Synthesis, Reactivity, and Metal Complexes of Fluorous Triarylphosphines of the Formula $P(p-C_6H_4(CH_2)_3(CF_2)_{n-1}CF_3)_3$ (n = 6, 8, 10)

Tibor Soós,[†] Byron L. Bennett,[‡] Drew Rutherford,[‡] Luis P. Barthel-Rosa,[‡] and J. A. Gladysz^{*,†}

Institut für Organische Chemie, Friedrich-Alexander Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Henkestrasse 42, 91054 Erlangen, Germany, and Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Received March 12, 2001

Reactions of *p*-BrC₆H₄CH=O with Wittig reagents derived from [Ph₃PCH₂CH₂R_{fn}]⁺I⁻ (R_{fn} = (CF₂)_{n-1}CF₃; n = 6 (**6a**), 8 (**6b**), 10 (**6c**)) give *p*-BrC₆H₄CH=CHCH₂R_{fn} (86–93%), which are treated with H₂ and Wilkinson's catalyst to yield *p*-BrC₆H₄(CH₂)₃R_{fn} (91–94%). Reactions with *n*-BuLi and PCl₃ (0.33 equiv) give, after workup, mixtures of the title compounds (**9a**–**c**) and the corresponding phosphine oxides (**10a**–**c**). Treatment with H₂O₂ gives pure **10** (**a/b/c** 88/57/24%), which are reduced with Cl₃SiH/Et₃N to **9** (**a/b/c** 69/82/43%). Fluorous phase affinities increase with perfluoroalkyl chain length, as quantified by CF₃C₆F₁₁/toluene partition coefficients (**9a**, 19.5:80.5; **9b**, 66.6:33.4). Reaction of **9b**, [Ir(COD)(μ -Cl)]₂, and CO gives *trans*-Ir(CO)(Cl)[P(*p*-C₆H₄(CH₂)₃R_{f8})₃]₂ (76%). The IR ν_{CO} value is only slightly greater than that of Vaska's complex (1958 vs 1952 cm⁻¹), indicating nearly negligible inductive effects of the perfluoroalkyl groups. Reaction of **9b** and [Rh(COD)(μ -Cl)]₂ yields Rh[P(*p*-C₆H₄(CH₂)₃R_{f8})₃]₂(μ -Cl)]₂ and **9b** in solution, and catalyzes the hydrogenation of alkenes under both biphasic (CF₃C₆F₁₁/toluene) and monophasic (CF₃C₆H₅) conditions.

Introduction

The development of catalysts that have high affinities for "fluorous" phases has proceeded rapidly since Horváth and Rábai described the concept and successful application of "fluorous biphase catalysis" in 1994.^{1,2} This technique makes use of (1) the temperaturedependent miscibility of organic solvents with perfluorocarbons, perfluoroethers, or perfluoroamines,³ and (2) "pony tails" of the formula $(CH_2)_m(CF_2)_{n-1}CF_3$ (abbreviated $(CH_2)_mR_{fn}$), which when added to catalysts in sufficient numbers provide exceptional degrees of fluorous phase immobilization. Reactions can be conducted in mixtures of organic and fluorous solvents under monophasic conditions at higher temperatures, and the products (which normally have much greater affinities for the organic solvent) separated from the fluorous catalyst under biphasic conditions at lower temperatures. The recovered catalyst solution is then directly reused.

Most of the fluorous metal catalysts developed to date feature fluorous phosphines.^{1,4–7} This has in turn

[†] Friedrich-Alexander Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg.

[‡] University of Utah.

^{(1) (}a) Horváth, I. T.; Rábai, J. *Science* **1994**, *266*, 72–75. (b) Horváth, I. T.; Kiss, G.; Cook, R. A.; Bond, J. E.; Stevens, P. A.; Rábai, J.; Mozeleski, E. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 3133–3143. (c) Horváth, I. T. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1998**, *31*, 641–650.

⁽²⁾ Review literature since 1999: (a) de Wolf, E.; van Koten, G.;
Deelman, B.-J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1999, 28, 37-41. (b) Fish, R. H. Chem. Eur. J. 1999, 5, 1677-1680. (c) Cavazzini, M.; Montanari, F.; Pozzi,
G.; Quici, S. J. Fluorine Chem. 1999, 94, 183-193. (d) Diederichsen,
U. Nachr. Chem.-Tech. Lab. 1999, 47, 805-809. (e) Hope, E. G.; Stuart,
A. M. J. Fluorine Chem. 1999, 100, 75-83. (f) Hope, E. G.; Stuart,
A. M. In Advanced Inorganic Fluorides; Nakajima, T., Žemva, B., Tressaud, A., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2000; Chapter 13, pp 403-436.
(g) Endres, A.; Maas, G. Chem. Unserer Zeit 2000, 34, 382-393.

⁽³⁾ Survey of practical considerations and underlying physical principles: Barthel-Rosa, L. P.; Gladysz, J. A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *190–192*, 587–605.

⁽⁴⁾ Survey of fluorous phosphines: Bhattacharyya, P.; Croxtall, B.; Fawcett, J.; Fawcett, J.; Gudmunsen, D.; Hope, E. G.; Kemmitt, R. D. W.; Paige, D. R.; Russell, D. R.; Stuart, A. M.; Wood, D. R. W. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2000**, *101*, 247–255.

^{(5) (}a) Rutherford, D.; Juliette, J. J. J.; Rocaboy, C.; Horváth, I. T.; Gladysz, J. A. *Catal. Today* **1998**, *42*, 381–388. (b) Juliette, J. J. J.; Rutherford, D.; Horváth, I. T.; Gladysz, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 2696–2704. (c) Dinh, L. V.; Gladysz, J. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 8995–8998.

^{(6) (}a) Betzemeier, B.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.
1997, 36, 2623-2624; Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 2736-2738. (b) Kling, R.; Sinou, D.; Pozzi, G.; Choplin, A.; Quignard, F.; Busch, S.; Kainz, S.; Koch, D.; Leitner, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 9439-9442. (c)
Haar, C. M.; Huang, J.; Nolan, S. P.; Petersen, J. L. Organometallics
1998, 17, 5018-5024. (d) Smith, D. C., Jr.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 5277-5281. (e) Hope, E. G.; Kemmitt, R. D.
W.; Paige, D. R.; Stuart, A. M. J. Fluorine Chem. 1999, 99, 197-200.
(f) Richter, B.; van Koten, G.; Deelman, B.-J. J. Mol. Catal. A 1999, 145, 317-321. (g) Richter, B.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G.; Deelman, B.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 3945-3951. (h) Schneider, S.; Bannwarth, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4142-4145; Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 4293-4296. (i) Grigg, R.; York, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 7255-7258. (j) Zhang, Q.; Luo, Z.; Curran, D. P. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 8866-8873. (k) Darses, S.; Pucheault, M.; Genet, J.-P. Eur, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 1121-1128.

<sup>P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 1121–1128.
(7) Metal complexes of fluorous or related phosphines applied in nonfluorous catalysis: (a) Kainz, S.; Koch, D.; Baumann, W.; Leitner, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 36, 11628-1630; Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 1699–1701. (b) Koch, D.; Leitner, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 13398–13404. (c) Caroll, M. A.; Holmes, A. B. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1395–1396. (d) Morita, D. K.; Pesiri, D. R.; David, S. A.; Glaze, W. H.; Tumas, W. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1397–1398. (e) Palo, D. R.; Erkey, C. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1999, 38, 3786–3792. (f) Kainz, S.; Brinkmann, A.; Leitner, W.; Pfaltz, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6421–6429. (g) White, S.; Bennett, B. L.; Roddick, D. M. Organome-</sup>



 $P \xrightarrow{(CH_2)_m R_{fn}} P \xrightarrow{(CH_2)_m R_{fn}} P \xrightarrow{(CH_2)_m R_{fn}} P \xrightarrow{(CH_2)_m R_{fn}} P$

para: $n = 6^{4,6a,9a,b}$, $8^{6h,9b}$ meta: $n = 6^4$, 8^{6h}

$$\begin{split} m &= m' = 2; \, n = 6,8,10^{8a} \\ m &= m' = 3\text{-}5; \, n = 8^{8b} \\ m/m' &= 2/3, \, 3/2, \, 3/4, \, 4/3; \, n = 8^{8b} \end{split}$$



$$P - \left(\begin{array}{c} (CH_2)_m R_{fn} \\ \end{array} \right)_3$$

para: $m/n = 2/6^{6j,7f,14a}, 2/8^{6h,6k}$ (*this work*: 3/6, 3/8, 3/10) meta: $m/n = 2/6^{7a}$

required syntheses of new phosphines and the development of methodologies that are practical on larger scales. Earlier we reported convenient multigram syntheses of symmetrically and unsymmetrically substituted fluorous trialkylphosphines of the formula P((C-H₂)_mR_{fn})₂((CH₂)_mR_{fn}) (1; Chart 1).⁸ To help insulate the phosphorus from the electron-withdrawing perfluoro-alkyl group, two to five methylene groups were employed ($2 < m/m' \le 5$). However, many catalysts function best with tri*aryl*phosphine ligands. In this paper, we report our initial studies with fluorous triarylphosphines that contain one pony tail per ring.

Several other groups have already made significant contributions to this subject, and we wish to place our work in the context of these earlier reports at the outset. One direction has been the synthesis of fluorous triarylphosphines of the formula $P(C_6H_4R_{fn})_3$ (**2**; Chart 1),^{4,6a,h,9,10} in which no insulating methylene segment

separates a *p*- or *m*-perfluoroalkyl group from the aryl ring. Such phosphines will be much less basic than triphenylphosphine and are often unsuitable as direct replacements. However, they should be good replacements for tris(*p*-/*m*-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)phosphines $P(C_6H_4CF_3)_3^{11}$ —which are also components of many metal catalysts.^{7d,e} An advantage of this approach is that fluorous iodides IR_{fn} undergo efficient copper-mediated coupling with aryl halides, rendering ArR_{fn} species easily accessible. Halogenated derivatives are readily metalated to aryl nucleophiles, which react with PCl₃ to give **2** in good yields.

Another direction has been fluorous triarylphosphines of the formula $P(C_6H_4X(R_{fn})_z)_3$, where X is an insulating segment. One possibility for X would be a silylmethylene grouping, as exemplified by 3 (Chart 1). Elegant studies of such ligands, which contain as many as nine pony tails, have been reported by van Koten and Deelman.^{6f,g,12} Other heteroatoms have also been employed.¹³ Another possibility would be a simple methylene segment-i.e., ligands of the formula P(C₆H₄- $(CH_2)_m R_{fn}$ (4).¹⁴ These can be expected to closely mimic triphenylphosphine and constitute the focus of this paper. Data on other fluorous aromatic compounds^{3,15} suggest that 4 should not have very high fluorous phase affinities, at least in comparison to aliphatic systems 1. However, in preliminary efforts we have found analogues with two pony tails per phenyl ring, $P(C_6H_3$ - $((CH_2)_m R_{fn})_2)_3$ (5), to be much more synthetically challenging.¹⁶ Hence, we sought to fully optimize procedures with the simpler system 4.

A related research direction has been the synthesis of moderately fluorinated phosphines to enhance catalyst solubility in supercritical CO₂.⁷ Some of this work has utilized the types of ligands described above. Bidentate phosphines belonging to all of the preceding categories have also been reported.^{7g,9a,12b,17,18} Phosphorus donor ligands with $O(CH_2)_2R_{f6}$ substituents have also been synthesized.^{6c} However, fluorous trialkyl or triaryl phosphites have to date only received scant attention.^{7b,9a,19}

tallics **1999**, *18*, 2036–2042. (h) Palo, D. R.; Erkey, C. Organometallics **2000**, *19*, 81–86. (i) Banat Osuna, A. M.; Chen, W.; Hope, E. G.; Kemmitt, R. D. W.; Paige, D. R.; Stuart, A. M.; Xiao, J.; Xu, L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **2000**, 4052–4055. (j) Franciò, G.; Wittmann, K.; Leitner, W. J. Organomet. Chem. **2001**, *601*, 130–142.

^{(8) (}a) Alvey, L. J.; Rutherford, D.; Juliette, J. J. J.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, *63*, 6302–6308. (b) Alvey, L. J.; Meier, R.; Soós, T.; Bernatis, P.; Gladysz, J. A. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. **2000**, 1975–1983. (c) See also: Klose, A.; Gladysz, J. A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry **1999**, *10*, 2665–2674.

 ^{(9) (}a) Bhattacharyya, P.; Gudmunsen, D.; Hope, E. G.; Kemmitt,
 R. D. W.; Paige, D. R.; Stuart, A. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1997**, 3609–3612. (b) Chen, W.; Xiao, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 3697–3700.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Metal derivatives in addition to those given in ref 6: (a) Fawcett, J.; Hope, E. G.; Kemmitt, R. D. W.; Paige, D. R.; Russell, D. R.; Stuart, A. M.; Cole-Hamilton, D. J.; Payne, M. J. *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 1127–1128. (b) Fawcett, J.; Hope, E. G.; Kemmitt, R. D. W.; Paige, D. R.; Russell, D. R.; Stuart, A. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1998**, 3751–3763.

⁽¹¹⁾ Howell, J. A. S.; Fey, N.; Lovatt, J. D.; Yates, P. C.; McArdle, P.; Cunningham, D.; Sadeh, E.; Gottlieb, H. E.; Goldschmidt, Z.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Light, M. E. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1999**, 3015–3028.

^{(12) (}a) Richter, B.; de Wolf, E.; van Koten, G.; Deelman, B.-J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 3885–3893. (b) de Wolf, E.; Richter, B.; Deelman, B.-J.; van Koten, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 5424–5427.

⁽¹³⁾ Sinou, D.; Pozzi, G.; Hope, E. G.; Stuart, A. M *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 849–852.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Syntheses or applications of such phosphines are described in refs 6b,h,j,k and 7a,f. See also: (a) Chen, W.; Xu, L.; Xiao, J. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 2675–2677. (b) For some key precurors, see: Kainz, S.; Luo, Z.; Curran, D. P.; Leitner, W. *Synthesis* **1998**, 1425.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Rocaboy, C.; Rutherford, D.; Bennett, B. L.; Gladysz, J. A. J. Phys. Org. Chem. **2000**, 596-603.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Rutherford, D.; Bennett, B. L.; Barthel-Rosa, L. P. Unpublished results and final research reports, University of Utah.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Hope, E. G.; Kemmitt, R. D. W.; Stuart, A. M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1998, 3765-3770.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Recent lead reference to $(R_{12})_2PCH_2CH_2P(R_{12})_2$ and related fluorinated diphosphines extensively studied by the Roddick group: Bennett, B. L.; Hoerter, J. M.; Houlis, J. F.; Roddick, D. M. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 615–621.

^{(19) (}a) Mathivet, T.; Monflier, E.; Castanet, Y.; Mortreux, A.; Couturier, J.-L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 9411–9414. (b) Mathivet, T.; Monflier, E.; Castanet, Y.; Mortreux, A.; Couturier, J.-L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 3885–3888.

Scheme 1. Syntheses of Fluorous Triarylphosphines and Phosphine Oxides



Results

1. Syntheses of Phosphines. We recently reported facile syntheses of the fluorous phosphonium salts $[Ph_3PCH_2CH_2R_{fn}]^+I^-$ (n = 6 (6a), 8 (6b), 10 (6c)), and high-yield Wittig reactions with benzaldehyde, phthalaldehydes, and related compounds.¹⁵ The resulting alkenes were easily hydrogenated to the corresponding fluorous arenes. As shown in Scheme 1, a similar sequence was used to prepare brominated fluorous arenes. Phosphonium salts 6a-c, p-bromobenzaldehyde, and K₂CO₃ were heated in 1,4-dioxane. Workups gave the fluorous bromostyrenes p-BrC₆H₄CH= CHCH₂ R_{fn} (7a-c) in 86–93% yields as mixtures of Z/Eisomers. These and all new compounds below were characterized by NMR and microanalysis, as described in the Experimental Section. The ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH}$ values associated with the CH=CH ¹H NMR signals showed that Zisomers dominated ((92-91):(8-9)), consistent with literature precedent for unstabilized ylides.

Hydrogenations of 7a-c were attempted. To our surprise, considerable carbon-bromine hydrogenolysis occurred under most conditions-including PtO2 and all other heterogeneous catalysts assayed. Ethanol solutions of Wilkinson's catalyst were eventually utilized (Scheme 1). However, it was necessary to limit the temperature and pressure to 40 °C and 75 psig to prevent overreduction. Workups gave the fluorous bromoarenes p-BrC₆H₄(CH₂)₃R_{fn} (**8a**-c) in 91–94% yields. The compounds with R_{f10} pony tails, $\mathbf{7c}$ and $\mathbf{8c},$ were much less soluble than 7a,b and 8a,b in both nonfluorous and fluorous solvents, a trend noted for other R_{f6}/ R_{f8}/R_{f10} homologues earlier.^{8a,15} The hydrogenation of **7c** was best conducted in mixtures of ethanol and C₆H₅-CF₃. The latter solvent is able to solubilize appreciable concentrations of both nonfluorous and fluorous compounds.²⁰

Next, lithium/bromine exchange reactions of 8a-c were attempted. Preliminary experiments were conducted with 2 equiv of *t*-BuLi. The *t*-BuBr generated in this common procedure is often annihilated by the second equivalent of t-BuLi.21 However, subsequent additions of PCl₃ appeared to give some tert-butylsubstituted phosphines. Hence, analogous sequences were conducted with 1 equiv of *n*-BuLi. Workups gave the target phosphines $P(p-C_6H_4(CH_2)_3R_{fn})_3$ (9a-c), together with some of the corresponding phosphine oxides $O = P(p-C_6H_4(CH_2)_3R_{fn})_3$ (**10a**-c) and a fluorohydrocarbon byproduct. To simplify purification, aqueous H_2O_2 was added to oxidize **9a-c** to **10a-c**. Filtrations through silica gel gave phosphine oxides **10a**-c in 88%, 57%, and 24% yields, respectively, as analytically pure waxy solids.

Phosphine oxides have been reduced to phosphines with Cl₃SiH/Et₃N (1:1).²² As shown in Scheme 1, analogous reactions in $CF_3C_6H_5$ gave phosphines 9a-c in 69%, 82%, and 43% yields, respectively, as analytically pure white solids. The syntheses of 9b and 10b were routinely conducted on 1-2 g scales and should be easily amenable to further scale-up. The ³¹P NMR signals of **9a**-c (δ -7.1 to -7.4) and **10a**-c (δ 29.4-29.9) were very close to those of P(p-C₆H₄CH₃)₃ and O=P(p-C₆H₄-CH₃)₃ (δ -7.26, 29.88; all data for CDCl₃),²³ respectively, consistent with similar electronic properties. The R_{f8} phosphine **9b** was very soluble in $CF_3C_6F_{11}$ and $CF_3C_6H_5$, as well as organic solvents such as toluene and CHCl₃. The R_{f10} compounds **9c** and **10c** were again much less soluble than the others. Quantitative data on relative solubilities were sought. Thus, CF₃C₆F₁₁/toluene partition coefficients were determined by GLC as reported previously^{3,8b,15} and are summarized in Scheme 1.

2. Reactions of Fluorous Phosphines. We sought to probe the electronic properties of 9a-c. Many fluorous phosphine analogues of Vaska's complex have been previously prepared, $\frac{8b,c,24}{2}$ and the IR ν_{CO} values mirror the donor/acceptor properties of the iridium fragment. As depicted in Scheme 2, reaction of [Ir(COD)(µ-Cl)]₂, **9b**, and carbon monoxide gave the expected canary yellow bis(phosphine) complex trans-Ir(CO)(Cl)[P(p- $C_6H_4(CH_2)_3R_{f8})_3]_2$ (11b) in 76% yield after workup. The IR spectrum showed a v_{CO} value very similar to that of Vaska's complex (1958 cm⁻¹ vs a range of 1950 cm^{-1 25} to 1952 cm⁻¹,²⁴ Nujol). The ³¹P{¹H} NMR signals were also very similar (δ 22.9 vs 23.5,²⁶ CDCl₃). Hence, the fluorocarbon chain is well-insulated from the metal. However, the direction of the IR shift is consistent with a small residual electron withdrawing effect, in accord with Gaussian 94 calculations on the two-methylene spacer ligand P(p-C₆H₄(CH₂)₂R_{f6})₃⁷ⁱ and analogous to that seen with five-methylene spacers in aliphatic fluorous phosphines 1.8b

⁽²⁰⁾ Maul, J. J.; Ostrowski, P. J.; Ublacker, G. A.; Curran, D. P. Top. Curr. Chem. **1999**, 206, 79–105.

^{(21) (}a) Seebach, D.; Neumann, H. Chem. Ber. 1974, 107, 847–853.
(b) Neumann, H.; Seebach, D. Chem. Ber. 1978, 111, 2785–2812.

 ⁽²²⁾ Horner, L.; Balzer, W. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1965, 1157–1162.
 (23) Chou, W.-N.; Pomerantz, M. *J. Org. Chem.* 1991, *56*, 2762–2769.

⁽²⁴⁾ Guillevic, M.-A.; Rocaboy, C.; Arif, A. M.; Horváth, I. T.; Gladysz, J. A. *Organometallics* **1998**, *17*, 707–717.

⁽²⁵⁾ Chock, P. B.; Halpern, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 3511-3514.

⁽²⁶⁾ Vanderpool, R. A.; Abrahamson, H. B. *Inorg. Chem.* 1985, 24, 2985–2989.









We sought to apply the preceding phosphines in catalysis. Reactions of the rhodium complex [Rh(COD)- $(\mu$ -Cl)]₂ and aliphatic fluorous phosphines **1** $(m/n = 2/6, 8; \ge 3 \text{ equiv/Rh})$ give analogues of Wilkinson's catalyst, Rh-[P((CH₂)₂R_{fn})₃]₃(Cl) (**12a,b**), in high yields.^{5b} Accordingly, [Rh(COD)(μ -Cl)]₂ and **9b** were similarly reacted in toluene/CF₃C₆F₁₁ under biphasic conditions, as shown in Scheme 2. Workup of the fluorous phase gave a red solid (82–93%) with a microanalysis that fit the target complex Rh[P(p-C₆H₄(CH₂)₃R_{f8})₃]₃(Cl) (**13b**). This material was quite soluble in fluorous solvents such as CF₃C₆F₁₁ (ca. 0.010 g/mL, ambient temperature) or

9b

 $CF_3C_6H_5$ but virtually insoluble in nonfluorous solvents. Although a partition coefficient was not measured (atomic absorption analyses would be required), it is clearly much higher than that of the constituent phosphine **9b**.

As observed for Wilkinson's catalyst²⁷ and related compounds,^{6g 31}P NMR spectra showed one signal for the trans phosphines of 13b and a less intense signal for the remaining phosphine (rhodium-coupled dd and dt). A representative trace is depicted in Figure 1. All spectra also showed three other species, the relative ratios of which were condition-dependent (concentration, temperature). On the basis of equilibria documented for Wilkinson's catalyst and analogues,6g,27 two could be confidently assigned as the dirhodium bridging chloride $[Rh[P(p-C_6H_4(CH_2)_3R_{f8})_3]_2(\mu-Cl)]_2$ (14b) and 9b. The remaining signal was reproducibly obtained in two separate laboratories. It is not due to the phosphine oxide **10b** (ca. δ 29 under these conditions), and remains under investigation. A CF₃C₆F₁₁ solution was repeatedly extracted with toluene. This removed essentially all 9b, shifting the equilibrium nearly completely to **14b** and leaving the unassigned (and apparently very fluorous) substance.

A $CF_3C_6F_{11}$ solution of **13b** was combined with a toluene solution of 2-cyclohexen-1-one (1:95 mol ratio). As shown in eq i, the biphasic mixture was placed under



1 atm of H₂ and heated to 45 °C. These conditions were chosen to facilitate comparisons to hydrogenations with 12a described earlier. Catalyst 12a exhibited induction periods and after several recycles decomposed to rhodium metal. When eq i was monitored by GLC, induction periods were also noted (ca. 0.5 h). However, hydrogenations were complete in 2 h as opposed to 8 h with 12a. In contrast, 1-dodecene was not as efficiently hydrogenated, mainly due to the slower conversion of internal alkenes generated during the reaction. More quantitative rate comparisons were not attempted, since 13b and 12a must have different partition coefficients, with a higher concentration of 13b likely in the nonfluorous phase. Similar hydrogenations were conducted under monophasic conditions in CF₃C₆H₅ (e.g., 1:198 **13b**/2-cyclohexen-1-one). These also showed induction periods and were somewhat slower than under biphasic conditions.

Discussion

Our syntheses of fluorous phosphines 9a-c (Scheme 1) can be contrasted with those of other systems of the type $P(C_6H_4(CH_2)_mR_{fn})_3$ (4) in the literature. First, consider the length of the methylene spacer or *m* value.

^{(27) (}a) Eaton, D. R.; Suart, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1968**, 90, 4170–4172. (b) Jardine, F. H. Prog. Inorg. Chem. **1981**, 28, 63–202.



Figure 1. Representative ³¹P NMR spectrum of 13b (0.0029 M in 2:1 v/v C₆H₆/C₆F₆).

The phosphonium salts **6a**–**c** are easily prepared from commercial fluorous iodides $ICH_2CH_2R_{fn}$,¹⁵ and the subsequent Wittig/hydrogenation sequence affords three methylene groups. All previous approaches give spacers with two methylene groups.^{6h,j,7a,f,14} As we have emphasized earlier,³ the spacer length represents a tuning element. Hence, there is no preset "ideal length", and strategies that can be generalized to families of fluorous compounds are advantageous. In this regard, we note that fluorous iodides with longer methylene segments are readily available,^{8a,b} and our methodology is undoubtedly extendable to targets with higher *m* values.

Syntheses of systems 4 with two methylene groups can be divided into two categories. The first utilizes aryl halide building blocks. Some early work featured coppercatalyzed couplings of the Grignard reagents p- and m-BrC₆H₄MgBr with fluorous iodides ICH₂CH₂R_{f6}.^{7a,14b} The resulting aryl bromides were isolated in 45-46% yields and \geq 90% purities. Reactions with *n*-BuLi and PCl₃ gave the para-^{7f} and meta-substituted^{7a} phosphines $P(C_6H_4(CH_2)_2R_{f6})_3$ in 67% yields. This route has also been used to prepare $P(p-C_6H_4(CH_2)_2R_{f8})_3$, which is the direct lower methylene homologue of 9b (first step, 46%; second step, 61%).^{6h} A 94% yield for the second step has recently been reported (>95% purity; 70% after recrystallization).^{6k} An improved synthesis of P(p-C₆H₄(CH₂)₂R_{f6})₃ has also been reported.^{6j} This features a palladiumcatalyzed coupling of *p*-BrC₆H₄I and the fluorous zinc reagent IZnCH₂CH₂R_{f6} (56% on 30 g scales), followed by reaction of the aryl bromide with *t*-BuLi and PCl₃ (78%). This paper also included a system similar to 4 (branched R_{fn}) with a single methylene spacer. In accord with our experience, small amounts of phosphine oxide byproducts were often noted.

The second category utilizes phosphorus-containing building blocks. The triarylphosphine oxide $O=P(p-C_6H_4Br)_3$ undergoes high-yield 3-fold Heck reactions with a variety of alkenes.^{14a} Subsequent reduction of the product derived from H₂C=CHR_{f6} affords P($p-C_6H_4-(CH_2)_2R_{f6})_3$ (80% overall). The phosphine P($p-C_6H_4Br)_3$ can be triply lithiated, although this has so far only been utilized to prepare systems of the type **3**.^{12a} Such routes avoid the metalation of a fluorous aryl halide and an ensuing condensation with PCl₃. While such sequences work well for many aryl halides, fluorous aryl halides that contain methylene spacers appear to be problematic. Nearly all research groups have noted that lithiations must be conducted under carefully controlled conditions to obtain optimum yields. A system with a much shorter perfluoroalkyl segment than **9b**, P(*p*-C₆H₄-(CH₂)₃R_{f4})₃, could only be prepared in 14% yield.^{7g}

We have extensively tested and reproduced the lithiation/PCl₃ sequence in Scheme 1. The lower yield of phosphine oxide **10c** is likely connected to the lower solubilities of the R_{f10} compounds. This has the potential to complicate aryllithium generation and reactivity. Otherwise, the procedures in Scheme 1 are very simple. None of the workups require chromatography, outside of simple silica gel filtrations. Fluorous alkenes **7a**-**c** are less polar than the principal byproducts, whereas the phosphine oxides **10a**-**c** are more polar. This represents one of the advantages of the H₂O₂ oxidation, which might be viewed as a debit from the standpoint of synthetic efficiency. Another advantage is that **10a**-**c** can be stored indefinitely under ambient laboratory conditions, in contrast to phosphines **9a**-**c**.

The partition coefficients of **9a**,**b** in Scheme 1 show the expected increase in fluorous phase affinities with increasing perfluoroalkyl chain length. However, neither compound would be significantly retained in a fluorous solvent under extraction conditions. Indeed, we could exploit this to shift the equilibrium in Figure 1. The phosphine $P(p-C_6H_4(CH_2)_2R_{f6})_3$, which has one less methylene group than 9a, gives a 75:25 distribution in FC-72/toluene (conditions comparable to but not identical with ours).⁶ Hence, these do not quailfy as "immobilized" ligands. Nonetheless, 9b,c would certainly be readily extractable into fluorous solvents, although the low absolute solubility of the latter should be kept in mind. Deelman and Van Koten have carefully characterized the relative and absolute solubilities of the silylated fluorous phosphines 3 (Chart 1), some of which are highly immobilized, and find comparable trends.^{12a} They also observe, like us, that the corresponding tris-(phosphine)rhodium chloride complexes exhibit greater fluorous phase affinities than the phosphines and have speculated on the origin of the effect. $^{\rm 6g}$

Our preliminary data show that the rhodium complex **13b** is at least as good an alkene hydrogenation catalyst precursor as the related aliphatic complex **12a**, which was the first analogue of Wilkinson's catalyst to be studied under fluorous biphase conditions.^{5a} However, we seek more highly immobilized systems that would not be as susceptible to phosphine leaching prior to undertaking quantitative recycling and reactivity studies. Others have also studied hydrogenations catalyzed by various rhodium/fluorous phosphine combinations.^{6d-g} Complexes derived from **3** have been particularly well-characterized and exhibit a number of impressive performance characteristics, including turnover frequencies that exceed those of Wilkinson's catalyst.^{6g}

In summary, this paper has described our firstgeneration synthetic approach to fluorous triarylphosphines. The various derivatives prepared, physical measurements, and catalyst screening results provide valuable benchmark data for guiding future work. The inductive effect of a *p*-perfluoroalkyl group is nearly completely screened by three methylene groups. Extensions to more "highly fluorous" phosphines with additional pony tails, as well as new applications of aliphatic homologues, will be reported in due course.²⁸

Experimental Section

General Considerations. All reactions were conducted under rigorously anaerobic conditions. Reagent and solvent sources and purifications, instrumentation, and partition coefficient measurements were identical with those given in two previous papers.^{8b,15} The following chemicals were new to this study and used as received unless noted: *p*-BrC₆H₄CHO (Acros), *n*-BuLi (Aldrich, 2.5 or 1.6 M in hexanes, standardized),²⁹ *t*-BuLi (Aldrich, 1.5 M in pentane, standardized),²⁹ PCl₃ (Aldrich, freshly distilled), H₂O₂ (Aldrich, 30% aqueous), Cl₃-SiH (Aldrich), and Et₃N (Aldrich).

p-BrC₆H₄CH=CHCH₂R_{f8} (7b). A flask was charged with [Ph₃PCH₂CH₂R_{f8}]⁺I⁻ (**6b**;¹⁵ 4.337 g, 5.18 mmol), *p*-BrC₆H₄CHO (0.765 g, 4.13 mmol), K₂CO₃ (1.493 g, 10.8 mmol), and 1,4dioxane (55 mL). The mixture was vigorously stirred, H₂O (1.0 mL) was added, and the flask was placed in a 90 °C oil bath. After 12 h, the bath was removed and H₂O (5.0 mL) was added. The mixture was cooled with stirring. Volatiles were removed by oil pump vacuum, and CH2Cl2 (100 mL) and H2O (20 mL) were added. The aqueous layer was separated and washed with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 50 mL). The combined CH_2Cl_2 layers were washed with H_2O (2 \times 20 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was removed and the residue taken up in a minimum of CH₂-Cl₂/hexanes (1:1 v/v). This was added to a silica gel/hexane plug (2 \times 3 cm), which was rinsed with CH₂Cl₂/hexane (1:4 v/v, 200 mL; aspirator assisted). The solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation and oil pump vacuum to give 7b as a white solid (2.179 g, 3.54 mmol, 86%; 92:8 Z/E), mp 42.3-43.3 °C (capillary). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₈F₁₇Br: C, 33.19; H, 1.31. Found: C, 33.40; H, 1.34. NMR (δ, CDCl₃): ¹H (Z/E) 3.02 (tdd (Z + E), ${}^{3}J_{\rm HF}$ = 18.0 Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH}$ = 7.2 Hz, ${}^{4}J_{\rm HH}$ = 1.5 Hz, CH₂CF₂), 5.76/6.11 (dt, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 7.5/6.9$ Hz, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 11.4/$ 15.9 Hz, = $CHCH_2$), 6.73/6.54 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 11.7/15.9$ Hz, ArCH=), 7.07/7.23 (m, 2H), 7.48/7.44 (m, 2H); $^{19}{\rm F}$ –81.1 (t, $^{3}J_{\rm FF}$ = 9.3 Hz, 3F), -113.3 (m, 2F), -122.2 (m, 6F), -123.2 (m, 2F), -123.4 (m, 2F), -126.5 (m, 2F).

p-BrC₆H₄CH=CHCH₂R_{f6} (7a). Compounds **6a** (10.01 g, 13.6 mmol),¹⁵ *p*-BrC₆H₄CHO (2.09 g, 11.3 mmol), and K₂CO₃ (3.91 g, 28.3 mmol) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for **7b**. An identical workup gave **7a** as a clear oil (5.314 g, 10.3 mmol, 91%; 92:8 Z/E). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₈F₁₃Br: C, 34.97; H, 1.56. Found: C, 35.13; H, 1.62. NMR (δ , CDCl₃): ¹H (Z/E) 3.03 (tdd (Z + E), ³J_{HF} = 18.0 Hz, ³J_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, ⁴J_{HH} = 1.2 Hz, CH₂CF₂), 5.76/6.11 (dt, ³J_{HH} = 7.5/7.2 Hz, ³J_{HH} = 11.4/15.9 Hz, =CHCH₂), 6.73/6.54 (d, ³J_{HH} = 11.7/15.6 Hz, ArCH=), 7.07/7.23 (m, 2H), 7.48/7.44 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F -81.0 (t, ³J_{FF} = 9.3 Hz, 3F), -113.3 (m, 2F), -122.2 (m, 2F), -123.1 (m, 2F), -123.4 (m, 2F), -126.4 (m, 2F).

p-BrC₆H₄CH=CHCH₂R_{f10} (7c). Compounds **6c** (8.001 g, 8.54 mmol),¹⁵ *p*-BrC₆H₄CHO (1.318 g, 7.12 mmol), and K₂CO₃ (2.459 g, 17.79 mmol) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for **7b**. An identical workup gave **7c** as a white solid (4.745 g, 6.63 mmol, 93%; 91:9 *Z*/*E*), mp 63.1–64.6 °C (capillary). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₈F₂₁Br: C, 31.91; H, 1.13. Found: C, 32.24; H, 1.04. NMR (δ , CDCl₃): ¹H (*Z*/*E*) 3.02 (tdd (*Z* + *E*), ³*J*_{HF} = 18.3 Hz, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, ⁴*J*_{HH} = 1.5 Hz, CH₂CF₂), 5.76/6.11 (dt, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.2/7.8 Hz, ³*J*_{HH} = 12.0/15.9 Hz, = CHCH₂), 6.73/6.54 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 12.0/16.2 Hz, ArC*H*=), 7.07/7.21 (m, 2H), 7.48/7.43 (m, 2H); ¹⁹F -81.3 (t, ³*J*_{FF} = 9.3 Hz, 3F), -113.5 (m, 2F), -122.3 (m, 10F), -123.3 (m, 2F), -123.6 (m, 2F), -126.7 (m, 2F).

p-BrC₆H₄(CH₂)₃R_{f8} (8b). A Fisher-Porter bottle was charged with 7b (2.007 g, 3.26 mmol), Rh(PPh₃)₃(Cl) (0.126 g, 0.136 mmol), and ethanol (30 mL), purged with H₂, pressurized with H_2 (75 psi gauge reading), and placed in a 42 °C oil bath. The mixture was stirred (7.5 h) and then cooled. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was taken up in a minimum amount of hexane. This was added to a silica gel/ hexane plug (2 \times 3 cm), which was rinsed with hexane (200 mL). Solvent was removed from the filtrate by rotary evaporation and oil pump vacuum to give 8b as a white solid (1.827 g, 2.96 mmol, 91%), mp 34.7-35.7 °C (capillary). Anal. Calcd for C17H10F17Br: C, 33.08; H, 1.64. Found: C, 33.26; H, 1.57. NMR (δ, CDCl₃): ¹H 1.91 (m, CH₂CH₂CF₂), 2.10 (m, CH₂CF₂), 2.64 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, ArCH₂), 7.03 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, 2H); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ (partial) 21.9 (t, ${}^{3}J_{CF} = 3$ Hz, $CH_{2}CH_{2}CF_{2}$), 30.4 (t, ${}^{2}J_{CF} = 23$ Hz, CH2CF2), 34.6 (s, ArCH2), 120.3 (s), 130.3 (s, 2C), 131.9 (s, 2C), 139.7 (s); 19 F -81.3 (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 9.0$ Hz, 3F), -114.7 (m, 2F), -122.5 (m, 6F), -123.3 (m, 2F), -124.0 (m, 2F), -126.6 (m, 2F).

p-BrC₆H₄(**CH**₂)₃**R**_{f6} (8a). Compounds 7a (2.287 g, 4.44 mmol), Rh(PPh₃)₃(Cl) (0.143 g, 0.155 mmol), ethanol (30 mL), and H₂ were combined in a procedure analogous to that for **8b**. An identical workup gave **8a** as a clear oil (2.175 g, 4.20 mmol, 94%). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₀F₁₃Br: C, 34.84; H, 1.95. Found: C, 35.08; H, 2.10. NMR (δ , CDCl₃): ¹H 1.90 (m, CH₂-CH₂CF₂), 2.07 (m, CH₂CF₂), 2.64 (t, ³J_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, ArCH₂), 7.04 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, 2H); ¹³C{¹H} (partial) 21.9 (t, ³J_{CF} = 3.5 Hz, CH₂CH₂CF₂), 30.4 (t, ²J_{CF} = 22 Hz, CH₂CF₂), 34.6 (s, Ar*C*H₂), 120.3 (s), 130.3 (s, 2C), 131.9 (s, 2C), 139.7 (s); ¹⁹F -81.3 (t, ³J_{FF} = 9.0 Hz, 3F), -114.8 (m, 2F), -122.5 (m, 2F), -123.5 (m, 2F), -124.0 (m, 2F), -126.7 (m, 2F).

p-BrC₆H₄(CH₂)₃R_{f10} (8c). Compounds 7c (3.001 g, 4.20 mmol), Rh(PPh₃)₃(Cl) (0.135 g, 0.146 mmol), ethanol (30 mL), CF₃C₆H₅ (10 mL), and H₂ were combined in a procedure analogous to that for **8b**. An identical workup gave **8c** as a white solid (2.776 g, 3.87 mmol, 92%), mp 68.2–69.7 °C (capillary). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₀F₂₁Br: C, 31.82; H, 1.41. Found: C, 32.05; H, 1.37. NMR (δ , CDCl₃): ¹H 1.91 (m, CH₂-CH₂CF₂), 2.05 (m, CH₂CF₂), 2.64 (t, ³J_{HH} = 7.5 Hz, ArCH₂), 7.04 (m, 2H), 7.40 (m, 2H); ¹³C{¹H} (partial) 21.9 (t, ³J_{CF} = 3 Hz, CH₂CH₂CF₂), 30.4 (t, ²J_{CF} = 22.5 Hz, CH₂CF₂), 34.6 (s, Ar*C*H₂), 120.3 (s), 130.3 (s, 2C), 131.9 (s, 2C), 139.7 (s); ¹⁹F −81.3 (t, ³J_{FF} = 9.3 Hz, 3F), −114.7 (m, 2F), −122.3 (m, 10F), −123.2 (m, 2F), −124.0 (m, 2F), −126.7 (m, 2F).

 $O=P(p-C_6H_4(CH_2)_3R_{f8})_3$ (10b). A three-necked flask was charged with 8b (2.776 g, 4.50 mmol) and THF (75 mL), fitted

⁽²⁸⁾ Soós, T.; Gladysz, J. A. Manuscript in preparation. (29) Duhamel, L.; Plaquevent, J.-C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1979**, *44*, 3404–3405.

with a thermometer, and cooled to -78 °C. Then *n*-BuLi (2.88 mL, 1.56 M in hexane, 4.50 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring over 10 min (green solution). After an additional 15 min, PCl₃ (0.130 mL, 1.50 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring (yellow solution). The mixture was warmed to room temperature over 5 h. Volatiles were removed by oil-pump vacuum. The residue was dissolved in degassed CF₃C₆H₅ (40 mL), and the solution was washed with degassed aqueous NH₄-Cl (2 \times 10 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). The sample was concentrated and applied to a silica gel/CF₃C₆H₅ plug (2 \times 2 cm). The plug was rinsed with hexanes (25 mL) and $CF_3C_6H_5$ (50 mL). The combined filtrate was treated with H_2O_2 (5 mL, 30% aqueous) with stirring. After 15 min, the solution was washed with H_2O (2 \times 10 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). The sample was concentrated and applied to a silica gel/CF_3C_6H_5 plug (2 \times 2 cm). The plug was rinsed with hexanes (25 mL) and CF₃C₆H₅ (25 mL). These filtrates were discarded. The plug was flushed with acetone (100 mL) and methanol (200 mL). Solvent was removed from the filtrates by rotary evaporation and oil pump vacuum to give 10b as a waxy white solid (1.417 g, 0.854 mmol, 57%), mp 135.0-137.0 °C (capillary). Anal. Calcd for C₅₁H₃₀F₅₁-OP: C, 36.93; H, 1.82. Found: C, 37.11; H, 1.80. NMR (δ , CDCl₃): ¹H 1.96 (m, 3CH₂CH₂CF₂), 2.10 (m, 3CH₂CF₂), 2.74 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, $3ArCH_{2}$), 7.26 (dd, $J_{HH} = 8.1$ Hz, $J_{HP} = 2.5$ Hz, 6H), 7.55 (dd, $J_{\rm HH} = 8.1$ Hz, $J_{\rm HP} = 11.7$ Hz, 6H); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ $(partial)^{30}$ 21.8 (br s, $CH_2CH_2CF_2$), 30.5 (t, $^2J_{CF} = 22.2$ Hz, CH_2 -CF₂), 35.2 (s, Ar*C*H₂), 128.7 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 12.6$ Hz, *m*-Ph), 130.8 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 106.2$ Hz, *i*-Ph), 132.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 10.0$ Hz, *o*-Ph), 145.2 (d, ${}^{4}J_{CP} = 2.5$ Hz, p-Ph); ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ 29.4 (s); ${}^{19}F - 80.9$ (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} =$ 9.0 Hz, 9F), -114.3 (m, 6F), -122.1 (m, 18F), -122.9 (m, 6F), -123.6 (m, 6F), -126.3 (m, 6F).

O=P(p-C₆H₄(CH₂)₃R_{f6})₃ (10a). Compounds **8a** (3.169 g, 6.13 mmol), THF (70 mL), and n-BuLi (2.44 mL, 2.5 M in hexanes, 6.10 mmol) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for 10b. After 45 min, PCl₃ (0.161 mL, 1.85 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring. The mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature over 5 h. Volatiles were removed by oil pump vacuum (³¹P NMR: >90:10 9a:10a). The residue was dissolved in CF_3C_6H_5 (15 mL) and washed with H_2O (2 \times 15 mL). Then H_2O_2 (0.75 mL, 30% aqueous) was added with stirring. After 15 min, volatiles were removed by oil pump vacuum. The residue was dissolved in a minimum of CF3C6H5 and the solution applied to a silica gel/CF₃C₆H₅ plug (2×2.5 cm). The plug was rinsed with hexanes (40 mL) and CF₃C₆H₅ (30 mL). These filtrates were discarded. The plug was rinsed with acetone (280 mL). Solvent was removed from the filtrates by rotary evaporation and oil pump vacuum to give 10a as a waxy yellow solid (2.228 g, 1.64 mmol, 88%), mp 110.2-111.2 °C (capillary). Anal. Calcd for C₄₅H₃₀F₃₉OP: C, 39.78; H, 2.22. Found: C, 39.96; H, 2.30. NMR (δ, CDCl₃): ¹H 1.96 (m, 3CH₂- CH_2CF_2), 2.10 (m, $3CH_2CF_2$), 2.74 (t, ${}^3J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, $3ArCH_2$), 7.26 (dd, $J_{\rm HH}$ = 8.1 Hz, $J_{\rm HP}$ = 2.5 Hz, 6H), 7.58 (dd, $J_{\rm HH}$ = 8.1 Hz, $J_{\rm HP}$ = 11.7 Hz, 6H); ¹³C{¹H} (partial)³⁰ 21.8 (br s, CH₂- CH_2CF_2), 30.5 (t, ${}^2J_{CF} = 21.3$ Hz, CH_2CF_2), 35.2 (s, $ArCH_2$), 128.8 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 13.0$ Hz, m-Ph), 130.8 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 105.5$ Hz, *i*-Ph), 132.6 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 10.6$ Hz, *o*-Ph), 145.2 (d, ${}^{4}J_{CP} = 3.1$ Hz, *p*-Ph); ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ 29.4 (s); ${}^{19}F{}-81.3$ (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF}{}=9.3$ Hz, 9F), -114.7 (m, 6F), -122.5 (m, 6F), -123.5 (m, 6F), -124.0 (m, 6F), -126.7 (m, 6F).

O=P(p-C₆H₄(CH₂)₃R_{f10})₃ (10c). Compounds **8c** (2.151 g, 3.00 mmol), THF (120 mL), and *n*-BuLi (1.88 mL, 1.6 M in hexane, 3.00 mmol) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for **10b**. After 25 min, PCl₃ (0.088 mL, 0.137 g, 1.0 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring. The mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature over 10 h. An identical workup gave **10c** as a waxy white solid (0.475 g, 0.243 mmol, 24%), mp 158.9–159.9 °C (capillary). Anal. Calcd for C₅₇H₃₀F₆₃-

OP: C, 34.95; H, 1.54. Found: C, 35.08; H, 1.71. NMR (δ , CDCl₃): ¹H 1.96 (m, 3CH₂CH₂CF₂), 2.06 (m, 3CH₂CF₂), 2.75 (t, ³J_{HH} = 7 Hz, 3ArCH₂), 7.26 (dd, J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz, J_{HP} = 2.2 Hz, 6H), 7.58 (dd, J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz, J_{HP} = 12.0 Hz, 6H); ¹³C{¹H} (partial)³⁰ 21.6 (s, CH₂CH₂CF₂), 30.2 (t, ²J_{CF} = 22.8 Hz, CH₂-CF₂), 35.0 (s, ArCH₂), 128.6 (d, ³J_{CP} = 12.0 Hz, m-Ph), 132.4 (d, ²J_{CP} = 9.0 Hz, o-Ph); ³¹P{¹H} 29.9 (s).

P(p-C₆H₄(CH₂)₃R_{f8})₃ (9b). A flask was charged with 10b (1.658 g, 1.000 mmol), Cl₃SiH (1.01 mL, 10.0 mmol), Et₃N (1.39 mL, 10.0 mmol), and CF₃C₆H₅ (40 mL). The mixture was stirred for 15 min (³¹P NMR: complete reaction). Degassed aqueous NH₄Cl (15 mL) was added. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with degassed $CF_3C_6H_5$ (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄). Solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, and $CF_{3}C_{6}H_{5}\ (10\ mL)$ was added. The sample was filtered through silica gel/CF₃C₆H₅ (1 \times 2 cm; vacuum assisted). Solvent was removed from the filtrate by oil pump vacuum to give 9b as a white solid (1.350 g, 0.822 mmol, 82%), mp 120.0-121.0 °C (capillary). Anal. Calcd for C₅₁H₃₀F₅₁P: C, 37.29; H, 1.84. Found: C, 37.28; H, 1.96. MS (EI, m/z): 1642 (M⁺, 100), 1623 $(M^+ - F, 17), 1105 (M^+ - C_6H_4(CH_2)_3R_{f8}, 7.5).$ NMR (δ , CDCl₃): ¹H 1.93 (m, 3CH₂CH₂CF₂), 2.08 (m, 3CH₂CF₂), 2.68 (t, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz, 3ArCH_{2}), 7.13 (m, 6H), 7.20 (m, 6H); ${}^{13}\text{C}$ -{¹H} (partial)³⁰ 21.9 (t, ${}^{3}J_{CF} = 3$ Hz, $CH_{2}CH_{2}CF_{2}$), 30.5 (t, ${}^{2}J_{CF}$ = 21.3 Hz, CH_2CF_2), 35.0 (s, Ar CH_2), 128.8 (d, ${}^3J_{CP}$ = 6.7 Hz, *m*-Ph), 134.1 (d, ${}^{2}J_{CP} = 19.1$ Hz, *o*-Ph), 135.3 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 10.7$ Hz, *i*-Ph), 141.5 (s, *p*-Ph); ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{} -7.4$ (s); ${}^{19}F -81.3$ (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF}$ = 9.0 Hz, 9F), -114.7 (m, 6F), -122.5 (m, 18F), -123.3 (m, 6F), -124.0 (m, 6F), -126.7 (m, 6F).

P(p-C₆H₄(CH₂)₃R_{f6})₃ (9a). Compounds 10a (0.900 g, 0.662 mmol), Cl₃SiH (0.67 mL, 6.60 mmol), Et₃N (0.92 mL, 6.60 mmol), and CF₃C₆H₅ (20 mL) were combined in a procedure analogous to that for 9b, and volatiles were removed by oil pump vacuum. Then CF₃C₆F₁₁ (10 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) were added. The mixture was shaken. The CF₃C₆F₁₁ layer was separated, and the volatiles were removed. The residue was dissolved in CF₃C₆H₅ (10 mL). The solution was filtered through a silica gel/CF_3C_6H_5 plug (1 \times 2 cm; vacuum assisted). Solvent was removed from the filtrate by oil pump vacuum to give 9a as a white solid (0.620 g, 0.461 mmol, 69%), mp 91.5-92.7 °C (capillary). Anal. Calcd for C45H30F39P: C, 40.25; H, 2.25. Found: C, 40.41; H, 2.37. NMR (δ, CDCl₃): ¹H 1.93 (m, $3CH_2CH_2CF_2$), 2.08 (m, $3CH_2CF_2$), 2.70 (t, $^3J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, 3ArCH₂), 7.16 (m, 6H), 7.21 (m, 6H); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ (partial)³⁰ 21.9 (t, ${}^{3}J_{CF} = 3$ Hz, $CH_{2}CH_{2}CF_{2}$), 30.6 (t, ${}^{2}J_{CF} = 21.3$ Hz, $CH_{2}-CF_{2}$), 35.0 (s, Ar CH_{2}), 128.8 (d, ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 7.0$ Hz, m-Ph), 134.1 (d, $^{2}J_{CP} = 19.5$ Hz, *o*-Ph), 135.3 (d, $^{1}J_{CP} = 10.7$ Hz, *i*-Ph), 141.6 (s, *p*-Ph); ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{} -7.3$ (s); ${}^{19}F -81.4$ (t, ${}^{3}J_{FF} = 9.0$ Hz, 9F), -114.7(m, 6F), -122.5 (m, 6F), -123.5 (m, 6F), -124.1 (m, 6F), -126.8 (m, 6F).

P(*p*-C₆H₄(CH₂)₃**R**_{f10})₃ (9c). A flask was charged with 10c (0.490 g, 0.250 mmol), Cl₃SiH (0.25 mL, 2.5 mmol), Et₃N (0.35 mL, 2.5 mmol), and CF₃C₆H₅ (20 mL) and fitted with a condenser. The mixture was refluxed (2 h) and cooled. A workup identical with that for **9b** gave **9c** as a white solid (0.210 g, 0.108 mmol, 43%), mp 139.7–140.5 °C (capillary). Anal. Calcd for C₅₇H₃₀F₆₃P: C, 35.24; H, 1.56. Found: C, 35.34; H, 1.39. NMR (δ , 2:1 v/v CF₃C₆F₁₁/C₆D₁₂): ¹H 2.04 (m, 3CH₂-CH₂CF₂), 2.15 (m, 3CH₂CF₂), 2.78 (m, 3ArCH₂), 7.18 (m, 6H), 7.34 (m, 6H); ³¹P{¹H} -7.1 (s).

trans-Ir(CO)(Cl)[P(p-C₆H₄(CH₂)₃R₁₈)₃]₂ (11b). A Schlenk flask was charged with [Ir(COD)(μ -Cl)]₂ (0.0261 g, 0.0388 mmol), **9b** (0.255 g, 0.155 mmol), and CF₃C₆H₅ (10 mL). The sample was stirred, and CO (1 atm) was added. After 2 h, volatiles were removed by oil pump vacuum, and ether was added. The resulting slurry was filtered, and the yellow powder was dried by oil pump vacuum to give **11b** (0.210 g, 0.0593 mmol, 76%): mp 146.0–146.7 °C (capillary). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀₃H₆₀ClF₁₀₂IrOP₂: C, 34.93; H, 1.70. Found: C, 35.08; H, 1.87. IR (cm⁻¹, Nujol): ν_{CO} 1958 s. NMR (δ , CDCl₃): ¹H 1.95

⁽³⁰⁾ Aryl resonances of **9a**,**b** and **10a**–**c** were assigned on the basis of chemical shifts and J_{CP} values: Kalinowski, H.-O.; Berger, S.; Braun, S. *Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy*; Wiley: New York, 1988; pp 588–589. The i/o/m/p positions are defined with respect to phosphorus.

(m, 6C H_2 CH $_2$ CF $_2$), 2.04 (m, 6CH $_2$ CF $_2$), 2.71 (t, ${}^3J_{HH} =$ 7.2 Hz, 6ArCH $_2$), 7.19 (m, 12H), 7.62 (m, 12H); 31 P{¹H} 22.9 (s); 19 F -81.4 (t, ${}^3J_{FF} =$ 9.0 Hz, 18F), -114.7 (m, 12F), -122.5 (m, 36F), -123.3 (m, 12F), -123.9 (m, 12F), -126.8 (m, 12F).

Rh[P(p-C₆H₄(CH₂)₃R_{f8})₃]₃(Cl) (13b). A round-bottom flask was charged with solutions of 9b (0.164 g, 0.100 mmol) in CF₃C₆F₁₁ (20 mL) and [Rh(COD)(µ-Cl)]₂ (0.0082 g, 0.016 mmol) in toluene (20 mL). The biphasic system was stirred. After 1 day, the upper layer was decanted, and volatiles were removed from the lower layer by oil pump vacuum (10^{-6} Torr, 1 day). This gave **13b** as a red wax (0.140 g, 0.0276 mmol, 82%). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅₃H₉₀ClF₁₅₃P₃Rh: C, 36.27; H, 1.79. Found: C, 36.43; H, 2.06. A similar procedure in which the residue was washed with toluene (3 mL) gave 13b in 93% yield. Anal. Found: C, 36.12; H, 1.68. An equilibrium with 14b and 9b is apparent in solution (see Scheme 2 and text). NMR (δ): ¹H (CDCl₃) 1.55-1.95 (m, 36H), 2.15 (m, 18H), 6.7-6.9 (m, 18H), 7.6-7.9 (m, 18H); ³¹P (2:1 v/v C₆H₆/C₆F₆; Figure 1) 28.8 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{\text{PRh}} = 142.5 \text{ Hz}, {}^{2}J_{\text{PP}} = 35.6 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{P}), 46.2 \text{ (dt, } {}^{1}J_{\text{PRh}} = 188.2 \text{ Hz}$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{PP} = 35.6$ Hz, 1P) and other species at 50.1 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PRh} =$ 202.4 Hz, 14b), -7.4 (s, 9b), and 24.4 (s, unassigned); ³¹P (C₆H₅CF₃, partial) 29.0 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{PRh} = 143.4$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{PP} = 36.5$ Hz, 2P), 46.3 (dt, ${}^{1}J_{PRh} = 191.5$ Hz, ${}^{2}J_{PP} = 36.5$ Hz, 1P).

Catalytic Hydrogenations. The following are representative. **A**. A Schlenk tube was charged with a solution of **13b** in $CF_3C_6F_{11}$ (0.500 mL, 0.0026 M, 0.00130 mmol, 1.05 mol %), $CF_3C_6F_{11}$ (0.5 mL), toluene (1.0 mL), and 2-cyclohexen-1-one (0.012 mL, 0.124 mmol, 95 equiv/Rh), flushed with H_2 (5 min), fitted with an H_2 -filled balloon, and immersed in a 45 °C bath. The biphasic sample was vigorously stirred and analyzed by GLC (1 h, 15% conversion to cyclohexanone; 2 h, >99% conversion). Cyclohexanone from closely related reactions has been isolated and characterized. 5a

B. A Schlenk tube was similarly charged with $CF_3C_6H_5$ (5 mL), **13b** (0.0158 g, 0.0312 mmol, 0.5 mol %, giving a 0.0062 M solution), dodecane GLC standard, and 2-cyclohexen-1-one (0.060 mL, 0.629 mmol, 198 equiv/Rh) and treated with H₂ as in procedure A (2 h, <1% conversion; 4 h, 10% conversion; 24 h, >99% conversion).

Acknowledgment. We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG; Grant No. GL 301/3-1), the von Humboldt Foundation (postdoctoral fellowship, T.S.), and the United States NSF for financial support, Johnson Matthey PMC for loans of rhodium and iridium complexes, and Professor I. Horváth (Eötvös Loránd University) and Dr. Alain Klose for helpful discussions.

OM010193E