## **Kinetic Studies on the Reductive Elimination of** cis-PtMe(SiPh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> and cis-PtMe(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>. The First Comparison between C-Si and C-Ge Reductive Elimination

Fumiyuki Ozawa,\* Toshihiko Hikida, Koh Hasebe, and Takuya Mori

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka City University, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558, Japan

Received September 25, 1997

The novel complex *cis*-PtMe(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (**2b**) was prepared by the treatment of *trans*-PtCl(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (3) with 2 equiv of MeLi in THF followed by methanolysis of the reaction system. The X-ray and NMR data of 2b, together with the NMR data of related cis-PtMe(SiPh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (1b) and cis-PtMe<sub>2</sub>(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (4), indicated the following order of trans influence: SiPh<sub>3</sub>  $\gg$  GePh<sub>3</sub>  $\geq$  Me. While the trans influence of the GePh<sub>3</sub> ligand is much lower than that of the SiPh<sub>3</sub> ligand and only slightly higher than that of the Me ligand, the GePh<sub>3</sub> ligand was found to possess a much higher trans effect than the methyl ligand, comparable to the SiPh<sub>3</sub> ligand. Thermolysis of **1b** and **2b** in toluene- $d_8$  in the presence of an excess amount of diphenylacetylene provided quantitative yields of reductive-elimination products MeSiPh<sub>3</sub> and MeGePh<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Kinetic studies revealed that the methylgermyl complex **2b** is much more stable than the methyl–silyl complex **1b**. The reductive elimination proceeds for both complexes via a process involving a prior ligand displacement of one of the PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph ligands with diphenylacetylene, followed by elimination of MeSiPh<sub>3</sub> or  $MeGePh_3$  from the resulting acetylene-coordinated species. Factors governing the reactivity of C–Si and C–Ge reductive elimination from a Pt(II) center are discussed.

## Introduction

Reductive elimination of organotransition-metal complexes is a crucial process in catalytic reactions.<sup>1</sup> In the past decade, a great deal of research has been carried out to elucidate the mechanism and kinetics of the reductive elimination that provides a carbon-carbon or a carbon-hydrogen bond.<sup>2</sup> In contrast, studies of the reductive elimination that causes carbon-heteroatom bond formation are still limited and their mechanistic details remain to be explored.<sup>3-5</sup> Recently, Hartwig and co-workers examined the mechanisms of reductive

(3) For recent examples of reductive elimination giving a carbonheteroatom bond other than the carbon-silicon bond, see: (a) Villanueva, L. A.; Abboud, K. A.; Boncella, J. M. Organometallics 1994, 13, 3921.
(b) Driver, M. S.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 4708.
(c) Hartwig, J. F.; Richards, S.; Barañano, D.; Paul, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 2937.
(d) Barañano, D.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 2937.
(e) Han, R.; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 2937.
(e) Han, R.; Hillhouse, C. L. Organometallics Soc. 1997, 119, 8135. (f) Koo, K.; Hillhouse, G. L. J. Am. Chem.
 Soc. 1997, 119, 8135. (f) Koo, K.; Hillhouse, G. L. Organometallics
 1996, 15, 2669. (g) Fryzuk, M. D.; Joshi, K.; Chadha, R. K.; Rettig, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 8724. (h) Han, L.; Choi, N.; Tanaka, M. Organometallics 1996, 15, 3259. (i) Nakazawa, H.; Matsuoka, Y.; Nakagawa, I.; Miyoshi, K. *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 1385. (j) Komiya, S.; Akai, Y.; Tanaka, K.; Yamamoto, T.; Yamamoto, A. *Organometallics* 1985, 4, 1130. (k) Thompson, J. S.; Randall, S. L.; Atwood, J. L. Organometallics 1991, 10, 3906.

(4) Ozawa, F.; Hikida, T.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 2844.

elimination of aryl(amido)- and aryl(thiolato)palladium complexes.<sup>3b,d</sup> On the other hand, we reported a kinetic study on the reductive elimination of cis-PtMe(SiPh<sub>3</sub>)-(PMePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> to give MeSiPh<sub>3</sub> and a platinum(0) species.<sup>4</sup> This type of reaction, which forms a carbon-silicon bond, has been assumed as the product-forming step in many catalytic silvlation reactions of organic molecules,6 while definitive examples of C-Si reductive elimination are rare<sup>5</sup> and their mechanisms have been investigated only for a few instances.5a,b

We have found that the reductive elimination of cis-PtMe(SiPh<sub>3</sub>)(PMePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1a) readily proceeds even at room temperature.<sup>4</sup> The reactivity observed was unexpectedly high as compared with that of common diorganoplatinum(II) complexes such as *cis*-PtMe<sub>2</sub>-(PMePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, which is thermally inactive toward reductive elimination.<sup>7</sup> Since theoretical and thermochemical data have indicated that the Pt-Si bond is generally

<sup>(1)</sup> Parshall, G. W.; Ittel, S. D. Homogeneous Catalysis; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1992.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Brown, J. M.; Cooley, N. A. Chem. Rev. (Washington, D.C.) 1988, 88, 1031. (b) Yamamoto, A. Organotransition Metal Chemistry, Fundamental Concepts and Applications; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1986. (c) Collman, J. P.; Hegedus, L. S.; Norton, J. R.; Finke, R. G. Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry, University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1987.

<sup>(5) (</sup>a) Tanaka, Y.; Yamashita, H.; Shimada, S.; Tanaka, M. Orga-nometallics **1997**, *16*, 3246. (b) Aizenberg, M.; Milstein, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, *117*, 6456. (c) Akita, M.; Hua, R.; Oku, T.; Tanaka, M.; Moro-oka, Y. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4162. (d) Okazaki, M.; Tobita, H.; Ogino, H. Organometallics 1996, 15, 2790. (e) Mitchell, G. P.; Tilley, T. D. Organometallics 1996, 15, 2790. (c) Michell, G.
 P.; Tilley, T. D. Organometallics 1996, 15, 3477. (f) Schubert, U.
 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 419. (g) Lin, W.; Wilson, S.
 R.; Girolami, G. S. Organometallics 1994, 13, 2309. (h) Schubert, U.;
 Müller, C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 373, 165. (i) Brinkman, K. C.; Blakeney, A. J.; Krone-Schmidt, W.; Gladysz, J. A. Organometallics 1984, 3, 1325.

 <sup>(6) (</sup>a) Brunstein, P.; Knorr, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 500, 21.
 (b) Recatto, C. A. Aldrichimica Acta 1995, 28, 85. (c) Tilley, T. D. In *The Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds*, Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 1989; p 1415. (7) Low, J. J.; Goddard, W. A., III. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*,

<sup>6115</sup> and references therein.





<sup>a</sup> In CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, at -20 °C. Complete NMR data for **2b** are reported in the Experimental Section.

much stronger than the Pt-C bond and the C-Si bond is weaker than the C-C bond,<sup>8</sup> the much higher reactivity of methyl(silyl)platinum compared to that of dimethylplatinum is attributed to kinetic factors, probably reflecting the size of the silicon atom being greater than that of the carbon atom, which facilitates orbital interaction between silicon and carbon at the transition state, and the low electronegativity of silicon, which reduces the energy barrier attendant on the reduction of platinum(II) to platinum(0) during the reductiveelimination process. To obtain further information on the C-Si reductive elimination, we compared in this study the reactivities of methyl(silyl)platinum and the related methyl(germyl)platinum species. Kinetic studies revealed the germyl complex to be much less reactive than the silyl complex toward reductive elimination.

## **Results and Discussion**

Preparation of Methyl(germyl)platinum(II). To compare the C-Si and C-Ge reductive-elimination reactions, cis-PtMe(EPh<sub>3</sub>)L<sub>2</sub> (E = Si, Ge) complexes having the same tertiary phosphine ligand (L) are needed. Since the silvl derivatives have already been prepared,<sup>4,9</sup> syntheses of the germyl analogues were examined in this study.

First of all, we tried to synthesize cis-PtMe(GePh<sub>3</sub>)-(PMePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (2a), which is the germyl analogue of cis- $PtMe(SiPh_3)(PMePh_2)_2$  (1a) employed in the previous study of reductive elimination.<sup>4</sup> Treatment of trans-PtCl(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> with 2 equiv of MeLi in THF followed by methanolysis of the resulting solution, however, did not form 2a but instead provided a platinum(II) complex having a trans geometry: <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR  $\delta$  6.9 (s,  ${}^{1}J_{\text{Pt-P}} = 2769$  Hz). This result differs from the synthetic reaction of 1a, in which the treat-



ment of trans-PtCl(SiPh<sub>3</sub>)(PMePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> with an excess amount of MeLi gives the platinate complex Li<sup>+</sup>[PtMe<sub>2</sub>(SiPh<sub>3</sub>)(PMePh<sub>2</sub>)]<sup>-</sup> with liberation of 1 equiv of PMePh<sub>2</sub>. Methanolysis of the reaction system leads to the selective formation of 1a.

On the other hand, a cis-methyl(germyl)platinum compound bearing two PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph ligands (2b) could be prepared in good yield, starting from trans-PtCl-(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (3) (Scheme 1). The reaction was examined by  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR spectroscopy. When 2 equiv of MeLi was added to a THF solution of 3 at room temperature, the signal of **3** at  $\delta$  -5.6 (s,  ${}^{1}J_{\text{Pt-P}} = 2667$ Hz) instantly disappeared, and a singlet at  $\delta$  -9.7  $({}^{1}J_{Pt-P} = 2028 \text{ Hz})$  assignable to Li<sup>+</sup>[PtMe<sub>2</sub>(GePh<sub>3</sub>)-(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)]<sup>-</sup> and a broad signal arising from free PMe<sub>2</sub>-Ph ( $\delta$  -44.8) appeared in a 1:1 ratio. Addition of an excess amount of methanol to the system at -20 °C led to the formation of *cis*-PtMe(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (**2b**) with 93% selectivity, which was isolated in 65% yield by recrystallization from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-Et<sub>2</sub>O.

Table 1 summarizes the characteristic NMR data for **2b**. Also listed are the data for the related complexes cis-PtMe(SiPh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (1b)<sup>9</sup> and cis-PtMe<sub>2</sub>(PMe<sub>2</sub>-Ph)<sub>2</sub> (**4**). The  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  NMR spectrum of **2b** exhibited two sets of doublets at  $\delta$  -12.2 and -4.6. Since the doublet at  $\delta$  -12.2 was observed in a region similar to that for the signals arising from the PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph ligand trans to the methyl ligand in 1b and 4, this signal was assigned to P(2), which is trans to the methyl ligand in **2b**. Consequently, the other doublet at  $\delta$  -4.6 was

<sup>(8) (</sup>a) Sakaki, S.; Ieki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 5063. (b) Sakaki, S.; Ieki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 2373. (c) Levy, C. J.; Puddephatt, R. J. Organometallics 1995, 11, 2373. (c) Levy, C. J.; Puddephatt, R. J. Organometallics 1995, 14, 5019. (d) Pilcher, G.; Skinner, H. A. In *The Chemistry of the Metal–Carbon Bond*; Hartley, F. R., Patai, S., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 1982; Vol. 1, p 43. (9) Ozawa, F.; Hikida, T. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4501.



**Figure 1.** Molecular structure of *cis*-PtMe(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>-Ph)<sub>2</sub> (**2b**). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Pt-Ge = 2.4495(7), Pt-C(1) = 2.127(5), Pt-P(1) = 2.330-(1), Pt-P(2) = 2.308(2); Ge-Pt-C(1) = 85.6(2), P(1)-Pt-P(2) = 94.28(5), Ge-Pt-P(2) = 93.41(4), P(1)-Pt-C(1) = 87.0(2), Ge-Pt-P(1) = 166.48(4), P(2)-Pt-C(1) = 178.2-(2).

assigned to P(1) trans to the GePh<sub>3</sub> ligand. It is seen from Table 1 that the  ${}^{1}J_{Pt-P(1)}$  value (1955 Hz) is somewhat smaller than the  ${}^{1}J_{Pt-P(2)}$  value (1972 Hz). On the other hand, in the  ${}^{31}P\{{}^{1}H\}$  NMR spectrum of methyl–silyl complex **1b**, the Pt–P coupling constants were much smaller at the trans position (P(1), 1330 Hz) than at the cis position (P(2), 2048 Hz) of the SiPh<sub>3</sub> ligand. Hence, the following order of trans influence was evidenced: SiPh<sub>3</sub>  $\gg$  GePh<sub>3</sub> > Me. This order is consistent with previous observations.<sup>10</sup>

X-ray Structure of 2b. Figure 1 shows the ORTEP drawing of **2b**. The platinum atom had a slightly distorted square planar geometry, and the sum of four angles about platinum was 360.3°. The Ge-Pt-P(2) angle  $(93.41(4)^\circ)$  was significantly wider than the P(1)-Pt-C(1) angle (87.0(2)°), probably reflecting the steric bulkiness of the GePh<sub>3</sub> ligand. The Pt-P(1) bond (2.330(1) Å), which is situated trans to the GePh<sub>3</sub> ligand, was somewhat longer than the Pt-P(2) bond (2.308(2) Å) at the site trans to the methyl ligand, indicating that the germyl ligand has a greater trans influence than the methyl ligand. This result is consistent with the  ${}^{1}J_{Pt-P}$  values of **2b**. However, reflecting the modest trans influence of the GePh<sub>3</sub> ligand as compared with the SiPh<sub>3</sub> ligand, the difference between the two Pt-P distances of 2b (0.022 Å) was much smaller than that observed for cis-PtMe(SiPh<sub>3</sub>)(PMePh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1a) (0.268 Å).<sup>4</sup>

**Reactivity toward Ligand Substitution.** The NMR and X-ray diffraction data for **2b** indicated that the GePh<sub>3</sub> ligand possesses only a slightly higher trans influence than the methyl ligand. On the other hand, the following investigation demonstrated that the GePh<sub>3</sub> ligand has a much greater trans effect than the methyl ligand, comparable to that of the SiPh<sub>3</sub> ligand (eq 1).



Complex **2b** was treated with PMe<sub>3</sub> in  $CD_2Cl_2$  at -20°C, and the reaction system was examined by  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR spectroscopy at -70 °C. On addition of 1 equiv of PMe<sub>3</sub> to the system, complex **2b** was selectively converted to the new methyl-germyl complex 2c with liberation of 1 equiv of PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph. Thus, as the reaction proceeded, the doublet at  $\delta$  -4.1 due to the PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph ligand trans to the GePh<sub>3</sub> ligand in **2b** (P(1)) instantly disappeared, to be replaced by a new doublet at  $\delta$  –14.1  $(^{2}J_{P-P} = 20 \text{ Hz}, ^{1}J_{Pt-P} = 1906 \text{ Hz})$ , which was assignable to the PMe<sub>3</sub> ligand at the coordination site trans to the GePh<sub>3</sub> ligand. Simultaneously observed were a broad singlet of free PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph ( $\delta$  -44.9) and a doublet at  $\delta$ -12.5 (<sup>2</sup> $J_{P-P} = 20$  Hz, <sup>1</sup> $J_{Pt-P} = 1960$  Hz). Since the latter signal exhibited almost the same chemical shift as the P(2) signal of the starting **2b** ( $\delta$  -12.1), this doublet was assigned to the PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph ligand trans to the methyl group. Therefore, we concluded that complex 2c is PtMe(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph), in which PMe<sub>3</sub> and PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph are oriented trans and cis toward the GePh<sub>3</sub> ligand, respectively, and the ligand substitution took place only at the coordination site trans to the GePh<sub>3</sub> ligand.

The site-selective ligand displacement with phosphine was also observed for methyl-silyl complexes  $1a^{4,9}$  and 1b.<sup>11</sup> In these cases the ligand substitution proceeded instantly at -20 °C at the site trans to the SiPh<sub>3</sub> ligand. It was further confirmed that the phosphine ligand trans to the methyl ligand is inactive toward ligand substitution, even in the presence of an excess amount of added phosphine at room temperature.<sup>9</sup>

**Kinetic Studies on Reductive Elimination. (a)** *cis*-PtMe(SiPh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (1b). The thermolysis reaction of 1b was examined in toluene- $d_8$  in the presence of an excess amount of diphenylacetylene. The reaction gave MeSiPh<sub>3</sub> and Pt(PhC=CPh)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> as the reductive-elimination products in quantitative yields (eq 2). In the presence of 0.20 M (10 equiv/1b) of



diphenylacetylene at 30 °C, the reductive elimination proceeded by obeying the first-order rate law with respect to the concentration of **1b** over 70% conversion  $(k_{obsd} = 2.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1})$ . The reaction was somewhat slower than the reductive elimination of *cis*-PtMe-

<sup>(10)</sup> Appleton, T. G.; Clark, H. C.; Manzer, L. E. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1973**, *10*, 335. Bresciani-Pahor, N.; Forcolin, M.; Marzilli, L. G.; Randaccio, L.; Summers, M. F.; Toscano, P. J. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1985**, *63*, 1.

<sup>(11)</sup> The reaction of **1b** with PMe<sub>3</sub> (1 equiv) in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at -20 °C instantly formed PtMe(SiPh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph), having the PMe<sub>3</sub> and PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph ligands trans and cis to the SiPh<sub>3</sub> ligand, respectively. <sup>31</sup>P-{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (-70 °C):  $\delta$  -10.8 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>Pt-P</sub> = 2027 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>P-P</sub> = 22 Hz), -12.5 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>Pt-P</sub> = 1306 Hz, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>P-P</sub> = 22 Hz).



**Figure 2.** Effect of added  $PMe_2Ph$  on the reductive elimination of **1b** in toluene- $d_8$  in the presence of diphenylacetylene at 35 °C. Initial concentrations: [1b] = 0.020 M,  $[PhC \equiv CPh] = 0.20$  M.



**Figure 3.** Effect of diphenylacetylene on the reductive elimination of **1b** in toluene- $d_8$  in the presence of added PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph at 35 °C. Initial concentrations: [**1b**] = 0.020 M, [PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph] =  $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$  M.

 $(SiPh_3)(PMePh_2)_2$  (**1a**) under the same reaction conditions ( $k_{obsd} = 5.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ).

The reaction progress was severely hindered by addition of free PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph to the system (Figure 2a). The plot of reciprocals of the rate constants  $(1/k_{obsd})$  against the concentration of PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph ([PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph]) gave a straight

line (Figure 2b). On the other hand, the rate of reductive elimination increased as the concentration of diphenylacetylene increased and a linear correlation between  $1/k_{obsd}$  and  $1/[PMe_2Ph]$  values was observed.

These kinetic features are essentially the same as the previous observations for the reductive elimination of **1a**, except that the reaction of **1b** is almost entirely suppressed by addition of only a small amount of free PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph (>5 mol %) to the system, while the reductive elimination of **1a** proceeds to some extent even in the presence of 1 equiv of added PMePh<sub>2</sub>.

**(b)** *cis*-PtMe(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (2b). While the methyl–germyl complex 2b also underwent reductive elimination cleanly in solution, the reaction progress was much slower than that of methyl–silyl complex 1b. For example, the thermolysis of 2b in toluene-*d*<sub>8</sub> in the presence of diphenylacetylene (0.20 M, 10 equiv) at 85 °C proceeded with the first-order rate constant  $k_{obsd} = 1.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$  to give MeGePh<sub>3</sub> and Pt(PhC=CPh)(PMe<sub>2</sub>-Ph)<sub>2</sub> in quantitative yields (eq 3). Except for the higher



stability, kinetic features of the reductive elimination of **2b** were very similar to those of **1b**. Thus, the reaction was effectively retarded by addition of free  $PMe_2Ph$  to the system (Figure 4) and accelerated at a higher concentration of diphenylacetylene (Figure 5).

Reaction Mechanisms. The kinetic observations for 1b and 2b are consistent with the reductive-elimination process involving a prior displacement of one of the PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph ligands with diphenylacetylene (Scheme 2). Considering the extremely high trans effects of SiPh<sub>3</sub> and GePh<sub>3</sub> ligands, which were suggested by the ligand substitution reactions of 1b and 2b with PMe<sub>3</sub>, the coordination of diphenylacetylene was assumed to take place selectively at the site trans to the EPh<sub>3</sub> ligand. This mechanism is almost identical with that previously proposed for 1a, except for the absence of the direct reductive-elimination pathway from the cis-PtMe(EPh<sub>3</sub>)-L<sub>2</sub> species. Assumptions of the ligand displacement to be a reversible process and of the rate-determining elimination of MeEPh<sub>3</sub> from the acetylene-coordinated species lead to the kinetic expressions in eqs 4 and 5, where [PtMe(EPh<sub>3</sub>)]<sub>total</sub> is the sum of the concentrations of the cis-PtMe(EPh<sub>3</sub>)L<sub>2</sub> complex and the acetylenecoordinated species at time t.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{MeEPh}_3]}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{kK[\mathrm{PhC}\equiv\mathrm{CPh}]}{[\mathrm{L}] + k[\mathrm{PhC}\equiv\mathrm{CPh}]}[\mathrm{PtMe}(\mathrm{EPh}_3)]_{\mathrm{total}}$$
(4)

$$\frac{1}{k_{\text{obsd}}} = \frac{[\text{L}]}{kK[\text{PhC}=\text{CPh}]} + \frac{1}{k}$$
(5)

These equations are consistent with the kinetic data for **1b** and **2b** represented in Figures 2 and 3 and



**Figure 4.** Effect of added PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph on the reductive elimination of **2b** in toluene- $d_8$  in the presence of diphenylacetylene at 100 °C. Initial concentrations: [**2b**] = 0.020 M, [PhC=CPh] = 0.20 M.



**Figure 5.** Effect of diphenylacetylene on the reductive elimination of **2b** in toluene- $d_8$  in the presence of added PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph at 100 °C. Initial concentrations: [**2b**] = 0.20 M, [PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph] =  $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$  M.

Figures 4 and 5, respectively. For example, reciprocals of the values of intercepts in Figures 2 and 3, which correspond to the rate constants (*k*) for the reductive elimination of acetylene-coordinated methyl-silyl species at 35 °C, were in fair agreement with each other:  $(4.5 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . On the other hand, the *kK* 



 Table 2. Kinetic Parameters for the Reductive

 Elimination of 1b and 2b<sup>a</sup>

<b>1b</b> (at 35 °C)	$k = 4.5  imes 10^{-4}  { m s}^{-1}$ $K = 1.2  imes 10^{-4}$	$(\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 22.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$
<b>2b</b> (at 100 °C)	$\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 20.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ $k = 1.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$	$\Delta S^{\ddagger} = -6.8 \text{ eu}$ ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 27.1 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ )
	$K = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 28.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$	$\Delta S^{\ddagger} = 3.4$ eu

<sup>*a*</sup> The *k* and *K* values were calculated on the basis of the plots in Figures 2a and 3 for **1b** and Figures 4a and 5 for **2b**, respectively. Activation enthalpy and entropy were estimated from the Eyring plots of the rate constants measured at five different temperatures ranging from 20 to 45 °C for **1b** and from 80 to 100 °C for **2b**, respectively: the reactions were carried out in toluene-*d*<sub>8</sub> in the presence of 0.20 M diphenylacetylene.

values, which were calculated on the basis of the slopes in Figures 2 and 3, were in good agreement with each other:  $(5.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Similarly, the *k* and *kK* values for the reductive elimination of **2b** at 100 °C were successfully determined on the basis of the plots in Figures 4 and 5. The constants thus obtained are summarized in Table 2 together with the activation parameters estimated from Eyring plots.

The activation parameters listed in Table 2 indicate that the large difference in reactivities between 1b and 2b toward reductive elimination is mainly due to the difference in the activation enthalpies. Since 1b and 2b have almost the same structural features, the activation enthalpies may be dependent predominantly upon the Pt-E bond energies in the ground states and the bond energies of partially formed C-E bonds in the transition states. Generally, the C-Si bond is stronger than the C-Ge bond by 10-15 kcal mol<sup>-1.12</sup> While the energy difference seems smaller for the incompletely formed C-Si and C-Ge bonds in the transition states, the order D(C-Si) > D(C-Ge) should be kept in the transition states as well. On the other hand, the data comparing the Pt-Si and Pt-Ge bond strengths are still lacking.8 However, experimental data for the related silyl and germyl complexes of late transition metals indicated the M-Si bond to be weaker than the M-Ge bond.<sup>13</sup> Furthermore, a series of experiments regarding the metathesis reactions of cis-Pt(EMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(dppe) or cis-

<sup>(12)</sup> For example, the mean bond dissociation enthalpies (*D*(E–C)) in SiMe<sub>4</sub> and GeMe<sub>4</sub> are 76.5 and 61.7 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.<sup>8d</sup> (13) Burnham, R. A.; Stobert, S. R. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 

<sup>(13)</sup> Burnham, R. A.; Stobert, S. R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.
1977, 1489. Cardin, D. J.; Lappert, M. F.; Litzow, M. R.; Spalding, T. R. J. Chem. Soc. A 1971, 2262. Spalding, T. R. J. Organomet. Chem.
1978, 149, 371. Novak, I.; Huang, W.; Luo, L.; Huang, H. H.; Ang, H. G.; Zybill, C. E. Organometallics 1997, 16, 1567.

PtCl(EMe<sub>3</sub>)(dppe) with HE'Me<sub>3</sub> (E, E' = Si, Ge, Sn) suggested the following stability order of silyl, germyl, and stannyl complexes of platinum:  $E = Sn \gg Ge >$  Si.<sup>14</sup> Therefore, we are convinced that the higher reactivity of silyl complex **1b** than germyl complex **2b** toward reductive elimination is mainly due to the thermodynamic factors; that is, the Pt–Si bond is weaker than the Pt–Ge bond and the C–Si bond is stronger than the C–Ge bond.<sup>15</sup>

In conclusion, we have confirmed for the first time that methyl(silyl)platinum(II) is much more reactive than methyl(germyl)platinum(II) toward reductive elimination. Because the related dimethylplatinum(II) complexes are known to be inactive toward reductive elimination, the following order of reactivities is now clearly observed:  $C-Si > C-Ge \gg C-C$ . The reactivity order is apparently inconsistent with the order of elements in the periodic table. The C–Si reductive elimination seems more thermodynamically favorable than the C–Ge reductive elimination and more kinetically favorable than C–C reductive elimination.

## **Experimental Section**

General Procedure and Materials. All manipulations were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere using conventional Schlenk techniques. Nitrogen gas was dried by passage through  $P_2O_5$  (Merck, SICAPENT). NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-A400 spectrometer (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, 399.65 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, 100.40 MHz; <sup>31</sup>P NMR, 161.70 MHz). Chemical shifts are reported in  $\delta$  (ppm), referenced to an internal SiMe<sub>4</sub> standard for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR and to an external 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> standard for <sup>31</sup>P NMR.

THF, Et<sub>2</sub>O, benzene, and hexane were dried over sodium– benzophenone ketyl and distilled just before using.  $CH_2Cl_2$ was dried over  $CaH_2$  and distilled just before using. Benzene $d_6$  and toluene- $d_8$  were dried over LiAlH<sub>4</sub>, vacuum-transferred, and stored under a nitrogen atmosphere. PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph was prepared by the reaction of MeMgBr with PCl<sub>2</sub>Ph. *cis*-PtMe-(SiPh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (**1b**) was prepared as previously reported.<sup>9</sup> All other compounds used in this study were obtained from commercial sources and used without purification.

**Preparation of** *trans*-PtCl(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (3). A mixture of *trans*-PtHCl(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (750 mg, 1.2 mmol) and HGePh<sub>3</sub> (553 mg, 1.8 mmol) was heated at 90 °C without solvent. Upon heating with stirring, the mixture changed into a homogeneous solution and gradually turned orange with evolution of hydrogen gas. After 3 h, the mixture was cooled to -20 °C, washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL × 3), and dried under vacuum to give a white solid of the title compound (667 mg, 65%). This product was spectroscopically pure and was employed in the synthesis of **2b** without further purification. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 23 °C):  $\delta$  1.54 (virtual triplet, J = 3.4 Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{Pt-H} = 28.3$  Hz, 12H, PCH<sub>3</sub>), 7.4–7.6 (m, 25H, Ph).  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 23 °C):  $\delta$  –5.6 (s,  ${}^{1}J_{Pt-P} = 2667$  Hz).

**Preparation of** *cis*-**PtMe(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (2b).** To a solution of *trans*-PtCl(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (0.60 g, 0.74 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added an ethereal solution of MeLi (Aldrich, low halide; 1.4 M, 1.1 mL, 1.5 mmol). The solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature and then cooled to -20 °C.

Fable 3.	Crystal Data and Details of the Structure
	Determination for 2b

Better minution	
formula	$C_{35}H_{40}GeP_2Pt$
fw	790.33
habit	prismatic
cryst size, mm	0.30 imes 0.25 imes 0.15
cryst syst	triclinic
space group	<i>P</i> 1 (No. 2)
a, Å	11.683(3)
<i>b</i> , Å	13.979(2)
<i>c</i> , Å	11.453(5)
α, deg	109.37(2)
$\beta$ , deg	113.36(3)
γ, deg	82.88(2)
V, Å <sup>3</sup>	1619.9(9)
Ζ	2
$d_{ m calcd}$ , g cm $^{-3}$	1.620
$\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ), cm <sup>-1</sup>	53.44
F(000)	780
radiation	Mo K $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.710$ 69 Å)
monochromator	graphite
data collected	$+h, \pm k, \pm l$
$2\theta$ range, deg	4.0 - 54.9
scan type	$\omega - 2\theta$
$\Delta \omega$ , deg	$1.15 \pm 0.30  an  heta$
scan speed, deg min <sup>-1</sup>	16, fixed
temp, K	293
linear decay, %	26.7
abs cor	empirical
min and max transmissn factors	0.535, 1.00
no. of rflns collected	7717
no. of unique rflns	7358 ( $R_{\rm int} = 0.008$ )
no. of obsd rflns	6402 ( $I \ge 3\sigma(I)$ )
no. of variables	352
R	0.041
$R_{ m w}$	0.052
goodness of fit	1.61
max $\Delta/\sigma$ in final cycle	0.00
max and min pea ${ m \check{k}}$ , e ${ m \AA}^{-3}$	+1.00, -2.15 (near Pt)

Methanol (0.8 mL) was slowly added, and the mixture was concentrated to dryness by pumping. The resultant white solid was dissolved in benzene, filtered through a short Celite column, and then concentrated to dryness. The crude product was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$ , and  $Et_2O$  was carefully layered on the CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution. The solvent layers were allowed to stand at -70 °C overnight, giving colorless crystals of 2b (0.38 g, 65%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -20 °C):  $\delta$  0.29 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>P-H</sub> = 11.7 and 6.3 Hz,  ${}^{2}J_{Pt-H} = 60.5$  Hz, 3H, PtCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.19 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{P-H} = 8.3$ Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{Pt-H} = 21.5$  Hz, 6H, PCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.41 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{P-H} = 8.3$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{Pt-H}$ = 21.5 Hz, 6H, PCH<sub>3</sub>), 7.1-7.4 (m, 15H, Ph), 7.4-7.6 (m, 10H, Ph). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -20 °C):  $\delta$  -0.37 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>P-C</sub> = 83 and 7 Hz,  ${}^{1}J_{Pt-C} = 486$  Hz, PtCH<sub>3</sub>), 14.2 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{P-C} = 28$  Hz,  ${}^{2}J_{\text{Pt-C}} = 26$  Hz, P*C*H<sub>3</sub>), 17.9 (dd,  $J_{\text{P-C}} = 30$  and 3 Hz,  ${}^{2}J_{\text{Pt-C}} =$ 30 Hz, P*C*H<sub>3</sub>), 127.0 (s, GePh), 127.6 (s, GePh), 128.7 (d, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 8 Hz, PPh), 128.8 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{P-C}$  = 8 Hz, PPh), 130.2 (s, PPh), 131.6 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{P-C} = 12$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{Pt-C} = 20$  Hz, PPh), 131.8 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{P-C}$ = 12 Hz,  ${}^{3}J_{Pt-C}$  = 17 Hz, PPh), 137.0 (s,  ${}^{3}J_{Pt-C}$  = 13 Hz, GePh), 137.9 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{P-C} = 41$  Hz,  ${}^{2}J_{Pt-C} = 20$  Hz, PPh), 140.2 (dd,  ${}^{1}J_{P-C}$ = 43 and 3 Hz,  ${}^{2}J_{Pt-C}$  = 10 Hz, PPh), 148.8 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{P-C}$  = 10 Hz,  ${}^{2}J_{\text{Pt-C}} = 66$  Hz, GePh).  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H}$  NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -20 °C):  $\delta$ -12.2 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{P-P} = 19$  Hz,  ${}^{1}J_{Pt-P} = 1972$  Hz), -4.6 (d,  ${}^{2}J_{P-P} = 19$  Hz,  ${}^{1}J_{Pt-P} = 1955$  Hz). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>40</sub>P<sub>2</sub>PtGe: C, 53.19; H, 5.10. Found: C, 52.75; H, 5.14.

**X-ray Diffraction Study of** *cis*-**PtMe(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub>** (**2b).** A single crystal of dimensions ca.  $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.15$  mm was sealed in a glass capillary tube. Intensity data were collected on a Rigaku AFC7R four-circle diffractometer. Unit cell dimensions were obtained from a least-squares treatment of the setting angles of 25 reflections in the range  $39.60 \le 2\theta \le 39.91^\circ$ . The cell dimensions suggested a triclinic cell, and a statistical analysis of intensity distribution indicated the space group  $P\overline{1}$  (No. 2). Diffraction data were collected at 20 °C in the range  $4.0 < 2\theta < 55^\circ$  using the  $\omega - 2\theta$  scan technique at a scan rate of  $16.0^\circ$  min<sup>-1</sup> in  $\omega$ . Three standard reflections,

<sup>(14)</sup> Clemmit, A. F.; Glockling, F. J. Chem. Soc. A 1971, 1164.

<sup>(15)</sup> After submission of this paper, the following decreasing order of  $Pt^{IV}-E$  bond energies was established on the basis of thermochemical experiments: E = Si > Ge > Sn. Levy, C. J.; Puddephatt, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 10127; Organometallics **1997**, 16, 4115. If this order is applied to the present Pt(II) systems, one must consider that the higher reactivity of **1b** compared to that of **2b** is mainly due to the stronger C-Si bond rather than C-Ge bond. The transition states may strongly resemble the reductive-elimination products.

monitored at every 150 reflection measurements, showed a linear decay in the intensity by 26.7%. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, decay, and absorption (empirical, based on azimuthal scans of 3 reflections). Of the 7358 unique reflections measured, 6402 were classified as observed ( $I > 3\sigma(I)$ ), and these were used for the solution and refinement of the structure.

All calculations were performed with the TEXSAN crystal structure analysis package provided by Rigaku Corp., Tokyo, Japan. The scattering factors were taken from ref 16. The structure was solved by heavy-atom Patterson methods (PATTY) and expanded using Fourier techniques (DIRDIF92). The structure was refined by full-matrix least squares with anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. In the final cycles of refinement, hydrogen atoms with isotropic temperature factors ( $B_{iso} = 1.20B_{bonded atom}$ ) were located at idealized positions (d(C-H) = 0.95 Å) and were included in calculations without refinement of their parameters. The function minimized in least squares was  $\Sigma w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2$  (*w* =  $1/[\sigma^2(F_0)]$ ). The final *R* index was 0.041 ( $R_w = 0.052$ , S = 1.61).  $R = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_0|$ , and  $R_w = [\Sigma w (|F_0| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w |F_0|^2]^{1/2}$ .  $= [\Sigma W(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2/(N_0 - N_p)]^{1/2}$ , where  $N_0$  is the number of observed data and  $N_{\rm p}$  is the number of parameters varied. Crystal data and details of data collection and refinement are summarized in Table 3. Additional information is available as Supporting Information.

**Kinetic Studies.** A typical procedure for the reductive elimination of **2b** is as follows. *cis*-PtMe(GePh<sub>3</sub>)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> (**2b**;

9.51 mg, 12.0  $\mu$ mol) and diphenylacetylene (21.4 mg, 0.120 mmol) were placed in an NMR sample tube equipped with a rubber septum cap, and the system was replaced with nitrogen gas at room temperature. A solution of  $PMe_2Ph$  in toluene- $d_8$ (14.5 mM, 24.8  $\mu$ L, 0.360  $\mu$ mol) was added and then total volume of the solution was adjusted to 0.60 mL using neat toluene- $d_8$ . The sample was placed in an NMR sample probe controlled to 100.0  $\pm$  0.1 °C and examined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. The time course of the reductive elimination was followed by measuring the relative peak integration of the residual methyl signal of toluene- $d_8$  ( $\delta$  2.05) and the methyl signal of Pt(PhC=CPh)(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta$  1.45) at intervals. The kinetic study for 1b was similarly conducted. In this case, however, the time course of the reaction was followed by measuring the relative peak integration of the methyl signals of MeSiPh<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  0.74) and Me<sub>2</sub>SiPh<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta$  0.45); the latter was used as an internal standard.

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Area "The Chemistry of Inter-element Linkage" (No. 09239105) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.

**Supporting Information Available:** Details of the structure determination of **2b**, including a figure giving the atomic numbering scheme and tables of atomic coordinates, thermal parameters, and all bond distances and angles (9 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM970841N

<sup>(16)</sup> Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*, Kynoch Press: Birmingham, U.K., 1974; Vol. IV.