Syntheses and Crystal Structures of Intramolecularly **Stabilized Organogallium Compounds Containing an** o-Carboranyl C,N-Chelating Ligand System

Jong-Dae Lee,[†] Cheol-Ki Baek,[†] Jaejung Ko,^{*,†} Kwonil Park,[‡] Sungil Cho,[‡] Suk-Ki Min,[§] and Sang Ook Kang^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry and School of Electronics & Information Engineering, Korea University, 208 Seochang, Chochiwon, Chung-nam 339-700, Korea, and Department of Chemical Engineering, Junnong-dong 90, Seoul City University, Seoul 130-743, Korea

Received December 23. 1998

The reaction of $HCab^{N}$ (1; $HCab^{N} = closo-1$ -[(dimethylamino)methyl]-o-carborane) with $GaCl_3$ yielded the simple Lewis acid-base adduct complex $HCab^N \cdot GaCl_3$ (3). The reaction of LiCab^N (**2**; LiCab^N = closo-1-[(dimethylamino)methyl]-2-lithio-o-carborane) with GaCl₃ in a 1:1 ratio produced the four-coordinated metallacyclic organogallium compound Cab^N-GaCl₂ (4), in which the gallium atom was stabilized via intramolecular C,N-coordination. A similar intramolecularly base-stabilized four-coordinated gallane, Cab^NGaMe₂ (5), was synthesized from the reaction of 2 with Me₂GaCl. Complex 5 was also formed upon reaction of the complex 4 with 2 equiv of LiMe. The X-ray structural studies of complexes 4 and 5 indicate that the gallium atom is located in the center of a distorted tetrahedron. The Lewis acid **4** added the base pyridine to yield the adduct $Cab^{N}GaCl_{2} \cdot NC_{5}H_{5}$ (6). The trigonalbipyramidal coordination of the metal center was proven by the single-crystal X-ray determination of the complex **6**. The reaction of $LiCab^{N}(2)$ with $GaCl_{3}$ in a 2:1 ratio afforded the bis[((dimethylamino)methyl)-o-carboranyl]gallium compound (Cab^N)₂GaCl (7). The NMR spectra revealed that intramolecular Ga-N coordination occurs in solution, resulting in a pentacoordinate (Cab^N)₂GaCl structure. Crystals of (Cab^N)₂GaCl(H₂O) (8) were obtained while recrystallizing 7 in toluene over a period of several days. X-ray analysis of this complex 8 provides support for the assignment of the water-coordinated bis[((dimethylamino)methyl)o-carboranyl]gallane structure.

Introduction

In recent years, the coordination behavior of organometallic compounds containing the potentially bidentate ligand 2-[(dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl (HAr^N) has been the focus of extensive studies.¹ The corresponding lithium compound, LiAr^{N,2} first reported in 1963, is the common starting material for the preparation of new complexes. Although the HAr^N ligand system has been used extensively during the past decade as an intramolecular coordinating ligand for many different metals,³ many subclasses of these interesting compounds remain virtually unexplored. One such subclass, namely HCab^N (1; $HCab^{N} = closo-1-[(dimethylamino)methyl]-o-carbo$ rane) metal complexes, has received little attention.

o-Carborane has attracted some interest due to its ease of preparation and derivatization, thermal stability, and steric bulk.⁴ It was therefore of interest to investigate the possibility of synthesizing such intramolecularly coordinated complexes using the HCab^N (1) system. In this respect, we have started investigating the synthesis of intramolecularly coordinated group 13 metal complexes bearing a bulky o-carborane unit that

Department of Chemistry, Korea University.

[‡] Seoul City University.

[‡] Seoul City University.
[§] School of Electronics & Information Engineering, Korea University.
(1) (a) Müller, J.; Englert, U. Chem. Ber. 1995, 128, 493. (b) Schumann, H.; Seuss, T. D.; Just, O.; Weimann, R.; Hemling, H.; Görlitz, F. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 479, 171. (c) van der Schaaf, P. A.; Grove, D. M.; Smeets, W. J. J.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G. Organometallics 1993, 12, 3955. (d) Wayda, A. L. Organometallics 1983, 2, 565. (e) Khan, M.; Steevensz, R. C.; Tuck, D. G.; Noltes, J. G.; Corfield, P. W. R. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 3407. (f) van Koten, G.; Schaap, C. A.; Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Noltes, J. G.; Organomet. Chem. 1980, 186, 427. (g) van Koten, G.; Noltes, J. G.; Spek, A. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1976, 148, 183. (h) Manzer, L. E.; Gearhart, R. C.; Guggenberger, L. J.; Whitney, J. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 942. (i) Cope, J.; Whitney, J. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 942. (i) Cope,
 A. C.; Gourley, R. N. J. Organomet. Chem. 1967, 8, 527.
 (2) Jones, F. N.; Zinn, M. F.; Hauser, C. H. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 502

^{663.}

⁽³⁾ Some representative references are: (a) Schumann, H.; Freitag, Son Bergersen and State (a) Son and the second state (b) Son and the second state (b) Son and the second state (c) Son and N. C. Organometallics 1997, 16, 3597. (d) Carmait, C. J.; Cowley, A. H.; Culp, R. D.; Jones, R. A.; Kamepalli, S.; Norman, N. C. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 2770. (e) Rietveld, M. H. P.; Nagelholt, L.; Grove, D. M.; Veldman, N.; Spek, A. L.; Rauch, M. U.; Herrmann, W.; van Koten, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 530, 159. (f) Janssen, M. D.; Corsten, M. A.; Spek, A. L.; Grove, D. M.; van Koten, G. Organometallics 1996, 15, 2810. (g) Abbenhuis, H. C. L.; van Belzen, R.; Grove, D. M.; Klomp, A. L. A. uson Micro C. P. M.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G. Organometallics A. J. A.; van Mier, G. P. M.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G. *Organometallics* **1993**, *12*, 210. (h) van der Schaaf, P. A.; Grove, D. M.; Smeets, W. J. **1993**, *12*, 210. (h) van der Schaat, P. A.; Grove, D. M.; Smeets, W. J. J.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G. Organometallics **1993**, *12*, 3955. (i) van der Schaaf, P.; Boersma, J.; Kooijman, H.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G. Organometallics **1993**, *12*, 4334. (j) Edema, J. J. H.; Gambarotta, S.; Meetsma, A.; Spek, A. L. Organometallics **1992**, *11*, 2452. (k) Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; van der Schaaf, P. A.; Boersma, J.; van Koten, G.; Zoutberg, M. C.; Heijdenrijk, D. Organometallics **1989**, *8*, 1373. (l) Abbenhuis, H. C. L.; Grove, D. M.; van Mier, G. P. M.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1989**, 1581. (m) van Vaten, C.; Lastrzebski, I. T. B. H.; val ter, H.; Valbeerke, C. L.; Grove, C. L.; State, J. T. B. & Solte, S. C.; Chem. Soc., C. L.; State, J. C.; Jastraebeki, J. T. B. & Solte, C. L.; State, J. C.; Heijdenrijk, D. Organometallics **1989**, *1581*. (m) van Koten, C.; Lastraebeki, J. T. B. & H.; Valbeerke, C. L.; State, J. C.; Jastraebeki, J. T. B.; State, C. L.; State, J. C.; Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1989**, 1581. (m) van Vaten. C.; Lastraebeki, J. T. B.; State, J. C.; State, State, C. L.; State, J. C.; Jastraebeki, J. T. B.; State, J. C.; State, State, C. L.; State, J. C.; State, State, C. L.; State, J. C.; State, State, C. L.; State, J. C.; State, State, J. C.; S Koten, G.; Jastrezebski, J. T. B. H.; Noltes, J. G.; Verhoeckx, G. J.;
Spek, A. L.; Kroon, J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 1352.
(4) Beall, H. In Boron Hydride Chemistry, Muetterties, E., Ed.;

Academic Press: New York, 1975; Chapter 9.

Table 1.	NMR S	pectroscopi	c Data for	Compounds	1 and 3-8
----------	-------	-------------	------------	------------------	-----------

	NMR $(\delta)^a$				
compd	¹ H	¹³ C	¹¹ B		
1	1.663 (s, 6H, N-CH ₃) 2.263 (s, 2H, N-CH ₂) 3.256 (s, 1H, H-C _{cab})	46.731 (s, 2C, N $-CH_3$) 58.774 (s, 1C, $CHCB_{10}H_{10}$) 63.233 (s, 1C, N $-CH_2$) 75.753 (s, 1C, $CHCB_{10}H_{10}$)	$\begin{array}{c} -13.43 \ (d, 4B, J_{\rm BH} = 120 \ {\rm Hz}) \\ -11.87 \ (d, 2B, J_{\rm BH} = 110 \ {\rm Hz}) \\ -9.27 \ (d, 2B, J_{\rm BH} = 160 \ {\rm Hz}) \\ -5.48 \ (d, 1B, J_{\rm BH} = 150 \ {\rm Hz}) \\ \end{array}$		
3	2.196 (N(CH ₃) ₂) 3.191 (NCH ₂) 6.098 (H-C _{cab})	61.729 (N(<i>C</i> H ₃) ₂) 62.770 (N <i>C</i> H ₂)	$\begin{array}{c} -3.33 \text{ (d, 1B, } \mathcal{J}_{BH} = 140 \text{ Hz}) \\ -13.04 \text{ (d, 6B, } \mathcal{J}_{BH} = 115 \text{ Hz}) \\ -9.40 \text{ (d, 2B, } \mathcal{J}_{BH} = 155 \text{ Hz}) \\ -3.96 \text{ (d, 1B, } \mathcal{J}_{BH} = 115 \text{ Hz}) \\ 2.52 \text{ (d, 1B, } \mathcal{J}_{BH} = 115 \text{ Hz}) \end{array}$		
4	1.623 (N(C <i>H</i> ₃) ₂) 2.210 (NC <i>H</i> ₂)	52.061 (N(<i>C</i> H ₃) ₂) 66.530 (N <i>C</i> H ₂) 72.672 (<i>C</i> ₂ B ₁₀ unit)	$\begin{array}{c} -2.32 & (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 130 \text{ Hz}) \\ -12.96 & (d, 2B, J_{BH} = 130 \text{ Hz}) \\ -11.75 & (d, 2B, J_{BH} = 140 \text{ Hz}) \\ -11.14 & (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 125 \text{ Hz}) \\ -9.70 & (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 180 \text{ Hz}) \\ -5.79 & (d, 2B, J_{BH} = 150 \text{ Hz}) \\ -4.08 & (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 175 \text{ Hz}) \\ -2.32 & (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 175 \text{ Hz}) \\ -2.32 & (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 175 \text{ Hz}) \\ \end{array}$		
5	0.539 (Ga(CH ₃) ₂) 1.529 (N(CH ₃) ₂) 2.101 (NCH ₂)	10.876 (Ga(CH_3) ₂) 50.033 (N(CH_3) ₂) 63.299 (N CH_2) 73.843 (C_2B_{12} unit)	-12.98 (d, 6B, $J_{BH} = 135$ Hz) -10.02 (d, 2B, $J_{BH} = 170$ Hz) -4.47 (d, 1B, $J_{BH} = 140$ Hz) -3.20 (d, 1B, $J_{BH} = 140$ Hz)		
6	1.931 (N(CH_3) ₂) 2.528 (N CH_2) 6.354 (t, N C_5H_5) 6.656 (t, N C_5H_5) 8.584 (d, N C_5H_5)	$\begin{array}{c} 50.870 \ (N(CH_3)_2) \\ 64.835 \ (NCH_2) \\ 124.282 \ (NC_5H_5) \\ 139.324 \ (NC_5H_5) \\ 148.389 \ (NC_5H_5) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -11.62 (d, 4B, J_{BH} = 150 \text{ Hz}) \\ -10.46 (d, 2B, J_{BH} = 135 \text{ Hz}) \\ -7.46 (d, 2B, J_{BH} = 150 \text{ Hz}) \\ -4.56 (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 160 \text{ Hz}) \\ -2.01 (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 160 \text{ Hz}) \end{array}$		
7	1.920 $(N(CH_3)_2)$ 2.200 $(N(CH_3)_2)$ 2.275 $(NCH_2, J_{gem} = 10 \text{ Hz})$ 3.520 $(NCH_2, J_{gem} = 10 \text{ Hz})$	50.210 (N(<i>C</i> H ₃) ₂) 62.196 (N <i>C</i> H ₂)	$\begin{array}{c} -11.30 (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 145 \text{ Hz}) \\ -9.43 (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 140 \text{ Hz}) \\ -7.87 (d, 2B, J_{BH} = 145 \text{ Hz}) \\ -5.39 (d, 2B, J_{BH} = 140 \text{ Hz}) \\ -4.23 (d, 2B, J_{BH} = 110 \text{ Hz}) \\ -2.29 (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 160 \text{ Hz}) \\ -1.02 (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 130 \text{ Hz}) \end{array}$		
8	$\begin{array}{c} 1.862 \ (\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{C}H_3)_2)\\ 2.061 \ (\mathrm{N}\mathrm{C}H_2)\\ 2.665 \ (\mathrm{N}\mathrm{C}H_2)\\ 6.862 \ (H_2\mathrm{O}) \end{array}$	46.606 (N(<i>C</i> H ₃) ₂) 63.264 (N <i>C</i> H ₂) 65.173 (N <i>C</i> H ₂) 77.057 (<i>C</i> ₂ B ₁₀ unit)	$\begin{array}{c} -7.48 \; (d, 4B, J_{BH} = 110 \; Hz) \\ -6.68 \; (d, 4B, J_{BH} = 135 \; Hz) \\ -5.01 \; (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 145 \; Hz) \\ -1.84 \; (d, 1B, J_{BH} = 140 \; Hz) \end{array}$		

 $^{\it a}$ All NMR spectra were recorded in C_6D_6 at room temperature.

might potentially stabilize the desired Lewis acidic metal moiety. Thus, we now report the detailed syndeficient gallium chloride, $GaCl_3$, in a 1:1 stoichiometry to form the corresponding adduct $HCab^N \cdot GaCl_3$ (3).



thesis and complete characterization of intramolecularly coordinated gallium complexes. Methods for preparing this type of compound are generally based on the addition of [(aminomethyl)-*o*-carboranyl]lithium to a suitable gallium halide complex. The synthesis, properties, and structural studies of novel intramolecularly coordinated gallium complexes are reported in this paper. The appropriate synthetic and spectroscopic characteristics of the corresponding gallium derivatives will be included. Moreover, the reactivity of these compounds toward a Lewis base will be discussed.

Results and Discussion

Preparation of HCab^N·GaCl₃ (3). The amine moiety of HCab^N (1) is a strong Lewis base which rapidly reacts at room temperature with simple electron-



Compound **3** has been isolated as white, transparent crystals. The complex is both air- and moisture-sensitive in the solid state, decomposing over a period of seconds upon exposure to air. They are moderately soluble in pentane, benzene, and toluene. The ¹H, ¹¹B, and ¹³C NMR spectral data for the adduct **3** are presented in the Experimental Section and in Table 1. The proton resonance for the NMe₂ group is a singlet and is shifted downfield with respect to that of the free ligand. The CH₂ protons also resonate as a singlet and are shifted downfield in comparison to that of the free ligand with a pronounced $\Delta \delta$ 0.93 ppm observed for **3**.

The ORTEP diagram of $HCab^{N} \cdot GaCl_3$ (3) is presented in Figure 1. Crystallographic data and processing parameters are given in Table 2, and selected bond

Table 2. X-ray Crystallographic Data and Processing Parameters for Compounds 3–6 and 8·C₆H₅CH₃

			0	-	
	3	4	5	6	$8 \cdot C_6 H_5 C H_3$
formula	B ₁₀ C ₅ H ₁₉ Cl ₃ NGa	B ₁₀ C ₅ H ₁₈ Cl ₂ NGa	B ₁₀ C ₇ H ₂₄ NGa	$B_{10}C_{10}H_{23}Cl_2N_2Ga$	B ₂₀ C ₁₇ H ₄₆ ClN ₂ GaO
fw	377.38	340.92	300.09	420.02	615.93
cryst class	orthorhombic	orthorhombic	orthorhombic	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	<i>Pca</i> 2 ₁ (No. 29)	<i>Pnma</i> (No. 62)	Cmc21 (No. 36)	$P2_1/c$ (No. 14)	$P2_1/c$ (No. 14)
Ż	4	4	4	4	4
cell constants					
<i>a</i> , Å	11.1330(3)	18.5119(13)	11.9226(7)	9.8879(4)	14.6650(1)
<i>b</i> , Å	11.6650(3)	11.7106(6)	8.9597(5)	7.8913(4)	16.0320(1)
<i>c</i> , Å	13.1140(3)	7.1343(9)	14.6973(8)	26.3511(11)	14.8320(2)
V, Å ³	1703.07(7)	1546.6(2)	1570.01(15)	2031.80(16)	3282.42(7)
α, deg					
β , deg				98.823(3)	109.73(6)
γ , deg					
μ , cm ⁻¹	2.064	4.195	3.455	1.612	0.939
cryst size, mm	0.30 imes 0.30 imes 0.45	0.10 imes 0.10 imes 0.15	$0.10 \times 0.20 \times 0.25$	0.15 imes 0.15 imes 0.20	0.10 imes 0.10 imes 0.10
$D_{\text{calcd}}, \text{ g/cm}^3$	1.472	1.464	1.270	1.373	1.246
F(000)	752	1360	1232	848	1272
radiation	Mo Kα ($\lambda = 0.7170$ Å)	Mo Kα ($\lambda = 0.7170$ Å)	Mo Kα ($\lambda = 0.7170$ Å)	Mo Kα ($\lambda = 0.7170$ Å)	Mo Kα ($\lambda = 0.7170$ Å)
θ range, deg	1.75 - 24.80	2.20 - 25.96	2.77 - 24.93	1.56 - 25.97	1.95 - 24.76
h,k,l collected	$\pm 13, \pm 13, \pm 15$	+22, +14, +8	+14, +10, +17	$+12, +9, \pm 32$	$\pm 17, \pm 18, \pm 16$
no. of rflns measd	1704	1629	829	4297	5569
no. of unique rflns	1538	1593	755	3982	5512
<pre>no. of rflns used in refinement (I > 2σ(I))</pre>	1538	1593	755	3982	5512
no. of params	254	124	105	243	564
data/param ratio	6.06	12.85	7.19	16.39	9.77
R1 ^a	0.0436	0.0543	0.0414	0.0735	0.0679
$wR2^{b}$	0.1061	0.1250	0.1046	0.1611	0.1563
GOF	1.164	0.886	0.954	0.943	1.154

^{*a*} R1 = $\sum ||F_0| - |F_c|| \sum |F_0|$ (based on reflections with $F_0^2 > 2\sigma F_0^2$). ^{*b*} wR2 = $\left[\sum [w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \sum [w(F_0^2)^2]\right]^{1/2}$; $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.095P)^2]$; $P = [\max(F_0^2, 0) + 2F_c^2] / 3$ (also with $F_0^2 > 2\sigma F_0^2$).



Figure 1. Molecular structure of $HCab^{N}$ ·GaCl₃ (3). The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

distances and bond angles are presented in Table 3. The molecular geometry is C_s , in which the Cl₃Ga group is staggered with respect to the two methyl groups on the N(1) atom. The coordination geometry around the gallium is approximately tetrahedral; however, there is a wide scatter of angles: N(1)–Ga(1)–Cl(3) = 105.49-

 $(16)^{\circ}$, N(1)-Ga(1)-Cl(1) = 105.24(18)^{\circ}, Cl(3)-Ga(1)-Cl(1) = 114.40(10)^{\circ}, N(1)-Ga(1)-Cl(2) = 105.73(17)^{\circ}, Cl(3)-Ga(1)-Cl(2) = 113.88(8)^{\circ}, Cl(1)-Ga(1)-Cl(2) = 111.15(9)^{\circ}. The Ga(1)-N(1) distance of 2.050(7) Å is similar to that of other nitrogen adducts⁵ and greater than the Ga-N distance observed in amido complexes.⁶

Synthesis of Cab^N**GaCl₂ (4).** The intramolecularly stabilized tetracoordinated (*o*-carboranyl)gallium compound Cab^NGaCl₂ (4) was synthesized in toluene from the gallium trichloride and the [(aminomethyl)-*o*-carboranyl]lithium compound LiCab^N (2). The stabilization



in compound **4** is due to the formation of a fivemembered chelate ring. Compound **4** is moderately

^{(5) (}a) Koide, Y.; Francis, J. A.; Bott, S. G.; Barron, A. R. Polyhedron **1998**, *17*, 983. (b) Schumann, H.; Hartmann, U.; Dietrich, A.; Pickardt, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1988**, *27*, 1077. (c) Krause, H.; Sille, K.; Hausen, H. D.; Weidlein, J. J. Organomet. Chem. **1982**, *235*, 253. (d) Golubinskaya, L. M.; Golubinskii, A. V.; Mastryukov, V. S.; Vilkov, L. V.; Bregadze, V. I. J. Organomet. Chem. **1976**, *117*, C4.

^{(6) (}a) Cordeddu, F.; Hausen, H. D.; Weidlein, J. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1996, 622, 573. (b) Atwood, D. A.; Atwood, V. O.; Cowley, A. H.; Jones, R. A.; Atwood, J. L.; Bott, S. G. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 33, 3251.
(c) Belgardt, T.; Waezsada, S. D.; Roesky, H. W.; Gornitzka, H.; Haming, L.; Stalke, D. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 33, 6247. (d) Waggoner, K. M.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. Polyhedron, 1990, 9, 257. (e) Amirkhalili, S.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Smith, J. D. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1979, 1206.

		Bond Distan	ces (Å)		
Ga(1)-N(1) Ga(1)-Cl(2) N(1)-C(5)	2.050(7) 2.161(2) 1.497(8)	Ga(1)-Cl(3) N(1)-C(3) C(1)-C(3)	2.140(2) 1.488(8) 1.543(8)	Ga(1)-Cl(1) N(1)-C(4) C(1)-C(2)	2.151(2) 1.491(9) 1.645(9)
	()	Compour	ad 4		()
Ga(1) - C(1)	1.96(1)	Ga(1)-N(1)	2.071(9)	$Ga(1) - Cl(1)^*$	2.143(2)
Ga(1) - Cl(1)	2.143(2)	$N(1) - C(4)^{*}$	1.487(8)	N(1) - C(4)	1.487(8)
N(1) - C(3)	1.50(1)	C(1)-C(2)	1.65(1)	C(2) - C(3)	1.54(1)
		Compoun	nd 5		
$Ga(1) - C(5)^*$	1.956(9)	Ga(1) - C(5)	1.956(9)	Ga(1) - C(1)	2.020(8)
Ga(1) = IN(1) N(1) = C(3)	2.108(7) 1.50(1)	$\Gamma(1) = C(4)^{*}$ C(1) = C(2)	1.463(6)	C(2) - C(3)	1.483(8)
	1.00(1)	Compour			1110(1)
Ga(1) - C(1)	2.003(9)	Ga(1) - N(2)	2.083(9)	Ga(1)-Cl(2)	2,162(3)
Ga(1) - Cl(1)	2.168(3)	N(1)-C(3)	1.41(1)	N(1) - C(5)	1.43(1)
N(1)-C(4)	1.46(2)	N(2)-C(20)	1.33(1)	N(2) - C(16)	1.34(1)
C(1) - C(2)	1.66(1)				
	1.040(4)	Compound 8.0	C ₆ H ₅ CH ₃		0.040(5)
Ga(1) = O(1)	1.840(4)	Ga(1) - C(1)	2.012(5)	Ga(I) - C(I')	2.019(5)
N(1) - C(3) N(1) - C(3)	1.473(7)	N(1) - C(5)	1.492(7)	N(1) = C(4) N(1) = C(4)	1.485(8)
C(1') - C(2')	1.690(7)	N(1) - C(5)	1.483(7)	C(1) - C(2)	1.692(7)
C(2')-C(3')	1.540(7)	C(2) - C(3)	1.532(7)	O(1)-H(1a)	1.12
O(1)-H(1b)	1.04	N(1)-H(1a)	1.75	N(1')-H(1b)	1.64
		Bond Angles Compoun	s (deg) 1d 3		
N(1)-Ga(1)-Cl(3)	105.5(2)	N(1)-Ga(1)-Cl(1)	105.2(2)	Cl(3)-Ga(1)-Cl(1)	114.4(1)
N(1)-Ga(1)-Cl(2)	105.7(2)	Cl(3)-Ga(1)-Cl(2)	113.88(8)	Cl(1)-Ga(1)-Cl(2)	111.15(9)
C(3) = N(1) = C(4) $C(3) = N(1) = C_2(1)$	113.4(6) 104 1(4)	C(3) = N(1) = C(5) $C(4) = N(1) = C_2(1)$	113.6(5) 107 1(4)	C(4) = N(1) = C(5) $C(5) = N(1) = C_2(1)$	110.8(6)
C(3) - C(1) - C(2)	113.1(5)		107.1(4)		107.2(3)
		Compour	d 4		
C(1)-Ga(1)-N(1)	91.9(4)	C(1)-Ga(1)-Cl(1)*	115.1(1)	N(1)-Ga(1)-Cl(1)*	110.4(1)
C(1)-Ga(1)-Cl(1)	115.1(1)	N(1)-Ga(1)-Cl(1)	110.4(1)	$Cl(1)^{*}-Ga(1)-Cl(1)$	112.1(1)
$C(4)^* - N(1) - C(4)$	108.2(8)	$C(4)^{*}-N(1)-C(3)$	109.8(6)	C(4) - N(1) - C(3)	109.8(6)
$C(4)^{*} = IN(1) = Ga(1)$ C(2) = C(1) = Ga(1)	109.3(5)	C(4) = N(1) = Ga(1) C(3) = C(2) = C(1)	109.3(5)	V(3) = N(1) = Ga(1) N(1) = C(3) = C(2)	110.4(6) 114.5(9)
$C(\mathcal{L}) = C(1) - C(1)$	107.1(0)		110.2(0)	$\Pi(1) = (0) = C(2)$	114.0(0)
$C(5)^* - Ga(1) - C(5)$	122 4(6)	$C(5)^*-Ga(1)-C(1)$	113 5(3)	C(5) - Ga(1) - C(1)	113 5(3)
$C(5)^*-Ga(1)-N(1)$	107.1(3)	C(5) - Ga(1) - N(1)	107.1(3)	C(1) - Ga(1) - N(1)	86.4(3)
$C(4)^* - N(1) - C(4)$	109.0(9)	$C(4)^{*}-N(1)-C(3)$	108.9(5)	C(4) - N(1) - C(3)	108.9(5)
$C(4)^* - N(1) - Ga(1)$	109.1(4)	C(4) - N(1) - Ga(1)	109.1(4)	C(3)-N(1)-Ga(1)	111.7(5)
C(2) - C(1) - Ga(1)	109.8(5)	C(3) - C(2) - C(1)	116.7(7)		
$C(1) = C_{2}(1) = N(9)$	07.0(4)	$C(1)$ $C_{2}(1)$ $C(2)$	110 0(2)	$N(2) = C_{2}(1) = C_{1}(2)$	0.0 1 (9)
C(1) = Ga(1) = N(2) C(1) = Ca(1) = Cl(1)	97.9(4) 121 3(3)	V(1) - Ga(1) - CI(2) V(2) - Ga(1) - CI(1)	119.0(3) 95 $A(3)$	N(2) - Ga(1) - CI(2) CI(2) - Ga(1) - CI(1)	96.1(3) 115.9(2)
C(3) - N(1) - C(5)	111(1)	C(3) - N(1) - C(4)	108(1)	C(5) - N(1) - C(4)	106(1)
C(20) - N(2) - C(16)	115(1)	C(2) - C(1) - Ga(1)	117.3(6)	C(3) - C(2) - C(1)	117.9(9)
N(1) - C(3) - C(2)	117.8(9)				
		Compound 8.C	$C_6H_5CH_3$		
O(1) - Ga(1) - C(1)	105.2(2)	O(1) - Ga(1) - C(1')	106.2(2)	C(3')-N(1')-C(4')	112.9(5)
C(1) = Ga(1) = C(1') C(4') = N(1') = C(5')	122.3(2)	C(3') = N(1') = C(5') $C(1) = C_2(1) = C(1)$	107.9(4)	U(1) - Ga(1) - Cl(1) C(2) - C(1) - P(5)	106.9(2)
C(1) - Ga(1) - C(3)	109.3(3)	C(1) = Ga(1) = CI(1) C(3) = N(1) = C(4)	112.0(5)	C(2) = C(1) = D(3) C(3) = N(1) = C(5)	108.0(4)
C(4) - N(1) - C(5)	109.8(5)	C(2') - C(1') - Ga(1)	118.1(3)	C(2) - C(1) - Ga(1)	118.0(3)
C(3')-C(2')-C(1')	119.0(4)	C(3)-C(2)-C(1)	119.5(4)	N(1')-C(3')-C(2')	118.2(4)
N(1)-C(3)-C(2)	116.8(4)				

stable in air and shows only a slow decomposition when in contact with moisture. Compound **4** was purified by low-temperature recrystallization in toluene (colorless crystals, mp 220–222 °C). A satisfactory elemental analysis was obtained for compound **4**, and the ¹H, ¹¹B, and ¹³C NMR spectral data were consistent with the presence of the bidentate (aminomethyl)-*o*-carboranyl ligand **1** (see Experimental Section).

The molecular structure (Figure 2) of **4** has been determined by X-ray diffraction. Selected interatomic distances and angles are listed in Table 3. The molecule

lies on a crystallographic reflection plane and thus contains a rigorously imposed reflection symmetry. The atoms Ga(1), N(1), and C(3) and the cage atoms C(1), C(2), B(7), and B(8) lie on the symmetry plane. The amine group of the *o*-carboranyl ligand is coordinated to the gallium metal in complex **4**, and the resulting five-membered chelate ring N(1)–Ga(1)–C(1)–C(2)–C(3) is formed. The Ga(1)Cl(1)Cl(1)*C(1) moiety is close to planarity, with gallium 0.509(5) Å above the Cl(1)-Cl(1)*C(1) plane. The angles around gallium do not deviate much from tetrahedral, with the exception of



Figure 2. Molecular structure of Cab^NGaCl₂ (4). The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

the C(1)–Ga(1)–N(1) angle within the five-membered ring, which is 91.9(4)°. This also influences the geometry around the o-carborane cage; the C(2)-C(1)-Ga(1)angle within the five-membered ring is smaller (107.1-(6)°) than the expected 120°. The Ga(1)-N(1) distance in 4 (2.071(9) Å) is similar to that in the corresponding amine-ligated aryl system [o-(Me₂NCH₂)C₆H₄]GaCl₂ (2.071(2) Å).⁷ The Ga(1)–N(1) distance of 2.071(9) Å is in agreement with the corresponding values observed in adducts formed between X₃Ga and NR₃;⁵ all are longer than the sum of the covalent radii for gallium and nitrogen (1.95 Å).⁸ The Ga(1)–C(1) distance (1.96(1)Å) is similar to that in 3 and also lies within the range reported for other organogallium compounds.⁷ The Ga-Cl distance of 2.1434(19) Å is in the range of typical covalent Ga-Cl bond distances (2.10-2.20 Å).⁷

Synthesis of Cab^N**GaMe**₂ (5). The dimethylated compound Cab^NGaMe₂ (5) was obtained as a pure product from the reaction of dimethylgallium chloride with the [(aminomethyl)- σ -carboranyl]lithium compound LiCab^N (2). This compound is also formed as colorless



(7) Isom, H. S.; Cowley, A. H.; Decken, A.; Sissingh, F.; Corbelin, S.; Lagow, R. J. Organometallics **1995**, *14*, 2400.

(8) Bondi, A. J. Phys. Chem. 1964, 68, 441.



Figure 3. Molecular structure of Cab^NGaMe_2 (5). The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

crystals, but they have a significant vapor pressure at room temperature. Complex **5** is stable in an inert-gas environment and shows slow decomposition when in contact with air. It is readily soluble in organic solvents, such as ether, hydrocarbons, and aromatic solvents. The ¹H, ¹¹B, and ¹³C NMR spectra show the expected pattern and shifts.

The molecular structure of **5** has been determined by X-ray diffraction. The structure is given in Figure 3, and selected bond lengths and angles are compiled in Table 3. The molecule lies on a crystallographic reflection plane and thus contains a rigorously imposed reflection symmetry. The atoms Ga(1), N(1), and C(3) and the cage atoms C(1), C(2), B(7), and B(8) lie on the symmetry plane. The geometry of 5 is similarly distorted like that of 4 by intramolecular Ga-N coordination. The GaC₃ moiety is close to planarity with the gallium atom pulled out of the C(1), C(5), and C(5)* plane by 0.397(4) Å. This tetrahedral distortion showing nearly "normal" angles C(5)-Ga(1)-N(1) (107.1(3)°) and C(5)-Ga(1)-C(1) (113.5- $(3)^{\circ}$), and also a significantly different angle C(1)–Ga-(1)-N(1) (86.4(3)°), is caused by the transannular Ga-N interaction. The Ga(1)-N(1) distance (2.168(7) Å) is in agreement with the corresponding values observed in $C_9H_{18}NGa (2.095(2) \text{ Å}), {}^{5b}Me_2Ga(N_4C_6H_{12}) (2.14(2) \text{ Å}), {}^{5c}$ and Me₃GaNMe₃ $(2.20(3) \text{ Å})^{5d}$ but is longer than the sum of the covalent radii for Ga and N (1.95 Å).8 The Ga-(1)-C(1) distance (2.020(8) Å) is slightly longer than the other two Ga-C distances (Ga(1)-C(5) and Ga(1)- $C(5)^*$, 1.956(9) Å). The Ga-C distances of the Me₂Ga group are similar to those found in Me₃Ga in the gas phase.9

⁽⁹⁾ Beagley, B.; Schmidling, D. G.; Steer, I. A. J. Mol. Struct. **1974**, 21, 437.

Reaction of Cab^N**GaCl₂ (4) with LiMe. 5** can be synthesized using a second route. When compound **4** (reaction 4) was reacted with 2 equiv of MeLi reagent, the dimethylated compound Cab^NGaMe₂ (5) was obtained. According to reaction 4, the two chlorine atoms



of compound **4** can be selectively replaced by organic ligands to give the crystalline compound **5**. The NMR spectra of this product were identical with those of **5**. Thus, the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, which confirm the proposed stoichiometry, are presented in Table 1.

Reaction of Cab^NGaCl₂ (4) with Pyridine. To determine whether this four-coordinate gallium compound 4 would exhibit Lewis acidity, the reaction of 4 with pyridine was investigated. Consequently, complex 4 added the base pyridine to yield the adduct Cab^NGaCl₂·NC₅H₅ (6). The adduct 6 was stable to



dissociation at room temperature and thus was fully characterized by elemental analysis, high-resolution mass spectral analysis, and multinuclear NMR spectroscopic studies. The C_s symmetry of **6** in solution is derived from the ¹H, ¹¹B, and ¹³C NMR spectra. The ¹H NMR spectra have signals for compound **6**: one for the unique N(CH₃)₂ proton, one for the NCH₂ group, and one set of signals attributable to the pyridine. The signals for both N(CH₃)₂ and NCH₂ in adduct **6** are at lower field than for compound **4**. This can be explained by a trigonal-bipyramidal geometry with two donor atoms in the axial positions and a fast envelope inversion.

The site of coordination of pyridine to **4** in the solid state was elucidated by an X-ray structural study of **6**. The molecular structure and labeling of the atoms are shown in Figure 4. Interatomic distances and angles are listed in Table 3. The gallium atom of compound **6** has a distorted-trigonal-bipyramidal coordination geometry



Lee et al.

Figure 4. Molecular structure of $Cab^{N}GaCl_{2}\cdot NC_{5}H_{5}$ (6). The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

in which the N donors occupy the axial coordination sites. The central gallium atom has an unusual stereochemistry, as it is essentially a distorted trigonal bipyramid with one very long (and presumably very weak) bond to the nitrogen and four more normal bonds: one to the nitrogen, one to the carbon, and two to the chlorine atoms. The Ga(1)-C(1) distance in 6 lies within the range (1.924(4)-2.080(4) Å) reported for other fivecoordinate organogallium compounds.¹⁰ In contrast, the two Ga–N distances in 6 differ significantly, with the longer Ga(1)-N(1) distance (2.497(9) Å) exceeding the range of previously reported Ga-N bond lengths (2.024-(5)-2.471(4) Å).¹⁰ It may also be noted that the shorter Ga(1)-N(2) bond length (2.083(9) Å) in **6** is slightly shorter than the Ga-N bond lengths found for Ga(N₃)₃. $3py^{11}$ (2.131(4) Å (av)) and Me₂Ga(hfac)·py (2.128 (9) Å).¹² The Ga–Cl bond lengths (Ga(1)-Cl(1) = 2.168(3))Å, Ga(1)-Cl(2) = 2.162(3) Å) are comparable to those of [o-(Me₂NCH₂)C₆H₄]GaCl₂ (2.178 Å (av)).⁷ The N donor atoms occupy the axial sites (N(1)-Ga(1)-N(2)) =175.93°), and the gallium atom is in a trigonal-planar environment formed by two chloro ligands and the C(1)atom. In the base-coordinated gallium chloride containing a C,N-chelating ligand, normally the gallium atom has a trigonal-bipyramidal coordination geometry, as a result of intramolecular coordination. It is anticipated that the o-carboranyl carbon atom and two chloride ligands are at the equatorial sites, while the more electronegative nitrogen atom of o-carboranylamine and the coordinating pyridine reside at axial positions. The angle between the equatorial plane and the leastsquares plane defined by N(1), Ga(1), N(2), and C(1) is

^{(10) (}a) Lee, B.; Pennington, W. T.; Robinson, G. H. Organometallics **1990**, 9, 1709. (b) Cowley, A. H.; Jones, R. A.; Mardones, M. A.; Ruiz, J.; Atwood, J. L.; Bott, S. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1990**, 29, 1150. (c) Kynast, U.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H.; Henderson, M. J.; Raston, C. L. J. Organomet. Chem. **1990**, 384, C1. (d) Onyiriuka, E. C.; Rettig, S. J.; Storr, A.; Trotter, J. Can. J. Chem. **1987**, 65, 782. (e) Rettig, S. J.; Storr, A.; Trotter, J.; Uhrich, K. Can. J. Chem. **1984**, 62, 2783. (f) McPhail, A. T.; Miller, R. W.; Pitt, C. G.; Gupta, G.; Srivastava, S. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1976**, 1657. (g) Rettig, S. J.; Storr, A.; Trotter, J. Can. J. Chem. **1976**, 54, 1278. (h) Rettig, S. J.; Storr, A.; Trotter, J. Can. J. Chem. **1976**, 53, 58.

⁽¹¹⁾ Carmalt, C. J.; Cowley, A. H.; Culp, R. D.; Jones, R. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1996**, 1453.

⁽¹²⁾ Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Gardinier, J. R.; Churchill, M. R.; Toomey, L. M. Organometallics **1998**, *17*, 1101.

Synthesis of (Cab^N)_2GaCl (7). The reaction of 2 equiv of LiCab^N (2) with GaCl₃ afforded $(Cab^N)_2GaCl 7$ in 40% yield (reaction 6). The driving force for this



reaction is the formation of the intramolecular N→Ga coordination in 7, as shown previously for the similar compound 6. The composition of 7 was established by both elemental analysis and high-resolution mass spectral analysis. Furthermore, the spectroscopic data (¹H, ¹¹B, and ¹³C NMR) associated with (Cab^N)₂GaCl (7) are also consistent with its assigned structure. Indeed, the ¹H NMR spectrum consists of two singlets from the NMe₂ resonances (at 1.92 and 2.20 ppm) and an AB pattern for the NCH₂ protons (2.28 and 3.52 ppm (J_{gem}) = 10 Hz)). This result demonstrates that, under these conditions, the NMe₂ and NCH₂ hydrogen atoms are diastereotopic, in agreement with the coordination of at least one NMe₂ ligand to the gallium atom. This points to coordination of the N atoms to Ga resulting in blocking of the inversion at the nitrogen on the NMR time scale, because only in this situation is the N atom in a stable trigonal-bipyramidal array, thereby producing diastereotopic Me groups. Furthermore, the appearance of the diastereotopic NMe₂ proton signals at lower field relative to the chemical shift of these protons in the free ligand HCab^N also provides evidence of Ga-N coordination. This observation is consistent with similar findings for the general intramolecularly coordinated metal complexes of the HAr^N ligand system.¹³ The ¹H NMR spectrum of the compound further suggests the presence of only molecules in the trans conformation in solution, as only one set of lines for the NMe₂ and NCH₂ protons for the two o-carboranylamine groups was observed. If the cis isomer had been present, two sets of lines of equal intensity, one for each type of proton, would have been expected. Since only one pattern is observed for the two o-carboranylamine groups, com-



Figure 5. Molecular structure of $(Cab^N)_2GaCl\cdot H_2O$ (8). The thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

pound **7** must have the stereochemistry shown in reaction 6, which is similar to that found in complex **6**.

It is very likely that the coordination geometry of $(Cab^N)_2GaCl$ (7) parallels that of the pyridine adduct $Cab^NGaCl_2 \cdot NC_5H_5$ (6). As in compound 6, the preferred coordination geometry of the gallium atom in the bis-(*o*-carboranylamine)chloride is trigonal bipyramidal with the two carboranyl carbon atoms and chlorine atom at equatorial positions and the more electronegative nitrogen atoms at axial sites. This type of structure has actually been observed for $(2-Me_2NCH_2C_6H_4)_2InCl^{14}$ in the solid state.

Isolation of (Cab^N)₂**GaCl(H**₂**O) (8).** Crystals of **8** were obtained while recrystallizing compound **7** in toluene over a period of several days. The resulting white crystalline solid was recrystallized from toluene at -20 °C as clear colorless crystals which are not particularly air- or moisture-sensitive. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **8** confirms the presence of a NMe₂ group, showing a broad singlet of intensity 6 at about 1.86 ppm, two NCH₂ resonances at 2.06 and 2.67 ppm, and a less intense Ga·H₂O resonance at 6.86 ppm. Infrared spectroscopic data confirm the presence of the O–H group ($\nu_{O-H} = 3620 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). X-ray structural analysis of **8** reveals the presence of a single water molecule coordinated to the gallium center.

An X-ray structure analysis revealed a distortedtetrahedral coordination at gallium with a donor set comprised of two carbon atoms and a chlorine atom and a coordinating water molecule (see Figure 5). The electron deficiency of the metal is balanced by water coordination. The trigonal-bipyramidal environment of the metal atom in the complex $(Cab^N)_2GaCl$ (7) is considerably tetrahedralized by the addition of water to form the bis(*o*-carboranylamine)chlorogallium water adduct **8**. The short Ga(1)-O(1) distance (1.840(4) Å) is comparable with that observed for the gallium water adduct [(Me₃Si)₃CGaMe(OH)(μ -OH)MeGaC(SiMe₃)₃]· H₂O·2THF (1.895(4) Å)¹⁵ and shorter than in other donor-acceptor complexes such as (Mes)₃Ga·OH₂·2THF

^{(13) (}a) Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Boersma, J.; van Koten, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 413, 43. (b) Oki, M.; Ohira, M. Chem. Lett.
1982, 1267. (c) van Koten, G.; Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Noltes, J. G.; Pontenagel, W. M. G. F.; Kroon, J.; Spek, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 5021. (d) van Koten, G.; Noltes, J. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 5393. (e) van Koten, G.; Noltes, J. G.; Spek, A. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1976, 118, 183.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Khan, M.; Steevensz, R. C.; Tuck, D. G.; Noltes, J. G.; Corfield, P. W. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1980**, *19*, 3407.



(2.047 Å (av)),16 (o-MeC₆H₄)₃Ga·OEt₂ (2.107(6) Å),17 and (C₆H₅CH₂)₃Ga·THF (2.069(7) Å).¹⁸ The Ga-C bond distances (Ga(1)-C(1), 2.012(5) Å; Ga(1)-C(1)', 2.019-(5) Å) in **8** are virtually identical; they are similar to the Ga-C distances in 4-6 and lie within the range (1.924(4)-2.080(4) Å) reported for other organogallium compounds.¹⁰ The Ga(1)-Cl(1) distance is not exceptional, having a value of 2.212(1) Å.⁷ The geometry around the gallium atom is best described as a distorted tetrahedron, with the largest distortions being the interligand angles $C(1)-Ga(1)-C(1)' = 122.3(2)^{\circ}$ and $O(1)-Ga(1)-C(1) = 105.2(2)^{\circ}$. Despite the presence of a sterically unprotected site of the distorted tetrahedron, the compound is rather stable against moisture and air. Both hydrogen atoms of the water molecule are involved in hydrogen bonds, each to a single o-carboranylamine unit. The (HO)H····N(o-carboranylamine) distances of 1.75 Å (H(1a)) and 1.64 Å (H(1b)) and the $H_2O\cdots N(o$ carboranylamine) distances of 2.80 Å (N(1)) and 2.64 Å (N(1')) indicate medium-strong hydrogen bonding.¹⁹ A reasonable reaction sequence for the formation of 8 involves the initial nucleophilic addition of the water molecule to the gallium center of 7, leading to the hexacoordinate intermediate (Cab^N)₂GaCl·H₂O, followed by the rearrangement to 8 (Scheme 1). Thus, in 8, the span of the amine units is not sufficient to allow for chelating coordination at a hexacoordinate gallium center. In coordinating the water molecule, the Ga-N bond in 7 weakens. The weakening of the Ga-NMe₂ bond is ascribed to the coordination of a water molecule to the gallium atom. The two Ga-N bonds can dissociate when the water coordination process, pointed out for 8, takes place. The water coordination prevents the nitrogens from moving closer to the metal center.

(18) Neumuller, B.; Gahlmann, F. Chem. Ber. 1993, 126, 1579.

Conclusions

This report provides the first report of the intramolecularly coordinated gallium complexes containing an o-carboranyl C,N-chelating ligand system. A combination of X-ray crystallographic and spectroscopic studies confirms the nature of these compounds. The X-ray crystallographic study of complexes 3-6 and 8, as described above, provides the first structural data for the [((dimethylamino)methyl)-o-carborane]gallium complexes. In such gallium chloride complexes, the gallium atom may be either tetracoordinate or pentacoordinate, depending on the number (one or two) of C,N-chelating ligands present in the molecule. Moreover, o-carboranyl C,N-chelating ligands can stabilize gallium compounds to such an extent that these compounds can be isolated as well-defined monomeric species. Additionally, it has been shown that internal coordination of the nitrogen atom of the o-carboranyl ligand to the gallium metal center has a significant influence not only on the stability of the starting compounds but also on the products of the base addition reaction for the gallium compounds. This may be the result of the stabilization of the gallium-carbon bond by the chelate effect, the electronic properties of the gallium center, and the strength of the gallium-nitrogen bond. Thus, application of the C,N-chelating o-carboranylamine ligand allowed the synthesis of stable gallium(III) compounds. In this paper we describe (1) the synthesis of some new (o-carboranyl)gallium compounds containing one or two [(dimethylamino)methyl]-o-carborane ligands and (2) the behavior of intramolecularly coordinated gallium complexes toward a Lewis base such as pyridine.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. All manipulations were performed under a dry, oxygen-free nitrogen or argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or in a Vacuum Atmospheres HE-493 drybox. THF was freshly distilled over potassium benzophenone. Ether and toluene were dried and distilled from sodium benzophenone. Dichloromethane and hexane were dried and distilled over CaH2. ¹H, ¹¹B, and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 2000 spectrometer operating at 200.1, 64.2, and 50.3 MHz, respectively. All boron-11 chemical shifts were referenced to $BF_3 \cdot O(C_2H_5)_2$ (0.0 ppm) with a negative sign indicating an upfield shift. All proton and carbon chemical shifts were measured relative to internal residual benzene from the lock solvent (99.5% C₆D₆) and then referenced to Me₄Si (0.00 ppm). IR spectra were recorded on a Biorad FTS-165 spectrophotometer. High- and low-resolution mass spectra were obtained on a VG Micromass 7070H mass spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed with a Carlo Erba Instruments CHNS-O EA1108 analyzer. All melting points were uncorrected. The following starting materials were prepared according to literature procedures. Decaborane and (dimethylamino)-2-propyne were purchased from the Callery Chemical Co. and Aldrich, respectively, and used without purification. HCab^N (1; HCab^N = closo-1-[(dimethylamino)methyl]o-carborane)²⁰ was prepared by the literature method. The starting material GaCl₃ was purchased from Strem Chemical and sublimed under dynamic vacuum prior to each use. Dimethylgallium chloride²¹ was prepared by stoichiometric ligand redistribution reactions in pentane at room temperature and was purified by vacuum sublimation at room temperature.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Schnitter, C.; Roesky, H. W.; Albers, T.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Röpken,

 ⁽¹⁶⁾ Schnitter, C., Roesky, H. W., Albers, T., Schnitter, H. Sch, Ropkel,
 C., Parisini, E.; Sheldrick, G. M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **1977**, *3*, 1783.
 (16) Storre, J.; Klemp, A.; Roesky, H. W.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltem-eyer, M.; Fleischer, R.; Stalke, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 1380.
 (17) Atwood, D. A.; Cowley, A. H.; Jones, R. A. *J. Coord. Chem.* **1992**, 26 69

^{(19) (}a) Emsley, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. **1980**, 9, 91. (b) Jeffery, G. A.; Saenger, W. Hydrogen Bonding in Biological Structures; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, New York, 1991, and references therein. (c) Desiraju, G. R. Acta Crystallogr. 1993, B49, 880.

⁽²⁰⁾ Heying, T. L.; Ager, J. W., Jr.; Clark, S. L.; Mangold, D. J.; Goldstein, H. L.; Hillman, M.; Polak, R. J.; Szymanski, J. W. *Inorg.* Chem. 1963, 2, 1089.

⁽²¹⁾ Armer, B.; Schmidbaur, H. Chem. Ber. 1967, 100, 1521.

Preparation of HCab^N·GaCl₃ (3). HCab^N (1; 0.604 g, 3.0 mmol) was stirred in toluene (60 mL), and GaCl₃ (0.528 g, 3.0 mmol) was added over a period of 10 min. The reaction appeared to be instantaneous, but to ensure complete reaction the solution was stirred for 2 h. The volume of the reaction mixture was reduced to half under vacuum, resulting in the precipitation of a colorless transparent solid. The precipitate was isolated, washed with 10-15 mL of cold pentane, and dried under vacuum. The compound was recrystallized from a toluene solution at -20 °C, resulting in the colorless crystalline compound 3 (0.51 g, 1.35 mmol, 45% yield). Exact mass: calcd for ${}^{11}B_{10}{}^{12}C_5{}^{70}Ga^{14}N^1H_{19}{}^{35}Cl_3$ 377.0769, found 377.0762. Anal. Calcd: C, 15.91; H, 5.07; N, 3.71. Found: C, 15.94; H, 5.10; N, 3.73. Mp: 146-148 °C. IR spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3054 (w), 2720 (w, sh), 2598 (s), 1635 (m), 1472 (m), 1454 (m), 1402 (m), 1370 (m), 1317 (w), 1171 (w), 1123 (w), 1071 (w), 1022 (w), 1002 (w), 971 (w), 930 (w), 724 (w), 612 (w), 473 (w), 435 (w), 414 (w).

Synthesis of Cab^NGaCl₂ (4). To a stirred solution of HCab^N (1; 0.604 g, 3.0 mmol) in 30 mL of hexane, which was cooled to -20 °C, was added 1.6 M n-BuLi (2 mL, 3.2 mmol) via a syringe. The resulting white suspension was stirred at -20 °C for 2 h and then transferred through a cannula to a suspension of GaCl₃ (0.528 g, 3.0 mmol) in 80 mL of diethyl ether at -78 °C. The reaction temperature was maintained at -78 °C for 1 h, following which the reaction mixture was warmed slowly to room temperature. After being stirred for an additional 12 h, the reaction mixture was filtered. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the resulting residue was taken up in a minimum of toluene and then recrystallized from this solution by cooling it to -20 °C. Cab^NGaCl₂ (4) was isolated from the reaction solution in 40% yield (0.41 g, 1.2 mmol). Exact mass: calcd for ¹¹B₁₀¹²C₅⁷⁰Ga¹⁴N¹H₁₈³⁵Cl₂ 341.1003, found 341.1012. Anal. Calcd: C, 17.62; H, 5.32; N, 4.11. Found: C, 17.58; H, 5.22; N, 4.04. Mp: 220-222 °C. IR spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3100 (w), 2990 (w), 2590 (vs), 1499 (w), 1460 (vs), 1455 (vs), 1440 (s, sh), 1430 (m), 1390 (w), 1300 (w), 1250 (w), 1220 (w), 1200 (w), 1155 (m), 1151 (m), 1105 (w), 1095 (s), 1050 (w, sh), 1010 (m), 998 (w), 959 (s), 940 (m), 910 (w), 860 (m), 850 (w, sh), 800 (s), 710 (s), 699 (m), 690 (m), 620 (w), 600 (w), 551 (w), 549 (w), 490 (m).

Synthesis of Cab^NGaMe₂ (5). A solution of Me₂GaCl (0.406 g, 3.0 mmol) in hexane (20 mL) was slowly added to a 50 mL hexane solution of LiCab^N (2; 3.0 mmol, previously prepared from the reaction of HCab N (1) and LiBu $^{n}\!)$ at -78 °C. LiCl precipitated from the solution upon warming the mixture to room temperature. After the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, the solution was decanted and the remaining solids were washed with hexane. The hexane was then removed in vacuo, leaving a white solid, which was purified by recrystallization from toluene at -15 °C. A 53% yield (0.48 g, 1.6 mmol) was obtained. Exact mass: calcd for ¹¹B₁₀¹²C₇⁷⁰Ga¹⁴N¹H₂₄ 301.2095, found 301.2100. Anal. Calcd: C, 28.02; H, 8.06; N, 4.67. Found: C, 28.07; H, 8.10; N, 4.72. Mp: 126-128 °C. IR spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3100 (w), 2990 (w), 2590 (vs), 1499 (w), 1460 (vs), 1455 (vs), 1440 (s, sh), 1430 (m), 1390 (w), 1300 (w), 1250 (w), 1220 (w), 1200 (w), 1155 (m), 1151 (m), 1105 (w), 1095 (s), 1050 (w, sh), 1010 (m), 998 (w), 959 (s), 940 (m), 910 (w), 860 (m), 850 (w, sh), 800 (s), 710 (s), 699 (m), 690 (m), 620 (w), 600 (w), 551 (w), 549 (w), 490 (m).

Reaction of Cab^N**GaCl**₂ (4) with LiMe. A 1.4 M solution of LiMe in diethyl ether (1.6 mL, 2.2 mmol) was added to a solution of 4 (0.68 g, 2.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (30 mL) at -78 °C. The dry ice bath was removed, and the solution was stirred for 3 h. Subsequently, all volatile components were distilled off in vacuo at room temperature. The crude product was dissolved in toluene (40 mL) and the solution separated by filtration. The volume was then reduced to 20 mL, and crystallization at -20 °C gave pure 5 (0.15 g, 0.50 mmol, 25% yield). **Reaction of Cab**^N**GaCl**₂ (4) with Pyridine. A solution of 4 (0.34 g, 1.0 mmol) and pyridine (0.32 mL, 4.0 mmol) in toluene (30 mL) was stirred for 10 min at room temperature and subsequently evaporated to dryness, which left the crude yellow product. Crystallization from toluene (20 mL) at -20 °C gave the pure crystalline form of complex **6** (0.37 g, 0.88 mmol, 88%). Exact mass: calcd for ¹¹B₁₀¹²C₁₀⁷⁰Ga¹⁴N₂¹H₂₃³⁵Cl₂ 420.1425, found 420.1428. Anal. Calcd: C, 28.6; H, 5.52; N, 6.67. Found: C, 28.57; H, 5.48; N, 6.70. Mp: 248–249 °C. IR spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3390 (w, br), 3380 (w, br), 2950 (w), 2587 (vs), 2363 (m), 2360 (w, br), 1717 (w), 1620 (w), 1717 (w), 1620 (m), 1558 (w), 1541 (w), 1475 (m), 1457 (m), 1410 (w), 1100 (m, sh), 1073 (vs), 1000 (m, sh), 750 (w), 745 (w), 728 (w), 728 (w), 780 (m), 760 (m), 614 (s), 458 (vs).

Synthesis of (Cab^N)₂**GaCl (7).** A suspension of freshly prepared LiCab^N (**2**; 3.0 mmol) in toluene (30 mL) was added over 1 h to a suspension of GaCl₃ (0.264 g, 1.5 mmol) in toluene solvent (20 mL) at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature, after which the suspended solid was collected by filtration. The volume of the filtrate was reduced, and when this was allowed to stand at -20 °C for several days, colorless crystals of 7 were formed in 40% yield (0.61 g, 1.2 mmol). Exact mass: calcd for $^{11}B_{20}^{12}C_{10}^{70}Ga^{14}N_2^{1}H_{36}^{35}Cl$ 508.3684, found 508.3690. Anal. Calcd: C, 23.75; H, 7.17; N, 5.54. Found: C, 23.78; H, 7.23; N, 5.60. Mp: 165–167 °C. IR spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 2923 (s), 2853 (s), 2600 (w, br), 2591 (s), 2360 (w), 2348 (w), 1631 (w), 1458 (m), 1384 (m), 1077 (w), 985 (w), 775 (w), 731 (w), 614 (w), 441 (w).

Recrystallization of (Cab^N)_2GaCl(H_2O) (8). Compound **8** was obtained while recrystallizing **7** in toluene over a period of several days. Anal. Calcd: C, 22.93; H, 7.31; N, 5.35. Found: C, 22.98; H, 7.38; N, 5.40. Mp: 276 °C. IR spectrum (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3620 (br), 2990 (w), 2880 (w), 2610 (m, sh), 2595 (vs), 2390 (m), 1710 (w), 1690 (w), 1560 (w), 1505 (w), 1409 (w), 1495 (m, sh), 1490 (m), 1450 (w), 1345 (w), 1250 (w), 1180 (w), 1160 (w), 1150 (w), 1078 (m), 1020 (w), 996 (w), 948 (w), 855 (w), 850 (w), 795 (w), 748 (m), 700 (w), 660 (w). 580 (w), 535 (w), 515 (w), 470 (w), 420 (m).

X-ray Crystallography. Details of the crystal data and a summary of intensity data collection parameters for 3-6 and 8 are given in Table 1. Crystals of 3-6 and 8 were grown from toluene solutions stored at -20 °C. Crystals of 3-6 and 8 were mounted in thin-walled glass capillaries and sealed under argon. The data sets of 3 and 8 were collected on a Rigaku diffractometer with an area detector at a temperature of 195 K, and an Enraf CAD4 automated diffractometer was used for the collection of data for compounds 4-6. Mo K α radiation $(\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å})$ was used for all structures. Each structure was solved by the application of direct methods using the SHELXS-96 program^{22a} and least-squares refinement using SHELXL-97.^{22b} All non-hydrogen atoms in compounds 3-6 and 8. C₆H₅CH₃ were refined anisotropically. The coordinates of hydroxyl hydrogens of 8·C₆H₅CH₃ were refined free, with the OH distance being restrained to a standard value. All other hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (Grant No. 971-0306-048-2 and 98-0300-08-01-3) for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: Tables of bond distances and angles, atomic coordinates, and thermal parameters for compounds 3-6 and $8\cdot C_6H_5CH_3$. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OM981041V

^{(22) (}a) Sheldrick, G. M. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1990**, *A46*, 467. (b) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.