Using "Anhydrous" Hydrolysis To Favor Formation of Hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane from Dimethyldichlorosilane

Christophe Le Roux,¹ Herman Yang, Stefan Wenzel, Stelian Grigoras,[†] and Michael A. Brook*

Department of Chemistry, McMaster University, 1280 Main Street West, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8S 4M1

Received October 31, 1997

The reaction between dimethyl sulfoxide and Me₂SiCl₂ leads to the formation of cyclic siloxanes, principally the more highly strained six-membered ring hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane (D₃), via linear α, ω -dichlorosiloxanes. At short reaction times (~15 min), the reaction was shown not to be undergoing equilibration reactions (ligand metathesis) to a significant degree. A mechanism for the formation of D_3 and D_4 (octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane) is proposed that invokes conversion of a chlorosilane group into a sulfonium ion intermediate 12. The preferential formation of D_3 over D_4 is attributed to the greater steric encumbrance of the activated chain termini (SiOS⁺Me₂) than in the corresponding hydrolysis reaction (SiOH). Both the chain extension reactions $12 \rightarrow 3$ and cyclization reactions $12 \rightarrow D_3$ are retarded, resulting in a higher selectivity (with DMSO as the oxygen source) for the intramolecular reaction producing D_3 than in the case of hydrolysis. The experimental results are inconsistent with silanone formation.

Introduction

Cyclic siloxanes constitute an important class of silicone polymers because the most practical method for preparing high molecular weight polysiloxanes is the ring-opening polymerization of cyclic monomers.² The polymerization of hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane (D₃), with its greater ring strain (12-15 kcal/mol³), leads to higher molecular weight polymers with lower molecular weight polydispersity than the analogous polymer produced from octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane (D₄).

The cyclic dimethylsiloxanes D₃, D₄, D₅ (decamethylcyclopentasiloxane), etc., are usually made by the hydrolysis of dichlorodimethylsilane.4,5 The formation of cyclic oligomers is generally accompanied by substantial $(\geq 50\%)$ amounts of linear dimethylsiloxanes, although their formation can be suppressed by the use of organic (co)solvents for the hydrolysis,² particularly diethyl ether.⁴ Under normal conditions, D₄ is the major cyclic constituent of the hydrolytic products of Me₂SiCl₂.⁶ Thus, the development of a method to produce primarily D₃ would be advantageous.

Sulfoxides have been shown to react with chlorosilanes.⁷⁻⁹ Thus, Chan and co-workers¹⁰ demonstrated that HSiCl₃ reduces dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to dimethyl sulfide. While the fate of the oxygen in this reaction involved Si-O bond formation, the structure of the silicon-containing product was not elucidated. Other related reactions have been noted.¹¹ For instance, Lappert et al.¹² showed that SiO₂ formation accompanied the reaction of DMSO and SiCl₄.

The conversion of chlorosilanes into disiloxanes, using DMSO as the oxygen source, was reported in a French patent in 1965.¹³ This reaction has been subsequently rediscovered by Voronkov and co-workers,¹⁴ Weber et al.,15 and ourselves.16

Voronkov and co-workers described the conversion of Me₂SiCl₂ to a mixture of cyclic dimethylsiloxanes; the

The Dow Corning Corp., 2200 W. Salzburg Rd., Auburn, MI 48611. (1) Current address: Hétérochimie fondamentale et appliquée (ESA-CNRS 5069), Université Paul Sabatier, 118 route de Narbonne, Toulouse, F-31062 France.

^{(2) (}a) Noll, W. Chemistry and Technology of Silicones; Academic: New York, 1968. (b) *Siloxane Polymers*; Clarson S. J.; Semlyen, J. A., Eds.; Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1993.

^{(3) (}a) Laita, Z.; Jelinek, M. *Vysokomol. Soed.* **1962**, *6*, 1739. (b) Davidson, I. M. T.; Thompson, J. F. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1971**, 251. (c) Piccoli, W. A.; Haberland, G. G.; Merker, R. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, *82*, 1883.

⁽⁴⁾ Eaborn, C. Organosilicon Chemistry, Butterworths: London, 1960.

⁽⁵⁾ Patnode, W. I.; Wilcock, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946, 68, 358.
(6) Hardman, B. B.; Torkelson, A. Silicones. In Kirk-Othmer Ency-clopaedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd ed.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1982; Vol. 20, pp 922-962.

⁽⁷⁾ Previous workers have described explosive reactions between Ph₂SO and HSiCl₃. While no such problems were observed in the many times we have examined the reactions described in this paper, caution must be exercised when attempting these and related reactions.⁴

⁽⁸⁾ Benkeser, R. A. Chem. Eng. News 1978, 56, 107.

 ^{(9) (}a) Fritsche, H.; Hasserodt, U.; Korte, F. Chem. Ber. 1964, 97,
 1988. (b) Naumann, K.; Zon, G.; Mislow, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969,
 91, 2788. (c) Horner, L.; Balzer, W. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1965, 1157.
 (10) Chan, T. H.; Melnyk, A.; Harpp, D. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969,

^{201.}

⁽¹¹⁾ Schmidt, A. H.; Russ, M. Chem. Ber. 1981, 114, 822

 ⁽¹²⁾ Lappert, M. F.; Smith, J. K. J. Chem. Soc. 1961, 3225.
 (13) Goossens, J. C. French Patent, 1,456,981, 1964; Chem. Abstr.

^{1967, 67, 54259.}

^{(14) (}a) Voronkov, M. G. 28th Organosilicon Symposium, Gainesville, Florida, April 1995; Abstract A-21. (b) Basenko, S. V.; Voronkov, M. G. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **1994**, 339, 486. (c) Voronkov, M. G.;

 ⁽¹⁵⁾ Lu, P.; Paulasaari, J. K.; Weber, W. P. Organometallics 1996, 15 4649

⁽¹⁶⁾ Presented in preliminary form: Le Roux, C.; Yang, H.; Wenzel, S.; Brook, M. A. 28th Organosilicon Symposium, Gainesville, Florida, April 1995; Abstract B-18.



Figure 1. ¹H NMR spectra (0-1 ppm) of reaction mixtures of Me₂SiCl₂ and DMSO at 15 min.

product ratio was not disclosed. Weber et al. described the related reaction of Ph_2SiCl_2 with DMSO to give $D_3^{Ph_2}$ (91%) and $D_4^{Ph_2}$ (9%). In both cases, the mechanism proposed to lead to these products involved the cyclotrimerization and cyclotetramerization of $R_2Si=O$ (R = Me or Ph, respectively).

We have independently examined this reaction. Given the wide variety of nucleophiles present in the reaction medium, we were skeptical of the involvement of silanones. We have, therefore, probed the mechanism of the reaction in some depth. As importantly, we have found that under the appropriate reaction conditions, the combination of Me₂SiCl₂ and DMSO preferentially leads (>85%) to the formation of D₃ in the absence of linear polymers.

Results and Discussion

Basic Reaction. The reaction between a 1:2 ratio of Me₂SiCl₂ and DMSO in diethyl ether produced an ¹H NMR spectrum displaying only a few singlets. The ability to observe base line separation of the signals for most of the reagents, intermediates, and products in the ¹H NMR spectrum greatly facilitated the mechanistic study outlined below (Figure 1). The signals were subsequently determined, by comparison with authentic samples, to arise from the α, ω -dichlorosiloxanes $(ClSiMe_2(OSiMe_2)_nCl)$ **1** (n = 1), **2** (n = 2), and **3** (n = 3) and D_3 (Scheme 1). Over a short time (typically <30 min), most of these signals collapsed to a clean spectrum containing only 4 peaks arising from D₃, D₄ (occasionally D₅ and higher homologues), and chloromethyl methyl sulfide 4 (2 peaks). The reactions were accompanied by the formation of variable amounts of a crystalline material subsequently identified to be chlorodimethylsulfonium chloride 5 (Me₂S⁺ClCl⁻).¹²

The corresponding reaction of Me_2SiCl_2 with water led to $D_3:D_4$ ratios containing at least 50% $D_4:^6$ a typical result in diethyl ether was $D_3: D_4: D_5$ (30:53:17) after 15 min. Further reaction times led to an increase in D_4 at the expense of D_3 . The striking difference between this reaction and the reaction with DMSO in place of water, noted above, was the ratio of D_3/D_4 at the completion of the reaction; with DMSO as the oxygen source, in the optimal case, the product ratio was D_3 : D_4 : D_5 (74:15:11) (some quantities of **1** and **3** were also present, Figure 1).

Several experiments were attempted in order to establish the nature of the reaction mechanism, particularly in light of the proposition that silanones could be involved,^{14,15} and better understand the origin of the preferential formation of D₃. These experiments are described below. In the vast majority of reactions reported, the concentrations of DMSO and Me₂SiCl₂ were held fixed to facilitate comparison between experiments. Snapshots, in the ¹H NMR, of the progress of the reactions were always taken at 15 min reaction times: all further discussion, unless otherwise noted, refers to this time period.

Solvent Effects. The reaction between DMSO and Me_2SiCl_2 was examined neat and in the presence of aprotic solvents of differing polarity. The reaction was very sluggish in the absence of solvents. A 1:1 mixture of DMSO and Me_2SiCl_2 was allowed to react for 15 min, at which point the product distribution was determined by ¹H NMR. A second aliquot of DMSO was added, and the reaction was allowed to proceed for an additional 15 min. This process, repeated three additional times, led to the reaction profile shown in Figure 2 (Table 1). High conversion (complete loss of Me_2SiCl_2) was only observed at high DMSO concentrations and longer reaction times. It can be further seen that only toward the end of the observation were cyclic oligomers (D₄) beginning to form.

In relatively nonpolar solvents, CCl_4 , isopropyl and butyl ether, dioxane and pentane, the reactions were often incomplete after several hours (Table 1, Figure 3). It was immediately clear that polar solvents dramatically, favorably affected the rate of reaction. Thus, the reactions in diethyl ether, acetonitrile, ethyl acetate, and THF were complete within 30 min or less, as was the reaction in xylene. Diethyl ether was found to be the solvent which combined a rapid reaction rate (complete in <20 min) and high $D_3:D_4$ ratio (maximum 87:13). The remaining experiments examined the details of the reaction in diethyl ether.

Kinetic Profile. The early course of the reaction between DMSO and Me_2SiCl_2 was followed closely to further facilitate an understanding of the mechanistic parameters (Figure 4). A precipitous drop in $[Me_2SiCl_2]$ was accompanied by an increase in the linear siloxane **1–3** concentrations. Subsequently, these too were consumed to form D_3 and D_4 . It can be seen that, with time, the concentration of D_3 increased at the expense of **2** and D_4 at the expense of **3** (see below).

The $D_3:D_4$ product ratio was also found to depend on the order of addition. When DMSO was added slowly to Me₂SiCl₂ in diethyl ether, higher $D_3:D_4$ ratios were observed than when the addition order was reversed (Table 1, entries 20 and 21).

Effect of Dilution. There are clearly two processes occurring in the reaction between Me₂SiCl₂ and DMSO. The first is the oligomerization leading to linear siloxanes Me₂SiCl₂ \rightarrow **1** \rightarrow **2** \rightarrow **3**. The second process involves the cyclization of **2** and **3**, respectively. The unimolecular cyclization reactions **2** \rightarrow D₃ (with respect to **2**, see below) are expected to be favored, at lower concentrations of Me₂SiCl₂, over the intermolecular bimolecular chain extension process **2** + Me₂SiCl₂ \rightarrow **3**.





Figure 2. Siloxane distribution in the reaction of DMSO with Me₂SiCl₂ as a function of DMSO concentration.

This was tested by decreasing the concentration of the solution, which should favor the unimolecular cyclization over the bimolecular process. As the initial Me₂-SiCl₂ concentration in ether was increased, the proportion of D_3 in the reaction mixture decreased. The benefit of increased D_3 production at high dilution is somewhat offset, in a practical sense, by the necessity to use large volumes of diethyl ether (Figure 5).

Discounting Redistribution under Thermodynamic Control. Silanes possessing reactive groups undergo redistribution (ligand metathesis) reactions.^{17–21} The metathesis reaction of halides and siloxy groups usually requires catalysts such as acids,^{22,23} quaternary ammonium halides,²⁴ silicon-selective nucleophiles,²⁵ organometallic species, or high temperatures.^{26,27}

The addition of gaseous HCl to the 50:50 D_3/D_4 mixture led to rapid redistribution (10 min) to give a $D_3:D_4$ ratio of 3:97. This final ratio is very different than that generated in the reaction between Me₂SiCl₂ and DMSO. Thus, HCl, an effective redistribution catalyst² in the absence of DMSO, cannot be present in significant quantities in these reactions: where both HCl and DMSO are present, redistribution occurs over several hours. These observations are consistent with HCl being removed from the reaction as it is formed. It is presumably sequestered as the sulfonium salt **5**.

Direct Cyclizations of 1–3 and 6. The initial products of the reaction were shown to be the α, ω -dichlorosiloxanes **1–3**. The direct cyclization of each of these compounds with DMSO was attempted to establish if ligand redistribution/metathesis reactions were occurring on the time scale of the cyclic oligomer formation.

The reaction of tetrasiloxane **3** with DMSO or water in Et_2O led primarily to D_4 . Disiloxane **1** gave a mixture of **3** and D_4 with either reagent but none of the trisiloxane species **2** and little D_3 (Scheme 2).

It was possible to prepare a small amount of 1,11dichlorododecamethylhexasiloxane (6). The reaction of 6 in ether with DMSO led slowly to D₆ (7; dodecamethylcyclohexasiloxane). After longer reaction times (overnight), there was still no D₃; the amount of D₄ produced was <2%. This provides additional support for the postulate that redistribution is not an important mechanism under these conditions.

When trisiloxane **2** was reacted in diethyl ether with DMSO or water, respectively, the predominant siliconcontaining product (>95%) of the reaction was D_3 . This suggests that the challenge of producing D_3 is reduced to the selective synthesis of **2**. Preparing large quantities of **2** exclusively, however, is a rather difficult task: the maximum yield of **2** from Me₂SiCl₂ using water or DMSO that we were able to obtain was about 15% (of the silicon-containing species).

Condensation reactions between **1** or **2** and DMSO in the presence of D_3 or D_4 were undertaken to deter-

⁽¹⁷⁾ Scott, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946, 68, 2294.

 ^{(18) (}a) Vondracek, P.; Gent, A. N. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 1982, 27,
 (15) (a) Vondracek, P.; Gent, A. N. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 1982, 27,
 (17) (b) Chojnowski, J.; Rubinsztajn, S.; Wilczek, L. Macromolecules
 1987, 20, 2345. (c) Cypryk, M.; Rubinsztajn, S.; Chojnowski, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 446, 91. (d) Mayo, F. R. J. Polym. Sci. 1961, 55, 57.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Carmichael, J. B.; Winger, R. J. Polym. Sci. 1965, A3, 1971.

^{(20) (}a) Brown, J. F.; Lusarczuk, G. M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 931. (b) Kantor, S. W.; Grubb, W. T.; Osthoff, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 5190.

⁽²¹⁾ Lambert, J. B.; Kania, L.; Schulz, W. J., Jr. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. **1993**, 31, 1697.

⁽²²⁾ Jiang, J.; Brook, M. A.; Dickson, J. M. *Heteroatom Chem.* **1994**, *220*, 145.

⁽²³⁾ Niedrutzer, J.; Van Wazer, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 802.

^{(24) (}a) Weyenberg, D. R. (Dow Corning Corp.) U.S. Patent 3399223, 1968; *Chem. Abstr.* **1968**, *69*, 87173. (b) Weyenberg, D. R.; Bey, A. E.; Stewart, H. F.; Atwell, W. H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1966**, *65*, 583. (c) Atwell, W. H.; Weyenberg, D. R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1967**, *7*, 71.

⁽²⁵⁾ Sonnek, G.; Engelbrecht, L. East German Patent 217520, 1985; *Chem. Abstr.* **1985**, *103*, 196229. (b) Engelbrecht, L.; Sonnek, G. *Plaste Kautsch.* **1983**, *30*, 362.

⁽²⁶⁾ Rubinsztajn, S.; Cypryk, M.; Chojnowski, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 367, 27.

⁽²⁷⁾ Bourgoin, J. A.; Freeburne, S. K.; Halm, R. L; Naasz, B. M.; Niswonger, D. S.; VanKoevering, D. G. U.S. Patent 5068383, 1991; *Chem. Abstr.* **1991**, *116*, 130373.

Table 1. Product Distributions in the Production of α,ω-Dichlorosiloxanes and Cyclic Siloxanes

ontru	conditions	Mo.SiCl	1	9	2	D.	D.	$\mathbf{D} \perp \mathbf{D}$
entry	conditions"	wie2SICI2	1	4	3	D_3	D_4	$D_5 + D_6$
1	2 H ₂ O:3 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , 3 h	47	7	5	10	2	21	9
2	neat DMSO (1 equiv), 15 min	75	24	2	0	0	0	0
3	neat DMSO (2 equiv), 30 min	55	39	6	1	0	0	0
4	neat DMSO (3 equiv), 45 min	33	51	13	3	0	0	0
5	neat DMSO (4 equiv), 60 min	5	59	28	7	0	1	0
6	neat DMSO (5 equiv), 75 min	0	43	32	12	0	8	0
7	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , CCl4, 1 h	7	54	21	7	9	2	0
8	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , pentane, 1 h	63	21	5	5	6	2	
9	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , dibutyl ether, 3 h	17	42	18	4	14	6	
10	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , diisopropyl ether, 2 h	17	30	31	4	15	3	
11	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , CH3CN, 30 min	0	7	5	9	13	43	24
12	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , xylene, 15 min	0	4	6	5	44	36	5
13	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , THF, 1 h	0	5	3	2	48	36	7
14	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , EtOAc, 3 h	0	10	15	8	20	30	17
15	DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , Et ₂ O, 5 mL, 15 min	0	16	9	7	40	27	2
16	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , Et ₂ O, 20 mL, 15 min	0	26	9	8	43	13	0
17	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , Et ₂ O, 50 mL, 15 min	0	23	0	14	50	11	3
18	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , Et ₂ O, 100 mL, 15 min	0	18	0	16	54	9	2
19	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , Et ₂ O, 200 mL, 15 min	0	8	0	10	60	14	7
20	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , Et ₂ O, 20 mL, 15 min; order of addition: DMSO to Me ₂ SiCl ₂	0	6	0	8	75	8	2
21	2 DMSO:1 Me ₂ SiCl ₂ , Et ₂ O, 20 mL, 15 min; order of addition: Me_2SiCl_2 to DMSO	0	14	0	4	50	21	6

^a Reaction time: 3 h.



Figure 3. Distribution of linear and cyclic siloxanes formed from DMSO and Me₂SiCl₂ in different solvents.



Figure 4. Evolution of siloxanes from DMSO and Me₂-SiCl₂ in diethyl ether.

mine if the conversion of the linear chlorosiloxanes to cyclic siloxanes was accompanied by conversion of D_3 to D_4 or vice versa. The reaction of **1** with DMSO and D_4 led primarily to D_4 , suggesting direct conversion of $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} \rightarrow D_4$: little D_3 was observed. The reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with DMSO in the presence of D_3 led mostly to $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} \rightarrow D_4$; the D_3 concentration changed little. Analogous

results were observed with **2**. **2** (+ D_3 + DMSO) was converted to D_3 without formation of D_4 ; **2** (+ D_4 + DMSO) gave D_3 without changing the D_4 concentration (Scheme 2).

The absence of significant ligand redistribution/metathesis reactions of D_3 or D_4 in the presence of HCl + DMSO, DMSO, **4** or **5**, or in the presence of the α, ω dichlorosiloxanes, within the time frame of the experiments (<1 h), leads us to conclude that the reactions leading to linear and cyclic oligomers in the presence of DMSO are occurring under kinetic control.

Competition Reactions. The relative rates of some of the sequential reactions involved in the conversion of Me₂SiCl₂ to cyclic siloxanes were established by allowing mixtures of 1-3 to react with DMSO and following the reactions by ¹H NMR. The competition reaction between a 1:1 mixture of 1 and 2 for DMSO showed very little change in the concentration of **1**; the small decrease in [1] was accompanied by the formation of a small amount of **3**. The major change was the loss of **2** with concomitant formation of D_3 (1:2 (50:50) \rightarrow 1:2:3:D₃:D₄ (46:21:9:24:0 (not detected))). Thus, cyclization of $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow D_3$ is faster than bimolecular condensation between 1 + 1 or 1 + 2. By contrast, under identical conditions with H₂O as the oxygen source, the product ratio was **1**:**2**:**3**:D₃:D₄ (20:33:22:20:5). Thus, the rate ratio of (linear condensation)/(cyclization) is higher with water than with DMSO.

The analogous competitive cyclization between **2** and **3** similarly showed a dependence on the oxygen source. A 1:1 mixture of **2** and **3** led to the mixtures **1:2:3:**D₃: D₄ (4:22:38:24:12) with DMSO but to **1:2:3:**D₃:D₄ (3:13: 32:24:28) with water. In both cases, the relative rates of cyclic oligomer formation are more favorable to D₃ production: $k(\mathbf{2} \rightarrow D_3)/k(\mathbf{3} \rightarrow D_4) > 1$. However, with water, but not with DMSO, there is the additional redistribution of D₃ \rightarrow D₄, presumably caused by HCl, which depletes D₃ at a competitive rate to cyclization.

Cyclization versus Linear Extension: Effect of Additional Me₂SiCl₂. The relative rates of cyclization versus chain extension were established by examining the efficacy of cyclization of 2 to D₃ in the presence of varying amounts of Me₂SiCl₂. As the initial ratio of



Figure 5. Effect of concentration on the D_3/D_4 ratio: (A) as a function of $[Me_2SiCl_2]$; (B) as a function of Et_2O volume.



 $[Me_2SiCl_2]/[2]$ increased from 0.0 to 1.5, the product ratio $3/D_3$ dramatically increased (Figure 6), indicating that the bimolecular chain extension process is competitive with cyclization at higher Me_2SiCl_2 concentrations.

The Proposed Mechanism. Sulfur-Containing Products. Prior to discussing the mechanism of siloxane formation in the presence of DMSO, it is appropriate to comment on the structures of the sulfurcontaining products. The formation of **5** arises from the reaction of DMSO with HCl and is accompanied by the production of water (Scheme 3, D).¹² The other sulfurbased product was chloromethyl methyl sulfide (**4**), a compound whose production has previously been described in related reactions (Scheme 3, C).^{12,28} Its



Figure 6. Formation of D_3 from **2** as a function of starting Me_2SiCl_2 concentration.

formation likely involves intermediate **8**, analogues of which have previously been described in Pummerer rearrangements²⁹ and redox reactions using a chlorosilane/sulfoxide system.³⁰ Similar intermediates are inferred or known in a variety of alcohol oxidation procedures (e.g., Swern) using DMSO (Scheme 3, A).²⁸ The decomposition of **8** could lead directly to **1** + **5** or to **4** and the silicone precursor **9**.³¹ Although **8** could, in principle, decompose through a sila-Pummerer rearrangement (Scheme 3, B), this was not observed.

Stepwise Progression of the Reaction. In the reaction between Me₂SiCl₂ and DMSO, the DMSO may

⁽²⁸⁾ Tidwell, T. T. Synthesis 1990, 857.

⁽²⁹⁾ Wolfe, S.; Kazmaier, P. M. Can. J. Chem. 1979, 57, 2388, 2397.
(30) (a) Numata, T.; Togo, H.; Oai, S. Chem. Lett. 1979, 329. (b) Akaji, K.; Tatsumi, T.; Yashida, M.; Kimura, T.; Fujiwara, Y.; Kist, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 4137.

⁽³¹⁾ This compound has not been isolated according to Chemical Abstracts, although there are several references to its intermediacy in a variety of hydrolysis reactions of Me₂SiCl₂; some spectral data has been accumulated: (a) Graalmann, O.; Klingebiel, U. J. Organomet. Chem. **1984**, 275, C1. (b) Ogawa, T.; Suzuki, T.; Mita, I. Macromol. Chem. Phys. **1994**, 195, 1973. (c) Lehnert, R. In Organo-silicon Chemistry: From Molecules to Materials; Auner, N., Weis, J., Eds.; VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1994; pp 71–73.



serve as a source of oxygen in two ways: (i) as a source of H_2O (Scheme 3, D) and (ii) as an end group from which a nucleophile is generated. The rate of these reactions is clearly accelerated by polar solvents, suggesting polar intermediates (Figure 3).

The progression of the reaction is rather obvious from the evolution of species, as shown in Figure 4. Starting from Me₂SiCl₂, the first observed products are the linear siloxanes in order, $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{3}$. Thus, one important sequence in the presence of DMSO involves homologous linear chain extension. A mechanistic proposal for cyclic siloxane formation involves deviation from the linear homologation once the chain reaches the minimum practical length for cyclization (i.e., the six atoms in $\mathbf{2}$, D_2 is a highly energetic species³²), $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow D_3$ and $\mathbf{3} \rightarrow D_4$ (Scheme 4, A–C).

In these events, we believe that a relatively bulky Me_2S^+O group is the reactive terminus of the linear chain 10-12 (Scheme 4). As noted above, such intermediates are commonly invoked in DMSO-mediated oxidations. Liberation of the sulfur could be induced during unimolecular cyclization (Scheme 5, A) or, more likely, with concomitant attack by chloride (Scheme 5,

B).³³ These two pathways account for both sulfurcontaining products **4** and **5** that, however, may also arise from different reactions (Scheme 3).

Thus, conversion of 2 to an activated-species sulfonium ion **12** (Scheme 4, E) is followed by a competition between chain extension with Me₂SiCl₂ giving 3 (Scheme 4, G) or the favored intramolecular cyclization to D_3 (Scheme 4, F). The presence of the bulky group at the chain termini should engender larger steric interactions upon reaction both intermolecularly with Me₂SiCl₂ or intramolecularly. By slowing down both reactions, the natural preference for the intramolecular reaction (higher local concentration, fewer degrees of freedom) over the intermolecular condensation is amplified. This is particularly true at lower concentrations of Me₂SiCl₂. Such a proposal also explains the even higher D3 selectivity in the case of cyclization of Ph₂SiCl₂. The analogous, but more hindered, compound ROSiPh₂OS⁺Me₂ will react more slowly and selectively than 12 in an intramolecular fashion to give $D_3^{Ph_2}$ in high yield (91%).¹⁵

One must account for the difference in D_3/D_4 formation when water and DMSO are used as the oxygen source. The rates of linear chain extension with DMSO were found to be slower than cyclization for the reactions: the rates for $1 + 1 \rightarrow 3$ and $1 + 2 \rightarrow 1.9$

^{(32) (}a) McKillop, K. L.; Gillette, G. R.; Powell, D. R.; West, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 5203. (b) Yokelson, H. B.; Millevolte, A. J.; Adams, B. R.; West, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 4116. (c) Somogyi, A.; Tamas, J.; Csaszar, A. G. *THEOCHEM* **1991**, *78*, 123.

⁽³³⁾ We thank a referee for this much clearer modification of our initial proposal.





¹/^{Si} o^{-Si} o^{-Si} ci [?] √ √ + O II "Si Si ⊂ 0 Si ➡ 1/3

dichlorodecamethylpentasiloxane were slower than cyclization $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow D_3$. However, the reaction $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow D_3$ is competitive with linear extension of $\mathbf{2} + Me_2SiCl_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{3}$ (Figure 6). Overall, except at high [Me₂SiCl₂], these factors favor D₃ formation. With water as the oxygen source, the rates of linear extension $1 + 1 \rightarrow 3$ are comparable to cyclization $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow D_3$. Linear extension $\mathbf{2}$ \rightarrow **3** by Me₂SiCl₂ might be expected to be even faster. This is likely a consequence of both the reduced steric hindrance at the nucleophilic oxygen and the ability of the hydroxyl group to attack the chlorosilane (Scheme 5, C,D) without the requirement for intervention by an additional nucleophile such as chloride (Scheme 5, B).

Another important difference between the water- and DMSO-initiated reactions is the presence of HCl, a potent redistribution catalyst in the former case. Gaseous HCl led to immediate redistribution favoring D₄ $(D_3 + D_4 (1:1) + HCl(g) \rightarrow D_3 + D_4 (3:97))$. It should similarly facilitate redistribution in all the reactions. By contrast, with DMSO as the oxygen source, HCl is sequestered as 5.

The Question of Silanone Involvement. No role is given to silanones, the intermediates favored by Voronkov¹⁴ and Weber,¹⁵ in the mechanistic suggestions made above. The bulk of the present knowledge of silanone properties³⁴ originates primarily from studies on transients,35 although silanones have been observed in argon matrices.³⁶ The intermediacy of free silanones has been proposed for many reactions which primarily involve gas-phase, high-temperature conditions³⁷⁻⁴³ but has been questioned in some of these processes.⁴⁴ Much of the evidence for silanone formation is inferred from the presence of small silicone cyclics in reaction mixtures,45-52 as in the reaction between DMSO and $R_2 SiCl_2.^{14,15}$

Silanones have been calculated to be exceptionally reactive toward nucleophilic attack. The addition of water was calculated to be essentially without barrier and with a ΔH of -73 kcal/mol.⁵³ In the highly polar media in the reactions described above, it seems unlikely that the lifetime of the silanone would be sufficient to allow it to undergo oligomerization prior to interception by one of the many types of nucleophiles present in solution (Cl⁻, H₂O, R₃SiOH, DMSO, MeSCH₂-Cl. etc.).

There is further data, from the experiments described above, that is inconsistent with the intermediacy of silanones. First, α, ω -dichlorosiloxanes **2** and **3** were converted by DMSO directly to cyclic siloxanes D₃ and D_4 (Scheme 2). It seems unlikely that dimethylsilanone could be involved is such a process, which would involve a depolymerization to form silanones followed by reoligomerization (Scheme 6). If the cyclization can occur without silanone intervention, it is difficult to see why linear homologation cannot proceed similarly without silanones. Second, we have observed that the unimolecular (first order in 2, see above) cyclization reaction of $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow D_3$ is competitive with the second-order linear extension of $\mathbf{2} + Me_2SiCl_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{3}$ and that formation of $\mathbf{1}$ from Me₂SiCl₂ is faster than the cyclization of **2** (Figure 6).

(35) Gusel'nikov, L. E.; Nametkin, N. S. *Chem. Rev.* 1979, 79, 529.
(36) (a) Withnall, R.; Andrews, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 8118. (b) Arrington, C. A.; West, R.; Michl, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6176

(37) (a) Barton, T. J.; Wulff, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 2735. (b) Goure, W. F.; Barton, T. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 199, 33

. (38) Tzeng, D.; Weber, W. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 1451. (39) Davidson, I. M. T.; Wood, I. T. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1982, 550.

(40) Wiberg, N. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 273, 141.

(41) Manuel, G.; Bertrand, G.; Weber, W. P.; Kazoura, S. A. Organometallics 1984, 3, 1340.

(42) Trommer, M.; Sander, W.; Patyk, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 11775.

(43) Brook, A. G.; Nyburg, S. C.; Abdesaken, F.; Gutekunst, B.;
 Gutekunst, G.; Krishna, R.; Kallury, P. K. M. R.; Poon, Y. C.; Chang,
 Y.-M.; Wong-Ng, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 5667.
 (44) Barton, T. J.; Hoekman, S. K.; Burns, S. A. Organometallics

1982. 1. 721.

(45) Barton, T. J.; Groh, B. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 7221. (46) Tomadze, A. V.; Yablokova, N. V.; Yablokov, V. A.; Razuvaev,

G. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 212, 43.

(47) Gusel'nikov, L. E.; Kerzina, Z. A.; Polyakov, Yu. P.; Nametkin, N. S. Zh. Obshch. Chim. 1982, 52, 457.

(48) Barton, T. J.; Bain, S. Organometallics 1988, 7, 528

 (49) (a) Wiberg, N.; Preiner, G.; Schieda, O. *Chem. Ber.* 1981, *114*, 3518. (b) Märkl, G.; Horn, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1983, 1477. (c) Brook, A. G.; Chatterton, W. J.; Sawyer, J. F.; Hughes, D. W.; Vorspohl, K. Organometallics 1987, 6, 1246.

(50) (a) Davidson, I. M.; Thompson J. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1971, 251. (b) Davidson, I. M.; Thompson J. F. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1975, 2260.

(51) Goure, W. F.; Barton, T. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 199, 33.

(52) Hussmann, G.; Wulff, W. D.; Barton, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1263.

(53) Kudo, T.; Nagase, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2589.

⁽³⁴⁾ Raabe, G.; Michl, J. Chem. Rev. 1985, 85, 419.

In the reaction mixture of Me_2SiCl_2 and **2**, highly reactive $Me_2Si=O$, if formed from Me_2SiCl_2 , should competitively oligomerize and insert into **2** giving **3** (and D_3 giving D_4). That is, one would expect much higher product concentrations of **3** and D_4 as a result of these reactions than were observed (Figure 6). In fact, the distribution of linear and cyclic siloxanes originating from Me_2SiCl_2 and the cyclization process of **2** giving D_3 may be more readily explained as the sum of two relatively independent processes: $\mathbf{2} \rightarrow D_3$ and Me_2SiCl_2 \rightarrow linear + cyclic siloxanes.

Experimental Section

Instrumentation. The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-500 (at 500 MHz for protons) spectrometer or Bruker AC-200 (at 200 MHz for protons) spectrometer. ¹³C and ²⁹Si NMR were performed on a Bruker AC-200 (at 50.3 MHz for carbon) and Bruker AC-300 (at 59.6 MHz for carbon and 59.6 MHz for silicon). Chemical shifts are reported with respect to tetramethylsilane, as the standard, set to 0 ppm.

Preparation of 1–3 and 6. The linear α, ω -dichlorosiloxanes **1–3** were initially isolated by careful distillation from an old bottle of Me₂SiCl₂. They are readily prepared in acceptable yield by the method of Patnode and Wilcock,⁵ the method that was used to generate hexasiloxane **6** (1,11dichlorododecamethylhexasiloxane, bp 161 °C at 20 mmHg, lit.⁵ 245 °C). **1–3** are also commercially available.

1: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.47 (s, 12H).

2: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.12 (s, 6H), 0.43 (s, 12H).

3: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.09 (s, 12H), 0.41 (s, 12H).

6: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.08 (s, 12H), 0.13 (s, 12H), 0.43 (s, 12H).

For comparison, the ¹H NMR chemical shifts of the related cyclic siloxanes and other materials including ClCH₂SMe are provided. D₃ 0.15; D₄ 0.08; D₅ 0.06; D₆ 0.077; ClCH₂SMe (**4**) 2.18 (s, 3H), 4.61 (s, 2H); **5** 3.13.

Preparation of D₃/D₄ from Me₂SiCl₂ with H₂O. To a solution of Me₂SiCl₂ (1.7 mL, 14 mmol) in diethyl ether (50 mL) was added H₂O (0.5 mL, 28 mmol). After 15 min, the ¹H NMR showed a mixture of D₃:D₄:D₅ (30:53:17) (Table 1, entry 1). Only small quantities of starting and other materials were observed (<2%).

Siloxanes from Me₂SiCl₂ and DMSO in the Absence of Solvents. To Me₂SiCl₂ (8.49 mL, 70 mmol) was added DMSO (1.0 mL, 14 mmol). The ¹H NMR was taken after 15 min. To the reaction mixture was added a second aliquot of DMSO (1.0 mL, 14 mmol). This process was repeated a further 3 times (Figure 2, Table 1, entries 2–6).

General Procedure I: Siloxanes from Me₂SiCl₂ and DMSO in Different Solvents. To a solution of Me₂SiCl₂ (1.7 mL, 14 mmol) in solvent (20 mL) was added DMSO (2 mL, 28 mmol). The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature. ¹H NMR spectra were generally obtained at 15 min. However, in some cases, reaction was too slow and longer reaction times were utilized (Table 1, entries 7–14, Figure 3).

Product Distributions in Ether. General procedure I was used to prepare the siloxanes in Et_2O . A high ratio of $D_3:D_4$ was obtained (87:13). Therefore, detailed investigation of the reaction in this solvent was undertaken. The kinetics of the process were followed over several hours. The results are shown in Figure 4.

Dilution Effects. The effect of concentration was probed in the reaction by holding the DMSO:Me₂SiCl₂ ratio constant and varying the dilution volume of ether (Figure 5, Table 1, Entries 15–19): DMSO (2 mL, 28 mmol), Me₂SiCl₂ (1.7 mL, 14 mmol).

Effect of Order of Addition of Me₂SiCl₂ to DMSO. To a solution of DMSO (2.0 mL, 28 mmol) in Et₂O (40 mL) was added Me₂SiCl₂ (1.7 mL, 14 mmol), dropwise. After 1 h, ¹H

NMR showed the distribution of the reaction products strongly favored D_3 . By contrast, the identical reagents, but with the reverse order of reagent addition, led to diminished D_3 production (Table 1, entries 20 and 21).

Redistribution Reactions in the Presence of DMSO, 4, 5, and HCl. A 50:50 mixture of $D_3:D_4$ (5.6 g, 28 mmol (D_3); 8.3 g, 28 mmol (D_4)) was dissolved in diethyl ether (30 mL). In separate reactions, each of the following reagents was added to the D_3/D_4 mixture and the course of redistribution was followed over time by ¹H NMR.

4 (0.27 g, 2.8 mmol): 4 h, D₃/D₄ (50:50).

5 (0.38 g, 2.8 mmol): 2 h, D₃/D₄ (48:52).

DMSO (0.4 mL, 5.6 mmol): 20 min, D_3/D_4 (45:55); 18 h, D_3/D_4 (43:57).

HCl(g): 10 min, D₃/D₄ (3:97).

Redistribution Reactions between Cyclic and Linear Siloxanes. The reaction of D_3 or D_4 with 1, 2, or 3 in the presence of DMSO allows one to establish the relative rates of redistribution of the cyclic siloxane under these conditions.

 D_3 (1.57 g, 7.06 mmol), **1** (1.38 mL, 7.06 mmol), and DMSO (1 mL, 14 mmol) were allowed to react in Et₂O (20 mL). After 15 min, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed a ratio of **1:2:3**: $D_3:D_4$ (10:0:3:66:21).

 D_3 (1.57 g, 7.06 mmol), **2** (1.94 mL, 7 mmol), and DMSO (1 mL, 14 mmol) were allowed to react in Et₂O (20 mL). After 15 min, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed only D_3 .

 D_4 (2.2 mL, 7 mmol), **1** (1.37 mL, 7 mmol), and DMSO (1 mL, 14 mmol) were allowed to react in Et₂O (20 mL). After 15 min, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed ratio of **1:2:3:** $D_3:D_4$ (12: 0:5:2:80). Essentially no D_3 was formed in this process. The proportions indicated the direct conversion of **1** to D_4 .

 D_4 (2.2 mL, 7 mmol), **2** (1.94 mL, 7 mmol), and DMSO (1 mL, 14 mmol) were allowed to react in Et₂O (20 mL). After 15 min, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed a ratio of **1:2:3**:D₃:D₄ (2:4:0:44:51). The proportions indicated the direct conversion of **2** to D₃ without the participation of D₄.

Cyclization Reactions of 1–3 and 6. To disiloxane **1** (2.7 mL, 14.0 mmol) was added DMSO (2 mL, 28 mmol) in ether (20 mL). After 30 min, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed a ratio of **1:3**:D₃:D₄ (29:18:17:36) (the major siloxane products >95%).

To disiloxane **1** (2.7 mL, 14.0 mmol) was added H_2O (1 mL, 55 mmol) at room temperature in Et_2O (mL). After 60 min, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed a ratio of $D_3:D_4:D_5$ (11:74:15) (the only siloxane products). D_3 and **2** were present in <1% in the product mixture.

To trisiloxane **2** (1.9 mL, 7.0 mmol) was added DMSO (1.0 mL, 14.0 mmol) at room temperature in Et_2O (10 mL). After 15 min, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed a ratio of $D_3:D_4$ (the major products >95%) to be 86:14. This ratio was unchanged after 1 h!

To trisiloxane **2** (1.9 mL, 7.0 mmol) was added water (0.26 g, 14.0 mmol) at room temperature in Et_2O (10 mL). After 15 min, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed a ratio of **2**:D₃:D₄ (8:88:4) (the major products >95%). This ratio was unchanged after 1 night!

To disiloxane **3** (1.21 mL, 3.5 mmol) was added DMSO (0.5 mL, 7 mmol) in ether (10 mL). After 30 min, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed a ratio of $D_3:D_4$ (12:88) (the major siloxane products >95%).

To 1,11-Dichlorododecamethylhexasiloxane **6** (1 mL, 2 mmol) was added DMSO (2 equiv, 285 mL, 4 mmol) in diethyl ether (5 mL). After 15 min at room temperature, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed a ratio of 58% starting material and 40% D₆, 0.077 ppm. Other siloxanes were present in very small amounts (<5%).

Competitive Cyclization/Linear Extension of 1–3 in the Presence of Me₂SiCl₂. The relative rate of chain extension versus cyclization was gauged by the competitive reaction of **2** with Me₂SiCl₂.

General procedure I was implemented for the cohydrolysis of **2** and Me₂SiCl₂. The concentration of **2** (1.9 mL, 7.0 mmol)

 Table 2. Siloxane Production from 2 as a Function of Me₂SiCl₂ in the Reaction Mixture

Me ₂ SiCl ₂ (equiv)	1	2	3	D_3	$D_4 + D_5 \\$
0	0	0	0	100	0
0.5	14	19	8	53	6
1	20	29	10	37	5
1.5	28	36	10	23	4

and DMSO (1.0 mL, 14.0 mmol) and the volume of diethyl ether (10 mL) were held constant. The number of equivalents of Me_2SiCl_2 was varied from 0-2 in 0.5 equiv increments (1.7 mL, 14 mmol/equiv). The data after 15 min is shown in Table 2 and Figure 6.

Competitive Dimerization of 1 versus Cyclization of 2. To a mixture of **1** (1.38 mL, 7 mmol) and **2** (1.8 mL, 7 mmol) in diethyl ether (20 mL) was added DMSO (0.5 mL, 7 mmol). After 15 min, the distribution of products was $1:2:3:D_3:D_4$ (46: 21:9:24:0).

To a mixture of **1** (1.38 mL, 7 mmol) and **2** (1.8 mL, 7 mmol) in diethyl ether (20 mL) was added H_{2O} (0.13 mL, 7 mmol). After 15 min, the distribution of products was **1**:**2**:**3**: $D_3:D_4$ (20: 33:22:20:5).

Competitive Cyclization of 2 and 3. To a mixture of **3** (2.43 mL, 7 mmol) and **2** (1.8 mL, 7 mmol) in diethyl ether (20 mL) was added DMSO (0.5 mL, 7 mmol). After 15 min, the distribution of products was $1:2:3:D_3:D_4$ (4:22:38:24:12).

To a mixture of **3** (2.43 mL, 7 mmol) and **2** (1.8 mL, 7 mmol) in diethyl ether (20 mL) was added H_2O (0.13 mL, 7 mmol). After 15 min, the distribution of products was **1**:**2**:**3**: $D_3:D_4$ (3: 13:32:24:28).

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for financial support of this research, the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) for providing a McMaster University–Universität Duisburg Exchange Fellowship to S.W., and Dow Corning Corp., Canada, for providing the methylchlorosilanes used in this study.

OM970953E