-Ta-C(10)	126.3(1)					
Ta - C(10) - C(11)	113.1(3)							

 a Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits. $^bBz^*=C_6Me_6$ centroid.



Figure 1. ORTEP drawings of $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)$ Ta(OAr)Et₂ (5), with atoms shown as 50% probability ellipsoids.

an interruption of aromaticity within the C₆Me₆ ring, *viz.* a diene–diyl (or 1,4-diene) type π localization; and (3) the close approach of C(1) and C(4) to the metal, *viz.* 2.302(5) and 2.187(5) Å, respectively, compared to an average 2.481(4) Å for the other arene carbons. These structural features are duplicated in all other structurally characterized Ta(III) arene compounds and may be attributed to a selective interaction between a filled metal δ function ($d_{x^2-y^2}$, where the mirror plane constitutes the *xz* plane) with *one* arene π^* LUMO of the E_{2u} set (B₂ symmetry), as previously described.^{16,18} In addition, the longer Ta–C(1) vs Ta–C(4) metal–carbon distances may reflect a structural *trans* effect since C(1) is *trans* to the strong π donor aryloxide ligand. The rotational conformation of the arene ring in 5 is such that the ethyl Ta- C_{α} bonds and alkoxide Ta-O- C_{ipso} linkage perfectly eclipse the arene carbon atoms rather than stagger them as the Ta-ligand bonds do in (η^6 - $C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Cl_2$ (2).¹⁶ Finally, the plane of the OAr ligand in 5 is oriented perpendicular to the arene ligand and 90° from the analogous OAr plane in 2. The orientation of the ethyl ligands about the metal center can be rationalized by a space-filling model of the molecule in which the ethyl ligands are shown to fit nicely into a steric pocket formed by the hexamethylbenzene ring and the isopropyl groups on the OAr ligand. No evidence for agostic interactions in the structure of 5 are evident.

Tantalum(III) Arene Complexes Supported by **Arenethiolate Ligands.** We recently described the η^2 -(N, C)-pyridine complexes $[\eta^2(N, C)-2, 4, 6-NC_5^tBu_3H_2]$ Ta- $(OAr)_2 X$ (X = Cl,^{23,24} alkyl,²⁴ or aryl²⁵) and related $[\eta^2(N,C)$ -quinoline]Ta(OAr)_nCl_{3-n}L (n = 2, 3)²⁶ as models for the catalyst-substrate complex in hydrodenitrogenation (HDN) catalysis.^{27,28} The importance of the reducing ability of Ta(III) in binding and disrupting the aromaticity of the nitrogen heterocycle has been demonstrated, ^{20,29} although the nitrogen heterocycles are π localized in a 1,3-diene fashion rather than the 1,4-diene localization described above for the η^6 -C₆Me₆ ligands. Because most hydrotreating catalysts are metal sulfide based,^{27,28,30,31} we set out to compare these aryloxidesupported species to more sulfur-rich Ta(III) complexes.32

Reacting $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Cl_2$ (2) with 1 equiv of LiSAr' (Ar' = 2,4,6-C₆H₂ⁱPr₃, Et₂O, room temperature) afforded blue $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(SAr')Cl$ (16) in high yield, Scheme 3. This compound exhibits improved thermal stability over its anyloxide analogue (η^6 -C₆- Me_6)Ta(OAr)₂Cl (1) as well as its precursor 2. Light blue crystals of $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(S(mes))Cl$ (17, mes $= 2,4,6-C_6H_2Me_3$) are also available in high yield from 2 and LiS(mes)(dme) under similar conditions, Scheme 3. The greater thermal stability of this complex as compared to 1 or 2 is also noted.

Attempts to alkylate $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(SAr')Cl$ (16) using a variety of alkylating agents did not afford the alkyl (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(SAr')R species cleanly; considerable decomposition of the starting complex is observed in these reactions. Since $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Cl_2$ (2) is prepared from $(\eta^6 - C_6 Me_6) Ta(OAr)_2 Cl$ (1) and $Ta(OAr)_2$ - $Cl_3(OEt_2)$,¹⁶ we attempted the reaction of (η^6 -C₆Me₆)-

(28) Satterfield, C. N. Heterogeneous Catalysis in Industrial Practice, 2nd ed.; McGraw-Hill, Inc.: New York, 1991.

(29) Covert, K. J.; Neithamer, D. R.; Zonnevylle, M. C.; LaPointe,
R. E.; Schaller, C. P.; Wolczanski, P. T. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 2494.
(30) Ho, T. C. *Catal. Rev.–Sci. Eng.* **1988**, *30*, 117.
(31) Ledoux, M. J. *Catalysis*, The Chemical Society: London, 1988;

Vol. 7, pp 125–148.
(32) Industrial hydrodenitrogenation catalysis is typically effected over *sulfided* CoMo/Al₂O₃ or NiMo/Al₂O₃ in which the most active site consists of crystallites of MoS_2 dotted with cobalt (or nickel) atoms; therefore, the active site is very sulfur-rich. See refs 27, 28, 30, and 31.



Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms of (a) $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta$ - $(OAr)Ph_2$ (7) in CH₂Cl₂, (b) $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Me_2$ (4) in THF, and (c) $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(CH_2SiMe_3)Cl$ (8) in THF. All solutions are 0.1 M in ⁿBu₄NPF₆ and voltammograms are taken at a Pt disk electrode (vs Ag/AgCl) at a scan rate of 150 mV/s.

Ta(OAr)(S(mes))Cl (17) with Ta(OAr)₂Cl₃(OEt₂) in an attempt to prepare (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(S(mes))Cl₂. However, under a variety of conditions, only starting material was recovered from this reaction. Finally, we note that some of the HDN substrate-catalyst models such as $[\eta^2(N, C)$ -6-methylquinoline]Ta(OAr)₂Cl(OEt₂)²⁶ are best prepared by arene displacement in $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)_2Cl(1)$ with the appropriate N-heterocycle. In preliminary studies, however, neither 16 nor 17 have, in our hands, reacted smoothly with 6-methylquinoline to afford the corresponding $[\eta^2(N,C)$ -6-methylquinoline]Ta(SR)(OAr)Cl species.

Electrochemical Studies of Tantalum(III) Arene Alkyls: Evidence for the Formation of Tantalum-(IV) Arene Species. The stability of some of these arene alkyl complexes has permitted their electrochemical characterization. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) experiments on $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Ph_2$ (7) (CH₂Cl₂, 0.1 M in ⁿBu₄NPF₆) reveal two, one-electron oxidation processes, Figure 2 and Table 3. A quasi-reversible oxidation (the Ta(III) \rightleftharpoons Ta(IV) couple) occurs at $E_{1/2} = -0.22$ V vs Ag/ AgCl ($E_{pa} - E_{pc} = 120$ mV) while a second, irreversible oxidation (Ta(IV) \rightarrow Ta(V)) comes at $E_{pa} = +0.53$ V vs

⁽²³⁾ Gray, S. D.; Smith, D. P.; Bruck, M. A.; Wigley, D. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 5462.

⁽²⁴⁾ Gray, S. D.; Weller, K. J.; Bruck, M. A.; Briggs, P. M.; Wigley,

D. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 10678.
 (25) Weller, K. J.; Gray, S. D.; Briggs, P. M.; Wigley, D. E. Organometallics 1995, 14, 5588.

⁽²⁶⁾ Allen, K. D.; Bruck, M. A.; Gray, S. D.; Kingsborough, R. P.;
Smith, D. P.; Weller, K. J.; Wigley, D. E. *Polyhedron* 1995, *14*, 3315.
(27) Angelici, R. J. In *Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry*; King,
R. B., Ed.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1994; Vol. 3; pp 1433–

¹⁴⁴³

Table 3. Redox Potentials (V vs Ag/AgCl) for Arene Alkyl Complexes of Tantalum^a

complex	E^1 pa	$E^2_{\rm pa}$	$E_{\rm pc}$			
THF Solution						
$(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)Me_{2}$ (4)	+0.07	+0.50				
$(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Et_2$ (5) ^b	-0.02	+0.39				
$(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(Ph)_{2}$ (7)	+0.12					
$(\eta^6 - C_6 Me_6) Ta(OAr)(CH_2 SiMe_3) Cl$ (8)	+0.18	+0.64	-1.91			
$(\eta^6 - C_6 Me_6) Ta(OAr)(Et) Br (11)$	+0.22	+0.70	-2.03			
$(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(CH_{2}SiMe_{3})H$ (15)	+0.12					
CH ₂ Cl ₂ Solution						

 $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(Ph)_{2}$ (7) $E_{1/2} = -0.22 + 0.53$

^a All solutions were 0.1 M in ⁿBu₄NPF₆, and all potentials are reported in V vs Ag/AgCl. ^b The redox potentials for $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)$ -Ta(OAr)Et₂ (5) in THF solution are incorrectly reported in ref 17.

Ag/AgCl. A plot of i_{pa} vs the square root of the sweep rate $(v^{1/2})$ is linear (R = 0.993) for the -0.22 V oxidation, and i_{pa}/i_{pc} becomes 1.0 at scan rates >300 mV/s, so this process is best described as quasi-reversible on the CV time scale. Bulk electrolysis of a solution of 7 reveals that 1.0 \pm 0.1 electron is transferred in the -0.22 V oxidation, although the resulting solution is devoid of electrochemically active species. Dilute samples of 7 may be chemically oxidized in toluene ([Cp₂Fe][BPh₄], -78 °C) to afford *highly* reactive solutions that exhibit an ESR signal (X-band, $\langle g \rangle = 1.933$, peak-to-peak separation = 145 G). However, 181 Ta hyperfine is not observed (at room temperature or -196 °C) nor necessarily expected in these spectra.^{16,18} Cyclic voltammetry experiments on THF solutions of $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Ph_2$ (7) were less informative, since these solutions were less stable than the CH₂Cl₂ solutions described above. An irreversible oxidation of 7 appears at +0.12 V vs Ag/ AgCl and is followed by ill-defined, irreversible electrochemical processes.

Cyclic voltammetry experiments on the remaining arene complexes, however, were conducted in THF since their CH₂Cl₂ and N≡CMe solutions are unstable. Compounds 4 and 5 also exhibit two electrochemical oxidations in THF but both are irreversible, Table 3. Consistent with the ease of oxidation of $(\eta^6$ - C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Me₂ (4) is the very low energy ionization band (5.91 eV) observed in its He I photoelectron spectrum. Although $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)_2Cl$ (1) can be reduced by one electron to afford isolable (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)-Ta(OAr)₂,¹⁸ **4** or **5** do not undergo electrochemical reduction to ca. -2 V vs Ag/AgCl in THF. All of these data support the metal center in these complexes being more electron rich than in their chloride precursor and suggest that their improved thermal stability may arise through enhanced back-bonding to the arene.

The alkyl halide complexes (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(CH₂-SiMe₃)Cl (8) and $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(Et)Br$ (11) were examined in THF solution and, like their dialkyl analogues, exhibit two irreversible electrochemical oxidations. However, unlike 4 and 5, compounds 8 and 11 now reveal an irreversible electrochemical reduction near -2 V vs Ag/AgCl in THF, Figure 2 and Table 3. This reduction does not constitute a product wave that arises as a result of the oxidations, since this feature is also observed with initial negative potential sweeps. The alkyl hydrides were found to be very unstable in these experiments. Thus, $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(CH_2SiMe_3)H$ (15) reveals a single, completely irreversible oxidation process that produces a species without electrochemical activity. Finally, we note that the cyclic voltammetry scans shown in Figure 2 represent the best data obtained with these compounds. Most of the complexes reported here (including the arenethiolate species) exhibit limited stability in polar electrochemical solvents, and the irreversible processes that typically follow the initial oxidation suggest an even greater instability of a resulting cation.

Discussion

The arene alkyl complexes reported here all contain the η^6 -C₆Me₆ ligand and were of interest to us for, among other things, their potential ability to tuck-in or C–H activate a C₆Me₆ methyl group to afford an η^6 , η^1 -C₆Me₅CH₂ ligand.¹⁴ Intramolecular metalation of a C-H bond²¹ in pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligands $(\eta^5$ -C₅Me₅) can provide isolable complexes containing the tucked-in η^5 , η^1 -C₅Me₄CH₂ moiety, and various mechanistic pathways may lead to such compounds. For example, C-H addition to an alkyl,^{33,34} hydride,³⁵ or benzyne³⁶ ligand, C-H addition across a metal-carbon double bond,^{22,37} or C-H oxidative addition to a d² or d⁴ metal^{38,39} are all known to afford tucked-in complexes. The hexamethylbenzene ligand (η^6 -C₆Me₆) has also been observed to tuck-in, but only by oxidative addition to a d^2 metal.¹⁴ This process renders the η^6 portion of a nascent η^6 , η^1 -C₆Me₅CH₂ ligand labile and results in the isolation of a d⁰ η^1 -C₆Me₅CH₂ complex.¹⁶ Apparently, when δ symmetry back-bonding between a metal orbital and arene LUMO levels is lost, *i.e.*, upon oxidizing the metal to a *neutral* d^0 complex, simple $L \rightarrow M$ donation is not sufficient to maintain arene coordination in a neutral complex. (This does not appear to be the case when the metal center is *cationic*, as $[(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)TiCl_3]^+$ is a stable species.^{40,41})

Therefore, trapping a neutral η^6 , η^1 -C₆Me₅CH₂ complex will most likely require a d² metal, a possibility that prompted us to prepare the η^6 -C₆Me₆ complexes of Ta(III) containing alkyl, phenyl, and hydride ligands reported here. However, thermolytic decomposition studies of all these compounds provided no evidence for the generation of a tuck-in complex; only free C₆Me₆ and uncharacterizable, intractable decomposition products were obtained.

The most striking feature of these Ta(III) arene alkyl complexes is their resistance to elimination processes. In contrast to the precursor chloride compounds (η^{6} -C₆-Me₆)Ta(OAr)₂Cl (1) and (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Cl₂ (2), alkyl and dialkyl complexes are comparatively stable thermally, although they are extremely air sensitive. Also significant is the electrochemical evidence for the for-

- (37) Bulls, A. R.; Schaefer, W. P.; Serfas, M.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Am.
- *Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *6*, 1219. (38) Parkin, G.; Bunel, E.; Burger, B. J.; Trimmer, M. S.; Van Asselt, A.; Bercaw, J. E. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1987**, *41*, 21.
- (39) Some tuck-in processes are proposed as oxidative additions to a d⁴ metal, see: Parkin, G.; Bercaw, J. E. *Polyhedron* **1988**, *7*, 2053.
 (40) Solari, E.; Floriani, C.; Chiesi-Villa, A.; Guastini, C. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 1747.
- (41) Bochmann, M.; Karger, G.; Jaggar, A. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990. 1038.

⁽³³⁾ Bruno, J. W.; Smith, G. M.; Marks, T. J.; Fair, C. K.; Schultz,

A. J.; Williams, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 40.
 (34) Watson, P. L.; Parshall, G. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 51.
 (35) Cloke, F. G. N.; Green, J. C.; Green, M. L. H.; Morley, C. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 945.

⁽³⁶⁾ Schock, L. E.; Brock, C. P.; Marks, T. J. Organometallics 1987, 6, 232

mation and limited stability of a d¹ arene complex, an observation that supports their proposed participation in the deoxygenative coupling of an acyl and a cyclopentadienyl ligand as suggested by Meyer and Messerle.⁴²

Experimental Section

General Details. All experiments were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere either by standard Schlenk techniques⁴³ or in a Vacuum Atmospheres HE-493 drybox at room temperature (unless otherwise indicated). Solvents were distilled under N₂ from an appropriate drying agent⁴⁴ and were transferred to the drybox without exposure to air. NMR solvents were passed down a short (5–6 cm) column of activated alumina prior to use. The "cold" solvents used to wash isolated products were cooled to ca. -35 °C before use. Because compound colors are quite similar, yet exhibit subtle differences, the reported colors are based upon close comparisons with the nominal crayon colors of the Crayola 64 collection. In all preparations, Ar = 2,6-C₆H₃ⁱPr₂, Ar' = 2,4,6-C₆H₂ⁱPr₃, and mes = 2,4,6-C₆H₂Me₃.

Physical Measurements. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at probe temperature (unless otherwise specified) on a Bruker AM-250, Varian Gemini 200, or Varian Unity 300 spectrometer in C₆D₆ or toluene-d₈ solvent. Chemical shifts are referenced to protio impurities (δ 7.15, C₆D₆; 2.09, toluene d_8) or solvent ¹³C resonances (δ 128.0, C₆D₆; 20.4, toluene- d_8) and are reported downfield of SiMe₄. Routine coupling constants are not reported. NMR assignments were assisted by COSY, APT, or gated ¹³C{¹H}-decoupled spectra. Routine coupling constants are not reported. Electron ionization mass spectra (70 eV) were recorded to m/z = 999 on a Hewlett-Packard 5970 mass selective detector and RTE-6/VM data system. For GC-mass spectra, the sample was introduced into the mass spectrometer by a Hewlett-Packard Model 5890 gas chromatograph equipped with an HP-5 column. Cyclic voltammetry experiments were performed in a drybox under a nitrogen atmosphere using a BioAnalytical Systems CV-27 voltammograph and recorded on a Houston Instruments Model 100 X-Y recorder. Measurements were taken on a Pt disk electrode in CH₂Cl₂ or THF solutions containing 0.1 M ⁿBu₄-NPF₆ as supporting electrolyte in a single-chamber cell. Voltammograms were recorded at room temperature and at a sweep rate of 150 mV/s. $E_{1/2}$ values (taken as $(E_{p,a} + E_{p,c})/2$) are referenced to Ag/AgCl and are uncorrected for junction potentials. Values of *n*, where *n* is the number of equivalents of electrons transferred in an exhaustive electrolysis at a constant potential, were determined by measuring the total area under the current vs time curves for the complete reaction. Redox reactions were considered one-electron processes if $n = 1.0 \pm 0.1$. The *n*-value determinations were obtained in a three-chamber cell configuration (with solution contact through fritted disks) to separate reference and auxiliary electrodes from the Pt flag working electrode. Reversibility criteria have been stated.45 Chemical ionization mass spectra were recorded to m/z = 999 on a Hewlett-Packard 5988A in both positive ion (isobutane reagent) and negative ion (methane reagent) modes. The He I valence PES spectrum of 4 was measured using a McPherson ESCA 36 spectrometer modified with a temperature-controlled sample cell and conditions previously described.⁴⁶ The gas-phase sample was generated at ca. 110 °C and 2×10^{-5} Torr, and the operating resolution for the argon ${}^{2}P_{3/2}$ ionization (15.76 eV) was maintained at 0.016–0.020 eV throughout data collection. This argon ionization was used as an internal "lock" during the high-resolution He I signal averaging (7–12 eV collections) to maintain drift from the absolute kinetic energy at <0.005 eV. X-band ESR spectra were recorded on toluene solutions or glasses using a Varian E-3 spectrometer. Microanalytical samples were handled under nitrogen and were combusted with WO₃ (Desert Analytics, Tucson, AZ).

Starting Materials. TaCl₅ was obtained from Cerac and used as received. $[Ta(OAr)Cl_4]_2$,¹⁶ LiOAr•OEt₂,⁴⁷ (η^6 -C₆Me₆)- $Ta(OAr)_2Cl (1)$, ¹⁴ (η^6 -C₆Me₆) $Ta(OAr)Cl_2 (2)$, ¹⁶ LiCH₂SiMe₃, ⁴⁸ 2,4,6-triisopropylthiophenol (HSAr'),49 and 2,4,6-trimethylthiophenol (HS(mes))⁴⁹ were prepared as previously described. The lithium salts LiSAr' and LiS(mes) were prepared by reacting HSAr' and HS(mes), respectively, with n-butyllithium in pentane. The more soluble dimethoxyethane (dme) adduct LiS(mes)(dme) was prepared by dissolving LiS(mes) in dme and removing the excess solvent in vacuo. The reagents MeMgBr (3 M in Et₂O), EtMgBr (3 M in Et₂O), LiBEt₃H (1 M in THF), PhLi (1.8 M in Et₂O), PhMgCl (2 M in THF), and *n*-butyllithium (1.6 M in hexanes) were obtained from Aldrich and were used as received. ZnMe₂ (Alfa), ZnEt₂ (Aldrich), and AlMe3 (Aldrich) were obtained from commercial sources and prepared as either 1.0 or 2.0 M solutions in heptane.

Compound Preparations. $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)_{2}Me$ (3). $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)_{2}Cl$ (0.30 g, 0.41 mmol) was dissolved in 30 mL of Et₂O and cooled to -60 °C (CO₂/isopropyl alcohol bath). This navy blue solution was rapidly stirred while 0.13 mL (0.39 mmol) of a MeMgBr solution (3 M in Et₂O) was added dropwise over 15 min. The solution color immediately changed to dark purple with the precipitation of a white solid. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature over a period of 4 h, over which it became darker purple in color. After the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 12 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo yielding a purple cake. The product was extracted from the cake with ca. 50 mL of pentane, the extract was filtered through Celite, and the solvent was removed in vacuo yielding a purple, oily solid. This solid was dissolved in minimal pentane and cooled to -35 °C for 48 h to provide 0.19 g (0.26 mmol, 64%) of dark purple crystals, which were filtered off and dried in vacuo. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6): δ 7.09–6.91 (A2B m, 6 H, Haryl), 3.16 (sept, 4 H, CHMe2), 1.87 (s, 18 H, C₆Me₆), 1.19, 1.15 (d, 12 H each, J_{HH'} = 6.9 Hz, CHMe₂), 0.22 (s, 3 H, TaCH₃). The solution was cooled to 185 K in toluene d_8 with no observed change in its spectrum other than broadening due to increased solvent viscosity. ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 156.9 (C_{ipso}), 136.7 (C_o), 123.6 (C_m), 121.5 (C_p), 113.5 (C₆Me₆), 26.1 (CHMe₂), 25.0, 24.5 (CHMe₂), 24.00 (TaCH₃), 15.9 (C₆Me₆). Anal. Calcd for C₃₇H₅₅O₂Ta: C, 62.35; H, 7.72. Found: C, 62.07; H, 8.00.

 $(\eta^6$ -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Me₂ (4). $(\eta^6$ -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Cl₂ (1.00 g, 1.69 mmol) was dissolved in 30 mL of toluene and cooled to -60 °C (CO₂/isopropyl alcohol bath). This turquoise solution was stirred rapidly while a MeMgBr solution (1.07 mL, 3.21 mmol, 3 M in Et₂O) was added dropwise over 15 min. The reaction color quickly changed to blue violet with the formation of a white precipitate. This solution was slowly warmed to room temperature (over 4 h), during which time it became a darker blue violet. After an additional 12 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo yielding a blue violet cake. The product was extracted from the cake with pentane (ca. 50 mL), the extract

⁽⁴²⁾ A transient Ta(IV) arene complex, (arene)Ta(O)Cl₂, is a possible intermediate in this reaction, see: Meyer, T. Y.; Messerle, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 4564.

⁽⁴³⁾ Shriver, D. F.; Drezdzon, M. A. *The Manipulation of Air-Sensitive Compounds*, 2nd ed; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1986.
(44) Perrin, D. D.; Armarego, W. L. F. *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals*, 3rd ed; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1988.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Bard, A. J.; Faulkner, L. R. *Electrochemical Methods*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: New York, (1980).

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Calabro, D. C.; Hubbard, J. L.; Blevens, C. H., II; Campbell, A. C.; Lichtenberger, D. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 6839.

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Chao, Y.-W.; Wexler, P. A.; Wigley, D. E. Inorg. Chem. 1989, 28, 3860.

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Schrock, R. R.; Fellmann, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 100, 3359.

⁽⁴⁹⁾ Blower, P. J.; Dilworth, J. R.; Hutchinson, J. P.; Zubieta, J. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1985**, 1533.

was filtered through Celite, and the solvent was removed in vacuo yielding an oily, blue violet solid. This solid was dissolved in minimal pentane and cooled to -35 °C for 48 h to afford 0.74 g (1.34 mmol, 79%) of dark blue violet crystals, which were filtered off and dried in vacuo. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.04–6.91 (A₂B m, 3 H, H_{aryl}), 3.33 (sept, 2 H, C*H*Me₂), 1.69 (s, 18 H, C₆Me₆), 1.24 (d, 12 H, CH*M*e₂), 0.82 (s, 6 H, TaCH₃). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 157.1 (C_{*ipso*}), 136.2 (C_{*o*}), 123.4 (C_{*m*}), 122.5 (C_{*p*}), 107.2 (C₆Me₆), 27.2 (CHMe₂), 23.7 (CH*M*e₂), 22.7 (TaCH₃), 15.7 (C₆Me₆). MS: [CI⁻], *m*/*z* 550, [(η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)-(CH₃)₂]⁻; [CI⁺], *m*/*z* 535, [(η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(CH₃)]⁺ ([M – CH₃]⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₄₁OTa: C, 56.72; H, 7.51. Found: C, 56.74; H, 7.72.

(η⁶-C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Et₂ (5). The reaction of 0.30 g (0.51 mmol) of (η⁶-C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Cl₂ in 30 mL of toluene and 0.32 mL (0.963 mmol) of a EtMgBr solution (3 M in Et₂O) at -60 °C was carried out in the manner described above for preparing (η⁶-C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Me₂ (4). Workup of the reaction by an identical procedure afforded 0.24 g (0.65 mmol, 82%) of product as dark blue violet crystals. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.11–6.96 (A₂B m, 3 H, H_{aryl}), 3.48 (sept, 2 H, CHMe₂), 1.79 (s, 18 H, C₆Me₆), 1.65 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.50 (t, 6 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.27 (d, 6 H, CHMe₂). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 157.2 (C_{ipso}), 137.0 (C_o), 123.8 (C_m), 122.4 (C_p), 107.9 (C₆Me₆), 34.8 (CH₂CH₃), 26.5 (CHMe₂), 24.4 (CHMe₂), 15.8 (C₆Me₆), 15.4 (CH₂CH₃). MS: [CI⁻], *m*/z 578, [(η⁶-C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(CH₂CH₃)₂]⁻; [CI⁺], *m*/z 549, [(η⁶-C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(CH₂CH₃)]⁺](M – Et]⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₄₅OTa: C, 58.12; H, 7.84. Found: C, 58.37; H, 8.09.

 $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(CH_{2}SiMe_{3})_{2}$ (6). The reaction of 0.50 g (0.85 mmol) of (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Cl₂ in 30 mL of toluene and 0.16 g (1.68 mmol) of LiCH₂SiMe₃ in ca. 10 mL of Et₂O was carried out at -60 °C in the manner described above for preparing $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Me_2$ (4). Workup by an analogous procedure provided 0.40 g (0.57 mmol, 69%) of product as dark violet crystals. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 6.97–6.83 (A₂B m, 3 H, Harvl), 3.27 (sept, 2 H, CHMe2), 1.78 (s, 18 H, C6Me6), 1.37 (d, $J_{\text{HH}'} = 11.5 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, CH_2 \text{SiMe}_3), 1.21 \text{ (d, } 12 \text{ H}, CHMe_2), 0.35$ (s, 18 H, CH₂Si*Me*₃), 0.28 (d, $J_{HH'} = 11.5$ Hz, 2 H, CH₂SiMe₃). The sample was cooled to 185 K in toluene-d₈ with no observed change its ¹H in spectrum other than broadening due to increased solvent viscosity. ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6): δ 156.59 (C_{ipso}), 136.47 (C_o), 123.78 (C_m), 122.64 (C_p), 109.27 (C₆Me₆), 34.34 (CH2SiMe3), 26.68 (CHMe2), 24.82 (CHMe2), 16.49 (C6Me6), 4.43 (CH₂Si*Me*₃). Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₅₇OSi₂Ta: C, 55.31; H, 8.27. Found: C, 55.58; H, 8.34.

 $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)Ph_{2}$ (7). $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)Cl_{2}$ (1.00 g, 1.69 mmol) was dissolved in 30 mL of toluene and cooled to -60 °C (CO₂/isopropyl alcohol bath). This turquoise solution was rapidly stirred while a PhLi solution (1.83 mL, 3.29 mmol, 1.8 M in Et₂O) was added dropwise over 15 min. The solution color changed to dark purple with the formation of a white precipitate. This solution was allowed to warm to room temperature slowly (over 4 h), during which time it became a darker purple. The reaction was stirred for an additional 12 h, the mixture was filtered through Celite, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to yield the product as a purple powder. Washing this powder with minimal cold pentane yielded 1.00 g (1.48 mmol, 88%) of product as a brilliant purple powder suitable for further reactions. Analytically pure samples were obtained by recrystallization from Et₂O at -35 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.51–6.87 (m, 13 H, H_{aryl} (OAr and C₆H₅)), 3.47 (sept, 2 H, CHMe₂), 1.68 (s, 18 H, C₆Me₆), 0.91 (d, 12 H, CHMe₂). The solution was cooled to 185 K in toluene-d₈ with no observed change in its ¹NMR other than broadening due to increased solvent viscosity. ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 173.3 (C_{ipso}, C₆H₅), 157.8 (C_{ipso}, OAr), 138.0 (C_o, OAr), 135.2 (C_o, C₆H₅), 126.8 (C_m, C₆H₅), 125.8 (C_p, C₆H₅), 123.5 (C_m, OAr), 122.9 (C_p, OAr), 111.1 (C₆Me₆), 27.0 (CHMe₂), 23.9 (CHMe₂), 16.2 (C₆Me₆). Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₄₅OTa: C, 64.09; H, 6.72. Found: C, 63.88; H, 6.77.

(η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(CH₂SiMe₃)Cl (8). The reaction of 1.00 g (1.69 mmol) of (η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Cl₂ in 30 mL of toluene with

0.16 g (1.68 mmol) of LiCH₂SiMe₃ in ca. 10 mL of Et₂O was carried out at -60 °C in the manner described above for preparing $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Me_2$ (4). The resulting navy blue reaction solution was filtered through Celite, and the solvent was removed in vacuo yielding a dark blue oily crust. Trituration with cold pentane yielded 0.50 g (0.78 mmol, 92%) of product as a dark blue powder sufficiently pure for further reactions. Analytically pure samples were obtained by recrystallization at -35 °C from concentrated solutions of Et₂O/ pentane (ca. 50:50 v/v). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 6.98-6.83 (A₂B m, 3 H, H_{arvl}), 3.28 (sept, 2 H, CHMe₂), 1.75 (s, 18 H, C₆Me₆), 1.29 and 1.27 (overlapping d, 12 H total, CHMe₂), 1.12 (d, 1 H, *J*_{HH'} = 12 Hz, *CH*H'SiMe₃), 0.51 (s, 9 H, CHH'Si*Me*₃), 0.32 (d, 1 H, $J_{HH'} = 12$ Hz, CHH SiMe₃). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 156.4 (Cipso), 136.2 (Co), 123.6 (Cm), 123.5 (Cp), 114.7 (C6Me6), 29.5 (CH2SiMe3), 26.9 (CHMe2), 24.4 and 23.9 (CHMe2), 16.0 (C₆Me₆), 4.5 (CH₂SiMe₃). Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₄₆OClSiTa: C, 52.29; H, 7.21. Found: C, 52.52; H, 7.52.

 $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(Ph)Cl$ (9). To a solution of 0.462 g (0.782 mmol) of (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Cl₂ (2) in 20 mL of benzene was added PhMgCl (0.400 mL, 2 M in THF, 0.800 mmol) at room temperature. This mixture was stirred for 20 h and filtered through Celite, and the solvent was removed from the filtrate in vacuo yielding a blue oil. The product was extracted from this oil with pentane (ca. 20 mL), the extract was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated to ca. 10 mL in vacuo and cooled to -35 °C. This procedure afforded 0.38 g (0.60 mmol, 77%) of (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(Ph)Cl as a blue, crystalline solid that was filtered off and dried in vacuo. Analytically pure samples were obtained by recrystallization from pentane at -35 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.86 (d, 2 H, H_o, C₆H₅), 7.31 (t, 2 H, H_m, C₆H₅), 7.13 (t, 1 H, H_p, C₆H₅), 7.00-6.80 (A₂B m, 3 H, H_{aryl}, OAr), 3.35 (sept, 2 H, CHMe₂), 1.72 (s, 18 H, C₆Me₆), 1.23, 1.19 (d, 6 H each, CHMe₂). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 174.82 (C_{ipso}, C₆H₅), 157.15 (C_{ipso}, OAr), 141.63 (C_o, C₆H₅), 136.74 (C_o, OAr), 126.85 (C_m, C₆H₅), 126.07 (C_p, Ph), 123.65 (C_m, OAr), 123.52 (C_p, OAr), 114.93 (C₆Me₆), 27.39 (CHMe2), 24.46, 24.11 (CHMe2), 16.67 (C6Me6). Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₄₀OClTa: C, 56.92; H, 6.36. Found: C, 55.91; H, 6.57.

 $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(Me)Br$ (10). The reaction of 0.50 g (0.85 mmol) of $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Cl_2$ in 30 mL of toluene with MeMgBr (0.25 mL, 3 M in Et₂O, 0.76 mmol) was carried out at -60 °C in the manner described above for preparing (η^6 - $C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)Me_2$ (4). Workup in an analogous manner afforded 0.40 g (0.65 mmol, 77%) of product as dark purple, analytically pure crystals. ¹H NMR (C_6D_6): δ 6.97–6.88 (A_2B m, 3 H, H_{aryl}), 3.34 (sept, 2 H, CHMe₂), 1.78 (s, 18 H, C₆Me₆), 1.28, 1.20 (d, 6 H each, $J_{HH'} = 6.8$ Hz, CHMe₂), 1.23 (s, 3 H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 157.15 (C_{ipso}), 136.18 (C_o), 123.36 (C_m), 122.47 (C_p), 107.24 (C₆Me₆), 27.22 (CHMe₂), 23.73 (CHMe2 coincident), 22.72 (CH3), 15.69 (C6Me6). MS: [CI-], $C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(CH_3)^{79}Br]^-$; [CI⁻], m/z 572, <3% of total product, [(η^{6} -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(CH₃)³⁷Cl]⁻; [CI⁻], m/z 570, <3% of total product, $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(CH_3)^{35}Cl]^-$; $[CI^+]$, m/z 535, $[(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(CH_3)]^+$ ($[M - X]^+$). Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₃₈BrOTa: C, 48.79; H, 6.22. Found: C, 49.38; H, 6.47.

(η⁶-C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(Et)Br (11). The reaction of 0.50 g (0.85 mmol) of (η⁶-C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Cl₂ in 30 mL of toluene and EtMgBr (0.25 mL, 3 M in Et₂O, 0.76 mmol) was carried out at -60 °C in the manner described above for preparing (η⁶-C₆-Me₆)Ta(OAr)Me₂ (4). Workup in an analogous manner afforded 0.39 g (0.61 mmol, 72%) of product as dark purple crystals that were filtered off and dried in vacuo. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.04–6.88 (A₂B m, 3 H, H_{aryl}), 3.36 (sept, 2 H, CHMe₂), 2.11 (t, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.95 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.80 (s, 18 H, C₆Me₆), 1.28 and 1.21 (d, 6 H each, J_{HH'} = 6.8 Hz, CHMe₂). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 157.4 (C_{1pso}), 136.3 (C_o), 123.6 (C_m), 123.3 (C_p), 113.4 (C₆Me₆), 36.5 (CH₂CH₃) 26.9 (CHMe₂), 24.4 (CHMe₂ coincident), 19.5 (CH₂CH₃), 16.3 (C₆Me₆). MS: [CI⁻], m/z 630, [(η⁶-C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(CH₂CH₃)⁸Br]⁻; [CI⁻], m/z 601, [(η⁶-C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(CH₂CH₃)⁷⁹Br]⁻; [CI⁺], m/z 6

 C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)⁸¹Br]⁺([M – Et]⁺); [CI⁺], m/z 599, [(η^{6} - C_6 -Me₆)Ta(OAr)⁷⁹Br]⁺([M – Et]⁺). An elemental analysis on this compound was not obtained due to the <5% impurity of (η^{6} - C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(Et)Cl.

 $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(Et)Cl$ (12). A 0.34 g (0.53 mmol) sample of (n⁶-C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(CH₂SiMe₃)Cl was dissolved in 20 mL of Et₂O and cooled to -30 °C. To this rapidly stirred, navy blue solution was added dropwise 0.03 g (0.258 mmol) of $ZnEt_2$ in ca. 10 mL of Et₂O over a period of 1 min. The color of the solution did not change over the course of the reaction. This solution was allowed to warm to room temperature over 40 min and stirred an additional 48 h. The resultant navy blue solution was filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo yielding a dark blue oil. Dissolving this oil in minimal pentane and cooling the solution to -35 °C for 48 h yielded 0.18 g (0.33 mmol, 62%) of product as dark purple rocks suitable for further reactions. Analytically pure samples were obtained by recrystallization from pentane at -35 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.02-6.87 (A₂B m, 3 H, H_{arvl}), 3.36 (sept, 2 H, CHMe₂), 2.04 (t, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.90 (m, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.78 (s, 18 H, C₆Me₆), 1.29, 1.23 (d, 6 H each, $J_{\rm HH'}=6.7$ Hz, CHMe2). $^{13}{\rm C}$ NMR (C6D6): δ 156.4 (C_{ipso}), 136.2 (C_o), 123.5 (C_m), 123.1 (C_p), 113.5 (C₆Me₆), 36.3 (CH₂CH₃), 26.9 (CHMe₂), 24.2, 23.9 (CHMe₂), 18.22 (CH_2CH_3) , 15.9 (C_6Me_6) . Anal. Calcd for $C_{26}H_{40}OCITa$: C, 53.38; H, 6.89. Found: C, 53.22; H, 7.17.

 $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(CH_{2}SiMe_{3})Ph$ (13). A 0.19 g (0.29 mmol) sample of $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(CH_2SiMe_3)Cl$ was dissolved in 20 mL of Et₂O and cooled to -60 °C (CO₂/isopropyl alcohol bath). To this rapidly stirred, navy blue solution was added 0.16 mL (0.29 mmol) of a PhLi solution dropwise (1.8 M in Et₂O, diluted to ca. 10 mL in Et₂O) over the course of ca. 10 min. The solution developed an inky purple color and formed a white precipitate as the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature over 4 h. After the reaction was stirred for another 18 h, the mixture was filtered through Celite and the solvent was removed in vacuo yielding a dark purple, oily solid. Dissolving this solid in minimal Et_2O and cooling to -35°C for 48 h yielded 0.14 g (0.21 mmol, 72%) of product as purple rocks. Analytically pure samples were obtained by recrystallization from pentane at -35 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.60-6.87 (A2B m, 8 H, Haryl), 3.37 (sept, 2 H, CHMe2), 1.67 (s, 18 H, C₆Me₆), 1.34 (d, 1 H, $J_{HH'} = 12.4$ Hz, CHH'SiMe₃), 1.31, 1.27 (d, 6 H each, J_{HH'} = 6.8 Hz, CHMe₂), 0.55 (d, 1 H, J_{HH'} = 12.4 Hz, CHHSiMe₃), 0.11 (s, 9 H, CH₂SiMe₃). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 179.5 (C_{ipso}, C₆H₅), 157.2 (C_{ipso}), 140.1 (C_o, C₆H₅), 136.6 (C_o), 126.9 (C_m, C₆H₅), 125.2 (C_p, C₆H₅), 123.7 (C_m), 122.9 (Cp), 110.1 (C6Me6), 37.4 (CH2SiMe3), 27.1 (CHMe2), 24.7, 24.4 (CHMe2), 16.6 (C6Me6), 4.5 (CH2SiMe3). Anal. Calcd for C₃₄H₅₁OSiTa: C, 59.63; H, 7.51. Found: C, 60.00; H, 7.87.

 $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(Et)H$ (14). A solution of $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)$ -Ta(OAr)(Et)Br (1.00 g, 1.59 mmol) in 20 mL of Et₂O was prepared, cooled to -60 °C (CO₂/isopropyl alcohol bath), and rapidly stirred while LiBEt₃H (1.90 mL, 1 M in THF, 1.90 mmol) was added dropwise over a period of 15 min. The solution developed an inky violet color and formed a white precipitate as the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature over 4 h. After the reaction was stirred for an additional 1 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo to afford a violet cake. The compound was extracted from the cake with pentane (ca. 50 mL), the extract was filtered through Celite, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to provide a dark violet oil. Dissolving this oil in minimal heptane and cooling to -35 °C for 48 h yielded 0.65 g (1.18 mmol, 76%) of product as violet rocks. Analytically pure samples were obtained by recrystallization from heptane at -35 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.09– 6.93 (A2B m, 3 H, Haryl), 5.87 (s, 1 H, TaH), 3.56 (sept, 2 H, CHMe₂), 1.91 (overlapping s and t, 21 H total, C₆Me₆, CH₂CH₃), 1.62 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.29, 1.27 (d, 6 H each, $J_{HH'} = 3.7$ Hz, CHMe₂). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 157.4 (C_{ipso}), 136.4 (C_o), 123.3 (C_m), 123.4 (Cp), 105.8 (C6Me6), 31.6 (CH2CH3), 27.2 (CHMe2), 23.5 (CHMe2 coincident), 18.3 (CH2 CH3), 16.4 (C6 Me6). Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₄₁OTa: C, 56.72; H, 7.51. Found: C, 57.12; H, 7.66.

(n⁶-C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(CH₂SiMe₃)H (15). A solution of 1.00 g (1.55 mmol) of (η⁶-C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)(CH₂SiMe₃)Cl in 20 mL of Et₂O was prepared, cooled to -60 °C (CO₂/isopropyl alcohol bath), and rapidly stirred while LiBEt₃H (1.90 mL, 1 M in THF, 1.90 mmol) was added dropwise over a period of 15 min. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature over 4 h and stirred for an additional 48 h, over which time the solution developed an inky violet color and formed a white precipitate. The solution was filtered through Celite, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the resulting oil was dissolved in minimal pentane and cooled to -35 °C for 48 h. This procedure yielded 0.65 g (1.17 mmol, 75%) of product as purple rocks. Analytically pure samples were obtained by recrystallization from pentane at -35 °C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ $7.05{-}6.87~(A_2B~m,~3~H,~H_{aryl}),~5.87~(s,~1~H,~Ta\ensuremath{\textit{H}}),~3.47~(sept,~2$ H, CHMe2), 1.88 (s, 18 H, C6Me6), 1.29, 1.26 (overlapping d, 12 H total, CH*Me*₂), 1.01 (d, 1 H, $J_{HH'} = 12$ Hz, C*H*H'SiMe₃), 0.33 (s, 9 H, CHH'SiMe₃), -0.27 (d, 1 H, J_{HH'} = 12 Hz, CHH'SiMe₃). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 157.2 (C_{ipso}), 136.1 (C_o), 123.2 (C_m), 122.5 (C_p), 106.4 (C₆Me₆), 30.0 (CH₂SiMe₃), 27.0 (CHMe₂), 23.5 (CHMeMe', coincident), 16.6 (C₆Me₆), 3.3 (CH₂SiMe₃). Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₄₇OSiTa: C, 55.25; H, 7.78. Found: C, 55.12; H, 7.85.

 $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(SAr')Cl$ (16). A solution of 0.205 g (0.846 mmol) of LiSAr' $(\text{Ar}' = 2, 4, 6 - C_6 H_2^i Pr_3)$ in 15 mL of Et₂O was prepared and added dropwise to a rapidly stirred solution of (η⁶-C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)Cl₂ (0.500 g, 0.846 mmol) in 5 mL of Et₂O. This mixture was stirred for 18 h, after which it was filtered through Celite, and the solvent was removed from the filtrate in vacuo to yield a blue oil. This oil dissolved in pentane (ca. 5 mL), whereupon the product precipitated as blue microcrystals. These crystals were filtered off, washed with cold pentane, and dried in vacuo to afford 0.535 g (0.676 mmol, 80%) of $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(SAr')Cl$ as an analytically pure, microcrystalline, blue solid. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.68–7.00 (A₂B m, 3 H, H_{aryl}, OAr), 7.21 (s, 2 H, H_m, SAr'), 3.40 (br, 3 H, CHMe2, SAr'), 2.87 (sept, 2 H, CHMe2, OAr), 1.94 (s, 18 H, C₆Me₆), 1.34, 1.25, 1.23 (d, 6 H each, CHMe₂), 1.24 (d, 12 H, CHMe₂). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 156.1 (C_{ipso}, OAr), 146.2 (C_{ipso}, SAr'), 137.2 (Co, OAr), 123.8 (Cp, OAr), 123.6 (Cm, OAr), 120.59 (Cp, SAr'), 120.56 (Cm, SAr'), 117.4 (C6Me6), 34.5 (p-CHMe2, SAr'), 31.4 (o-CHMe2, SAr'), 26.6 (CHMe2, OAr), 24.71, 24.68, 24.42, 24.38 (CHMe₂), 16.6 (C₆Me₆). One resonance (C_p, SAr') was not observed and is presumed to be coincident with another signal or the solvent resonance. Anal. Calcd for C₃₉H₅₈ClOSTa: C, 59.20; H, 7.38. Found: C, 59.56; H, 7.45.

 $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)(S(mes))Cl (17)$. A solution of 0.105 g (0.423 mmol) of LiS(mes)(dme) (mes = 2,4,6-C₆H₂Me₃) in 20 mL of Et₂O was slowly added to a rapidly stirred solution of $(\eta^{6}-C_{6}Me_{6})Ta(OAr)Cl_{2}$ (0.250 g, 0.423 mmol) in 5 mL of Et₂O. This mixture was stirred for 20 h, after which it was filtered through Celite, and the filtrate was stripped of solvent under reduced pressure to afford a blue oil. Upon dissolving this oil in pentane (ca. 2-3 mL), the product precipitated as blue microcrystals. These crystals were filtered off, washed with cold pentane, and dried in vacuo to afford 0.230 g (0.320 mmol, 76%) of $(\eta^6-C_6Me_6)Ta(OAr)(S(mes))Cl$ as a microcrystalline, blue solid. This compound can be recrystallized from pentane at -35 °C to afford analytically pure samples. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.00–6.94 (m, 4 H, H_m, OAr and S(mes)), 6.91 (dd, 1 H, H_p, OAr), 3.37 (sept, 2 H, CHMe₂), 2.45 (br, 6 H, o-Me, S(mes)), 1.27 (s, 3 H, p-Me, S(mes)), 1.91 (s, 18 H, C₆Me₆), 1.31, 1.26 (d, 6 H each, CHMe₂). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆): δ 155.6 (C_{ipso}, OAr), 139.9 (Cipso, S(mes)), 136.8 (Co, OAr), 134.2 (Co, S(mes)), 128.5 (C_m, S(mes)), 123.8 (C_p, OAr), 123.6 (C_m, OAr), 117.1 (C₆-Me₆), 26.6 (CHMe₂), 24.8 (o-Me, S(mes)), 24.1 (CHMe₂), 20.9 (p-Me, S(mes)), 16.5 (C₆Me₆). Anal. Calcd for C₃₃H₄₆ClOSTa: C, 56.05; H, 6.56. Found: C, 55.61; H, 6.19.

X-ray Structural Determination of (η^6 -C₆Me₆)Ta(OAr)-Et₂ (5). A red, irregular block crystal of C₂₈H₄₅OTa was crystallized from pentane solution (at -35 °C) and mounted in a glass capillary in a random orientation. From the systematic absences of hk0, h = 2n + 1; 0kl, k + l = 2n + 1and from subsequent least-squares refinement the space group was determined to be Pnma (No. 62). Hydrogen atoms were located and their positions were refined in least-squares; their isotropic thermal parameters were held fixed at 5.0 Å². Scattering factors were taken from Cromer and Waber.⁵⁰ Anomalous dispersion effects were included in F_c ⁵¹ the values for Δf and $\Delta f'$ were those of Cromer.⁵² All calculations were

(50) Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*; The Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974. Vol. IV. Table 2.2B

(51) Ibers, J. A.; Hamilton, W. C. Acta Crystallogr. 1964, 7, 781.
(52) Cromer, D. T. International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; The Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974. Vol. IV, Table 2.3.1.

(53) Frenz, B. A. The Enraf-Nonius CAD 4 SDP-A Real-time System for Concurrent X-ray Data Collection and Crystal Structure Determination. In Computing in Crystallography; Schenk, H., Olthof-Hazelkamp, R., vanKonigsveld, H., Bassi, G. C., Eds.; Delft University Press: Delft, Holland, 1978; pp 64-71.

performed on a VAX computer using SDP/VAX.53 Details of the structural determination and refinement are reported in Table 1.

Acknowledgement is made to the Division of Chemical Sciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Energy Research, U.S. Department of Energy (Grant No. DE-FG03-93ER14349) for support of this research. We also thank Dr. Carina Grittini for her assistance.

Supporting Information Available: Text giving complete crystallographic details, tables of atomic positional and thermal parameters, bond distances and angles, least-squares planes, and dihedral angles, and ORTEP diagrams for (η^6 -C₆-Me₆)Ta(OAr)Et₂ (13 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM970197C