Synthesis and Structure of a Novel Lithium Gallosiloxane Containing a Ga₄Si₄O₈ Macrocycle Analogous to the S8R Building Unit of Zeolites[†]

Ramaswamy Murugavel,* Mrinalini G. Walawalkar, Ganesan Prabusankar, and Paul Davis

> Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology-Bombay, Powai, Mumbai-400 076, India

> > Received January 26, 2001

Summary: The reaction of LiGaMe₄ with diphenysilanediol in THF at 50 °C leads to the formation of the title gallosiloxane Li₂(Li(THF)₂)₂[Ph₂SiO₂GaMe₂]₂[Ph₂- $SiO_2GaMe(OH)]_2$ ·2THF (1), which is made up of a central tetraanionic Ga₄Si₄O₈ 16-membered macrocyclic core. The cavity that is created by this tetraanionic gallosiloxane ring and also the presence of two Ga–OH groups pointing toward the center of the macrocyclic ring facilitate the entrapment of two lithium cations in the core through two Li-O(siloxide) and two Li-OH(Ga) linkages. The other two lithium ions are located at the periphery and are bound to the gallosiloxane ring through the Li–O(Si) linkages. Compound 1 represents the first organic-soluble molecular model compound for the S8R secondary building unit of several gallo- and aluminosilicates.

Introduction

Aluminosilicates are ubiquitous in nature, being constituents of several minerals. They have also been a well-investigated class of compounds over the last several decades, owing to their interesting physical and chemical properties. In contrast, only recently has there been a sudden upsurge in the synthesis and study of gallosilicates in view of their usefulness in catalytic conversions.¹⁻³ Studies on gallium- and indium-containing silicates also warrant further attention in light of their recently reported utility in the catalytic reduction of toxic NO_x gases,⁴ a process used in cleaning up exhaust gases of combustion engines.5

The utility of soluble metallasiloxanes as model compounds for open-framework silicates and also as precursors for the preparation of mixed-metal oxide materials, e.g. SiO₂-Al₂O₃, has been well documented in recent times.^{6,7} In this context, while a number of model compounds containing Al-O-Si⁸ or Al-O-P⁹ linkages have been synthesized in recent times, there have only been a few examples of well-characterized molecular siloxanes with Ga-O-Si linkages in the literature.^{6,7} In fact, there are only two reports in the literature describing single-crystal X-ray structures of gallosiloxanes.^{10,11} Gallosiloxanes were reported in the literature as early in 1962 by Schmidbaur et al.^{7,12} The dimeric gallosiloxane [Ga(OSiMe₃)₃]₂ (A) was synthesized from a direct reaction between Me₃SiONa and GaCl₃.¹² The gallosiloxane A was further used as the starting material along with $MOSiMe_3$ (M = Li, Na, K) for the synthesis of the first anionic molecular gallosilicates M[Ga(OSiMe₃)₄] (**B**) (Chart 1) through a nucleophilic addition reaction.¹³ On the basis of IR studies it has been established that the gallosiloxane units exist as discrete anions in the case of Na and K derivatives. Similarly, Schmidbaur et al. have also prepared the anionic gallosiloxane [Me₄Sb][Ga(OSiMe₃)₄] (C; Chart 1) from **A** and Me₄Sb–OSiMe₃.¹⁴ Although the properties of C suggested that the bonding in this molecule could be more covalent,14 a subsequent crystal structure

Dedicated to Dr. J. P. Mittal on the occasion of his 60th birthday. To whom correspondence should be addressed. Phone: +(22) 576 7163. Fax: +(22) 572 3480. E-mail: rmv@chem.iitb.ac.in.

⁽¹⁾ Lee, Y.; Kim, S.-J.; Wu, G.; Parise, J. B. Chem. Mater. 1999, 11,

^{(2) (}a) Choudhary, V. R.; Kinage, A. K.; Choudhary, T. V. *Science* **1997**, *275*, 1286. (b) Choudhary, V. R.; Kinage, A. K.; Sivadinarayana, C.; Devadas, P.; Sansare, S. D.; Guisnet, M. *J. Catal.* **1996**, *158*, 34. (c) Choudhary, V. R.; Jana, S. K.; Kiran, B. P. *Catal. Lett.* **1999**, *59*, 217

^{(3) (}a) Hölderich, W.; Hesse, M.; Naeumann, F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, *27*, 226. (b) Inui, T.; Makino, Y.; Okazumi, F.; Miyamoto, A. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1986**, 571. (c) Giannetto, G.; Montes, A.; Gnep, A. S.; Florentino, A.; Cartraud, P.; Guisnet, M. *J. Catal.* **1993**, *145*, 86.

^{(4) (}a) Kikuchi, E.; Ogura, M.; Terasaki, I.; Goto, Y. *J. Catal.* **1996**, *161*, 465. (b) Ogura, M.; Hayashi, M.; Kikuchi, E. *Catal. Today* **1998**, 45, 139; **1998**, 42, 159. (c) Ogura, M.; Hiromoto, S.; Kikuchi, E. *Chem. Lett.* **1995**, *12*, 1135.

⁽⁵⁾ Parvulescu, V. I.; Grange, P.; Delmon, B. Catal. Today 1998, 46, 233.

^{(6) (}a) Murugavel, R.; Chandrasekhar, V.; Roesky, H. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 1996, 29, 183. (b) Murugavel, R.; Voigt, A.; Walawalkar, M. G.; Roesky, H. W. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 2205. (c) Feher, F. J.; Budzi-T. D. Chem. Mater. 1992, 4, 1290.

⁽⁷⁾ For an early review in the area of main-group metallasiloxanes derived from monosilanols, see: Schmidbaur, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1965, 3, 201

^{(8) (}a) Klemp, A.; Hatop, H.; Roesky, H. W.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, *38*, 5832. (b) Montero, M. L.; Uson, Noltemeyer, M. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 5832. (b) Montero, M. L.; Uson,
I.; Roesky, H. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 2103. (c)
Montero, M. L.; Voigt, A.; Teichert, M.; Uson, I.; Roesky, H. W. Angew.
Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2504. (f) Veith, M.; Jarczyk, M.; Huch,
V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 117. (g) Veith, M.; Jarczyk,
M.; Huch, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1998, 37, 105.
(9) (a) Walawalkar, M. G.; Roesky, H. W.; Murugavel, R. Acc. Chem.
Res. 1999, 32, 117. (b) Yang, Y.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer, H.-G.;
Pinkas, J.; Roesky, H. W. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 3609. (c)
Yang, Y.; Walawalkar, M. G.; Pinkas, J.; Roesky, H. W.; Schmidt, H.-G.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Feher, F. J.; Budzichowski, T. A.; Ziller, J. W. Inorg. Chem. 1997. 36. 4082.

⁽¹¹⁾ Voigt, A.; Murugavel, R.; Parisini, E.; Roesky, H. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1996**, 35, 748.

^{(12) (}a) Schmidbaur, H.; Schmidt, M. Angew. Chem. 1962, 74, 328.
(b) Schmidbaur, H. Chem. Ber. 1963, 96, 2696.
(13) (a) Schmidbaur, H.; Schmidt, M. Angew. Chem. 1962, 74, 589.
(b) Schmidbaur, H. Chem. Ber. 1964, 97, 459.

Chart 1. Anionic Gallosiloxanes



determination of the aluminum analogue [Me₄Sb][Al-(OSiMe₃)₄] has shown that even the tetramethylstibonium derivatives are ionic in nature.¹⁵ More recently, Roesky et al. and Feher et al. have investigated the possibilities of using the silanetriols [RSi(OH)₃] and trisilanols [R₇Si₇O₉(OH)₃] (oligomeric silsesquioxanes) as precursors and synthesized a few interesting polyhedral gallosiloxanes, including anionic cubic gallosiloxanes **D** and **E** (Chart 1).¹⁰ However, to date, only one anionic gallosiloxane molecule, viz. **E**, has been structurally characterized using X-ray crystallography.

Moreover, apart from the well-documented use of monosilanols $[R_3Si(OH)]$,⁷ silanetriols $[RSi(OH)_3]$,^{6a,b} and oligomeric silasesquioxanes $[R_7Si_7O_9(OH)_3]^{6c-e}$ as starting materials for the synthesis of neutral and anionic gallosiloxanes, the ability of the silanediol $[Ph_2-Si(OH)_2]^{16}$ to serve as a synthon for their preparation has not been investigated, despite its well-proven utility in the synthesis of cyclic and cage metallasiloxanes.^{6d,e,17} In this contribution we report on the synthesis of a new gallosiloxane derived from diphenylsilanediol, which apart from representing the only polyanionic gallosiloxane to be characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies also serves as the first molecular

(18) Szostak, R. *Molecular Sieves: Principles of Synthesis and Identification*; Van Nostrand Reinhold: New York, 1989.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of 1



model for the single-8-ring (S8R) secondary building unit of zeolites.¹⁸

Results and Discussion

Our initial attempts to synthesize molecular gallosiloxanes from diphenylsilanediol and commercially available GaR₃ precursors did not yield any characterizable products. However, the use of the non-Lewis-acidic ate complex [LiGaMe₄]¹⁹ in place of simple trialkyls leads to the clean formation of the analytically pure title lithium gallosiloxane Li₂(Li(THF)₂)₂[Ph₂SiO₂GaMe₂]₂-[Ph₂SiO₂GaMe(OH)]₂·2THF (1) in 80% yield (Scheme 1). The formation of 1 could have proceeded via the allalkyl intermediate Li₄[Ph₂SiO₂GaMe₂]₄ (F), which on reaction with the small traces of water²⁰ present in the reaction medium yields the final product as shown in Scheme 1. Siloxane 1 has been characterized by elemental analysis and IR, ¹H, ⁷Li, and ²⁹Si NMR spectroscopic studies.

Because of the difficulties in deducing the molecular structure of **1** from the spectroscopic data (vide infra), the molecular structure of the reaction product has been unambiguously established by an X-ray diffraction study (Figure 1). There are several interesting features in the structure of **1**. Although at first sight the basic structural unit in molecule 1 may look like a dianionic gallosiloxane in which a molecular (LiOH)₂ dimeric unit is trapped inside, 21-23 a closer examination of the structure in terms of the metric parameters (Table 1) reveal that the molecule is based on a tetraanionic gallosiloxane core which is built around a Ga4Si4O8 16membered ring system. The cavity, which is created by this tetraanionic gallosiloxane core, is sufficient enough to trap two lithium ions (Li2 and Li2') inside the core, through two Li-O(siloxide) and two Li-OH(Ga) link-

^{(14) (}a) Schmidbaur, H. Angew. Chem. **1963**, 75, 137. (b) Schmidbaur, H. Chem. Ber. **1964**, 97, 842.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Wheatley, P. J. J. Chem. Soc. **1963**, 3200

⁽¹⁶⁾ Harris, G. I. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 5978.

⁽¹⁷⁾ For the use of diphenylsilanediol for the synthesis of metallosiloxanes with other metal ions, see: (a) Lorenz, V.; Fischer, A.; Jacob, K.; Brueser, W.; Gelbrich, T.; Jones, P. G.; Edelmann, F. T. Chem. Commun. 1998, 2217. (b) Motevalli, M.; Shah, D.; Shah, S. A. A.; Sullivan, A. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 2427. (c) Motevalli, M.; Shah, D.; Shah, S. A. A.; Sullivan, A. C. Organometallics 1994, 13, 4109. (d) Gosink, H.-G.; Roesky, H. W.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer, M.; Irmer, E.; Herbst-Irmer, R. Organometallics 1994, 13, 3420. (e) Murugavel, R.; Shete, V. S.; Baheti, K.; Davis, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 625, 195.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Hoffmann, K.; Weiss, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 37, 1.

⁽²⁰⁾ Formann, R., Weiss, E. S. Organomer, Chin. 1012, 57, 17 (20) For a recent account on the role of water in group 13 organometallic chemistry, see: Roesky, H. W.; Walawalkar, M. G.; Murugavel, R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 201.

⁽²¹⁾ The incorporation of inorganic molecules such as Li_2O inside a main-group or a transition-metal cluster unit²² (or even inside an organic cluster unit)²³ has been very widely observed. However, molecule **1** does not belong to any of these types.

^{(22) (}a) Driess, M.; Hoffmanns, U.; Martin, S.; Merz, K.; Pritzkow,
H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2733. (b) Walawalkar, M. G.;
Murugavel, R.; Voigt, A.; Roesky, H. W.; Schmidt, H.-G. J. Am. Chem.
Soc. 1997, 119, 4656. (c) Künzel, A.; Roesky, H. W.; Noltemeyer, M.;
Schmidt, H.-G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 2145.

^{(23) (}a) Gais, H. J.; Vollhardt, J.; Günther, H.; Moskau, D.; Lindner, H. J.; Braun, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 978. (b) Chivers, T.; Downard, A.; Yap, G. P. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1993**, 2603 and references therein.



Figure 1. Thermal ellipsoid plot of the molecular structure of **1** at the 50% probability level.

Table 1.	Selected	Structura	l Parameters f	for 1
Table I.	Selected	Structura	Parameters	or I

Distances (Å)						
1.864(3)	Li2-08	2.005(8)				
1.874(3)	Li2-O3'	2.027(8)				
1.880(3)	Li2-08'	2.061(8)				
1.896(3)	Si1-01	1.625(3)				
1.903(3)	Si1-O2	1.606(3)				
1.952(8)	Si2-O3'	1.608(3)				
1.957(9)	Si2-O4	1.623(3)				
1.997(8)						
Angles (deg)						
138.8(3)	Si2-04-Ga1	129.1(2)				
90.5(3)	Si2-O4-Li1	134.8(3)				
139.3(2)	Ga1-04-Li1	91.0(3)				
124.5(3)	Ga1-O8-Li2	118.7(3)				
91.3(3)	Ga1-O8-Li2'	115.7(2)				
143.8(2)	Li2-08-Li2'	87.9(3)				
122.0(3)	08-Li2-08'	92.1(3)				
90.6(2)						
	Distan 1.864(3) 1.874(3) 1.880(3) 1.996(3) 1.903(3) 1.952(8) 1.957(9) 1.997(8) Angle 138.8(3) 90.5(3) 139.3(2) 124.5(3) 91.3(3) 143.8(2) 122.0(3) 90.6(2)	$\begin{array}{c c} \text{Distances} (\text{\AA}) \\ 1.864(3) & \text{Li2}-08 \\ 1.874(3) & \text{Li2}-03' \\ 1.880(3) & \text{Li2}-08' \\ 1.896(3) & \text{Si1}-01 \\ 1.903(3) & \text{Si1}-02 \\ 1.952(8) & \text{Si2}-03' \\ 1.957(9) & \text{Si2}-04 \\ 1.997(8) \\ \hline \\ & \text{Angles} (\text{deg}) \\ 138.8(3) & \text{Si2}-04-\text{Ga1} \\ 90.5(3) & \text{Si2}-04-\text{Li1} \\ 139.3(2) & \text{Ga1}-04-\text{Li1} \\ 124.5(3) & \text{Ga1}-08-\text{Li2'} \\ 91.3(3) & \text{Ga1}-08-\text{Li2'} \\ 143.8(2) & \text{Li2}-08' \\ 122.0(3) & 08-\text{Li2}-08' \\ 90.6(2) \\ \hline \end{array}$				

ages. The other two lithium ions that are required for the charge balance in the molecule (viz. Li1 and Li1') are located at the periphery and are bound to the gallosiloxane ring through the Li-O(Si) linkages. Two solvent THF molecules additionally coordinate each of the latter lithium ions. Further, there is also a THF solvent molecule of crystallization in each asymmetric part of the unit cell.

The molecule has a 2-fold axis of symmetry. All four silicon atoms in 1 are in a similar chemical environment. However, there are two distinctly different types of gallium atoms. While each of the gallium atoms of the first type (Ga2 and Ga2') are surrounded by two siloxy oxygen atoms and two methyl groups, the gallium atoms of the second type (Ga1 and Ga1') are bound to two siloxy oxygen atoms, one methyl group, and one hydroxyl group. The Ga-O distances involving the former type of gallium atoms (Ga2) are somewhat longer (average 1.900(3) Å) than the Ga–O distances involving Ga1 (average 1.873(3) Å). In general, the observed Ga-O(Si) distances in 1 are, however, significantly longer than the corresponding distances in the only other structurally characterized monoanionic gallosiloxane E (average 1.806(5) Å). There are also two types of Si-O

distances at each silicon in 1. For example, the Si-O(GaMe₂) bonds (average 1.607(3) Å) are shorter than the Si-O(GaMe(OH)) bonds (average 1.624(3) Å).

The Li-O distances associated with Li2 (average 2.023(8) Å) are somewhat longer than the corresponding distances of Li1–O(Si) bonds (average 1.955(9) Å). Further, the longer Li2–O8 (or Li2–O8') distances in 1 compared to the shorter Li–O distances found in the crystal structure of LiOH·H₂O (1.95 Å)²⁴ rule out a (LiOH)₂ dimeric unit formulation for the two lithium ions within the cavity.

Another striking structural feature of this molecule is its resemblance to the S8R building units of zeolites.¹⁸ Although the recent work of Roesky et al. on molecular siloxanes and phosphonates has produced a number of model compounds for the secondary building blocks (SBU)¹⁸ of open-framework silicates and phosphates (notably compounds with S4R, D4R, D6R, and C6R building blocks),^{6a,9} there are no known examples of siloxane or phosphonate molecules that display a zeolite S8R or D8R (in general, a 8-ring) structure in the literature. The gallosiloxane molecule 1, with a 16membered $Si_4Ga_4O_8$ macrocyclic core (Figures 2 and 3), thus represents the first molecular model compound to be synthesized with a silicate S8R secondary building unit as the basic structural motif. In this context, it should be noted that while several aluminosilicates and -phosphates primarily containing 8-ring channels have been known for a fairly long time,²⁵ gallosilicates such as gallosilicate-ABW and gallosilicate-ANA with 8-ring channels were only recently reported.^{26,27} It is also worth

⁽²⁴⁾ Allcock, N. W. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B. 1971, 27, 1682.

 ^{(25) (}a) Barrer, R. M.; White, E. A. D. J. Chem. Soc. 1951, 1267. (b)
 Ferraris, G.; Jones, D. W.; Yerkess, J. Z. Kristallogr. 1972, 135, 240. (c) Simmen, A.; McCusker, L. B.; Baerlocher, Ch.; Meier, W. M. Zeolites **1991**, *11*, 654. (d) Mcguire, N. K.; Bateman, C. A.; Blackwell, C. S.; Wilson, S. T.; Kirchner, R. M. Zeolites 1995, 15, 460. (26) Newsam, J. M. J. Phys. Chem. 1988, 92, 445.

⁽²⁷⁾ Yelon, W. B.; Xie, D.; Newsam, J. M.; Dunn, J. Zeolites 1990, 10, 553.



Figure 2. Core structure of 1 showing the resemblance of the Ga₄Si₄O₈ ring to S8R in zeolites.



Figure 3. Diagram depicting the nonplanar Ga₄Si₄O₈ ring in **1**.

noting that the 16-membered siloxane ring in **1** adopts an interesting nonplanar conformation, as shown in Figure 3.

The IR spectrum of **1** shows a strong broad absorption at 3406 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the OH absorption of the Ga-OH moiety, which also bridges two Li⁺ cations present in the cavity. The ¹H, ⁷Li, and ²⁹Si NMR spectra of analytically pure single crystals of 1, initially recorded in CDCl₃, showed the presence of more than one species. For example, there were 7 resonances in the region -0.2to -0.8 ppm for the Ga-CH₃ protons. Similarly, despite the high symmetry of 1, 10²⁹Si NMR signals were observed. The change of solvent to $THF-d_8$ also did not alter the observed spectral pattern (see Experimental Section). However, cooling this THF- d_8 solution deposits the crystals of 1 almost quantitatively in the NMR tube. Hence, it appears that there is some dynamic process involving the movement of the Li cations in solution (probably the loosely bound lithium cations which are inside the cavity), causing some skeletal rearrangement. The nature of this process is unclear, and hence the NMR spectroscopic studies are not very useful in deducing a solution structure of the siloxane 1.

In summary, it has been shown that the change of metal source from neutral GaMe₃ to the ate complex [LiGaMe₄] allows the isolation of the unusual gallosiloxane 1. Our further studies in this area are aimed

at not only expanding this methodology for the preparation of other bimetallic heterosiloxanes which incorporate other alkali-metal and alkaline-earth-metal ions, but also synthesizing molecular silicates with larger ring sizes which can model zeolites with larger pores (e.g., cloverite).²⁸ Furthermore, it would also be interesting to study the ability of 1 and related molecules as homogeneous catalysts for the organic transformations catalyzed by gallosilicates.¹⁻³

Experimental Section

General Considerations. All the experimental manipulations were carried out in a dry prepurified nitrogen atmosphere using Schlenk techniques excluding moisture and air. Solvents were purified by conventional procedures and were freshly distilled prior to use. Unless otherwise stated, reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used as received. LiGaMe₄ was prepared by starting from GaMe₃ and MeLi using the procedure as described earlier.¹⁹ The IR spectrum was recorded in Nujol mulls between CsI pellets over the range 4000-400 cm⁻¹ on a Nicolet Impact-400 FT-IR spectrophotometer. The 1H, 7Li, and 29Si NMR spectra were recorded on either a Bruker AM 200 or a Bruker AS 400 instrument. C, H, and N analyses were carried out on a Carlo Eraba 1106 microanalyzer.

Synthesis of 1. To a solution of LiGaMe₄ (1 mmol, 137 mg) in 30 mL of dry THF at 0 °C, the diphenylsilanediol (1 mmol, 216 mg) in THF (15 mL) was added slowly. After the addition, the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and subsequently stirred at 50 °C for 30 min. The reaction mixture was concentrated to half of its volume and left for crystallization at room temperature. Large colorless single crystals of 1 formed after 24 h (344 mg, 80%). Anal. Calcd for C78H108Ga4-Li₄O₁₆Si₄ (1720.64): C, 54.45; H, 6.33. Found: C, 53.28; H 6.05. IR (cm⁻¹; Nujol): 3405 (br, Li-OH), 1429 s, 1303 w, 1262 w, 1195 m, 1116 s, 1048 s, 966 vs, 911 vs, 742 s, 702 s. ¹H NMR (THF-d₈, 200 MHz): δ -0.78, -0.56, -0.49, -0.45, -0.35 (s, GaCH₃), 1.75 (m, OCH₂CH₂), 3.63 (m, OCH₂), 7.16–7.66 (m, C₆H₅). ⁷Li NMR (THF- d_8 , 97.2 MHz): δ 0.67 (s, br). ²⁹Si NMR (THF- d_8 , 99.4 MHz): δ -35.1, -35.4, -37.5, -38.2, -39.4, -42.1.

X-ray Structure Determination of 1. Single crystals of 1 suitable for X-ray structural analysis were directly obtained from the reaction mixture at room temperature. A suitable crystal was mounted on a Siemens STOE AED2 four-circle diffractometer for cell parameter determinations and intensity data collection. The cell parameters were derived from 40 wellcentered reflections chosen over a wide 2θ range. The intensity data obtained were corrected for absorption effects using ψ -scan data (maximum and minimum transmission factors are 0.316 and 0.205). The structure solution was achieved by direct methods as implemented in SHELXS-97.29 The final refinement of the structure was carried using full least-squares methods on F^2 using SHELXL-97.³⁰

Crystal data: $C_{78}H_{108}Ga_4Li_4O_{16}Si_4$, $M_r = 1720.64$, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, a = 15.517(3) Å, b = 12.125(2) Å, c = 22.543(4) Å, $\beta = 94.41(3)^\circ$, V = 4229(2) Å³, $D_c = 1.351$ Mg/m³, Z = 2, F(000) = 1792, size $0.6 \times 0.4 \times 0.2$ mm, $\lambda = 0.710$ 73 Å, $\mu =$ 1.378 mm^{-1} , total/unique reflections $9883/7335 \ (R_{\text{int}} = 0.1112)$, T = 200(2) K, θ range $3.5-25.0^{\circ}$, final $R(I > 2\sigma(I))$ R1 = 0.061, wR2 = 0.157, R (all data) R1 = 0.077, wR2 = 0.176.

Acknowledgment. This work was generously supported by the DST, New Delhi (Grant No. SP/S1/F19/ 98), and the DAE, Bombay (Young Scientist Award to R.M.; Grant No. 99/20/2/R&D-II). We thank one of the reviewers for useful comments, which were very helpful in reinterpreting the structural data of **1**.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray data (including tables of experimental details, atomic coordinates, all bond lengths and angles, and anisotropic thermal parameters) for compound 1. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OM0100636

⁽²⁸⁾ Estermann, M.; McCusker, L. B.; Baerlocher, Ch.; Merrouche, A.; Kessler, H. Nature 1991, 352, 320.

⁽²⁹⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS-97, Program for Structure Solution;

 ⁽³⁰⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97, Program for Structure Refinement; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.