Hydrogen Migration and Lithium Iodide α -Elimination **in 1-Iodo-1-lithioethene. Concerted vs Stepwise Mechanism**

Pedro J. Campos, Diego Sampedro, and Miguel A. Rodríguez^{*}

Departamento de Quı´*mica, Universidad de La Rioja, 26071 Logron*˜ *o, Spain*

Received August 4, 1998

1-Iodo-1-lithioethene is prepared from 1-iodoethene and LDA in THF. The lithiated derivative can be trapped by electrophiles, but it readily decomposes to acetylene; the decomposition process cannot be analyzed by experimental techniques. Ab initio calculations indicate that 1-iodo-1-lithioethene should be a monomer in a THF solution. Furthermore, hydrogen migration and lithium iodide α -elimination procedures should occur through a concerted mechanism. The activation barrier for the cis and trans hydrogen migration should be almost identical.

Introduction

It has been concluded that vinyl halides are adequate substrates for the preparation of alkylidene carbenes since they can undergo halogen-metal interconversion and hydrogen-metal interconversion with ease.¹ Regarding the nature of alkylidene carbenes, one must consider three major species: organometallic reagents such as **1**, carbenoids such as **2**, and "free" carbenes such as **3** (Chart 1).1b Intermediate **1** may convert to **2** and 3 by α -elimination of MX. Furthermore, alkylidene carbenes may suffer intramolecular rearrangement with the formation of acetylene **4** (Chart 1).1

However, despite the theoretical interest devoted to the intramolecular rearrangement from **3** to **4**, ² as far as we know, no extensive theoretical study has been carried out on the α -elimination process. We have recently described the ab initio calculations for stereoselective lithiations of 2-alkoxy-1,1-diiodo-1-alkenes.³ The agreement between our experimental⁴ and theoretical results³ prompted us to study the parent 1-iodo-1lithioethene, **5**. For a better understanding of the behavior of this substrate, we carried out a parallel research study at both levels, experimental and theoretical. In the Experimental Section, we test its capability to react as an organometallic reagent or as a carbenoid. On the theoretical side, we address the following questions in this paper: (i) Is the lithiated vinyl iodide a monomer or a dimer? (ii) Does α -elimination of LiI occur prior to hydrogen migration? To answer these questions fully, electron correlation and

(3) Barluenga, J.; Gonza´lez, J. M.; Llorente, I.; Campos, P. J.; Rodrı´guez, M. A.; Thiel, W. *J*. *Organomet*. *Chem*. **1997**, *548*, 185. (4) Barluenga, J.; Rodrı´guez, M. A.; Campos, P. J.; Asensio, G. *J*.

Am. *Chem*. *Soc*. **1988**, *110*, 5567.

solvation (coordination with the solvent and solutecontinuum interaction) should be taken into account.3,5

Experimental Results

We started from the idea that a solution of 1-iodo-1 lithioethene, **5**, could have been obtained from 1-iodoethene (by lithium-hydrogen exchange reaction) or 1,1 diiodoethene (by lithium-iodine exchange reaction). 1-Iodoethene can be easily prepared by reaction of vinylmagnesium bromide with iodine in tetrahydrofuran at 0 °C. A solution containing this iodoolefin was cooled to -100 °C, filtered under an inert atmosphere (to eliminate the magnesium salts), and treated with an organolithium system to give 1-iodo-1-lithioethene, **5**. The reaction of **5** with different electrophiles at this temperature yielded the corresponding products **⁶**-**¹¹** (Scheme 1). The best results were obtained when we added 3 equiv of lithium diisopropylamide (relative to the starting vinylmagnesium bromide) as the organolithium system. We also tested the lithium-iodine exchange reaction from 1,1-diiodoethene, **8**, but it always gave large amounts of acetylenic compounds resulting from lithium-hydrogen exchange followed by *â*-elimination (Scheme 2).

The solution of 1-iodo-1-lithioethene readily begins to decompose after 5 min at -100 °C, yielding lithium acetylide that can be trapped by different electrophiles (Scheme 3). The formation of lithium acetylide can be explained by interconversion from **5** to acetylene and the reaction of acetylene with the remaining LDA. This transformation to give acetylene can occur through a mechanism involving simultaneous α -elimination and

^{(1) (}a) Kirmse, W. *Carbene Chemistry*, 2nd ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1971. (b) Stang, P. J. *Chem*. *Rev*. **1978**, *78*, 383. (c) Regitz, M., Ed. *Carbene* (*Carbenoide*); Houben-Weyl, Thieme: Stuttgart, Germany, 1989; Vol. E19b.

⁽²⁾ See, for example: Frisch, M. J.; Krishnan, R.; Pople, J. A.; Schleyer, P. v. R. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1981**, *81*, 421. Ervin, K. M.; Ho, J.; Lineberger, W. C. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *91*, 5974. Gallo, M. M.; Schaefer, H. F. *J*. *Chem*. *Phys*. **1990**, *93*, 865. Burton, N. A.; Quelch, G. E.; Gallo, M. M.; Schaefer, H. F. *J*. *Am*. *Chem*. *Soc*. **1991**, *113*, 764.

⁽⁵⁾ Cramer, C. J.; Truhlar, D. G. In *Reviews in Computational Chemistry*; Lipkowitz, K. B., Boyd, D. B., Eds.; VCH: New York, Vol. 6, 1995.

hydrogen migration or a stepwise procedure, with formation of the vinylidene $H_2C=C$: as the intermediate. Vinylidene should have an extremely short lifetime because of its low barrier for isomerization to acetylene,² and as a result, it has been very elusive. 6 It has therefore been supposed that the experimental elucidation between the two alternative mechanisms would have serious limitations. Indeed, we tried to trap the possible intermediate by adding an unsaturated system instead of an electrophile to the above-mentioned solution of 5 at -100 °C; but we did not obtain a successful result either with alkenes (cyclohexene, cyclooctene, norbornene, butyl vinyl ether, phenyl vinyl sulfide, and methyl vinyl ketone) or with alkynes (3-hexyne and diphenylacetylene). This fact could indicate not only a concerted reaction but also a stepwise mechanism with a very elusive intermediate. On the other hand, it was felt that the theoretical methods could provide a useful tool to investigate the reaction mechanism.

Computational Details

All the calculations on 1-iodo-1-lithioethene, **5**, solvated with water molecules were carried out by using

the Gaussian94 program package.7 The molecular geometries were first optimized without any molecular symmetry constraints at the Hartree-Fock self-consistent-field (HF) level of theory and reoptimized by the Møller-Plesset perturbation theory8,9 with second-order corrections (MP2) using Schlegel's analytical gradient procedure.10 Regarding the atomic basis set, the pseudorelativistic effective core potential (ECP) by Hay and Wadt¹¹ augmented by one set of six d functions (ζ_d = 0.266 ¹² for I (21/21/1) and the standard split-valence 6-31G(d) or 6-31G(d,p) basis sets^{13,14} for C, Li, O, and H appeared to be a good choice. These basis sets, hereafter referred to as DZV(d) and DZV(d,p), respectively, were felt to be well balanced for all the atoms involved and included relativistic corrections by using ECP for I. The optimized structures were characterized as minima or saddle points (representing transition structures) by numerical evaluation of force constants.

To assess the importance of higher level correlated methods, additional single-point calculations on the optimized geometries were performed with incorporation of correlation energy using the Møller-Plesset perturbation theory with third-order (MP3)15 or fourthorder (MP4SDTQ)16 corrections.

Furthermore, the existence of ionic species may require functions of higher angular momentum. We therefore carried out single-point calculations at the MP2 level using the uncontracted pseudorelativistic ECP by Hay and Wadt 11 augmented by two sets of d functions (ζ_d = 0.105 and 0.334)¹² and one set of f functions $(\zeta_f = 0.433)^{17}$ for I (111/111/11/1) in conjunction with a 6-311G(2df) or 6-311+G(2df,2p)¹⁸ basis set for C, Li, O, and H. These basis sets will be hereafter referred to as TZV(2df) and TZV(2df+,2p), respectively.

For a complementary modeling of the solvation effect,⁵ single-point calculations were carried out using the Onsager model, as implemented within a self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) method in Gaussian94,7 using the dielectric constant of THF (ϵ = 7.58). The Onsager model at the MP2 level predicts energy differences of solvated systems that were in good agreement with the experimental results.19 Finally, to obtain reliable rela-

- (13) Hariharan, P. C.; Pople, J. A. *Theor*. *Chim*. *Acta* **1973**, *28*, 213. (14) Hehre, W. J.; Ditchfield, R.; Pople, J. A. *J*. *Chem*. *Phys*. **1972**, *56*, 2257.
- (15) Pople, J. A.; Binkley, J. S.; Seeger, R. *Int*. *J*. *Quantum Chem*. *Symp*. **1976**, *10*, 1. Pople, J. A.; Seeger, R.; Krishnan, R. *Int*. *J*. *Quantum Chem*. *Symp*. **1977**, *11*, 149.

⁽⁶⁾ Burnett, S. M.; Stevens, A. E.; Feigerle, C. S.; Lineberger, C. *Chem*. *Phys*. *Lett*. **1983**, *100*, 124. Ervin, K. M.; Ho, J.; Lineberger, W. C. *J*. *Chem*. *Phys*. **1989**, *91*, 5974.

⁽⁷⁾ Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B. G.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Keith, T. A.; Petersson, G. A.; Montgomery, J. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Ortiz, J. V.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Peng, C. Y.; Ayala, P. Y.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres, J. L.; Replogle, E. S.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Binkley, J. S.; Defrees, D. J.; Baker, J.; Stewart, J. P.; Head-Gordon, M.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 94* (Revision C.3); Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1995.

⁽⁸⁾ Møller, C.; Plesset, M. S. *Phys*. *Rev*. **1934**, *46*, 618. (9) Hehre, W. J.; Radom, L.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Pople, J. A. *Ab Initio*

Molecular Orbital Theory; Wiley: New York, 1986. (10) Schlegel, H. B. *J*. *Comput*. *Chem*. **1982**, *3*, 214.

⁽¹¹⁾ Wadt, W. R.; Hay, P. J. *J*. *Chem*. *Phys*. **1985**, *82*, 284.

⁽¹²⁾ Andzelm, J.; Klobukowski, M.; Radzio-Andzelm, E. *J*. *Comput*. *Chem*. **1984**, *5*, 146.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Krishnan, R.; Pople, J. A. *Int*. *J*. *Quantum Chem*. **1978**, *14*, 91. Krishnan, R.; Frisch, M. J.; Pople, J. A. *J*. *Chem*. *Phys*. **1980**, *72*, 4244. (17) Gobbi, A.; Frenking, G. *J*. *Chem*. *Soc*., *Chem*. *Commun*. **1993**,

^{1162.} (18) Krishnan, R.; Binkley, J. S.; Seeger, R.; Pople, J. A. *J*. *Chem*.

Phys. **1980**, *72*, 650. McLean, A. D.; Chandler, G. S. *J*. *Chem*. *Phys*. **1980**, *72*, 5639. Clark, T.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Schleyer, P. v. R. *J*. *Comput*. *Chem*. **1983**, *4*, 294.

Figure 1. Computer plot and selected geometrical parameters of the MP2/DZV(d)-optimized structures for water-solvated 1-iodo-1-lithioethene monomer (**13**) and dimer (**14**).

tive energies for the investigated species, we indicate the MP3/TZV(2df)+SCRF [based on the MP3/DZV(d) energies and modified by additive corrections obtained from the MP2/TZV(2df) and MP2/DZV(d)+SCRF energies] and MP4/TZV(2df+,2p)+SCRF [based on the MP4/ DZV(d,p) and modified by additive corrections obtained from the MP2/TZV(2df+,2p) and MP2/DZV(d,p)+SCRF] energies.

Theoretical Results

CH₂=CILi. Monomer vs Dimer. Previously to the theoretical study of α -elimination of LiI and the hydrogen migration reactions, it was essential to determine the monomeric or dimeric nature of the lithiated vinyl iodide. A monomer-dimer equilibrium also had to be analyzed. Equation 1 shows this equilibrium, where the lithium tetracoordination has been completed with water molecules.20

The relevant geometrical parameters of the optimized structures of **13** and **14** with the MP2/DZV(d) wave function are given in Figure 1. The Li_3-C_2 (2.093 Å), I_1-C_2 (2.336 Å), and C_2-C_4 (1.342 Å) distances and the $Li_3-C_2-C_4$ (155.0°) and $I_1-C_2-C_4$ (109.2°) bond angles in monomer **13** are related to those obtained experimentally²¹ or calculated²² for similar vinylidenecarbenoid systems with a chlorine instead of an iodine atom. Dimer **14** shows a chair conformation of *Ci* type symmetry (although no geometry constraints were imposed) with a smaller $Li_3-C_2-C_4$ bond angle (142.8°), larger Li₃-C₂ distance (2.119 Å), and a Li₃-I₅ distance of 3.025 Å.

To evaluate the monomer-dimer preference, Table 1 shows the absolute energies calculated for the trisolvated 1-iodo-1-lithioethene monomer, **13**, disolvated dimer **14**, and water molecules and the energy differences according to eq 1. We found that, at the MP2/ DZV(d)//MP2/DZV(d) level of theory, the equilibrium should favor the monomer by 7.0 kcal/mol. Table 1 also gives the additional single-point calculations on the MP2/DZV(d) geometries at the MP3/DZV(d), MP2/TZV- (2df), and MP2/DZV(d) + SCRF levels of theory. The higher correlated level method increases the energy difference and also predicts that monomer **13** should be more favored [MP3/DZV(d), $\Delta H = 7.9$ kcal/mol]. The same tendency is predicted with the improved basis set

⁽¹⁹⁾ Foresman, J. B.; Frisch, A. *Exploring Chemistry with Electronic Structure Methods*, 2nd ed.; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1996.

⁽²⁰⁾ Boche, G.; Lohrenz, J. C. W.; Opel, A. In *Lithium Chemistry*. *A Theoretical and Experimental Overview*; Sapse, A. M., Schleyer, P. v. R., Eds.; J. Wiley: New York, 1995.

(21) Boche, G.; Marsch, M.; Müller, A.; Harms, K. *Angew. Chem.*,

Int. *Ed*. *Engl*. **1993**, *32*, 1032. (22) Schoeller, W. W. *Chem*. *Phys*. *Lett*. **1995**, *241*, 21.

Table 1. Calculated Absolute Energies (Hartrees) for H2O and Water-Solvated 1-Iodo-1-lithioethene Monomer (13) and Dimer (14) and Energy Differences (∆*H***, kcal/mol) for Monomer/Dimer Equilibrium (eq 1)***^a*

	13	14	H ₂ O	ΔΗ
$MP2/DZV$ (d)	-324.543827	-496.682818	-76.196847	7.0
MP3/DZV(d)	-324.577802	-496.737679	-76.202697	7.9
MP2/TZV(2df)	-324.934848	-497.286578	-76.285 101	8.1
$MP2/DZV(d) + SCRF$	-324.546 165	-496.682818	-76.201052	4.6
$MP3/TZV(2df) + SCRFb$	-324.971161	-497.341 438	-76.295 156	6.6

^a All calculations at the MP2/DZV(d)-optimized geometries. *^b* Based on MP3/DZV(d) energies and modified by additive corrections obtained from MP2/TZV(2df) and MP2/DZV(d) + SCRF.

Downloaded by CARLI CONSORTIUM on June 30, 2009
Published on November 6, 1998 on http://pubs.acs.org | doi: 10.1021/om980671u Published on November 6, 1998 on http://pubs.acs.org | doi: 10.1021/om980671uDownloaded by CARLI CONSORTIUM on June 30, 2009

TZV(2df) at the MP2 level ($\Delta H = 8.1$ kcal/mol). On the other hand, the inclusion of solvation by the SCRF method decreased the energy difference, but it did not reverse the relative stability [MP2/DZV(d) + SCRF, [∆]*^H* $=$ 4.6 kcal/mol]. To obtain an improved energy difference, we considered that all corrections to the MP2/DZV- (d) energies should be additive; given this consideration, our best level of calculation also indicated a preference for the monomeric species [MP3/TZV(2df) + SCRF, [∆]*^H* $= 6.6$ kcal/mol]. Last, taking into account that Li may attract another solvent molecule in **14**, because the dimeric form enforces a distortion from tetrahedral symmetry (see Figure 1), we carried out the optimization of the dimer considering that each lithium atom is solvated with three water molecules, but we found that, at the MP2/DZV(d) level, the dimer is dissociated in the course of optimization.

Summarizing the results of this section, we specify the monomer that appears to be the most stable structure for 1-iodo-1-lithioethene in the polar medium. Consequently, we consider a monomer system in the $calculation$ of α -elimination and hydrogen migration reactions.

 $CH_2=CLI \rightarrow HC=CH + LiI$. Concerted vs Step**wise Mechanism.** 1-Iodo-1-lithioethene could evolve to acetylene by different procedures, as shown in Scheme 4. Thus, one concerted pathway involves migration of the hydrogen with a cis relationship to the lithium atom together with a simultaneous loss of lithium iodide (Scheme 4, route **a**), through the transition state **TS1**, to yield the acetylene **15**. In the second concerted pathway, the hydrogen with a trans relationship to the lithium atom migrates (route **b**). In this case, the transition state **TS2** gives the acetylene **16**. Last, in the stepwise procedure (Scheme 4, route **c**), the formation of carbene **17** supposes the initial loss of lithium iodide through the transition state **TS3**. From the intermediate **17**, hydrogen migration leads to the transition state **TS4** and, finally, acetylene **18**.

The existence of hydrogen-bridged structures may require polarization functions on the hydrogen atoms. We therefore carried out the optimization at the MP2/ DZV(d,p) level. Selected optimized structural parameters for minima **13**, **15**, **16**, **17**, and **18** and transition structures **TS1**, **TS2**, **TS3**, and **TS4** are listed in Table 2. Searches for these transition states were carried out by the synchronous transit-guided quasi-Newton (STQN) method.23 Several features may be emphasized. Apart from the logical evolution from the alkene **13** to the alkynes **15**, **16**, and **18**, reflected in the parameters, it is interesting to look at the hydrogen migration. The geometries of the transition structures **TS1** and **TS2**

⁽²³⁾ Peng, C.; Schlegel, H. B. *Isr*. *J*. *Chem*. **1993**, *33*, 449.

Table 2. Selected MP2/DZV(d,p)-Optimized Structural Parameters for Minima 13, 15, 16, 17, and 18 and Transition Structures TS1, TS2, TS3, and TS4 (Lengths in Å, Angles in deg)*^a*

5H 3Li(H ₂ O) ₃									
$\overline{4}$ 2 ¹ H_8	13	TS1	TS2	TS3	17	TS4	15	16	18
H_5 -C ₄	1.089	1.213	1.070	1.100	1.283	1.532	1.065	1.067	1.071
H_5-C_2	2.054	1.304	2.333	1.881	1.314	1.180	2.287	2.289	2.292
H_6 -C ₄	1.082	1.071	1.217	1.076	1.070	1.071	1.067	1.065	1.064
H_6-C_2	2.190	2.333	1.300	2.191	2.331	2.319	2.289	2.287	2.284
$Lig-C2$	2.091	2.214	2.205	3.805	3.750	3.726	2.488	2.489	4.000
I_1 -C ₂	2.329	4.071	4.235	3.846	3.836	3.751	4.609	4.609	3.929
C_4-C_2	1.342	1.265	1.265	1.293	1.262	1.248	1.222	1.223	1.222
$Li3-I1$	3.278	2.743	2.729	2.804	2.843	2.841	2.689	2.689	2.766
H_5 -C ₄ -C ₂	115.0	60.2	175.0	103.2	59.2	52.9	0.8	177.7	1.9
$H_6 - C_4 - C_2$	128.9	174.5	60.3	135.0	176.8	179.6	177.8	0.8	176.0
$Lig-C_2C_4$	155.2	172.0	168.7	128.9	124.2	136.8	74.0	74.0	116.0
$I_1 - C_2 - C_4$	109.2	132.8	139.5	86.0	80.2	92.2	45.8	45.8	156.8

^a See Scheme 4.

Figure 2. Computer plot of the MP2/DZV(d)-optimized structures for transition structures **TS1** and **TS2**.

(Table 2 and Figure 2) showed a nonsymmetric hydrogen bridge with H-C distances of 1.213 (H_5-C_4) and 1.304 Å (H_5-C_2) in the former and 1.217 (H_6-C_4) and 1.300 Å (H_6-C_2) in the latter and H-C-C bond angles of 60.2° $(H_5 - C_4 - C_2)$ and 60.3° $(H_6 - C_4 - C_2)$, respectively. Interestingly, these rearrangements were accompanied by almost the same increase in the Li-C and I-C distances $[Li_3 - C_2:$ from 2.091 Å (13) to 2.214 (TS1) and 2.205 Å (**TS2**); $I_1 - C_2$, from 2.329 Å (13) to 4.071 **(TS1)** and 4.235 Å (**TS2**)] and decrease in the Li_3-I_1 distances [from 3.278 Å (**13**) to 2.743 (**TS1**) and 2.729 Å (**TS2**)]. All these data indicate that the iodine atom is the group that leaves in both cis and trans hydrogen migration.

Looking at the transition structure **TS3** (Table 2 and Figure 3), a slight increase in the $H_5 - C_4$ bond length was observed [from 1.089 Å (**13**) to 1.100 Å (**TS3**)] together with a small decrease in the H_5-C_2 distance

Figure 3. Computer plot of the MP2/DZV(d)-optimized structures for minimum **17** and transition structures **TS3** and **TS4**.

Table 3. Calculated Absolute Energies (Hartrees) and Relative Energies (in parentheses, kcal/mol) for Minima 13, 15, 16, 17, and 18 and Transition Structures TS1, TS2, TS3, and TS4*^a*

species	MP2/DZV(d,p)	MP4/DZV(d,p)	$MP2/TZV(2df+,2p)$	$MP2/DZV(d,p) + SCRF$	$MP4/TZV(2df+2p) + SCRF^b$
13	-324.626200	-324.703079	-325.017392	-324.628803	-325.096874
	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)
TS1	-324.602798	$-324.676\ 754$	-324.989 455	-324.615079	-325.075692
	(14.7)	(16.5)	(17.5)	(8.6)	(13.3)
TS ₂	-324.604 131	$-324.678~060$	$-324.989\ 750$	-324.615033	-325.074581
	(13.8)	(15.7)	(17.3)	(8.6)	(14.0)
TS3	-324.604 085	$-324.682\ 595$	-324.988039	-324.608914	-325.071377
	(13.9)	(12.9)	(18.4)	(12.5)	(16.0)
17	-324.612805	-324.685662	-324.999940	-324.617002	-325.076994
	(8.4)	(10.9)	(11.0)	(7.4)	(12.5)
TS4	-324.608080	-324.681 455	-324.996836	-324.611 175	-325.073306
	(11.4)	(13.6)	(12.9)	(11.1)	(14.8)
15	-324.674992	-324.745243	-325.061916	-324.679 494	-325.136669
	(-30.6)	(-26.5)	(-27.9)	(-31.8)	(-25.0)
16	-324.674992	-324.745243	-325.061908	-324.680585	-325.137752
	(-30.6)	(-26.5)	(-27.9)	(-32.5)	(-25.7)
18	-324.674853	$-324.745\ 412$	-325.062863	-324.683565	-325.142134
	(-30.5)	(-26.6)	(-28.5)	(-34.4)	(-28.4)

^a See Scheme 4. All calculations at the MP2/DZV(d,p)-optimized geometries. *^b* Based on MP4/DZV(d,p) energies and modified by additive corrections obtained from MP2/TZV(2df+,2p) and MP2/DZV(d,p) + SCRF.

[from 2.054 Å (13) to 1.881 Å (TS3)] and in the H_5 - $C_4 - C_2$ bond angle [from 115.0° (13) to 103.2° (TS3)]; these indicate a tendency to carry out the rearrangement in the course of the carbene formation reaction. Indeed, only a hydrogen-bridged structure could be located for intermediate 17 (Table 2 and Figure 3. H_5 – C_4 , 1.283 Å; H₅-C₂, 1.314 Å; H₅-C₄-C₂, 59.2°). This minimum would evolve to the transition state **TS4** (Table 2 and Figure 3), which also presents a highly nonsymmetric hydrogen-bridged structure that is closer to the acetylene **18** than to the starting structure **13** $(H_5-C_4, 1.532 \text{ Å}; H_5-C_2, 1.180 \text{ Å}; H_5-C_4-C_2, 52.9^{\circ}).$

Total and relative energies from the optimized MP2/ DZV(d,p) calculations for minima **13**, **15**, **16**, **17**, and **18** and transition structures **TS1**, **TS2**, **TS3**, and **TS4** are shown in Table 3. As expected, at all levels of theory, the acetylenic structures **15**, **16**, and **18** are the most stable species (energies: from 25.0 to 34.4 kcal/ mol, relative to **13**). Regarding the transition structures, **TS1**, **TS2**, and **TS3** should be 14.7, 13.8, and 13.9 kcal/mol, respectively, less stable than **13** at the MP2/ DZV(d,p) level. The inclusion of electron correlation [MP4/DZV(d,p)] lowers the activation barrier of **TS3** (12.9 kcal/mol) but increases that of **TS1** and **TS2** (16.5 and 15.7 kcal/mol, respectively). On the other hand, the extension of the basis set [MP2/TZV(2df+,2p)] increases all the barriers, and this effect is higher in **TS3** (18.4 kcal/mol) than in **TS1** and **TS2** (17.5 and 17.3 kcal/mol, respectively). Since electron correlation favors the stepwise procedure and the extension of the basis set favors the concerted mechanisms, it is quite possible that further improvements to the theory would almost equalize these barriers. However, continuum solvation effects could modify the activation energies. Thus, single-point calculations were carried out at the [MP2/ $DZV(d,p)$ + SCRF] level. As shown in Table 3, the barriers of both concerted pathways are highly reduced (**TS1**, from 14.7 to 8.6 kcal/mol; **TS2**, from 13.8 to 8.6 kcal/mol), while that of the stepwise mechanism is only slightly reduced (**TS3**, from 13.9 to 12.5 kcal/mol). Thus, considering additive corrections to the MP2/DZV- (d) energies, our best level of calculation indicates a preference for the concerted hydrogen migration and lithium iodide loss procedure $[MP4/TZV(2df+,2p) +$

Figure 4. MP4/TZV $(2df + 2p)$ + SCRF/ $MP2/DZV(d,p)$ relative energies (kcal/mol) for minima **13**, **15**, **16**, **17**, and **18** and transition structures **TS1**, **TS2**, **TS3**, and **TS4** (see Scheme 4).

SCRF energy barriers, 13.3 (**TS1**), 14.0 (**TS2**), and 16.0 kcal/mol (**TS3**); see Table 3 and Figure 4]. In addition, **TS3** would be the limiting step in the nonconcerted mechanism since the relative energy calculated for **TS4** is lower [14.8 kcal/mol at the MP4/TZV(2df+,2p) + SCRF level]. Finally, the calculated activation barrier from intermediate **17** to **TS4** is 2.3 kcal/mol [MP4/TZV- $(2df+2p) + SCRF$ level; from 12.5 to 14.8 kcal/mol], in good agreement with the previously described vinylidene-acetylene isomerization barrier in the gas phase.2

Conclusions

1-Iodo-1-lithioethene was prepared from 1-iodoethene and LDA in THF. The lithiated derivative can be trapped by electrophiles, but it readily decomposes to acetylene. Since the decomposition process cannot be analyzed by experimental techniques, we carried out ab initio calculations. Three important conclusions can be derived from high-level calculations with inclusion of solvation [MP4/TZV(2df+,2p) + SCRF level]. The first of these is that 1-iodo-1-lithioethene should be a monomer in a THF solution. Second, the concerted reaction pathway for hydrogen migration and lithium iodide α -elimination procedures is energetically more favorable than the stepwise mechanism. Third, our calculations

indicate that the iodine atom is the group that leaves in both cis and trans hydrogen migration.

Experimental Section

General Methods. ¹H and ¹³C spectra were recorded on a Bruker ARX-300 spectrometer in CDCl₃ with TMS as internal standard. Gas chromatography mass spectra were obtained on an HP G1800A apparatus. All solvents were purified and dried by standard procedures and freshly distilled prior to use.

General Procedure. To a solution of vinylmagnesium bromide (1 mmol, 1.0 mL of a commercial 1.0 M solution, Aldrich) in anhydrous THF (10 mL) was added 1.0 mmol of iodine (0.254 g), at 0 °C under an Ar atmosphere. After 5 min of stirring, the solution of 1-iodoethene was cooled at -100 °C and filtered under an Ar atmosphere to eliminate the magnesium salts. The resulting solution was treated with 3 equiv of LDA (1.5 mL of a commercial 2.0 M solution, Aldrich), and after 2 min the corresponding electrophile was added to the reaction flask. The reaction was hydrolyzed and extracted with Et₂O (3×25 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂-SO4) and filtered, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The resulting products were purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/ $Et₂O$, 2:1).

1-Iodo-1-deuterioethene (6). The product was not isolated but was detected by GC-MS. GC-MS: *^m*/*^z* 155 (M+).

2-Iodo-1-propene (7). The product was not isolated but was detected by GC-MS. GC-MS: *^m*/*^z* 168 (M+).

1,1-Diiodoethene (8): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) *δ* 7.4 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl3) *^δ* 143.0, 51.3; GC-MS *^m*/*^z* 280 (M+, 35), 254 (15), 153 (65), 127 (100).

1-Iodo-1-trimethylsilylethene (9): 1H NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 6.7 $(d, J = 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.6 $(d, J = 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 0.2 (s, 9H); GC-MS *m*/*z* 226 (M+, 14), 185 (73), 153 (13), 127 (35), 99 (18), 73 (100), 43 (59).

1-Iodo-1-(tributylstannyl)ethene (10): 1H NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 7.0 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.8 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 1.5 (m, 6H), 1.3 (m, 6H), 1.1 (m, 6H), 0.9 (t, 9H); 13C NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 139.9, 112.5, 28.6, 26.8, 13.7 11.2; GC-MS *^m*/*^z* 361 (M+, 65), 247 (100), 177 (47), 121 (94), 57 (67).

2-Iodo-1,1-diphenyl-2-propen-1-ol (11): 1H NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 7.8-7.3 (m, 10H), 6.1 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.7 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 142.0, 137.1, 127.8, 127.6, 127.4, 122.8, 83.7; GC-MS *^m*/*^z* 336 (M+, 2), 259 (1), 209 (5), 183 (60), 105 (82), 77 (100), 51 (40).

(Tributylstannyl)ethyne (12): 1H NMR (CDCl3) *δ* 2.2 (s, 1H), 1.6 (m, 6H), 1.3 (m, 6H), 1.0 (m, 6H), 0.9 (t, 9H); 13C NMR (CDCl3) *^δ* 96.8, 89.0, 28.9, 27.3, 13.5 11.0; GC-MS *^m*/*^z* ²⁵⁹ (M+, 95), 203 (57), 145 (100), 121 (26).

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Spanish DGICYT (PB94-0483). One of us (D.S.) would like to thank the Comunidad Autónoma de La Rioja for a predoctoral fellowship.

OM980671U