

Volume 18, Number 3, February 1, 1999

© Copyright 1999 American Chemical Society

Communications

Reaction of 1,2-Disilylbenzene with Bis[1,2-bis(dimethylphosphino)ethane]nickel(0). Isolation and Characterization of the First Silylnickel(IV) Complex

Shigeru Shimada, Maddali L. N. Rao, and Masato Tanaka*

National Institute of Materials and Chemical Research, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8565, Japan

Received November 4, 1998

Summary: The first silvlnickel(IV) complex was isolated from the reaction of 1,2-disilylbenzene with a nickel(0) complex and characterized by multinuclear NMR and X-ray structure analysis. Bis(silyl)nickel(II) complexes, intermediates in the formation of the silvlnickel(IV) complex, were also characterized by NMR and/or X-ray analysis.

Growing interest in organosilicon compounds as functional materials and reagents for organic synthesis increases the importance of the study on silylmetals in order to develop new catalytic methodologies as well as to understand the reaction mechanism. Group 10 metals constitute useful catalysts for the transformation of organosilicon compounds, and the chemistry of silylplatinums and silylpalladiums is rapidly growing.¹ On the other hand, silylnickels are less well-known, partly because of their instability. Most of the well-characterized silylnickel complexes are SiX_3 -Ni species (X = Cl, F).^{2,3} In the catalytic cycles of group 10 metal-catalyzed reactions of organosilicon compounds, silylmetal(IV) species can be considered to play an important role. Silylplatinum(IV)⁴ and silylpalladium(IV)⁵ complexes have indeed been isolated and characterized, while no silylnickel(IV) species has been isolated or even detected spectroscopically.⁶ Related nickel(IV) species with organyl ligands have only recently been isolated.⁷ Here we report the reaction of 1,2-disilylbenzene (1)^{4d,8} with $Ni(dmpe)_2$ (2) (dmpe = 1,2-bis(dimethylphophino)eth-

⁽¹⁾ Recent reviews: (a) Yamashita, H.; Tanaka, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1995, 68, 403-419. (b) Horn, K. A. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1317-1350. (c) Sharma, H. K.; Pannell, K. H. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1351-1374. (d) Braunstein, P.; Knorr, M. J. Organomet. Chem. **1995**, *500*, 21–38. (e) Suginome, M.; Ito, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1998**, 1925-1934.

⁽²⁾ For examples of silylnickel complexes: (a) Kiso, Y.; Tamao, K.; Kumada, M. J. Organomet. Chem. **1974**, *76*, 95–103. (b) Liu, C.-S.; Cheng, C.-W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1975**, *97*, 6746–6749. (c) Janikowski, Cheng, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 97, 6740–6745. (c) Sankowski,
 S. K.; Radonovich, L. J.; Groshens, T. J.; Klabunde, K. J. Organome-tallics 1985, 4, 396–398. (d) Ishikawa, M.; Ohshita, J.; Ito, Y.; Iyoda,
 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108 (8), 7147–7419. (e) Bierschenk, T. R.;
 Guerra, M. A.; Juhlke, T. J.; Larson, S. B.; Lagow, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1987**, *109*, 4855–4860. (f) Choe, S.-B.; Schneider, J. J.; Klabunde, K. J.; Radonovich, L. J.; Ballintine, T. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1989**, 376, 419–439. (g) Brezinski, M. M.; Schneider, J.; Radonovich, L. J.; Klabunde, K. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1989**, *28*, 2414–2419.

⁽³⁾ Silylene-nickel complexes have been reported recently, see: (a) Denk, M.; Hayashi, R. K.; West, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 33–34. (b) Nlate, S.; Herdtweck, E.; Fischer, R. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 1861-1863.

⁽⁴⁾ For recent examples: (a) Heyn, R. H.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, 114, 1917–1919. (b) Michalczyk, M. J.; Recatto, C. A.; Calabrese, J. C.; Fink, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, 114, 7955–7957. Catabrese, J. C.; Fink, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7955-7957.
(c) Levy, C. J.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Vittal, J. J. Organometallics 1994, 13, 1559-1560. (d) Shimada, S.; Tanaka, M.; Honda, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 8289-8290. (e) Suginome, M.; Oike, H.; Shuff, P. H.; Ito, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 521, 405-408.
(5) (a) Shimada, S.; Tanaka, M.; Shiro, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 1856-1858. (b) Suginome, M.; Kato, Y.; Takeda, N.; Oike, H.; Ito, Y. Organometallics 1998, 17, 495-497.
(6) Intermediacy of silvlnickel(IV) species has been proposed: (a)

⁽⁶⁾ Intermediacy of silylnickel(IV) species has been proposed: (a) Ohshita, J.; Isomura, Y.; Ishikawa, M. Organometallics 1989, 8, 2050–2054. (b) Ishikawa, M.; Nomura, Y.; Tozaki, E.; Kunai, A.; Ohshita, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 399, 205–213. (c) Ishikawa, M.; Naka, A.; Ohshita, J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 11, 2004. 2009. Ohshita, J. Organometallics 1992, 11, 3004-3008.



Figure 1. ³¹P NMR spectra of the reaction mixture of **1** and **2** at 80 °C in C_6D_6 after (a) 7 h and (b) 66 h.

ane), which resulted in the isolation of a dimeric silylnickel(II) complex and the first silylnickel(IV) complex.



The reaction of **1** with **2** (**1**:**2** = 2:1) proceeded at 80 °C in C₆D₆. Figure 1 shows the ³¹P NMR spectra of the reaction mixture after 7 and 66 h heating. After 7 h, five new signals (δ = 39.41, 36.06, -20.43, -20.56, -47.83 ppm) were found in addition to those of **2** (δ = 18.72 ppm) and uncoordinated dmpe (δ = -48.72 ppm). After 66 h, only the signals at 39.41 ppm and of uncoordinated dmpe remained and the others almost had disappeared. The structure of the final product (81% isolated yield) was assigned as the tetrakis(silyl)nickel-(IV) complex **3**⁹ by comparing its ¹H, ³¹P, ¹³C, and ²⁹Si NMR data with those of the corresponding tetrakis-(silyl)palladium(IV) complex.^{5a} Its ²⁹Si NMR spectrum displayed two characteristic signals at δ = 4.61 (t, ²*J*_{P-Si} = 18 Hz) and - 0.36 (dd, ²*J*_{Pcis-Si} = 20 Hz, ²*J*_{Ptrans-Si} =

(9) To a toluene solution (5 mL) of Ni(dmpe)₂ (500 mg, 1.39 mmol) was added **1** (530 μ L, 488 mg, 3.51 mmol), and the resulting solution was heated at 80 °C for 10 days under N₂. After removal of volatiles under vacuum, the residue was dissolved in hot C₆H₆ (20 mL) and insoluble materials were filtered off. Upon cooling the filtrate to room temperature, colorless crystals (containing two molecules of benzene per **3**) formed, from which some crystals were taken for X-ray analysis. After an addition of hexane (10 mL) to complete the crystal formation, the crystals are easily removed upon evacuation) to give **3** as white powder (540 mg, 81% based on Ni(dmpe)₂). **3**: ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 0.76 (6H, d, *J* = 9), 1.01 (6H, d, *J* = 9), 1.0–1.4 (4H, m), 4.75–5.10 (8H, m), 7.10–7.25 (4H, m), 7.72 (2H, d, *J* = 7), 7.98 (2H, d, *J* = 7); ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆) δ 39.27; ¹³C NMR δ 10.75 (m, PMe), 12.17 (m, PMe), 28.08 (t, *J*_{P-C} = 24, PCH₂CH₂CH₂P), 127.63, 127.72, 133.45, 133.88, 147.42 (t, ³*J*_{P-C} = 3), 152.02 (t, ³*J*_{P-C} = 2); ²⁹Si NMR (C₆D₆) δ -0.35 (dd, ²*J*_{Pets-SI} = 20, ²*J*_{Ptrans-SI} = 116), 4.62 (t, ²*J*_{P-SI} = 18); IR (KBr) 3042, 2974, 2908, 2056, 2024, 1423, 1303, 1288, 1243, 1114, 942, 833, 808, 764, 710, 652, 522, 447, 420; EI-MS (70 eV) *m*/*z* 480 (M⁺, 2), 450 (25), 448 (46), 210 (40), 208 (100, Ni(dmpe)), 180 (46). Anal. Calcl for C₁₈H₃₂Ni₁P₂Si₄: C, 44.91; H, 6.70. Found: C, 45.41; H, 6.84.



Figure 2. Molecular structure of complex **3** (40% probability). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Ni–Si1 2.2900(9), Ni–Si2 2.2522(7), Ni–P 2.1973(7), Si1–Ni–Si2 86.70(3), Si1–Ni–Si1' 164.91(4), Si2–Ni–P 93.40(3), Si2–Ni–Si2' 84.44(4), P–Ni–P' 89.25(4).



116 Hz). Furthermore, the structure was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray structure analysis (Figure 2).¹⁰ The Ni–Si1 bond is longer than the Ni–Si2 bond, because of the stronger trans influence of silicon than that of phosphorus. The Ni–Si2 bond length is within the range of reported values, while the Si1–Ni bond length is the longest so far reported.^{2c,e–g,3a,b}

The intermediate species observed in the ³¹P NMR spectrum (Figure 1a) were assigned to a mixture of bis-(silyl)nickel(II) complexes **4** and **5** based on the following results. To isolate the intermediate species, the reaction of **1** with **2** (**1**:**2** = 1:1.5) was carried out at 80 °C for 5 h. The crude mixture contained **2** and the intermediate species as well as a small amount of **3**. One of the intermediate species could be isolated as slightly yellow, thin plates (42% isolated yield) upon cooling the reaction mixture.¹¹ The molecular structure was confirmed to be dimeric bis(silyl)nickel(II) complex **4** by X-ray structure analysis (Figure 3).¹² The Si–Ni bond lengths are within the range of reported values.^{2c,e-g,3a,b} The Ni–P3 bond

^{(7) (}a) Klein, H.-F.; Bickelhaupt, A.; Jung, T.; Cordier, G. Organometallics **1994**, *13*, 2557–2559. (b) Klein, H.-F.; Bickelhaupt, A.; Lemke, M.; Jung, T.; Röhr, C. Chem. Lett. **1995**, 467–468. (c) Klein, H.-F.; Bickelhaupt, A.; Lemke, M.; Sun, H.; Brand, A.; Jung, T.; Röhr, C.; Flörke, U.; Haupt, H.-J. Organometallics **1997**, *16*, 668–676.

⁽⁸⁾ Schröck, R.; Sladek, A.; Schmidbaur, H. Z. Naturforsch. B 1994, 49, 1036-1040.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Crystal data for **3**·2C₆H₆: C₃₀H₄₄Ni₁P₂Si₄, fw = 637.67, monoclinic, *C2/c*, *a* = 23.810(7) Å, *b* = 9.092(3) Å, *c* = 17.982(5) Å, *β* = 118.75-(2)°, *V* = 3412(1) Å³, *Z* = 4, *D*_{calc} = 1.24 g cm⁻³, *R* = 0.036, *R*_w = 0.055. (11) To a toluene solution (6 mL) of Ni(dme)₂ (800 mg, 2.23 mmol) was added **1** (224 μ L, 206 mg, 1.49 mmol), and the resulting solution was heated at 80 °C for 5 h under N₂. Upon cooling the mixture at room temperature, light yellow crystals settled. Filtration, washing with a small amount of toluene, and drying under vacuum gave **4** in 42% yield (260 mg) based on **1**. **4**: ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 0.76 (12H, d, *J* = 3.5), 1.02–1.30 (36H, m), 5.28–5.38 (8H, m, Si–H), 7.23 (4H, dd, *J* = 3, 5), 7.98 (4H, d, *J* = 3, 5); ³IP NMR (C₆D₆) δ –20.48 (s), 35.82 (s); ²⁹Si (C₆D₆) NMR δ –7.7 (t, *J* = 35); IR (KBr) 3038, 2970, 2904, 2010, 1421, 1226, 1280, 1139, 1104, 944, 895, 820, 760, 777, 499, 447. Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₆₄Ni₂P₆Si₄: C, 42.87; H, 7.68. Found: C, 43.10; H, 7.70.



Figure 3. Molecular structure of complex 4 (40% probability). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Ni-Si1 2.247(3), Ni-Si2 2.255(3), Ni-P1 2.163(3), Ni-P2 2.163(3), Ni-P3 2.248(2), Si1-Ni-Si2 80.86(9), P1-Ni-P2 85.6(1), Si1-Ni-P3 102.45(9).

(2.26 Å) is much longer than Ni–P1 (2.16 Å) and Ni– P2 (2.16 Å). The ³¹P NMR spectrum of **4** showed two singlets at 35.82 and - 20.48 ppm; these were assigned to the chelating and the bridging phosphines, respectively, on the basis of the integration in the ³¹P NMR spectrum and the following variable-temperature ³¹P NMR experiment. As suggested by the longer Ni-P3 bond length than those of Ni-P1 and Ni-P2, the bridging phosphine is expected to decoordinate more easily than the chelating phosphines. ³¹P NMR measurement showed the signal at 35.82 ppm did not change upon heating to 70 °C, while the signal at -20.48ppm broadened at 40 °C and almost disappeared at 70 °C. Accordingly, we conclude that, in Figure 1a, the signal at 36.06 ppm corresponds to the chelating phosphine in 4 and the signal at -20.43 or -20.56 ppm corresponds to the bridging phosphine. The relative intensity of the signal at 36.06 ppm to the signal at -20.43 or -20.56 ppm in Figure 1a is much greater than that of the signal at 35.82 ppm to the signal at

-20.48 ppm in the ³¹P NMR spectrum of 4. This suggests that the signal at 36.06 ppm is due not only to **4** but also to a species with a structure similar to that of **4**. The signal at -47.83 ppm in Figure 1a appeared as a doublet $(J = 20 \text{ Hz})^{13}$ and had almost the same chemical shift as that due to uncoordinated dmpe. It most likely is assignable to the uncoordinated phosphine terminus of complex 5, which can be formed by the reaction of **4** with free dmpe. Indeed, the addition of 1 equiv of dmpe to 4 in C₆D₆ resulted in the emergence of the same signals as those at -20.43 and -47.83 ppm in Figure 1a. Further addition of dmpe (9 equiv) reduced the signals of 4 and the signal around -20.5 ppm became a doublet (J = 20 Hz) having almost the same intensity as that at -47.83 ppm. The signal corresponding to the chelating phosphines of 5 has the same chemical shift as that of 4. At intermediate stages of the reaction of 1 with 2, an equilibrium among 4, 5, and 6 probably exists, although the concentration of 6 would be very low. Complex 4 reacted with 1 more easily than 2 to form complex 3; even at room temperature the reaction proceeded slowly.

The mechanism of the formation of 3 is envisioned to be similar to that leading to the platinum complex.^{4d} Oxidative addition of two Si-H bonds and reductive elimination of H_2 form complex **6**, which exists mainly as pentacoordinated species 4 and 5 in the presence of free dmpe. The subsequent transformation presumably involves a tris(silyl)hydridonickel(IV) intermediate formed by the oxidative addition of a third Si-H bond and subsequent intramolecular dehydrogenative cyclization to form the final product 3. Further investigation is necessary to clarify the mechanism.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the Japan Science and Technology Corporation (JST) for financial support through the CREST (Core Research for Evolutional Science and Technology) program and for a postdoctoral fellowship to M.L.N.R.

Supporting Information Available: Tables of crystal data and structure refinement, atomic coordinates, anisotropic thermal parameters, and bond lengths and angles for complexes 3 and 4 (15 pages). See any current masthead page for ordering information and Internet access instructions.

OM980904H

⁽¹²⁾ Crystal data for **4**: $C_{30}H_{64}Ni_2P_6Si_4$, fw = 840.44, monoclinic, C2/c, a = 19.316(4) Å, b = 12.652(3) Å, c = 18.138(4) Å, $\beta = 101.05-(2)^\circ$, V = 4350(2) Å³, Z = 4, $D_{calc} = 1.28$ g cm⁻³, R = 0.042, $R_w = 0.064$. (13) This *J* value is consistent with ${}^{3}J_{p-p}$ values for Me₂PCH₂CH₂-PR₂: King, R. B.; Cloyd, J. C., Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 53–60.