Control of Stereoselectivity in the Ring-Opening Metathesis Polymerization of Norbornene by the Auxiliary Ligands Butadiene and *o*-Xylylene in Well-Defined Pentamethylcyclopentadiene Tantalum Carbene Complexes

Kazushi Mashima*

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560, Japan

Michitaka Kaidzu, Yoshiyuki Tanaka, Yuushou Nakayama, and Akira Nakamura

Department of Macromolecular Science, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560, Japan

James G. Hamilton and John J. Rooney

School of Chemistry, The Queen's University of Belfast, David Keir Building, Belfast BT9 5AG, Northern Ireland

Received April 17, 1998

cis-Dialkyl complexes of tantalum, $Ta(CH_2Ph)_2Cp^*(\eta^4-C_4H_6)$ (**2a**) ($Cp^* = \eta^5-C_5Me_5$), TaMe(CH₂SiMe₃)Cp*(η^4 -butadiene) (6), and TaMe(CH₂CMe₃)Cp*(η^4 -butadiene) (7), were found to be catalyst precursors for ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) of norbornene to give poly(norbornene) with a high *cis*-vinylene double-bond (97–99%) content, while an o-xylylene complex $Ta(CH_2Ph)_2(\eta^4 - o - (CH_2)_2C_6H_4)Cp^*$ (9) was also an initiator to give poly(norbornene) with a high *trans*-vinylene double-bond (92-95%) content. When a Cp-butadiene complex Ta(CH₂Ph)₂Cp(η^4 -C₄H₆) (**2b**) was used as an initiator, we obtained poly(norbornene) with no selectivity (1:1 mixture of *trans*- and *cis*-vinylene bonds). The factors controlling these stereoselectivities have been investigated, and we obtained the following results: (1) We isolated benzylidene complexes and found that the proportion of anti- and syn-rotamers obtained depended on the kind of auxiliary ligands on the tantalum center, i.e., 1,3-butadiene or o-xylylene. Thermolysis of **2a** in the presence of PMe₃ resulted in the formation of a benzylidene complex $Ta(=CHPh)Cp^*(\eta^4-C_4H_6)(PMe_3)$ (3a) as an *anti*rotamer, which has been characterized by X-ray crystal structure analysis, while a similar treatment of **2b** afforded Ta(=CHPh)Cp(η^4 -C₄H₆)(PMe₃) (**3b**), also in the *anti*-rotamer form, as revealed by a comparison of the chemical shift value of the benzylic proton of **3b** with that of **3a**. In sharp contrast to the *anti*-geometry, a benzylidene complex $Ta(=CHPh)(\eta^4$ $o(CH_2)_2C_6H_4)Cp^*$ (12), bearing an o-xylylene ligand instead of the butadiene ligand, was obtained by thermolysis of **9**. The X-ray crystal structure analysis of **12** revealed that it is a syn-rotamer and has three-legged piano stool geometry. (2) Metallacyclobutanes are considered as intermediates during the propagation step. We could not isolate any metallacyclobutane during the ROMP of norbornene; however, a tantalacyclobutane Cp*-

 $(\eta^{4}$ -o-xylylene)Ta[CH(Ph)CH(C₁₀H₆)CH] (**15**) was isolated in 18% yield when acenaphthylene, instead of the norbornene, was added to **12**. The *trans*-phenyl geometry of the metallacy-clobutane ring system, which indicated the retention of the Ta=C bond stereochemistry, was determined by the X-ray analysis of **15**, whose structural features were compared with

that of $Cp^*(\eta^4$ -butadiene)Nb[CH₂CH(C₁₀H₆)CH] (**14**). (3) In contrast to high *cis/trans* stereoselectivity, the stereoregularity or tacticity of the cyclopentane ring sequences in poly-(norbornene) obtained by the tantalum complexes estimated from the ¹³C NMR spectra of the hydrogenated derivatives was found to be almost *atactic*. This suggests that the alkylidene species would be a resting state during the propagation, and thereby monomer could be added to both faces of the Ta=C bond, leading to the *atactic* polymer. Consequently, the high *cis* and *trans* stereoselectivity thus demonstrated is ascribed to the huge congestion between the Cp* ligand and auxiliary ligands 1,3-butadiene or *o*-xylylene.

Introduction

Alkylidene complexes of transition metals have attracted much interest since they have been used as catalysts as well as reagents for reactions such as alkene formation from carbonyl compounds, olefin metathesis, and ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) of cyclic olefins.¹⁻⁶ Well-defined metathesis catalysts such as titanacyclobutanes, 5,7-9 Mo(NR)(CHR')(OR')₂, 10-18and $\text{RuCl}_2(\text{CHR})(\text{PR}'_3)_2^{19-24}$ have recently proven useful in ROMP, have led to a more precise description of the whole mechanism, and have also acheived living ROMP with high selectivity. Generally, the properties of polymers sensitively depend not only on molecular weight and polydispersity but also on the microstructure of the polymer backbone. Poly(norbornene) and its derivatives are interesting materials as the control of polymer microstructure in terms of both the *cis/trans* double-bond ratio and the relative orientation of the cyclopentylene rings, i.e., tacticity, Figure 1, has provided a challenge.²⁵ Although recently a number of stereocontrolled polymerizations of norbornadiene derivatives have been reported,^{10–18} there are relatively few examples of the stereospecific polymerization of norbornene derivatives, and these have involved the use of classical catalyst systems such as ReCl₅²⁵ and OsCl₃,²⁶ which yield cis syndiotactic polymer and (mesitylene)-W(CO)₃,²⁵ which gave *trans isotactic* material.

Grubbs work on a titanocene-carbene system prompted us to study a new catalyst system based on the

- 102, 47
- (7) Gilliom, L. R.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 733. (8) Petasis, N. A.; Fu, D.-K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 7208.
- (9) Grubbs, R. H.; Gilliom, L. R. J. Mol. Catal. 1988, 46, 255.
- (10) Bazan, G. C.; Khosravi, E.; Schrock, R. R.; Feast, W. J.; Gibson,
- V. C.; O'Regan, M. B.; Thomas, J. K.; Davis, W. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8378
- (11) McConville, D. H.; Hofmeister, G. E.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 4413.
- 12) Oskam, J. H.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 11831, and references therein.
- (13) O'Dell, R.; McConville, D. H.; Hofmeister, G. E.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 3414, and references therein.
- (14) Schrock, R. R.; Lee, J.-K.; O'Dell, R.; Oskam, J. H. Macromolecules 1995, 28, 5933.
- (15) Totland, K. M.; Boyd, T. J.; Lavoie, G. G.; Davis, W. M.; Schrock, R. R. Macromolecules 1996, 29, 6114.
- (16) Feast, W. J.; Gibson, V. C.; Marshall, E. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1992, 1157.
- (17) Feast, W. J.; Gibson, V. C.; Ivin, K. J.; Kenwright, A. M.; Khosravi, E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 1399. (18) Schrock, R. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 158
- (19) Nguyen, S. T.; Johnson, L. K.; Grubbs, R. H.; Ziller, J. W. J.
- Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 3974. (20) Nguyen, S. T.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 9858.
- (21) Lynn, D. M.; Kanaoka, S.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 784, and references therein.
- (22) Schwab, P.; Grubbs, R. H.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 100.
- (23) Wilhelm, T. E.; Belderrain, T. R.; Brown, S. N.; Grubbs, R. H. Organometallics 1997, 16, 3867.
 - (24) Belderrain, T. R.; Grubbs, R. H. Organometallics 1997, 16, 4001. (25) Hamilton, J. G. *Polymer*, in press. (26) Al-Samak, B.; Amir-Ebrahimi, V.; Carvill, A. G.; Hamilton, J.
- G.; Rooney, J. J. Polymer Int. 1996, 41, 85.



trans and isotactic

Figure 1. Four possible stereoregularities of poly(norbornene).

metallocene-like $TaCp^*(diene)$ ($Cp^* = pentamethylcy$ clopentadienyl) fragment, isoelectronic and isolobal to group 4 metallocene fragments Cp₂M, which are 14electron species; we had found that TaCp*(diene) stabilized various reactive species such as benzyne²⁷ and cationic alkyls²⁸ similar to the corresponding metallocene complexes of group 4 metals. We prepared and characterized *cis*-dialkyl complexes bearing TaCp*(η^4 butadiene), TaCp(η^4 -butadiene), and TaCp*(η^4 -o-xylylene) fragments, and all these complexes were catalyst precursors for the ROMP of norbornene. We have found that a combination of Cp* and butadiene ligands affords a polymer having *cis*-vinylene bonds, while a combination of Cp* and o-xylylene ligands results in a transpolymer; however, the Cp-butadiene system has no stereoselectivity. We have also found that these poly-(norbornene)s were almost *atactic* on the basis of the ¹³C NMR spectra of the hydrogenated derivatives. The outline of a mechanism is discussed on the basis of the isolation of two kinds of benzylidene complexes, an antirotamer for a butadiene complex and a *syn*-rotamer for an o-xylylene complex, together with metallacyclobutanes. A part of this work has been the subject of preliminary communications.²⁹

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of Catalyst Precursors and Benzylidene Complexes. From Diene Complexes. A new series of *cis*-dialkyl complexes bearing 14-electron "Ta(η^{5} -C₅R₅)(η^{4} -C₄H₆)" (R = H, Me) fragments, which are isoelectronic to metallocene fragments of group 4 metals, were found to be catalyst precursors for the ROMP of norbornene. We have already communicated the synthesis and the crystal structure of the dibenzyl tantalum complex Ta(CH₂Ph)₂Cp*(η^4 -C₄H₆) (**2a**), which

⁽¹⁾ Nugent, W. A.; Meyer, J. M. Metal-Ligand Multiple Bonds; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1988; p 133.

⁽²⁾ For comprehensive reviews: Ivin, K. J. *Olefin Metathesis*; Academic Press: New York, 1983. Dragutan, V.; Balaban, A. T.; Dimonie, M. Olefin Metathesis and Ring Opening Polymerization of Cyclo-Olefins, 2nd ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1985. Ivin, K. J.; Mol, J. C. Olefin Metathesis and Metathesis Polymerization; Academic Press: London, 1997.

⁽²⁷⁾ Mashima, K.; Tanaka, Y.; Nakamura, A. Organometallics 1995, 14, 5642

⁽²⁸⁾ Mashima, K.; Fujikawa, S.; Tanaka, Y.; Urata, H.; Oshiki, T.;

⁽²⁹⁾ Mashima, K.; Tanaka, A. Organometallics 1995, 14, 2633.
(29) Mashima, K.; Tanaka, Y.; Kaidzu, M.; Nakamura, A. Organometallics 1996, 15, 2431.

was prepared in 83% yield by reaction of TaCl₂Cp*(η^4 - C_4H_6) (1a) with 2 equiv of benzyl Grignard reagent in THF.²⁹ The Cp analogue Ta(CH₂Ph)₂Cp(η^4 -C₄H₆) (**2b**) was obtained as brown crystals in 51% yield by a similar reaction of TaCl₂Cp(η^4 -C₄H₆) (**1b**) with 2 equiv of benzyl Grignard reagent in THF followed by recrystallization from a mixture of toluene and hexane. The structure of **2b** was characterized on the basis of NMR spectroscopy and compared with that found for 2a. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2b** showed AB type benzylic signals at δ 1.27 and 1.86 (J = 10.9 Hz) which are shifted to lower field compared to those (δ 0.40 and 1.23, J = 10.9Hz) found for 2a. We have already reported the thermolysis of **2a** in the presence of PMe₃ to afford a benzylidene complex Ta(=CHPh)Cp*(η^4 -C₄H₆)(PMe₃) (**3a**) that has a PMe₃ ligand and a benzylidene moiety (anti-isomer with the phenyl group pointed away from the Cp* ligand).²⁹ Similarly, thermolysis of **2b** in the presence of PMe3 at 40 °C for 25 h provided a benzylidene complex Ta(=CHPh)Cp(η^4 -C₄H₆)(PMe₃) (**3b**), which decomposed readily during attempted isolation. Thus, the complex **3b** was characterized in solution by its ¹H NMR spectrum, which exhibited a doublet, characteristic of an α -benzylidene proton, at δ 10.52 $(J_{\rm H-P} = 5.9 \text{ Hz})$, downfield from that of **3a** (δ 9.67).



Reaction of 1a with Me₃SiCH₂MgCl and Me₃CCH₂-MgCl in THF resulted in the formation of monalkylated complexes TaCl(CH₂SiMe₃)Cp*(η^4 -C₄H₆) (**4**) and TaCl- $(CH_2CMe_3)Cp^*(\eta^4-C_4H_6)$ (5); however, no dialkylated complex was obtained due to the steric bulkiness of the Cp* ligand and of these Grignard reagents. A similar monophenylated complex TaCl(Ph)Cp*(η^4 -C₄H₆) has been prepared by the reaction of TaCl₂Cp*(η^4 -C₄H₆) with phenyl Grignard reagent.²⁷ The monoalkylated complexes 4 and 5 reacted readily with 1 equiv of methyl Grignard reagent, forming oily products TaMe(CH₂-SiMe₃)Cp*(η^4 -C₄H₆) (6) and TaMe(CH₂CMe₃)Cp*(η^4 - C_4H_6) (7), respectively. Since complexes 6 and 7 could not be isolated as pure forms, we determined their structures on the basis of ¹H NMR spectral data: a singlet at -0.45 ppm being attributed to the methyl group bound to the tantalum atom along with a set of signals due to trimethylsilylmethyl or neopentyl groups in the right integral ratio. The asymmetry of the tantalum atom in the complexes 6 and 7 resulted in the six different signals due to the six protons of the coordinated butadiene moiety. All attempts to prepare alkylidene complexes by the thermolysis of 6 and 7 with



or without the donor ligand PMe₃ failed; however, they were found to be catalyst precursors for the ROMP of norbornene, indicating that the formation of an alkylidene species, via a methane-producing α -hydrogen abstraction, proceeded under the polymerization conditions.



From o-Xylylene Complexes. A dibenzyl complex $Ta(CH_2Ph)_2(\eta^4-o-(CH_2)_2C_6H_4)Cp^*$ (9), which has an oxylylene auxiliary ligand instead of the η^4 -butadiene, is expected to be a catalyst precursor for the ROMP of norbornene, so we started by preparing the *o*-xylylene complex $TaCl_2(\eta^4-o-(CH_2)_2C_6H_4)Cp^*$ (8). Treatment of TaCl₄Cp^{*} with 1 equiv of o-C₆H₄(CH₂MgCl)₂ in THF resulted in the formation of 8 in 38% yield.

Although three coordination modes (A, B, and C, Chart 1) for a mononuclear *o*-xylylene complex are possible, A and B can be ruled out by NMR spectroscopy.³⁰ Thus the ¹H NMR spectrum of **8** displayed an ABq-type signal due to the benzylic protons of the o-xylylene ligand; this together with signals due to aromatic ring protons at normal chemical shift ruled out the mode A. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum we obtained direct information to distinguish the modes **B** and **C**, a signal due to the benzylic carbons of the *o*-xylylene ligand being displayed at δ 69.9 with a normal coupling constant (142 Hz) for J_{C-H} . The chemical shift values are comparable to that of the known benzyl complexes of tantalum, e.g., 2a (δ 70.6, 117 Hz), Ta(CH₂Ph)₂(= CHPh)Cp* (**10**) (δ 71.8, 120 Hz),³¹ TaCl₂(CH₂Ph)₂Cp* $(\delta 97.3, 123 \text{ Hz})$,³¹ TaCl₂(CH₂CMe₃)(CH₂Ph)Cp (*cis*isomer: δ 96, 126 Hz; *trans*-isomer: δ 98.6, 128 Hz),³² and TaCl₃(CH₂Ph)₂(PMe₃)₂ (δ 82; 133 Hz),³³ except for the highly shielded complex of Ta(=CHPh)(CH₂Ph)Cp₂ (11) $(\delta 28.2, 123 \text{ Hz}).^{34}$ The coupling constant (142 Hz) of the benzylic carbons in 8 is larger than those (120-133 Hz) found for other benzyl complexes of tantalum, 31-34 indicating that the structure of **8** can be best described as mode C with some contribution of mode **B**. This is the case with other *o*-xylylene complexes of early transition metals, e.g., Ti, Nb, and W.³⁵⁻³⁹ Thus,

⁽³⁰⁾ McGrady, J. E.; Stranger, R.; Brown, M.; Bennett, M. A. Organometallics 1996, 15, 3109, and references therein.

⁽³¹⁾ Messerle, L. W.; Jennische, P.; Schrock, R. R.; Stucky, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6744.

⁽³²⁾ Wood, C. D.; McLain, S. J.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 3210.

⁽³³⁾ Rupprecht, G. A.; Messerle, L. W.; Fellmann, J. D.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6236.

⁽³⁴⁾ Schrock, R. R.; Messerle, L. W.; Wood, C. D.; Guggenberger, L. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3793.

⁽³⁵⁾ Lappert, M. F.; Raston, C. L.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **198**, 485. (36) Lappert, M. F.; Raston, C. L.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. J.

Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 893.



Figure 2. ORTEP drawing of **8** with numbering scheme. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 1.Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles
(deg) of 8

	(8/				
	Bond Dist	ances (Å)			
Ta-Cl(1)	2.400(2)	Ta-Cl(2)	2.405(2)		
Ta-C(1)	2.221(8)	Ta-C(2)	2.584(8)		
Ta-C(3)	2.578(8)	Ta-C(4)	2.220(8)		
Ta-C(11)	2.448(8)	Ta-C(12)	2.448(8)		
Ta-C(13)	2.445(8)	Ta-C(14)	2.420(8)		
Ta-C(15)	2.419(8)	C(1)-C(2)	1.51(1)		
C(2)-C(3)	1.41(1)	C(3)-C(4)	1.49(1)		
Bond Angles (deg)					
Cl(1)-Ta-Cl(2)	89.53(9)	Cl(1)–Ta–C(1)	83.8(3)		
Cl(1)-Ta-C(4)	138.2(2)	Cl(2)-Ta-C(1)	137.9(2)		
Cl(2)-Ta-C(4)	84.1(3)	C(1)-Ta-C(4)	74.1(3)		
Ta-C(1)-C(2)	85.5(5)	Ta-C(4)-C(3)	85.7(5)		
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	113.7(8)	C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	116.5(8)		

the ¹H and ¹³C NMR evidence, along with the crystallographic study (vide infra), designated bonding mode C for the structure of **8**.



Slow cooling of a toluene solution of **8** provided single crystals suitable for an X-ray diffraction study. An ORTEP drawing of **8** is shown in Figure 2, and the selected bond distances and angles are summarized in Table 1. The coordination of the tantalum atom can be described as the four-legged piano stool geometry comprised of the Cp* ligand, two C_{α} atoms of the *o*-xylylene ligand, and two chloro ligands. The Ta-C_{α} distances (2.221(8) and 2.220(8) Å) are comparable to those of a benzyl complex of tantalum **10** (2.188(15) and 2.233-(14) Å),³¹ but are slightly shorter than those of **2a** (2.277-

(4) and 2.249(4) Å)²⁹ and **11** (2.30(1) Å).³⁴ The bonding parameters within the o-xylylene and the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligand are unexceptional. The Ta- C_{β} distances (2.584(8) and 2.578(8) Å) in the *o*-xylylene ligand are longer than those in butadiene complexes such as **1b** (2.424(11)-2.410(12) Å),⁴⁰ indicating the presence of bonding interaction between Ta and the C_{β} carbons as observed for various η^4 -o-xylylene complexes (modes **B** and **C**) of early transition metals such as Ti, Nb, and W.³⁵⁻³⁹ The fold angle (104.3°) between Ta-C1-C4 and C1-C2-C3-C4 of 8 is larger than those found for **1b** (94.6°)⁴⁰ and is smaller than those found for some of the o-xylylene complexes of Ti (109.5° and 129°)^{36,39} and Nb (126°);³⁶ this is in accord with the NMR result, where bonding mode C has some contribution from mode **B**.

The dichloro complex **8** reacted readily with 2 equiv of PhCH₂MgCl in THF to give the corresponding dibenzyl complex **9** in 62% yield. Complex **9** is thermally unstable, and its structure was determined by NMR spectroscopy supported by elemental analysis. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **9** displayed two sets of signals assignable to two benzyl ligands and the *o*-xylylene ligand. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum, the benzylic carbons of the benzyl ligands appeared at δ 79.9 (¹*J*_{CH} = 119 Hz), while the benzylic carbons of the *o*-xylylene ligand occurred at 68.8 (¹*J*_{CH} = 137 Hz); here a smaller coupling constant than that found for **8** suggested a larger contribution from mode **C**.

Monitoring the thermolysis of 9 in C₆D₆ at 20 °C by ¹H NMR spectroscopy revealed a smooth α-hydrogen abstraction accompanied by the elimination of toluene, as the benzylidene complex $Ta(=CHPh)(\eta^4 - o - (CH_2)_2C_6H_4)$ Cp* (12) was formed. Thus, the complex 12 was isolated in 17% yield by thermolysis of 9 at 40 °C for 4 h followed by recrystallization from a mixture of toluene and hexane. The benzylidene complex 12 is a dark red and extremely air-sensitive crystalline solid, but it can be stored at room temperature under argon for months without decomposition. It is noteworthy that 12 can be isolated without the electron-donating phosphine ligand like the phosphine-free benzylidene complex 10;³¹ this is in sharp contrast to the PMe₃ adduct **3a** obtained by the thermolysis of **2a** in the presence of PMe₃.²⁹ It is initially difficult to rule out a benzylidene-bridged dinuclear structure, but a crystallographic study finally confirmed a mononuclear structure for 12 (vide infra). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **12** showed a singlet at δ 4.99 due to the α -benzylidene proton, indicating the presence of a single alkylidene rotamer. The chemical shift value of the alkylidene carbon of 12 is higher shifted than those (around 10 ppm) found for 3, being a result of shielding by the aromatic ring of the o-xylylene unit. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum, the benzylidene carbon atom appeared at δ 230.8 with a coupling constant J_{C-H} = 85 Hz. This chemical shift is comparable to that found for **10** (δ 220, $J_{C-H} = 82 \text{ Hz}$)³¹ and otherwise is smaller than those of the corresponding carbon atoms in related complexes, **3a** (δ 237.3, $J_{C-H} = 112$ Hz, $J_{C-P} = 19$ Hz) and **11** (δ 246, $J_{C-H} = 127$ Hz).³⁴ The small coupling constant of **12** is consistent with the large $Ta-C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}$

⁽³⁷⁾ Bristow, G. S.; Lappert, M. F.; Martin, T. R.; Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1984**, 399.

⁽³⁸⁾ Mena, M.; Royo, P.; Serrano, R.; Pellinghelli, M. A.; Tiripicchio, A. Organometallics **1988**, *7*, 258.

⁽³⁹⁾ Mena, M.; Royo, P.; Serrano, R.; Pellinghelli, M. A.; Tiripicchio, A. Organometallics **1989**, *8*, 476.

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Yasuda, H.; Tatsumi, K.; Okamoto, T.; Mashima, K.; Lee, K.; Nakamura, A.; Kai, Y.; Kanehisa, N.; Kasai, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 2410.



Figure 3. ORTEP drawing of **12** with numbering scheme. Hydrogen atoms except one bound to the carbenic carbon are omitted for clarity.

Table 2. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) of 12

	-			
Bond Distances (Å)				
Ta-C(1)	2.180(9)	Ta-C(2)	2.572(8)	
Ta-C(3)	2.59(1)	Ta-C(4)	2.169(8)	
Ta-C(11)	1.925(9)	Ta-C(21)	2.445(9)	
Ta-C(22)	2.419(8)	Ta-C(23)	2.415(8)	
Ta-C(24)	2.44(1)	Ta-C(25)	2.416(8)	
C(1)-C(2)	1.47(1)	C(2)-C(3)	1.42(1)	
C(2)-C(5)	1.43(1)	C(3)-C(4)	1.50(1)	
C(11)-C(12)	1.49(1)			
Bond Angles (deg)				
C(1)-Ta-C(4)	83.0(4)	C(1)-Ta-C(11)	114.6(4)	
C(4)-Ta-C(11)	108.7(4)	Ta-C(1)-C(2)	87.3(5)	
Ta - C(4) - C(3)	87.8(5)	Ta-C(11)-C(12)	164.8(7)	
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	120.4(9)	C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	119(1)	

angle (vide infra), as expected by the relationship between the M-C-C bond angle in alkylidene complexes and the $^1H-^{13}C$ coupling constant for the α -carbon atom.¹



Figure 3 shows an ORTEP drawing of 12, and selected bond distances and angles are summarized in Table 2. Complex 12 has 16 valence electrons and has a threelegged piano stool geometry comprised of C(1), C(4), and C(11). The structural features of **12** are closely related to the Schrock complex 10, which also has a three-legged piano stool geometry: two benzyl groups and one benzylidene moiety, the difference is the "up" and "down" orientations of the two benzyl ligands in 10.31 The Ta-C(11) bond distance (1.925(9) Å) is much shorter than that of **3a** (2.044(8) Å)²⁹ and **11** (2.07(1) Å),³⁴ but longer than that (1.883(14) Å) of **10**.³¹ The Ta- C_{α} bond distances (2.169(8) and 2.180(9) Å) of the o-xylylene ligand are slightly shorter than those (2.188-(15)-2.233(14) Å) found for the benzyl ligands in **10**.³¹ The o-xylylene ligand is sterically more demanding than the Cp* ligand, and so the phenyl group of the benzylidene ligand in 12 points up "toward" the Cp* ligand, i.e., the same, *syn*, direction as that observed in **10**,³¹ but in sharp contrast to the anti-geometry found for benzylidene complexes of group 5 metals having a halfmetallocene fragment, e.g., $3a^{29}$ and $Cp^*M(N-2,6^{-i}-Pr_2C_6H_3)$ (=CHPh)(PMe₃) (**13a**, M = V;⁴¹ **13b**, M = Nb⁴²). The large Ta-C(11)-C(12) angle of 164.8(7)° is almost the same as that (166.0°) found for **10** and is consistent with the small ¹H-¹³C coupling constant for the α -carbon atom.

Polymerization of Norbornene. The isolated benzylidene-phosphine complexes 3a and 3b did not have any catalytic activity for the ROMP of norbornene, presumably due to the presence of the phosphine ligand, which severely prevents norbornene coordination at the tantalum center. Phosphine-free carbene species, generated in situ from thermolysis of dialkyl complexes 2, 6, 7, and 9, together with the phosphine-free benzylidene complex **12** can initiate the polymerization of norbornene, and the results are summarized in Table 3. The activity of these precursors depends crucially on the temperature since heating is required to generate the "carbene species" by thermal decomposition, and at higher temperature the yield of the polymer increased to some extent. Higher molecular mass polymers were formed with neat monomer. The stereoselectivity of the reaction, in terms of the polymers' *cis/trans* ratio, can be controlled by changing the ancillary ligands (Cp or Cp*, butadiene, or *o*-xylylene) on the tantalum center.

Several notable features of the polymerization reaction can be pointed out. (1) The catalyst precursors 2a, 6, and 7, which have both Cp* and butadiene ligands, gave 97-99% cis-polymers. The catalyst precursor 2a (Table 3, runs 1-7) was superior to **6** and **7** (runs 8-11) in the ROMP of norbornene in that the latter two precursors resulted in a low chemical yield (12-22% yield after 50 h) and larger polydispersity (M_w/M_n 3.25-4.67); however the reactions were highly stereoselective (cis content 97-98%). These findings indicated that the benzylidene species was generated from 2a much more readily than the alkylidenes from 6 and 7, which requires the release of methane. (2) The Cp complexes 2b showed no stereoselectivity in double-bond formation (runs 12 and 13), indicating that the Cp and butadiene ligands on the tantalum atom exert similar steric effects. In this context the isoelectronic and analogous "Cp₂Ti= CHR" species has been reported to catalyze the ROMP of norbornene to give poly(norbornene) without any stereoselectivity.^{7,8} (3) In sharp contrast to this high cis stereoselectivity, the o-xylylene complex 9 gave polymers with 92-95% trans double bonds (runs 14-18). The isolated benzylidene complex **12** also initiated the ROMP of norbornene (run 19) with almost the same results as when using the complex 9. (4) The different stereochemistry of the polymers derived from the butadiene complex and the o-xylylene complex is attributed to the significantly larger bulk of the latter ligand and in nonbonded repulsions which are manifest in the different geometry of the rotamers of the initiating alkylidene species, in accordance with the structural features of 3a²⁹ and 12.

Hydrogenation of Poly(norbornene). To determine the stereoregularity of the various poly(nor-

⁽⁴¹⁾ Buijink, J.-K.; Teuben, J. H.; Kooijman, H.; Spek, A. L. Organometallics 1994, 13, 2922.

⁽⁴²⁾ Cockcroft, J. K.; Gibson, V. C.; Howard, J. A. K.; Poole, A. D.; Siemeling, U.; Wilson, C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1992**, 1668.

Table 3.	Polymerization of Norbornene	Catalyzed by	Butadiene	Complexes	2a, 2b, 6,	and 7 and	l <i>o</i> -Xylylene
	-	Complexes	s 9 and 12	-			

				-					
run	compd	temp (°C)	solv	S/C ^a	time (h)	yield ^b (%)	$M_{ m n}{}^c$	$M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}^{c}$	<i>cis</i> ^d (%)
1	2a	45	toluene	100	50	30	4000	2.36	97
2	2a	45	THF	100	50	35	4100	2.00	97
3	2a	45	toluene	100	100	54	5600	1.93	98
4	2a	45	THF	100	100	60	5200	1.92	98
5	2a	65	toluene	100	30	84	8900	1.63	97
6	2a	65	THF	100	30	94	8600	1.88	97
7	2a	65	none	1000	87	43	32 300	2.04	99
8	6	60	toluene	100	50	13	2700	3.25	97
9	6	60	THF	100	50	12	3300	4.05	98
10	7	65	toluene	100	50	14	2100	3.30	98
11	7	65	THF	100	50	22	2600	4.67	97
12	2b	60	toluene	100	32	42	3400	2.46	50
13	2b	60	THF	100	32	16	1800	2.32	50
14	9	45	toluene	100	30	14	2000	1.72	8
15	9	45	THF	100	30	5	5800	2.00	5
16	9	65	THF	100	6	12	3700	1.49	7
17	9	50	none	500	15	6	22 300	1.85	7
18	9	50	none	100	30	73	20 300	1.91	7
19	12	65	toluene	100	30	8	2800	2.13	10

^{*a*} S/C: the ratio of norbornenes/catalyst. ^{*b*} Methanol-insoluble fraction. ^{*c*} GPC analysis in THF versus polystyrene standards. Theoretical M_n value is less than the experimental one.⁷ ^{*d*} Stereochemistry of double bonds in polymer as determined by ¹H NMR.^{7,8,50}

 Table 4. Hydrogenation of Poly(norbornene)s Derived from Polymers Formed Using Complexes 2a and 9 as Catalyst Precursors^a

run	complex	temp (°C)	solv	yield ^b (%)	$M_{ m n}{}^c$	$M_{ m w}/M_{ m n}{}^c$	cis ^d (%)	<i>m^c</i> (%)
1	2a	65	THF	64	12 000	1.49	97	57
2	2a	65	toluene	67	12 000	1.496	98	55
3	9	50	none	23	19 000	2.34	5	57

^{*a*} The ratio of [norbornenes]/[catalyst] was 100. Each polymerization was quenched after 30 h. ^{*b*} Methanol-insoluble fraction. ^{*c*} GPC analysis in THF versus polystyrene standards. Theoretical M_n value is less than the experimental one.⁷ ^{*d*} Stereochemistry of double bonds in polymer as determined by ¹H NMR.^{7,8,50} ^{*e*} Overall tacticity of hydrogenated form of the polymer expressed as % isotactic (*m*) junctions as determined from its hydrogenated derivative.

bornene)s, we carried out a hydrogenation of the double bonds using diimine generated in situ from *p*-toluenesulfonylhydrazide (see the Experimental Section),26 and thus we obtained the hydrogenated product in almost quantitative yield. The fully hydrogenated polymers were soluble in CDCl₃ at 50 °C, and high-quality ¹³C NMR spectra for three samples were obtained for estimation of the relative proportions of dyad and triad structures.²⁶ The results for these hydrogenated polymers are shown in Table 4. The poly(norbornene)s that were obtained using the catalyst precursors 2a and 9 were high *cis* and high *trans*, respectively, and *atactic*, presumably as a result of the monomer adding to the metastable Ta species without any face selectivity. This result however was not expected because the high-cis polymers formed from anti-7-methylnorbornene using 2a were 88% isotactic.²⁹ Although the reasons for this difference are not clear, this is an important observation because it provides further evidence of an apparent tendency for polymers formed from the prototypal norbornene and norbornadiene, with given catalyst systems, to be much less tactic than those of their derivatives.43

Preparation of a Model Tantalacyclobutane ROMP Intermediate. As mentioned above, norbornene efficiently added to the alkylidene species derived from the tantalum catalyst precursors **3** and **12** to give poly(norbornene), and so it is not surprising that all attempts to isolate a metallacyclobutane, regarded as an intermediate in the propagation of ROMP,² failed. However acenaphthylene is a cyclic olefin that is expected to react with the alkylidene species to form a metallacyclobutane, and furthermore C–C bond fission is prevented compared with the metallacyclobutane derived from the addition of norbornene. We have in fact successfully isolated and spectroscopically characterized the acenaphthylene adduct of niobium, Cp*(η^4 -

butadiene) Nb[CH₂CH(C₁₀H₆)CH] (**14**), which was found to have only a low activity in the ROMP of norbornene.⁴⁴ Thus we investigated reactions of the bis(benzyl) complexes **2**, **6**, **7**, and **9** along with the benzylidene complexes **3** and **12** with a small excess of acenaphthylene under thermal conditions where a benzylidene species is generated. In the reactions of **2**, **3**, **6**, **7**, and **9**, we could not detect any metallacycles at all, and only decomposed products were detected by NMR spectroscopy. On the other hand, the reaction of the complex **12** with acenaphthylene gave rise to a deep violet

tantalacyclobutane Cp*(η^4 -o-xylylene)Ta[CH(Ph)CH-

 $(C_{10}H_6)CH](15)$ in 18% yield (eq 1), which is air stable in solid form, but decomposed readily in solution upon exposure to air. The formation of **15** in the reaction of **9** with acenaphthylene was detected by NMR spectroscopy, but **15** could not be isolated.

The structure of **15** was determined by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy as well as X-ray crystallographic analysis (vide infra). In the ¹H NMR spectrum of **15**, a signal at δ 2.14 due to a β -hydrogen atom, which is

⁽⁴³⁾ Amir-Ebrahimi, V.; Corry, D. A. K.; Hamilton, J. G.; Rooney, J. J. J. Mol. Catal., in press.

⁽⁴⁴⁾ Mashima, K.; Kaidzu, M.; Nakayama, Y.; Nakamura, A. Organometallics 1997, 16, 1345.



benzylic to the acenaphthylene moiety, was observed. This is at lower field compared with that (δ 1.46) of **14**⁴⁴ and is at lower field than those found for $Cp^*(\eta^4$ - $C_4H_6)Nb[CH_2CH(C_5H_8)CH]$ (16) (δ -1.69),⁴⁴ Cp₂Ti[CH₂- $CH(C_5H_8)CH$ (17) (δ 0.14),⁷ and (DIPP)₃Ta[CH(^tBu)-CH(C₅H₈)CH] (**18**) (DIPP = 2,6-di(isopropyl)phenoxy) (δ 0.88).45 The orientation of the phenyl group on the cyclobutane ring system could not be probed by ¹H NMR experiments, but its trans orientation with respect to the acenaphthylene moiety can be determined by the X-ray analysis (vide infra) and is thus consistent with the small geminal coupling constants observed for the α -hydrogen atoms (δ 3.17, J = 7.9 Hz and 4.12, J = 7.7 Hz). The assignment of the ^{13}C NMR signals to C_{α} (δ 89.7 and 92.1) and C_{β} (δ 20.6, J_{CH} = 139 Hz) in the tantalacyclobutane was possible by way of a ¹H-¹³C COSY experiment and comparison with those of 14 (C_{α} , δ 74.1 and 111.6; C_{β}, δ 20.7, $J_{\rm CH}$ = 145 Hz).⁴⁴ The chemical shift values (δ 63.6 and 64.0) and coupling constants (139 and 140 Hz) for benzylic carbons of the o-xylylene ligand are normal and comparable to those found for 8, 9, and 12; the coupling constants suggest a conventional o-xylylene ligand with a large contribution of the canonical form C in Chart 1.





Figure 4. ORTEP drawing of **15** with numbering scheme. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Figure 5. ORTEP drawing of **14** with numbering scheme. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Figure 4 shows the solid-state structure of **15**, and selected bond distances and angles are shown in Table 5. Complex **15** has a four-legged piano stool geometry composed of a capping Cp* ligand with four carbon atom legs, C(1), C(4), C(11), and C(13), and has a puckered metalacyclobutane structure with a phenyl group at C(11) *trans* to the acenaphthylene ring. This confirms that the addition of acenaphthylene to the Ta=C bond of **12** proceeded in the fashion shown to minimize the steric interaction between the Cp* ligand and the approaching acenaphthylene; the "*syn*" orientation of the "Ta=CHPh" moiety in **12** has been retained during addition reaction. The fold angle of 12.5° between Ta-C(11)-C(13) and C(11)-C(12)-C(13) is smaller than that of **16** (29.6°) and those of the tantalacyclobutane

complexes **18**⁴⁵ and (DIPP)₃Ta[CH(Ph)CH('Bu)CH₂] **(19)** (DIPP = 2,6-di(isopropyl)phenoxy), which are 15.5° and 25.2°, respectively.^{45,46} The rather small fold angle of **15** might be attributed to steric congestion caused by the Cp* and the *o*-xylylene ligands together with the added acenaphthylene moiety. The distance (2.637(6)

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Wallace, K. C.; Dewan, J. C.; Schrock, R. R. Organometallics 1986, 5, 2162.

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Wallace, K. C.; Liu, A. H.; Dewan, J. C.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1988**, 110, 4964.

Table 5. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) of 14 and 15

14 (M = Nb) 15 (M = Ta)						
	Bond Distances (Å)					
M-C(1)	2.18(3)	2.212(7)				
M-C(2)	2.34(2)	2.582(6)				
M-C(3)	2.33(2)	2.611(6)				
M-C(4)	2.28(2)	2.228(7)				
M-C(11)	2.28(2)	2.224(6)				
M…C(12)	2.40(2)	2.637(6)				
M-C(13)	2.18(1)	2.199(6)				
C(1) - C(2)	1.38(3)	1.470(9)				
C(2) - C(3)	1.38(3)	1.415(9)				
C(3) - C(4)	1.40(3)	1.46(1)				
C(11)-C(12)	1.65(3)	1.577(8)				
C(12)-C(13)	1.56(3)	1.614(9)				
C(11)-C(31)		1.463(9)				
	Bond Angles (deg)					
C(1) - M - C(4)	71(1)	72.8(3)				
C(11) - M - C(13)	80.6(9)	73.9(2)				
C(1) - M - C(11)	134(1)	128.4(2)				
C(1) - M - C(13)	101(1)	88.6(3)				
C(4) - M - C(11)	74(1)	89.7(3)				
C(4) - M - C(13)	133.7(9)	139.4(3)				
M - C(1) - C(2)	78(2)	86.6(4)				
M - C(4) - C(3)	74(1)	87.6(4)				
M - C(11) - C(12)	73(1)	86.0(4)				
M - C(13) - C(12)	77(1)	86.1(3)				
M - C(13) - C(14)	131(1)	136.1(5)				
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	116(3)	114.1(6)				
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	115(2)	115.1(6)				
C(11)-C(12)-C(13)) 127(2)	112.8(5)				
C(11)-C(12)-C(21)) 107(2)	111.2(5)				
C(12) - C(13) - C(14)) 104(2)	105.4(5)				
C(12)-C(11)-C(14))	128.5(5)				
C(12)-C(11)-C(14))	119.7(6)				

Å) of Ta···C(12) in **15** is longer than the corresponding distances found for **16** (2.44(1) Å) and **18** (2.382(16) Å), but is shorter than that (2.782(24) Å) of **19**. The bond distance (2.224(6) Å) of Ta–C(11) is longer than that (2.199(6) Å) of Ta–C(13), although both C(11) and C(13) are benzylic carbons, presumably due to the presence of the acenaphthylene ring system. The angles of C(1)–Ta–C(4) (72.8(3)°) and C(11)–Ta–C(13) (73.9(2)°), which are of chelating ligands, are smaller than the angles of C(1)–Ta–C(13) (88.6(3)°) and C(4)–Ta–C(11) (89.7(3)°). The *o*-xylylene ligand of **15** is bending away to minimize the congestion between the metallacyclic moiety and the *o*-xylylene ligand; the fold angle (98.7°) between the plane of Cp ring carbons and the plane of C(1)–C(2)–C(3)–C(4) is larger than that of **8** (80.7°) and **12** (81.9°).

The tantallacyclic ring system of 15 is essentially the same as the structure of the niobacyclic ring system of 14 except for the absence of the phenyl group in the ring system of 14. The structure of 14 is of poor quality, and thus the accuracy of bond parameters of 14 is limited. Selected bond distances and angles of 14 are listed in Table 5, and a drawing of 14 is provided in Figure 5. 14 may be described as having a four-legged piano stool geometry composed of a capping Cp* ligand and four carbon atom legs, C(1), C(4), C(11), and C(13), with a metalacyclobutane geometry that is almost flat (the fold angle of Ta-C(11)-C(13) and C(11)-C(12)-C(13) = 2.8°). The Nb····C(12) distance of 2.40(2) Å was shorter than that of 15. The tendency of a longer Nb-C(11)(2.28(2) Å) and a shorter Nb-C(13) (2.18(1) Å) is comparable to that found for 15.

Scheme 1



Mechanistic Outline. To understand the factors that determine the stereochemistry of the double bonds in poly(norbornene) and the high *cis/trans* stereoselectivity and poor stereoregularity (almost *atactic*), we isolated two benzylidene complexes, 3a and 12, which can be regarded as key intermediates in the ROMP catalyzed by our tantalum catalyst system. 3a has an anti-benzylidene ligand, while 12 is syn, and it is expected that the stability of the rotamer of the alkylidene species during the propagation will control the stereochemistry of the double bond of poly(norbornene) because syn- and anti-rotamers of molybdenum complexes of the type Mo(NAr)(=CHMe₂Ph)(OR)₂ (Ar = 2,6- $Me_2C_6H_3$, 2,6- ${}^{t}Pr_2C_6H_3$; OR = O- ${}^{t}Bu$, OCMe(CF₃)₂, etc.) have been demonstrated to play a major role in determining whether certain other polymers contain cis or trans double bonds.^{12,17} With the butadiene complex 2a as a catalyst precursor, the relative geometry of the alkylidene species, and presumably the propagating chain end of the polymer, is mostly anti (see 20, 23, and 24 in Scheme 1). On the other hand, the o-xylylene ligand is much more sterically demanding than the butadiene ligand and thus induces the formation of a metastable anti-alkylidene species 27 from 26 (see Scheme 2). The formation of syn-28 and -29, similar to 12 (as shown in Scheme 2), seems to be taking place, although this could not be detected. Accordingly, we can control the orientation of the rotational isomer by varying an auxiliary ligand, e.g., butadiene or o-xylylene, on the tantalum center.

With regard to half-metallocene complexes of group 5 metals $13a^{41}$ and 13b,⁴² vide supra, which are isoelectronic to the complex 3a including the PMe₃ ligand, an "*anti*"-alkylidene ligation is observed. It therefore seems curious that complex 12, which also has a 14valence TaCp*(η^4 -o-xylylene) fragment isoelectronic to the TaCp*(η^4 -diene) fragment, adopts the *syn*-isomer just like the Schrock complex 10, which has the *syn*benzylidene ligand. The *syn*-geometry found for 12might be attributed to the CH $-\pi$ interaction as shown in Scheme 2,⁴⁷ and this emphasizes the unique ability

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Steiner, T.; Starikov, E. B.; Amado, A. M.; Teixeira-Dias, J. J. C. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* **2 1995**, 1321.



of the *o*-xylylene ligand to control the chain end isomer as opposed to that of the butadiene complex.

Metallacyclobutanes have been demonstrated as intermediates in ROMP. We assume that norbornene approaches the Ta=C bond to minimize the steric repulsion of the Cp* ligand, and this is confirmed by the structural study for niobacyclobutane 14, where C(7) is pointing away from the Cp* ligand; thereby 21 in Scheme 1 and 25 in Scheme 2 are less favorable products. We can postulate that, in the case of the butadiene complexes (Scheme 1), an "all-cis"-metallacycle 22 is likely to be formed, and subsequent ringopening would then afford a *cis*-double bond and an inserted product 23 along with 24. For the o-xylylene catalysts (Scheme 2), the trans-metallacycle 26 is assumed to be the product upon addition of monomer to 12; the metathesis reaction resulted in the formation of a trans-double bond and a new anti-alkylidene complex **27** that is expected to isomerize to the synspecies such as 28 and 29. This interconversion seems to be much faster than the addition of monomer to 27, which would lead to the formation of the "all-cis"metallacycle 30, which then would give a cis-double bond, as shown in Scheme 1. The formation of 30 is unfavorable because there is steric repulsion between the polymer chain end and the *o*-xylylene ligand, but this may explain some contamination of the cis-double bonds in the polymer obtained using the *o*-xylylene complexes. Furthermore, it is of interest that the rate of polymer formation catalyzed by the o-xylylene precursors is much slower than that of the butadiene catalysts.

It is rather difficult to control the stereochemistry of the C=C bond in poly(norbornene), although many

kinds of functionalized norbornadiene monomers have been polymerized in high stereoselectivity and stereoregularity using metal salt-based catalyst systems,⁴⁰ and recently *all-trans* highly tactic^{10,13} and *all-cis* highly tactic polymers¹⁶ have been obtained by using welldefined molybdenum alkylidene complexes. Complexes **16**⁷ and **18**⁴⁵ are less stereoselective and catalyze the ROMP of norbornene to give 55 and 62% trans-poly-(norbornene)s, respectively. The successful stereocontrol described in the present study is attributed to the incorporation of the bulky Cp* ligand with auxiliary ligands such as butadiene and o-xylylene, and the benefits of these steric effects are emphasized when one considers polymerizations using 2b, a Cp-butadiene complex, as a catalyst precursor; the resulting poly-(norbornene) had a 1:1 mixture of *cis* and *trans*, indicating no stereoselectivity. Although a phosphine complex **3b** was found to be the same *anti*-rotamer as **3a**, two rotamers, 31 and 32, are likely to be generated during the propagation process. Norbornene may be added to 31 in two different ways to form metallacyclobutanes 33 and 34, while the addition of norbornene to 32 yields 35 and 36; subsequent metathesis reaction of these four possible metallacyclobutanes regenerate 31 and 32, resulting in a nonselective C=C bond formation.



Four possible stereochemical representations of poly-(norbornene) are shown in Figure 1; alternating addition of norbornene to each face of the Ta=C bond (single rotamer) affords syndiotactic polymer, while the addition to the same face of the Ta=C bond results in isotactic polymer. There are only a few known examples of tactic poly(norbornene) in contrast to many examples of tactic polymers made from norbornadiene derivatives; cis syndiotactic poly(norbornene) has been obtained using the ReCl₅-based heterogeneous catalyst,²⁶ and a trans, isotactic-rich example was also reported.²⁶ Although quite high stereoselectivity for the double bond in the polymer was accomplished with the present tantalum catalyst precursors, the polymers were almost atactic as determined by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy of their hydrogenated derivatives. This result suggests that the alkylidene species would be in a resting state during

the propagation, and thereby the monomer added to both of the faces of the Ta=C bond, leading to the *atactic* polymer.

Conclusion

In this contribution, we demonstrated that *cis*-dialkyl complexes of tantalum bearing an η^5 -C₅R₅ and an auxiliary ligand such as 1,3-butadiene and *o*-xylylene are efficient catalyst precursors for the ROMP of norbornene. The factors governing the unexpectedly high stereoselectivity have been investigated by examining the structures of well-defined alkylidene and metallacyclobutane derivatives.

When the Cp*-butadiene complexes 2a, 6, and 7 are employed, the main feature of the polymerization system is that high cis, atactic poly(norbornene) is formed. This is a consequence of (i) the alkylidene-propagating species preferring to exist as the anti-rotamer assumed on the basis of the isolation and crystallographic characterization of **3a** and (ii) subsequent *exo*-addition of norbornene to the alkylidene without face selectivity to produce another anti-alkylidene and a cis-vinylene bond. The stereoselectivity of the reaction depends sensitively on the ligand environment at the catalyst site. For example the Cp-butadiene complex 2b did not show any stereoselectivity in the ROMP of norbornene, and this is attributed to the similarity of the steric bulk of the Cp and butadiene ligands; therefore, both rotamers are available for propagation. We also found that the o-xylylene complexes 9 and 12 catalyzed the ROMP of norbornene to yield high trans, atactic polymer and that the benzylidene complex 12 was characterized crystallographically to be a syn-rotamer, addition of monomer to which affords a trans-vinylene bond and an antirotamer. Fast conversion of the *anti*-rotamer **27** to a syn-rotamer **28** is assumed to be the key step in the mechanism.

We could not detect any metallacyclobutanes during the polymerization; however, we isolated and crystallographically characterized an acenaphthylene adduct **15** that suggests *exo*-addition to the Ta=C bond with the retention of the *syn*-geometry.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. All manipulations involving airand moisture-sensitive organometallic compounds were carried out by use of standard Schlenk techniques under an argon atmosphere. Hexane, THF, toluene, and pentane were dried and deoxygenated by distillation over sodium benzophenone ketyl under argon. Methanol was refluxed over magnesium and distilled under argon. Benzene-d₆ was distilled from Na/K alloy and thoroughly degassed by trap-to-trap distillation before use. PMe₃ purchased as toluene solution (1.0 M) from Aldrich Chemical Co., was used as received. Ethylene was purchased from Seitetsu Kagaku Co. and was used as received. Norbornene (bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene) from the Aldrich was refluxed over sodium and distilled prior to use. Acenaphthylene was sublimed before use. Complexes $TaCl_2(\eta^5-C_5R_5)(\eta^4$ buta-1,3-diene) ($\mathbf{R} = CH_3$ (**1a**); $\mathbf{R} = H$ (**1b**)) were prepared according to the literature, 40,48 and the complex Cp*(η^4 -

butadiene)Nb[CH $_2$ CH(C $_{10}$ H $_6$)CH] (14) has been reported previously.⁴⁴

The ¹H (500, 400, and 270 MHz) and ¹³C (125, 100, and 68 MHz) NMR spectra were measured on a JEOL JNM-GX500, a JEOL JNM-GSX400, or a JEOL JNM-EX270 spectrometer. When C₆D₆ was used as the solvent, the spectra were referenced to the residual solvent protons at δ 7.20 in the ¹H NMR spectra and to the residual solvent carbons at δ 128.0 (triplet for C₆D₆) in the ¹³C NMR spectra. Assignments for ¹H and ¹³C NMR peaks for some of the complexes were aided by 2D ¹H-¹H NOESY and 2D ¹H-¹³C COSY spectra, respectively. Elemental analyses were performed at Elemental Analysis Center, Faculty of Science, Osaka University. All melting points of the complexes were not corrected.

 $Ta(CH_2Ph)_2(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(\eta^4-buta-1,3-diene)$ (2a). To a solution of 1a (0.592 g, 1.34 mmol) in THF (60 mL) cooled at -78 °C was added an ethereal solution of PhCH₂MgCl (2.11 equiv, 2.84 mmol) via a syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2.5 h at 25 °C. The color of the solution changed from purple to dark red. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to give a residue, from which the product was extracted with hexane (120 mL). Recrystallization from toluene (5 mL) at -20 °C afforded 2a as dark red crystals in 83% yield; mp 121-122 °C (dec). Complex 2a is thermally unstable, and the content of 2a was reduced to ca. 10% after 4 days at 25 °C, it also decomposed to about 60% on heating at 45 °C for 6 h. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ –0.79, (2H, m, $=CH_2$ anti), 0.02 (2H, m, $=CH_2$ syn), 0.40, 1.23 (4H, AB quartet, ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 10.9$ Hz, Ta- CH_{2} -Ph), 1.77 (15H, s, $C_{5}Me_{5}$), 6.39 (2H, m, =CH-), 6.85 (4H, d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.9$ Hz, o-C₆H₅), 6.94 (2H, t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.6$ Hz, p-C₆H₅), 7.32 (4H, t, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.6 Hz, m-C₆H₅). ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 11.4 (q, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 127$ Hz, $C_{5}Me_{5}$), 60.1 (t, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 150$ Hz, $=CH_{2}$), 70.6 (t, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 117$ Hz, Ta $-CH_{2}$ -Ph), 119.2 (s, $C_{5}Me_{5}$), 120.9 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 169 \text{ Hz}, =CH-), 122.7 \text{ (d, } {}^{1}J_{CH} = 157 \text{ Hz}, p-C_{6}H_{5}), 126.5$ (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 156$ Hz, o-C₆H₅), 127.7 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 154$ Hz, m-C₆H₅), 153.9 (s, ipso-C₆H₅). Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₅Ta: C, 60.87; H, 6.38. Found: C, 60.86; H, 6.37.

Preparation of Ta(CH₂Ph)₂(η^{5} -C₅H₅)(η^{4} -buta-1,3-diene) (2b). To a solution of 1b (0.198 g, 0.534 mmol) in THF (45 mL) cooled at -78 °C was added a solution of PhCH₂MgCl (2.16 equiv, 1.16 mmol) in ether via a syringe. The color of the reaction solution changed from violet to brown. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to give a residue, from which the product was extracted with toluene and hexane (1:10) (100 mL). The solution was concentrated to 3 mL and kept at -20 °C to afford 2b as brown crystals in 51% yield; mp 108-109.5 °C (dec). Complex 2b is air and moisture sensitive. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ –0.82, (2H, m, =CH₂ anti), 0.07 (2H, m, =CH₂ syn), 1.27 and 1.86 (4H, AB quartet, ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 10.9$ Hz, Ta-CH₂-Ph), 5.79 (5H, s, C_5H_5), 6.69 (2H, m, =CH-), 6.75 (4H, d, ${}^3J_{\rm HH}$ = 6.9 Hz, o-C₆H₅), 6.89 (2H, t, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.4$ Hz, p-C₆H₅), 7.27 (4H, t, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ = 7.7 Hz, m-C₆H₅). Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₂₅Ta: C, 57.27; H, 5.22. Found: C, 57.27; H, 5.22.

Preparation of Ta(=CHPh)(η^5 -C₅Me₅)(η^4 -buta-1,3-diene)(PMe₃) (3a). To a solution of 2 (0.258 g, 0.47 mmol) in toluene (6.0 mL) was added a solution of PMe₃ (1.07 equiv, 0.50 mmol) in toluene (1.0 M, 0.50 mL) via a syringe at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at 48 °C, during which time the color of the solution turned to reddish brown. The solution was concentrated to ca. 3 mL and then was kept at -20 °C to afford **3a** as yellow-brown crystals in 55% yield; mp 113-118 °C (dec). Complex 3a is air and moisture sensitive both in solid form and in solution and is thermally unstable in hydrocarbon solvents, being decomposed to the extent of about 60% by heating at 60 °C for 24 h. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR were referenced to THF- d_8 at δ 3.60 and δ 67.4, respectively. The 2D ¹H-¹H NOESY spectrum displayed among the protons H^4 -PMe₃ (w), Ph^o-H² (w), Ph^o-Cp^{*} (w), $Ph^{o}-PMe_{3}$ (w), $H^{\alpha}-Cp^{*}$ (m), $H^{1}-H^{2}$ (s), $H^{4}-H^{3}$ (s), and so on. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, THF- d_8 , 303 K): δ -1.01 (1H, m, H⁴),

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Okamoto, T.; Yasuda, H.; Nakamura, A.; Kai, Y.; Kanehisa, N.; Kasai, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1988**, *110*, 5008.

-0.73 (1H, m, H¹), 0.47 (1H, m, H⁴), 1.18 (9H, d, ²*J*_{HP} = 7.3 Hz, P*Me*₃), 1.32 (1H, m, H¹), 2.11 (15H, s, C₅*Me*₅), 5.57 (1H, m, H³), 6.23 (1H, m, H²), 6.59 (1H, t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.1 Hz, *p*-C₆H₅), 6.91 (2H, d, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.6 Hz, *o*-C₆H₅), 7.01 (2H, t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.8 Hz, *m*-C₆H₅), 9.67 (1H, d, ³*J*_{HP} = 5.9 Hz, Ta=C*H*Ph). ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, THF-*d*₈, 303 K): δ 12.5 (q, ¹*J*_{CH} = 126 Hz, C₅*Me*₅), 18.4 (qd, ¹*J*_{CH} = 129 Hz, ¹*J*_{CP} = 22 Hz, P*Me*₃), 43.7 (t, ¹*J*_{CH} = 148 Hz, C⁴), 52.8 (t, ¹*J*_{CH} = 149 Hz, C¹), 104.2 (d, ¹*J*_{CH} = 159 Hz, C³), 110.3 (d, ¹*J*_{CH} = 166 Hz, C²), 110.8 (s, *C*₅Me₅), 122.5 (d, ¹*J*_{CH} = 155 Hz, *o*-C₆H₅), 127.7 (d, ¹*J*_{CH} = 155 Hz, *m*-C₆H₅), 237.3 (dd, ¹*J*_{CH} = 112 Hz, ²*J*_{CP} = 19 Hz, Ta=CHPh). ³¹P{H} NMR (109 MHz, THF-*d*₈, 303 K): δ -17.1 (*P*Me₃). Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₃₆PTa: C, 53.73; H, 6.76. Found: C, 53.32; H, 6.69.



Preparation of Ta(=CHPh)(η^{5} -C₅H₃)(η^{4} -buta-1,3-diene)-(**PMe**₃) (**3b**). Complex **2b** (ca. 6 mg, ca. 0.01 mmol) was dissolved in 0.6 mL of C₆D₆ in a 5 mm NMR tube. To the dark brown solution was added an excess of PMe₃ (ca. 4 μ L, ca. 0.04 mmol) at 25 °C. After the NMR tube was sealed and placed for 25 h in an oil bath heated at 40 °C, the ¹H NMR spectrum was measured. Peaks due to **3b** were observed along with signals due to free toluene (δ 2.15, s, PhC*H*₃) and excess PMe₃ (δ 0.83, d, ²*J*_{HP} = 2.3 Hz). ¹H NMR (270 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ -0.33, 0.27, 0.55 and 1.82 (4H, m, =C*H*₂), 0.72 (9H, d, ²*J*_{HP} = 7.6 Hz, P*Me*₃), 5.54 (5H, s, C₅*H*₅), 10.52 (1H, d, ³*J*_{HP} = 5.9 Hz, Ta=C*H*Ph). The resonances of the C₆H₅ and the methine (=C*H*-) of the butadiene ligand were not assigned because they were overlapped by C₆D₆ and toluene signals. All attempts to isolate **3b** failed.

Preparation of TaMe(CH₂SiMe₃)(η^{5} -C₅Me₅)(η^{4} -buta-1,3diene) (6). To a solution of 1a (0.432 g, 0.98 mmol) in THF (40 mL) cooled at -78 °C was added a solution of Me₃SiCH₂-MgCl (1.12 equiv, 1.10 mmol) in ether (0.38 M, 2.90 mL) via a syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h at 20 °C, and then a solution of MeMgI (1.02 equiv, 1.00 mmol) in ether (1.11 M, 0.90 mL) was added at -78 °C followed by stirring for 2 h at 20 °C. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was extracted with pentane (15 mL). The product 6 was very highly soluble in pentane and therefore was not isolated but gave a red-purple oil on solvent removal. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ –1.04, –0.77, and 0.06 $(3H, m, =CH_2), -1.66 \text{ and } -0.30 (2H, AB quartet, {}^2J_{HH} = 12.2$ Hz, Ta-CH₂-SiMe₃), -0.45 (3H, s, Ta-CH₃), 0.25 (9H, s, -SiMe₃), 1.78 (15H, s, C₅Me₅), 7.03 (1H, m, =CH-), 7.26 (1H, m, =CH-). One proton resonance (=CHH) of the butadiene ligand was not assigned because of being overlapped by impurity peaks.

Preparation of TaMe(CH₂CMe₃)(η^5 -C₅Me₅)(η^4 -buta-1,3diene) (7). To a solution of 1a (0.108 g, 0.24 mmol) in THF (40 mL) cooled at -78 °C was added a solution of Me₃CCH₂-MgCl (1.17 equiv, 0.29 mmol) in ether (0.13 M, 2.20 mL) via a syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at 20 °C, and then a solution of MeMgI (1.12 equiv, 0.27 mmol) in ether (0.42 M, 0.65 mL) was added at -78 °C followed by stirring for 2 h at 20 °C. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was extracted with hexane (25 mL). The extract was concentrated to afford **7** as a dark red-purple oily compound (80% yield). ¹H NMR (270 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ -1.13, 0.86, and 0.11 (3H, m, $=CH_2$), -1.27 and 0.17 (2H, AB quartet, ²J_{HH} = 13.4 Hz, Ta $-CH_2$ -CMe₃), -0.45 (3H, s, Ta $-CH_3$), 1.22 (9H, s, $-CMe_3$), 1.78 (15H, s, C₅Me₅), 6.90 (1H, m, =CH-), 7.87 (1H, m, =CH-). One proton resonance (= *CH*H) of the butadiene ligand was not assigned because of overlap by impurity peaks.

Preparation of Ta $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})[o-(CH_{2})_{2}C_{6}H_{4}]Cl_{2}$ (8). To a solution of Cp*TaCl₄ (0.561 g, 1.23 mmol) in THF (45 mL) cooled at -78 °C was added a suspension of o-C₆H₄(CH₂MgCl)₂ (1.2 equiv, 1.47 mmol) in THF (0.21 M, 7.00 mL) via a syringe. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, stirred overnight, and evaporated to dryness. The product was extracted with hot hexane (180 mL) at 60 °C. Recrystallization from toluene (2.5 mL) at -20 °C afforded 8 as red crystals in 38% yield; mp 241.0–243.5 $^\circ C$ (dec). $^1 H$ NMR (270 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 0.47 and 1.57 (4H, AB quartet, ${}^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.9$ Hz, $-CH_{2}$), 1.94 (15H, s, $C_{5}Me_{5}$), 7.52 (4H, m, C₆H₄). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 12.0 (q, ¹J_{CH} = 128 Hz, C_5Me_5), 69.94 (t, ${}^{1}J_{CH}$ = 142 Hz, $-CH_2$), 123.9 (s, C_5 Me₅), 131.3 and 137.3 (d, ${}^1J_{\rm CH} =$ 160 and 161 Hz, respectively, C₆H₄), 127.3 (s, *ipso-C*₆H₄). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₂₃Cl₂-Ta: C, 44.01; H, 4.72. Found: C, 44.68; H, 4.78.

Preparation of $Ta(CH_2Ph)_2(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)[o-(CH_2)_2C_6H_4]$ (9). To a solution of 8 (0.199 g, 0.41 mmol) in THF (30 mL) cooled to -78 °C was added an ethereal solution of PhCH₂-MgCl (2.3 equiv, 0.92 mmol) via a syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at 25 °C, and the color of the solution changed from red to dark red. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to give a residue, from which the product was extracted with hexane (50 mL). Recrystallization from toluene (1.5 mL) and hexane (1.0 mL) at -20 °C afforded 9 as dark red crystals in 62% yield; mp 107-108 °C (dec). Complex 9 is thermally unstable and almost completely decomposed after 20 h at 20 °C to give 12. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 0.26, (2H, br, $-CH_2$ anti), 0.99 (2H, br, $-CH_2$ *syn*), 0.81 and 1.78 (4H, AB quartet, ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 11.5$ Hz, $-CH_{2}$ -Ph), 1.72 (15H, s, $C_{5}Me_{5}$), 6.69 (4H, d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.0$ Hz, o-C₆H₅), 6.90 (2H, t, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} =$ 7.3 Hz, *p*-C₆H₅), 6.95 and 7.37 (4H, m, C_6H_4), 7.24 (4H, t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.8$ Hz, $m-C_6H_5$). ${}^{13}C$ NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 11.5 (q, ¹*J*_{CH} = 128 Hz, C₅*Me*₅), 68.8 (br t, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 137$ Hz, $-CH_{2}$), 79.9 (br t, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 119$ Hz, $-CH_{2}$ Ph), 119.5 (s, C_5 Me₅), 122.8 (d, ${}^1J_{CH} = 158$ Hz, p-C₆H₅), 127.4 (d, $^{1}J_{CH} = 160$ Hz, *m*-C₆H₅), 130.0 and 133.3 (d, $^{1}J_{CH} = 163$ and 156 Hz, respectively, C₆H₄), 129.3 (s, ipso-C₆H₄), 152.0 (s, ipso- C_6H_5). The resonances of the *o*- C_6H_5 was overlapped by C_6D_6 . Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₃₇Ta: C, 63.78; H, 6.19. Found: C, 63.63; H, 6.10.

Preparation of Ta(=CHPh)(η^5 -C₅Me₅)[o-(CH₂)₂C₆H₄] (12). To a solution of 8 (0.294 g, 0.698 mmol) in THF (50 mL) cooled at -78 °C was added PhCH₂MgCl (2.2 equiv, 1.52 mmol) in ether (0.66 M, 2.30 mL) via a syringe. The reaction mixture was warmed to 20 °C, and the color of the solution changed from red to dark red, indicating the formation of 9. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to give a residue, from which the product was extracted with hexane (50 mL). The hexane solution of the product was heated at 40 °C for 9 h. Recrystallization from toluene (2.0 mL) and hexane (2.0 mL) at -20 °C afforded **12** as dark red crystals in 17% yield; mp 257.0-258.5 °C (dec). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): $\delta - 0.07$, (2H, d, ${}^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 12.8$ Hz, $-CH_{2}$ anti), 1.92 (15H, s, C_5Me_5), 3.49 (2H, d, ${}^2J_{HH} = 12.8$ Hz, $-CH_2$ syn), 4.99 (1H, s, =C*H*Ph), 6.72 (2H, d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 8.2 Hz, o-C₆H₅), 6.79 (1H, t, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.3 Hz, p-C₆H₅), 7.09 (2H, m, m-C₆H₅), 7.32 and 7.45 (4H, m, C₆H₄). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 11.6 (q, ¹J_{CH} = 128 Hz, C_5Me_5), 56.1 (t, ${}^1J_{CH}$ = 145 Hz, $-CH_2$), 113.8 (s, C_5 Me₅), 118.7 (s, *ipso*- C_6 H₄), 122.9 (d, ¹ $J_{CH} = 158$ Hz, *p*- C_6 H₅), 127.3 (*m*-C₆H₅), 127.4 (*o*-C₆H₅), 131.1 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 162$, C₆H₄), 230.8 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 85$ Hz, =CHPh). The resonances of the *ipso*- C_6H_4 and *ipso*- C_6H_5 were overlapped by C_6D_6 and impurity, respectively.

Preparation of Ta[CH(Ph)CH(C₁₀H₆)CH](η^{5} -C₅Me₅)[*o*-(CH₂)₂C₆H₄] (15). To a solution of 12 (0.229 g, 0.47 mmol) in THF (40 mL) cooled at -78 °C was added acenaphthylene (83

mg, 0.54 mmol) in 7 mL of THF and PhCH₂MgCl (2.2 equiv, 1.06 mmol) in ether (0.66 M, 1.60 mL) via a syringe. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 20 °C, the color of the solution changed from red to dark red, and stirring was continued for 30 h. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to give a residue, from which the product was extracted with hexane (170 mL). Recrystallization from toluene (7.0 mL) at -20 °C afforded 15 as dark-red purple crystals in 18% yield; mp 162.0-163.0 °C (dec). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 0.24, 0.54, 1.25, and 1.48 (4H, d, ²J_{HH} = 9.5, 7.7, 7.7, and 10.3 Hz, respectively, -CH₂ (xylylene)), 2.14 (1H, m, β -H), 3.17, 4.12, (2H, d, ${}^{2}J_{HH} = 7.9$ and 7.7 Hz, α -H), 1.64 (15H, s, C₅Me₅), 5.8-7.6 (15H, aromatic protons). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 11.1 (q, ¹J_{CH} = 128 Hz, C₅Me₅), 20.6 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 139$ Hz, β -C), 63.6 (t ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 139$ Hz, $-CH_2$), 64.0 (t ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 140$ Hz, $-CH_2$), 89.7 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 134$ Hz, α-C), 92.1 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 132$ Hz, α-C), 112.3 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 158$ Hz), 117.2 (s, C_5 Me₅), 119.0 (s), 120.1 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 159$ Hz), 120.2 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 159$ Hz), 122.4 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 159$ Hz), 122.5 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 158$ Hz), 130.5 (s), 131.9 (s), 132.1 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 159$ Hz), 136.5 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CH} = 161$ Hz), 140.4 (s), 149.1 (s), 151.0 (s), 159.2 (s). The other peaks of aromatic carbons were overlapped by C_6D_6 . Anal. Calcd for C₃₇H₃₇Ta: C, 67.07; H, 5.63. Found: C, 66.37; H, 5.69.

Polymerization of Norbornene. In a typical reaction, a solution of **2a** (15 mg, 0.027 mmol) in toluene (1.0 mL) was mixed with a solution of norbornene (100 equiv, 2.7 mmol) in toluene (1.36 M, 2.0 mL) at 25 °C. After the solution was stirred at 45 or 65 °C for a prescribed period (Table 3), methanol (20 mL) was added to the resulting reddish brown solution to precipitate a pale yellow polymer. The polymer was washed with methanol and then dried in vacuo. Bulk polymerizations were run by the reaction of **2a** (15 mg, 0.027 mmol) and norbornene (1000 equiv, 27 mmol) at 65 °C. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 1.21 (q (br)), 1.49 (br), 1.92 (br), 2.09 (br), 2.95 (br), 5.39 (br), 6.46 (d (br), ³*J*_{HH} = 10.9 Hz, =*CH*Ph), 7.04–7.33 (m (br), =*CHC*₆*H*₅). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (68 MHz, C₆D₆, 303 K): δ 33.6 (C¹), 39.1 (C²), 43.2 (C³), 134.2 (C⁴).

Comparison of ¹H NMR spectral data with that of the literature^{7,8} determined the stereochemistry of the double bond to be *cis.* Unfortunately, the ¹³C NMR spectrum of poly-(norbornene) displayed no m/r splittings.

GPC Analysis of Poly(norbornene). Gel permeation chromatographic (GPC) analyses were carried out for polymers obtained by using **3** and **6** as catalysts at 40 °C using a Shimadzu LC-10A liquid chromatograph system and a RID-10A differential refractometer, equipped with a Shodex KF-806L column. THF was used as the eluent at a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min, with sample concentrations of 0.5 mg/mL. The GPC column was calibrated with commercially available polystyrene standards (Aldrich).

For polymers obtained by using other complexes as catalysts, GPC analyses were carried out using Tosoh TSKgel HXL-H and L columns connected to a Tosoh UV-8010 absorbance detector. Samples were prepared in THF (0.1–0.3% (w/v)) and were filtered through an Advantec DISMIC-25_JP filter in order to remove particulates before injection. GPC columns were calibrated versus commercially available polystyrene standards (Polymer Laboratories Ltd.) whose molecular weight ranged from 500 to 1.11×10^6 .

Hydrogenation of Poly(norbornene). Polymers were hydrogenated with diimine generated in situ from *p*-toluenesulfonylhydrazide.²⁶ Typically, 50 mg samples of polymer were dissolved, with heating, in 10 mL of xylene followed by the addition of 1.5 g of *p*-toluenesulfonylhydrazide. The mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 2.5 h and then poured into methanol. The precipitated polymer was recovered by centrifugation, washed several times with methanol, and dried under vaccuum to yield the hydrogenated product in almost quantitative yield. Results of the hydrogenated polymer are shown in Table 4.

 Table 6. Crystal Data and Data Collection

 Parameters of 8

1 arameters of 6				
formula	C ₁₈ H ₂₃ Cl ₂ Ta			
fw	491.23			
cryst syst	monoclinic			
space group	$P2_1/a$			
a, Å	15.029(3)			
<i>b</i> , Å	8.280(3)			
<i>c</i> , Å	15.527(3)			
β , deg	115.63(1)			
Z	4			
<i>V</i> , Å ³	1742.1(7)			
D_{calcd} , g/cm ⁻³	1.873			
F(000)	952			
radiation	Μο Κα			
cryst size, mm	0.2 imes 0.2 imes 0.2			
abs coeff, cm^{-1}	65.98			
scan mode	$\omega - 2\theta$			
temp, °C	23			
scan speed, deg/min	16			
scan width, deg	$0.89 \pm 0.30 \tan \theta$			
$2\theta_{\rm max}$, deg	55.0			
unique data	4286 ($R_{\rm int} = 0.026$)			
unique data $(I > 3\sigma(I))$	3111			
no. of variables	190			
R	0.033			
$R_{\rm w}$	0.035			
GOF	2.48			
Δ , e Å ⁻³	0.95, -1.17			

Table 7.	Crystal Data and Data Collection
	Parameters of 12

formula	$C_{25}H_{29}Ta$
fw	510.45
cryst syst	monoclinic
space group	Cc
a, Á	13.005(3)
b, Å	17.733(5)
<i>c</i> , Å	10.187(3)
b, deg	116.89(2)
Z	4
<i>V</i> , Å ³	2095(1)
$D_{ m calcd}$, g/cm $^{-3}$	1.618
F(000)	1008
radiation	Μο Κα
cryst size, mm	0.3 imes 0.2 imes 0.1
abs coeff, cm^{-1}	52.43
scan mode	$\omega - 2\theta$
temp, °C	23
scan speed, deg/min	16
scan width, deg	$1.57 \pm 0.35 an heta$
$2\theta_{\rm max}$, deg	55.0
unique data	2487 ($R_{\rm int} = 0.040$)
unique data $(I > 3\sigma(I))$	2058
no. of variables	251
R	0.024
$R_{ m w}$	0.025
GOF	1.31
Δ , e Å $^{-3}$	0.59, -0.68

Crystallographic Data Collections and Structure Determination of 8, 12, 14, and 15. Data Collection. The crystals of 8, 12, 14, and 15 suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were sealed in glass capillaries under an argon atmosphere, and then each crystal of the complexes was mounted on a Rigaku AFC-5R four-circle diffractometer for data collection using Mo Ka radiation. Relevant crystal and data statistics are summarized in Tables 6–9. The unit cell parameters at 23 °C were determined by a least-squares fit to 2q values of 20 strong higher reflections for all complexes. Three standard reflections were chosen and monitored every 150 reflections. For each complex, an empirical absorption correction was carried out on the basis of azimuthal scans. No sample showed any significant intensity decay during the data collection. The data for all complexes were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects.

Table 8.	Crystal Data and Data Collection
	Parameters of 14

I al alleters of	14
formula	C ₂₇ H ₃₁ Nb
fw	448.45
cryst syst	monoclinic
space group	$P2_1/n$
a, Å	8.490(5)
b, Å	20.075(5)
<i>c</i> , Å	12.954(4)
β , deg	93.25(3)
Z	4
<i>V</i> , Å ³	2204(1)
D_{calcd} , g/cm ⁻³	1.351
F(000)	936.00
radiation	Μο Κα
cryst size, mm	0.3 imes 0.3 imes 0.2
abs coeff, cm^{-1}	5.55
scan mode	$\omega - 2\theta$
temp, °C	23
scan speed, deg/min	16
scan width, deg	$1.10 \pm 0.30 \tan \theta$
$2\theta_{\rm max}$, deg	55.0
unique data	4295 ($R_{\rm int} = 0.048$)
unique data $(I > 3\sigma(I))$	1439
no. of variables	254
R	0.079
$R_{ m w}$	0.084
GOF	3.09
Δ , e Å ⁻³	1.08, -0.58

Table 9. Crystal Data and Data CollectionParameters of 15

formula	C ₃₇ H ₃₇ Ta
fw	662.65
cryst system	monoclinic
space group	$P2_1/c$
a, Å	13.989(4)
b, Å	10.871(3)
<i>c</i> , Å	18.257(3)
β , deg	94.83(1)
Z	4
V, Å ³	2766.6(10)
$D_{\rm calcd}$, g/cm ⁻³	1.591
F(000)	1328
radiation	Μο Κα
cryst size, mm	0.3 imes 0.2 imes 0.1
abs coeff, cm^{-1}	39.92
scan mode	$\omega - 2\theta$
temp, °C	23
scan speed, deg/min	16
scan width, deg	$1.05 \pm 0.35 an heta$
$2\theta_{\rm max}$, deg	55.0
unique data	$6684 \ (R_{\rm int} = 0.041)$
unique data $(I > 3\sigma(I))$	4397
no. of variables	431
R	0.036
$R_{ m w}$	0.038
GOF	1.55
Δ, e Å ⁻³	1.18, -2.16

Structural Determinations and Refinements. Based on the sytematic absence of **8**, (*h*0*l*) with h = odd and (0*k*0)

with k = odd, the space group of **8** was determined to be $P2_1/$ *a*. The systematic absence of (*hkl*) with h + k = odd and (h0l)with l = odd in the data collected for complex **12** indicated the space group to be Cc. Similarly, the systematic absences of 14 and 15 led the space group of 14 and 15 to be $P2_1/n$ and $P2_1/c$, respectively. The structures of all complexes were solved by a direct method (SHELXS 86)49 and refined by the fullmatrix least-squares method. Measured nonequivalent reflections with $I > 3.0\sigma(I)$ were used for the structure determination. In the subsequent refinement the function $\sum \omega(|F_0| |F_{\rm c}|^2$ was minimized, where $|F_{\rm o}|$ and $|F_{\rm c}|$ are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes, respectively. The agreement indices are defined as $R = \sum ||F_0| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_0|$ and $R_{\rm w} = \left[\sum \omega (|F_{\rm o}| - |F_{\rm c}|)^2 / \sum \omega (|F_{\rm o}|)^2\right]^{1/2}$, where $\omega^{-1} = \sigma^2 (F_{\rm o}) = \sigma^2$ - $(F_0^2)/(4F_0^2)$. The positions of all non-hydrogen atoms for all complexes were found from a difference Fourier electron density map and refined anisotropically. For 8 and 14 all hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.95 Å) and kept fixed. For 12, four hydrogen atoms including H(11) bound to the benzylidene carbon were found from a difference Fourier map and refined isotropically, and the other hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions (C-H =0.95 Å) and constrained to ride on their respective carbon atoms. Hydrogen atoms of the Cp* ligand of 15 were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.95 Å), and the other hydrogen atoms of 15 were found from a difference Fourier syntheses and were refined isotropically. All calculations were performed using the TEXSAN crystallographic software package, and illustrations were drawn with ORTEP.

Acknowledgment. K.M. acknowledges the support by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (No. 283, "Innovative Synthetic Reactions") from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan. K.M. also appreciates financial support from the Asahi Glass Foundation.

Supporting Information Available: Tables of final positional parameters, final thermal parameters, bond distances and angles, and best planes for **8**, **12**, **14**, and **15** together with their drawings with an all atom-numbering scheme (58 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM9802966

⁽⁴⁹⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. In *Crystallographic Computing 3*; Sheldrick, G. M., Krüger, C., Goddard, R., Eds.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1985; p 179.

⁽⁵⁰⁾ Hamilton, J. G.; Ivin, K. J.; Rooney, J. J. *J. Mol. Catal.* **1985**, *28*, 255.