# Chemistry of C-Trimethylsilyl-Substituted Heterocarboranes. 29. Synthetic and Structural Studies on Lanthanacarboranes with Two and Three "Carbons Apart" Carborane Cages Bonding to Ln(III) Metal (Ln(III) = Nd, Gd, Dy, Ho, Er, Tb, Lu)

Jianhui Wang,<sup>†,‡</sup> Shoujian Li,<sup>†</sup> Chong Zheng,<sup>†</sup> John A. Maguire,<sup>‡</sup> Biprajit Sarkar,<sup>§</sup> Wolfgang Kaim,<sup>§</sup> and Narayan S. Hosmane<sup>\*,†,§</sup>

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115-2862, Department of Chemistry, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275-0314, and Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 55, Stuttgart D-70550, Germany

Received June 3, 2003

The reactions of  $closo-exo-5,6-Na(THF)_2-1-Na(THF)_2-2,4-(SiMe_3)_2-2,4-C_2B_4H_4$  (1) with anhydrous  $LnCl_3$  (Ln = Nd, Gd, Dy, Ho, Er, Tb, Lu), in molar ratios of 2:1 in dry benzene  $(C_6H_6)$  at 60 °C, produced the full-sandwich lanthanacarborane complexes 2, 2', 4, 4'- $(SiMe_3)_4$ - $3,5',6'-[(\mu-H)_3Na[(X)_n(Y)_m]-1,1'-commo-Ln(\eta^{5-2},4-C_2B_4H_4)_2$  (2, Ln = Nd, X = THF, n = 2, Y = none; **3**, Ln = Gd, X = THF, n = 3, Y = none; **4**, Ln = Dy, X = THF, n = 1, Y = TMEDA, m = 1; 5, Ln = Ho, X = DME, n = 1, Y = none; 6, Ln = Er, X = THF, n = 1; Y = none; 7, Ln = Tb, X and Y = none; **8**, Ln = Lu, X = THF, n = 2) in 70–93% yields. However, the reactions of **1** at 60 °C with anhydrous  $LnCl_3$  (Ln = Dy, Er), at carborane to Ln molar ratios of 3:1 in dry benzene ( $C_6H_6$ ), gave novel metallacarboranes, analogues of  $Cp_3Ln$ , with the formula [Na<sub>3</sub>][1,1'-[5,6-(µ-H)<sub>2</sub>-nido-2,4-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-2,4-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>]-2,2',4,4'-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>-1,1'-commo-Ln- $(2,4-C_2B_4H_4)_2$  (9, Ln = Dy; 10, Ln = Er) as yellow crystalline solids in 78 and 82% yields, respectively. While compounds 2-5 and 8-10 were characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies, the diamagnetic compound 8 was also characterized by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectroscopy and compounds **2**, **3**, and **5** were studied by EPR spectroscopy. Compounds **2**, **4**, and **8** were found to be isostructural with bent-sandwich geometries, in which a Ln-(III) center is coordinated to two carborane ligands. The solvated Na<sup>+</sup> ions, present in each molecule for charge compensation, do not seem to influence the cage geometries. Complexes 2, 4, and 8 crystallized in the triclinic space group *P1*, complexes 3, 9, and 10 were found to crystallize in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/n$ , and complex 5 crystallized in the monoclinic space group  $C^{2}/c$ . The final refinements of 2-5 and 8-10 converged at R1 = 0.0223, 0.0664, 0.0418, 0.0557, 0.0639, 0.0596, and 0.0770 and at wR2 = 0.0593, 0.1265, 0.1125, 0.1457,0.1413, 0.1225, and 0.1594, respectively. The room-temperature magnetic susceptibilities of **9** and **10** were found to be 10.4 and 9.76  $\mu_{\rm B}$  per metal atom, respectively.

### Introduction

The first report of the synthesis and structural characterization of an f-block metallacarborane was that of the uranacarborane  $[U(C_2B_9H_{11})_2Cl_2]^{2-}$  in 1977,<sup>1</sup> followed in 1988 by reports for the compounds closo-3-Yb(DMF)<sub>4</sub>-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>9</sub>H<sub>11</sub> and [3,3'-(THF)<sub>2</sub>-commo-3,3'-Sm- $(C_2B_9H_{11})_2]^{-2}$ . Since these initial reports, a number of lanthanide complexes, mainly with the  $C_2B_{10}$  and  $C_2B_9$ cage systems, have been described.<sup>3</sup> Our interest has been directed at lanthanide complexes of the C2B4 cage systems,<sup>4</sup> which exhibit reaction characteristics that are not found in the larger cages. For example, reactions of the THF-solvated dilithium salt of the [2,3-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> dianion with anhydrous LnCl<sub>3</sub> in a molar ratio of 2:1 in dry benzene and THF produced trinuclear Ln(III) carboranes of the form { $[\eta^{5}-1-Ln-2,3-$ (SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>[(*µ*<sub>2</sub>-1-Li-2,3-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>- $(\mu_3$ -OMe)][ $\mu_2$ -Li(THF)]\_3( $\mu_3$ -O)} (Ln = Sm, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Nd), instead of the expected full-sandwich lanthanacarboranes.<sup>4a,d,g,k</sup> These clusters are composed of three half-sandwich lanthanacarboranes and three lithiacarboranes arranged around an oxide ion and a methoxide ion, respectively.<sup>4g</sup> These unusual products were believed to arise from the initial formation of a halfsandwich lanthanacarborane, which reacts further with

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed at Northern Illinois University. E-mail: nhosmane@niu.edu.

Northern Illinois University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Southern Methodist University. § Universität Stuttgart.

<sup>(1)</sup> Fronczek, F. R.; Halstead, G. W.; Raymond, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 1769-1775.

<sup>(2)</sup> Manning, M. J.; Knobler, C. B.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1988**, *110*, 4458–4459.

<sup>(3)</sup> For recently reviews see: (a) Saxena, A. K.; Hosmane, N. S. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1081-1124. (b) Xie, Z. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2002, 231, 23-46.

the THF solvent, or its decomposition product, to form the final trinuclear cluster. On the other hand, the reaction of the TMEDA-solvated dilithium salt of the  $[2,3\mathchar`-(SiMe_3)_2C_2B_4H_4]^{2-}$  dianion with anhydrous  $LnCl_3$ at a 2:1 molar ratio produced the full-sandwich species [1-Cl-1-(µ-Cl)-2,2',3,3'-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>-5,6-[(µ-H)<sub>2</sub>Li(TMEDA)]-4,4',5,5'-[(µ-H<sub>3</sub>)Li(TMEDA)-commo-Ln(2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> (Ln = Sm, Gd, Dy, Ho, Er).<sup>4e,h</sup> In addition, several halfsandwich complexes have been reported.4j,1 These results show that, in addition to the molar ratios of the carborane ligand and LnCl<sub>3</sub> reactants, the nature of the solvent plays an important role in determining the products of the f-block metalation reactions of the C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub> cages. Since most of the metalation reactions were run using either a 1:1 or 2:1 carborane to LnCl<sub>3</sub> molar ratio, the consequences of using higher reaction ratios have not been investigated. This would be of interest, since LnCp<sub>3</sub> complexes in which the three Cp<sup>-</sup> ligands are  $\eta^5$ bonded to a lanthanide metal have been reported.<sup>5</sup> Most of the small-cage lanthanacarboranes have involved the use of the so-called "carbons adjacent"  $(2,3-C_2B_4)$  isomer, in which the two cage carbons occupy adjacent positions in the C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub> open face of the carborane. There is also a "carbons apart"  $(2,4-C_2B_4)$  isomer in which the two carbon atoms on the face are separated by a boron atom; these also form metallacarboranes.<sup>3a,6</sup> Although both full- and half-sandwich complexes have been reported,<sup>7</sup> the lanthanacarboranes in "carbons apart" cage systems have not been studied systematically. Herein we present a full report on the syntheses and crystal structures of a number of "carbons apart" lanthanacarborane complexes, some of which have been recently described in preliminary communications.7b,c

#### **Experimental Section**

**Materials.** Benzene, N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA), ethylene glycol, dimethyl ether (DME),

(5) Edelmann, F. T. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*; Abel, E. W., Stone, F. G. A., Wilkinson, G., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1995; Vol. 4, Chapter 2, pp 11–212.

D. A., Wang, X.; Hosmane, N. S.; Zhang, H.; Jia, L.; Colacot, T. J.; Maguire, J. A.; Wang, X.; Hosmane, S. N.; Brooks, K. A. Organometallics 1999, 18, 516. (b) Hosmane, N. S.; Zhu, D.; Zhang, H.; Oki, A. R.; Maguire, J. A. Organometallics 1998, 17, 3196.

Table 1. Preparation and Selected PhysicalProperties of 2–10

com- pd	LnCl <sub>3</sub>	amt of LnCl <sub>3</sub> (g, mmol)	amt of carborane ligand <sup>a</sup> (g, mmol)	color	mp (°C)	yield <sup>b</sup> (g, mmol, %)
2	NdCl <sub>3</sub>	0.38, 1.52	1.70, 3.08	green	>250	1.00, 1.34, 88
3	GdCl <sub>3</sub>	0.40, 1.52	1.70, 3.08	yellow	175 dec	1.08, 1.30, 86
4	DyCl <sub>3</sub>	0.43, 1.60	1.77, 3.21	yellow	>250	0.96, 1.13, 70
5	HoCl <sub>3</sub>	0.43, 1.59	1.77, 3.21	pink	>250	1.00, 1.40, 88
6	$ErCl_3$	0.18, 0.66	0.71, 1.28	orange	>240	0.37, 0.50, 76
7	$TbCl_3$	0.17, 0.64	0.71, 1.28	colorless	>250	0.37, 0.60, 93
8	$LuCl_3$	0.43, 1.53	1.76, 3.19	colorless	>240	1.14, 1.35, 88
9	$ErCl_3$	0.29, 1.06	1.76, 3.19	pink	>250	0.84, 0.87, 82
10	DyCl <sub>3</sub>	0.28, 1.04	1.76, 3.19	yellow	>250	0.80, 0.83, 78

<sup>a</sup> closo-exo-5,6-Na(THF)<sub>2</sub>-1-Na(THF)<sub>2</sub>-2,4-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-2,4-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. <sup>b</sup> Yield is based on LnCl<sub>3</sub> consumed.

tetrahydrofuran (THF), and *n*-hexane were dried over NaH or Na/benzophenone and doubly distilled before use. All other solvents were dried over 4–8 Å mesh molecular sieves (Aldrich) and were either saturated with dry argon or degassed before use. The synthesis of *closo-exo*-5,6-Na(THF)<sub>2</sub>-1-Na-(THF)<sub>2</sub>-2,4-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-2,4-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub> followed the published procedure.<sup>8</sup> Prior to use, NdCl<sub>3</sub>, GdCl<sub>3</sub>, TbCl<sub>3</sub>, DyCl<sub>3</sub>, HoCl<sub>3</sub>, ErCl<sub>3</sub>, and LuCl<sub>3</sub> (Aldrich) were degassed in vacuo at 130 °C for 24 h.

**Spectroscopic and Analytical Procedures.** Proton, boron-11, and carbon-13 NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Fourier transform multinuclear NMR spectrometer at 200, 64.2, and 50.3 MHz, respectively. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Model 1600 FT-IR spectrophotometer and Nicolet Magna 550 FT-IR spectrophotometer. EPR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ESP 300 spectrometer equipped with an ER035 gaussmeter (Bruker), a 5350B microwave counter (HP), and an ESR 900 continuous flow cryostat (Oxford Instruments).

Elemental analyses were determined in house at Northern Illinois University using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN elemental analyzer.

**Magnetic Susceptibility.** Magnetic susceptibilities were measured with a MPMS Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer between 5 K and room temperature in a magnetic field of 1 T. The samples (~20 mg) were contained in gelatin capsules,<sup>9</sup> the magnetizations of which were determined in separate runs and subtracted. Corrections for core diamagnetism were not applied.

**Synthetic Procedures.** All experiments were carried out in 100 mL Pyrex glass round-bottom flasks, equipped with magnetic stirring bars and high-vacuum Teflon valves. After their initial purifications, nonvolatile substances were manipulated in either a drybox or a glovebag under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. All known compounds among the products were identified by comparing their IR and/or <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra with those of authentic samples.

Synthesis of 2,2',4,4'-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>-3,5',6'-[( $\mu$ -H)<sub>3</sub>Na[(X)<sub>n</sub>(Y)<sub>m</sub>]-1,1'-*commo*-Ln( $\eta$ <sup>5</sup>-2,4-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (2, Ln = Nd, X = THF, n =2, Y = none; 3, Ln = Gd, X = THF, n = 3, Y = none; 4, Ln = Dy, X = THF, n = 1, Y = TMEDA, m = 1; 5, Ln = Ho, X = DME, n = 1, Y = none; 6, Ln = Er, X = THF, n = 1, Y = none; 7, Ln = Tb, X, Y = none; 8, Ln = Lu, X = THF, n =2; Y = none). Except for the quantities used, the procedures in the syntheses of compounds 2–8 were identical. Therefore, only one typical synthesis will be described; the details of quantities used, product yields, and certain physical properties of 2–8 are summarized in Table 1.

A previously weighed sample of *closo-exo*-5,6-Na(THF)<sub>2</sub>-1-Na(THF)<sub>2</sub>-2,4-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-2,4-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (1) was mixed with anhydrous  $LnCl_3$ , in a molar ratio of 2:1, in about 20–30 mL of dry

<sup>(4) (</sup>a) Oki, A. R.; Zhang, H.; Hosmane, N. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 432-434. (b) Hosmane, N. S.; Maguire, J. A. J. Cluster Sci. 1993, 4, 297-349. (c) Hosmane, N. S.; Wang, Y.; Oki, A. R.; Zhang, H.; Zhu, D.; McDonald, E. M.; Maguire, J. A. Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon Relat. Elem. 1994, 93-94, 253-256. (d) Zhang, H.; Oki, A. R.; Wang, Y.; Maguire, J. A.; Hosmane, N. S. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1995, C51, 635-638. (e) Hosmane, N. S.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, H.; Oki, A. R.; Maguire, J. A.; Waldhör, E.; Kaim, W.; Binder, H.; Kremer, R. K. Organometallics 1995, 14, 1101-1103. (f) Zhang, H.; Wang, Y.; Maguire, J. A.; Hosmane, N. S. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1996, C52, 8-11. (g) Hosmane, N. S.; Wang, Y.; Oki, A. R.; Zhang, H.; Maguire, J. A. Organometallics 1996, 15, 626-638. (h) Hosmane, N. S.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, H.; Maguire, J. A.; McInnis, M.; Gray, T. G.; Collins, J. D.; Kremer, R. K.; Binder, H.; Waldhör, E.; Kaim, W. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1006-1013. (i) Zhang, H.; Wang, Y.; Maguire, J. A.; Hosmane, N. S. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1996, C52, 640-643. (j) Hosmane, N. S.; Oki, A. R.; Zhang, H. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 1998, 1, 101-104. (k) Zheng, C.; Hosmane, N. S.; Zhang, H.; Maguire, J. A. Internet J. Chem. 1999, 2, 10 (URL: http://www.ijc.com/articles/1999v2/10/). (l) Hosmane, N. S.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, H.; Maguire, J. A. Internet J. Chem. Commun. 2001, 4, 547-550.

<sup>18, 516. (</sup>b) Hosmane, N. S., Zhu, D., Zhang, Y., Gua, L., Yu, J., J. A. Organometallics 1998, 17, 3196.
(7) (a) Hosmane, N. S.; Li, S.-J.; Zheng, C.; Maguire, J. A. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2001, 4, 104–107. (b) Wang, J.; Li, S.; Zheng, C.; Maguire, J. A.; Hosmane, N. S. Organometallics 2002, 21, 3314–3316.
(c) Wang, J.; Li, S.; Zheng, C.; Maguire, J. A.; Hosmane, N. S. Organometallics 2002, 21, 5149–5151. (d) Wang, J.; Li, S.; Zheng, C.; Maguire, J. A.; Hosmane, N. S. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2002, 5, 602–605.

<sup>(8)</sup> Hosmane, N. S.; Jia, L.; Zhang, H.; Bausch, J. W.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Williams, R. E.; Onak, T. P. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 3793–3795.
(9) Commercially available pharmaceutical gelatin capsules.

Table 2. Infrared Absorptions (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr Pellet)<sup>a</sup>

compd	absorption
2	2950 (vs), 2888 (m), 2570 (m), 2520(m), 2462 (m), 2423 (m), 2270 (m), 1394 (vs), 1337 (br), 1241 (vs), 1171 (m),
	1049 (m), 1025 (w), 835 (vs), 760 (m), 679 (m), 625 (m)
3	2952 (vs), 2892 (m), 2562(m), 2510 (m), 2468 (m), 2373 (m), 1399 (vs), 1306 (br), 1243 (vs), 1176 (m), 1048 (m),
	836 (vs), 757 (m), 685 (m), 631 (m)
4	2953 (vs), 2889 (m), 2830 (m), 2789 (m), 2518 (m), 2475 (m), 2441 (m), 2357 (m), 1455 (m), 1399 (s), 1289 (w),
	1238 (vs), 1171 (s), 1095 (m), 1018 (m), 944 (m), 835 (vs), 756 (m), 684 (m), 629 (m)
5	2953 (vs), 2893 (m), 2855 (m), 2537 (s), 2509 (s), 2462 (s), 1458 (m), 1400 (s), 1240 (vs), 1177 (s), 1137 (m),
	1073 (m), 1019 (s), 948 (m), 926 (w), 837 (vs), 760 (s), 684 (m), 632 (m)
6	2952 (vs), 2894 (m), 2573 (m), 2498 (m), 2436 (m), 2379 (m), 1378 (m), 1240 (vs), 1168 (s), 1112 (w), 1051 (m),
	837 (vs), 748 (s), 680 (m), 628 (m)
7	2955 (vs), 2592 (w), 2520 (br), 1409 (br), 1255 (vs), 1193 (w), 1091 (s), 1015 (s), 815 (vs), 676 (m), 625 (m)
8	2953 (vs), 2893 (m), 2573 (m), 2529 (m), 2479 (m), 2383 (m), 1398 (m), 313 (w), 1243 (vs), 1176 (s), 1048 (m),
	934 (w), 834 (vs), 757 (s), 680 (m), 629 (m)
9	2956 (vs), 2895 (m), 2506 (s), 2467 (br), 2432 (br), 2360 (s), 2283 (s), 1383 (br), 1254 (vs), 1084 (vs), 1016 (vs),
	833 (vs), 803 (vs), 681 (m)
10	2947 (vs), 2891 (m), 2512 (s), 2468 (br), 2434 (br), 2353 (s), 2282 (s), 2236 (s), 1596 (br), 1381 (m), 1241 (vs),
	1176 (br), 1099 (m), 1059 (m), 1031 (w), 835 (vs), 750 (m), 685 (s), 626 (m)

<sup>*a*</sup> Legend: v = very, s = strong or sharp, m = medium, w = weak, sh = shoulder, br = broad.

benzene and stirred at 0 °C for 2-3 h. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature, raised to 60 °C, and then stirred for an additional 24 h. During this period the solution became turbid and turned the color of the particular complex that is listed in Table 1. The heterogeneous product mixture was filtered through a frit, and the residue was washed repeatedly with anhydrous benzene. The solid that remained on the frit after the washings was identified by qualitative analysis as NaCl (not measured) and was discarded. Removal of solvents from the clear solution gave a solid that was later recrystallized from different solvent mixtures (n-hexane/ benzene (10/90 v/v) for 2, 3, and 6-8; TMEDA/THF/n-hexane (1:2:7 v/v/v) for 4; DME/hexanes (40:60 v/v) for 5) to collect air-sensitive crystals, identified as a dimer of 2,2',4,4'-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>- $3,5',6'-[(\mu-H)_3Na[(X)_n(Y)_m]-1,1'-commo-Ln(\eta^5-2,4-C_2B_4H_4)_2$  (2, Ln = Nd, X = THF, n = 2, m = 0; **3**, Ln = Gd, X = THF, n = 13, m = 0; **4**, Ln = Dy, X = THF, n = 1, Y = TMEDA, m = 1; **5**, Ln = Ho, X = DME, n = 1, m = 0; **6**, Ln = Er, X = THF, n= 1.5, m = 0; 7, Ln = Tb, n, m = 0; 8, Ln = Lu, X = THF, n= 2, m = 0). Anal. Calcd (found) for (C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>44</sub>B<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>NaNd)·2THF (2): C, 38.60 (38.54); H, 8.10 (8.15). Calcd (found) for (C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>44</sub>B<sub>8</sub>. Si<sub>4</sub>NaGd)·3THF (3): C, 40.43 (40.66); H, 8.24 (8.35). Calcd (found) for [(C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>44</sub>B<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>NaDy)·(TMEDA)·THF]·C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (4): C, 41.07 (41.16); H, 8.44 (8.25); N, 3.30 (3.60). Calcd (found) for (C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>44</sub>B<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>NaHo)·DME (5): C, 34.25 (34.16); H, 7.76 (7.74). Calcd (found) for (C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>44</sub>B<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>NaEr)<sub>2</sub>·3THF (6): C, 36.01 (36.21); H, 7.69 (7.78). Calcd (found) for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>44</sub>B<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>4</sub>NaTb (7): C, 31.13 (31.41); H, 7.19 (7.40). Calcd (found) for (C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>44</sub>B<sub>8</sub>-Si<sub>4</sub>NaLu)·3THF (8): C, 39.57 (39.92); H, 8.07 (7.92). The infrared spectral data, with selected assignments for 2-8, are presented in Table 2. NMR data for complex 8: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, relative to external Me<sub>4</sub>Si)  $\delta$  3.71 (s (br), 2 H, THF), 1.56 (s (br), 2 H, THF), 0.74 (s, 3 H, SiMe<sub>3</sub>), 0.68 (s, 3 H, SiMe<sub>3</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, relative to external Me<sub>4</sub>Si)  $\delta$  128.6 (s (br), cage carbons (SiCB)), 70.6 (m, THF), 24.9 (m, THF), 2.19 (q (br), SiMe<sub>3</sub>), 1.84 (q (br), SiMe<sub>3</sub>,  ${}^{1}J({}^{13}C-{}^{1}H) = 118.5$  Hz);  ${}^{11}B$  NMR (THF, external BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>)  $\delta$  28.5 (br, 1B, basal BH), 7.0 (br, 2B, basal BH), -47.3 (d, 1B, apical BH,  ${}^{1}J({}^{11}B-{}^{1}H) = 161$  Hz).

Synthesis of  $[Na_3][1,1'-{5,6-(\mu-H)_2-nido-2,4-(SiMe_3)_2-2,4-C_2B_4H_4}-2,2',4,4'-(SiMe_3)_4-1,1'-commo-Ln-(2,4-C_2B_4H_4)_2]$  (9, Ln = Dy; 10, Ln = Er). A previously weighed sample of *closo-exo*-5,6-Na(THF)\_2-1-Na(THF)\_2-2,4-(SiMe\_3)\_2-2,4-C\_2B\_4H\_4 (1) was mixed with anhydrous LnCl<sub>3</sub>, in a molar ratio of 3:1, in dry benzene (about 10–15 mL) and stirred at 60 °C for 24 h, during which time the solution turned yellow and became turbid. The heterogeneous product mixture was then filtered, in vacuo, and the solvent removed from the filtrate to collect a pale yellow solid. The particular solid was extracted in benzene and then filtered again to collect a clear yellow solid that was later recrystallized from dry *n*-hexane to collect air-sensitive crys-

tals, identified as  $[Na_3][1,1'-\{5,6-(\mu-H)_2-nido-2,4-(SiMe_3)_2-2,4-C_2B_4H_4\}-2,2',4,4'-(SiMe_3)_4-1,1'-commo-Ln(2,4-C_2B_4H_4)_2]$  (9, Ln = Dy; 10, Ln = Er). Anal. Calcd (found) for  $[C_{24}H_{66}B_{12}Si_6Na_3-Dy.(C_6H_6)]_3\cdot2C_6H_{14}$  (9): C, 40.04 (40.37); H, 8.03 (7.80). Anal. Calcd (found) for  $C_{24}H_{66}B_{12}Si_6Na_3Er\cdot C_6H_6$  (10): C, 37.25 (37.78); H, 7.50 (7.68). The yields are shown in Table 1, and the infrared spectral data for 9 and 10 are given in Table 2.

X-ray Analyses of 2-5 and 8-10. X-ray-quality crystals of 2, 3, and 8-10 were grown from an *n*-hexane/benzene (10/ 90 v/v) solution by slow evaporation. Good-quality crystals of 4 were obtained from a TMEDA/THF/n-hexane (1:2:7 v/v/v) mixture, while crystals of the holmacarborane 5 were grown from a DME/hexanes (40/60 v/v) solution. The crystals were mounted on a Bruker SMART CCD PLATFORM diffractometer, under a low-temperature nitrogen stream. The pertinent crystallographic data for 2-5 and 8-10 are summarized in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. Compounds 2, 4, and 8 were found to be isostructural, whose space groups were uniquely determined from systematic absences as P1. Similar measurements on **3** were consistent with the space group  $P2_1/n$ , while **5** was found to be in the  $C^2/c$  space group. Compounds 9 and 10 were also found to be isostructural, and their space groups were uniquely determined as P21/n. Intensity data for compounds 2-5 and 8-10 were collected at 173 K. All data considered as observed ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ) were corrected for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption effects (G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, Program for Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1996). Semiempirical absorption studies (SADABS) were applied for each structure, and the relevant minimum and maximum transmission factors are listed in Tables 3 and 4. All structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix leastsquares techniques using the SHELXTL system of programs.<sup>10</sup> All structures were refined on F<sup>2</sup> for all reflections.<sup>11</sup> Weighted wR2 and goodness of fit values were based on  $F^2$ . For 9 and 10, nonsolvating benzene molecules and *n*-hexanes were present in each structure, while only nonsolvating benzene was present in 4. In structure 4, the geometrical centroid of the benzene molecule was located at the interval of the structure. In structure **9** and **10**, the benzene and *n*-hexane possessed the interval between the two sodium cations from the two neighboring units. Full-matrix refinement was performed for each structure. In all of the structures, the non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. The carborane-cage H atoms of 2-5were located in difference Fourier maps. The final values of R1 and weighted wR2 are listed in Tables 3 and 4. The selected interatomic distances and angles are listed in Tables 5 and 6,

<sup>(10)</sup> Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL, Version 5.1; Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Madison, WI, 1997.

<sup>(11)</sup> Šheldrick, G. M. SHELXL93: Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures; University of Göttingen, Germany, 1993.

Table 3. Crystallographic Data<sup>a</sup> for Complexes 2-5 and 8

	2	3	4	5	8
formula	C24H60B8N-	C <sub>28</sub> H <sub>68</sub> B <sub>8</sub> N-	C <sub>29</sub> H <sub>71</sub> B <sub>8</sub> N-	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>54</sub> B <sub>8</sub> N-	C24H60B8N-
	NdO <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>4</sub>	GdO <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>4</sub>	DyN <sub>2</sub> OSi <sub>4</sub>	HoO <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>4</sub>	LuO <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>4</sub>
fw	746.79	831.90	848.21	713.39	777.52
cryst syst	triclinic	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
space group	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_{1}/n$	$P\overline{1}$	C2/c	$P\bar{1}$
a, Å	11.317(2)	11.644(2)	12.258(4)	21.334(5)	13.933(3)
b, Å	12.648(2)	17.426(3)	13.734(4)	13.359(3)	17.236(4)
<i>c</i> , Å	15.159(3)	22.031(4)	15.028(5)	27.138(6)	17.828(4)
α, deg	102.501(3)	90	93.647(5)	90	92.569(4)
$\beta$ , deg	92.293(3)	92.244(4)	102.038(6)	94.463(4)	98.332(4)
γ, deg	108.872(3)	90	109.365(5)	90	91.026(4)
<i>V</i> , Å <sup>3</sup>	1990.1(6)	4467.1(14)	2310.2(12)	7711(3)	4230.8(18)
Z	2	4	2	8	4
$D_{ m calcd}$ , g cm $^{-3}$	1.246	1.237	1.237	1.229	1.221
abs coeff, $mm^{-1}$	1.456	1.627	1.802	2.203	2.476
crystal dimens, mm	$0.12\times0.08\times0.08$	$0.12\times0.08\times0.04$	$0.18\times0.14\times0.08$	$0.18 \times 0.12 \times 0.06$	$0.14 \times 0.08 \times 0.06$
$\theta$ range, deg	1.39, 25.00	1.49, 25.00	1.40, 25.00	1.51, 25.00	1.18, 27.51
Т, К	173(2)	173(2)	173(2)	173(2)	173(2)
no. of data collected	10 356	22 381	11 544	17 730	24 810
no. of obsd rfltns, $I > 2\sigma(I)$	6868	7783	7834	6587	17 997
no. of params refined	422	475	487	379	842
GOF	1.157	1.599	1.170	1.309	1.380
R1	0.0223	0.0664	0.0382	0.0557	0.0639
wR2	0.0593	0.1265	0.1011	0.1457	0.1413

<sup>a</sup> Graphite-monochromated Mo Ka radiation,  $\lambda = 0.710$  73 Å.  $R1 = \sum ||F_0| - |F_c||/\sum |F_0|$ ;  $wR2 = [\sum [w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2]/\sum [w(F_0^2)^2]]^{1/2}$ .

	9	10
formula	C <sub>39</sub> H <sub>89</sub> B <sub>12</sub> -	C <sub>39</sub> H <sub>89</sub> B <sub>12</sub> -
	DyNa <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>6</sub>	$ErNa_3Si_6$
fw	1087.83	1092.59
cryst syst	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	$P2_{1}/n$	$P2_{1}/n$
a, Å	11.980(3)	11.978(3)
b, Å	19.160(5)	19.115(4)
<i>c</i> , Å	26.411(7)	26.350(6)
$\beta$ , deg	91.890(5)	92.035(4)
V, Å <sup>3</sup>	6059(3)	6029(2)
Z	4	4
$D_{\rm calcd}$ , g cm <sup>-3</sup>	1.193	1.204
abs coeff, $mm^{-1}$	1.399	1.559
crystal dimens, mm	$0.18 \times 0.14 \times 0.06$	$0.20\times0.10\times0.04$
$2\tilde{\theta}$ range, deg	2.62 - 50	3.68 - 50
Т, К	173(2)	173(2)
decay, %	0	0
no. of data collected	29 042	28 891
no. of obsd rflns, $I > 2\sigma(I)$	10 539	10 367
no. of params refined	639	639
GOF	1.490	1.561
R1	0.0596	0.0770
wR2	0.1225	0.1594

 Table 4. Crystallographic Data<sup>a</sup> for 9 and 10

<sup>*a*</sup> Graphite-monochromated Mo *K*α radiation,  $\lambda = 0.710$  73 Å. R1 =  $\Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c||/\Sigma |F_0|$ ; wR2 =  $[\Sigma [w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2]/\Sigma [w(F_0^2)^2]]^{1/2}$ .

respectively. The detailed crystallographic parameters on all compounds can be found in the Supporting Information.

#### **Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis.** The reactions of *closo-exo*-5,6-Na(THF)<sub>2</sub>-1-Na(THF)<sub>2</sub>-2,4-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-2,4-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (1) with anhydrous LnCl<sub>3</sub> (Ln = Nd, Gd, Dy, Ho, Er, Tb, Lu) in molar ratios of 2:1 in dry benzene at 60 °C instead of 25 °C produced the full-sandwich lanthanacarborane complexes 2,2',4,4'-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>-3,5',6'-[( $\mu$ -H)<sub>3</sub>Na[(X)<sub>n</sub>(Y)<sub>m</sub>]-1,1'-*commo*-Ln( $\eta$ <sup>5</sup>-2,4-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**2**, Ln = Nd, X = THF, n = 2, Y = none; **3**, Ln = Gd, X = THF, n = 3, Y = none; **4**, Ln = Dy, X = THF, n = 1, Y = TMEDA, m = 1; **5**, Ln = Ho, X = DME, n = 1, Y = none; **6**, Ln = Er, X = THF, n = 1, Y = none; **7**, Ln = Tb, X, Y = none; **8**, Ln = Lu, X = THF, n = 2, Y = none) in 88, 86, 70, 88, 76, 93, and 88% yields, respectively. A general synthetic procedure is outlined

in Scheme 1. These results differ markedly from those obtained in the "carbons adjacent" system, where a procedure, very similar to that used in the preparation of **2–8**, gave exclusively the trinuclear clusters of the half-sandwich lanthanacarboranes and lithiacarboranes.<sup>4a-d,g,k</sup> These clusters were obtained from the roomtemperature reactions of LnCl<sub>3</sub> with *closo-exo*-5,6-Li-(THF)<sub>2</sub>-1-Li(THF)<sub>2</sub>-2,3-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>.<sup>4g</sup> It is difficult to see how either the lithium cation or lower reaction temperature would favor the half-sandwich clusters. However, the "carbons adjacent" carborane requires the use of *t*-BuLi as a deprotonating agent. Since both t-BuLi and lanthanide compounds are known to degrade THF and other oxygen-containing compounds,<sup>12,13</sup> it can be argued that such degradation reactions produce the methoxide and oxide products that effectively prevented the formation of the expected fullsandwich compounds.<sup>4g</sup> The results described in Scheme 1, in which the full-sandwich complexes (2-10) were formed under similar conditions but in the absence of *t*-BuLi, further supports this contention. This is consistent with the fact that when the TMEDA-solvated lithiacarborane closo-exo-5,6-[(µ-H)<sub>2</sub>Li(TMEDA)-1-Li(T-MEDA)-2,3-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub> was reacted with LnCl<sub>3</sub>, only the full-sandwich complexes were formed.<sup>4h</sup> On the other hand, the reaction of the larger *nido*-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>9</sub> carborane anion with LnCl<sub>3</sub> in a 2:1 molar ratio produced only the expected full-sandwich lanthanacarboranes.<sup>1,2,14</sup>

The effect of the reaction stoichiometry on the nature of the products was probed by studying the reactions of  $closo-exo-5,6-Na(THF)_2-1-Na(THF)_2-2,4-(SiMe_3)_2-2,4-C_2B_4H_4$  (1) with anhydrous LnCl<sub>3</sub> (Ln = Dy, Er), in molar ratios of 3:1, under the same experimental conditions as those used in the preparation of **2**-**8**. The products were the novel mixed metallacarborane com-

<sup>(12) (</sup>a) Jung, M. E.; Blum, R. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1977**, 3791. (b) Kamata, K.; Terashima, M. *Heterocycles* **1980**, *14*, 205. (c) Schumann, H.; Palamidis, E.; Loebel, J. J. Organomet. Chem. **1990**, *384*, C49–52. (13) Evans, W. J.; Grate, J. W.; Bloom, I.; Hunter, W. E.; Atwood, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, *107*, 405–409.

<sup>(14)</sup> Manning, M. J.; Knobler, C. B.; Khattar, R.; Hawthorne, M. F. Inorg. Chem. **1991**, *30*, 2009.





<sup>a</sup> Legend: Ln = Nd, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Lu; Ln' = Dy, Er; Solv = THF and/or TMEDA; n = 1-3.

plexes  $[Na_3][1,1'-{5,6-(\mu-H)_2-nido-2,4-(SiMe_3)_2-2,4-$ C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>}-2,2',4,4'-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>-1,1'-commo-Ln-(2,4- $C_2B_4H_4_2$ ] (9, Ln = Dy; 10, Ln = Er), obtained as yellow crystalline solids in 78 and 82% yields, respectively (see Scheme 1). In these compounds three "carbons apart" carborane ligands were found to be associated with each lanthanide metal center, two through  $\eta^5$ -bonding modes and one through a set of two Ln-H-B bonding interactions. Although these are stoichiometric analogues of tris(cyclopentadienyl)lanthanide complexes, their structures and bonding modes are quite different.<sup>15</sup> In the lanthanocenes, all three Cp ligands are  $\eta^5$  bonded to the lanthanide, giving a neutral, trigonal-planar (Cp)<sub>3</sub>Ln geometry,<sup>15</sup> while in 9 and 10 only two of the three carborane ligands are  $\eta^5$  bonded. It may be that, even in the presence of the three Na<sup>+</sup> counterions, the high total negative charge due to the three carborane ligands (6–) prevents a (tris)  $\eta^5$ -bonding interaction. Steric considerations may also be important in such cases. However, a number of sterically crowded (C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>4</sub>R)<sub>3</sub>La  $(R = Me, Et, Pr, SiMe_3)$  complexes have been synthesized and structurally characterized;<sup>16</sup> thus, it is difficult to assess the relative importance of the size of the carborane ligands in destabilizing tris complexes.

**Crystal Structures.** The structures of compounds **2**–**5** and **8** are shown in Figures 1–5, respectively, while that of **9** is given in Figure 6. Table 5 is a list of some important bond distances, and Table 6 gives some selected bond angles. All structures show that each Ln-(III) ion is associated with three carborane units, two of which are  $\eta^5$  bonded and the third of which is bonded through a set of two Ln–H–B bonds (see Figure 6). In



**Figure 1.** Perspective view of the neodymacarborane **2**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The solvated THF molecules and the exo-polyhedral SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups are drawn with thin lines.



**Figure 2.** Perspective view of the gadolinacarborane **3**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. The solvated THF molecules and the exo-polyhedral  $SiMe_3$  groups are drawn with thin lines.

the cases of compounds 2-5 and 8 these interactions led to the formation of full-sandwich metallacarborane dimers (see Figures 1–5). Each sandwich compound is also associated with a solvated Na<sup>+</sup> counterion. Because of the different solvent mixtures used in the isolation

<sup>(15) (</sup>a) Evans, W. J.; Davis, B. L. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 2119–2136. (b) Evans, W. J.; Seibel, C. A.; Ziller, J. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 6745–6752.

<sup>(16)</sup> Evans, W. J.; Davis, B. L.; Ziller, J. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 6341–6348.



**Figure 3.** Perspective view of the dysprosacarborane **4**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The solvated THF and TMEDA molecules and the exopolyhedral SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups are drawn with thin lines.



**Figure 4.** Perspective view of the holmacarborane 5. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The solvated DME molecules and the exo-polyhedral SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups are drawn with thin lines.



**Figure 5.** Perspective view of the lutetacarborane **8**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The solvated THF molecules and the exo-polyhedral SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups are drawn with thin lines.

and purification of the compounds, different kinds and numbers of solvent molecules were found to coordinate the Na<sup>+</sup> ions. For example, each Na in compounds **2** and **8** is coordinated to two THF molecules, three such molecules in compound **3**, and one THF and a TMEDA in **4**, while in **5** the solvating molecule is DME. However, the solvation environments of the Na<sup>+</sup> ions seem to have little effect on the geometry of the metallacarborane complex. The bent-sandwich geometries are a common structural feature of the *commo*-lanthanacarboranes.<sup>1,2,4,14,17</sup> Such geometries are also prevalent in the full-sandwich lanthanocenes,<sup>18</sup> as well as the early



**Figure 6.** Perspective view of the dysprosacarborane **9**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The exo-polyhedral SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups are drawn with thin lines. The cocrystallized hexane and benzene molecules are omitted for clarity.

d-group metallocenes of the type Cp<sub>2</sub>MX<sub>2</sub>.<sup>19</sup> The Cnt-(1)-Ln-Cnt(2) angles (Cnt = centroid of the particular  $C_2B_3$  face) for the full-sandwich dimers 2-5 and 8 range from 134.7° for 2 to 138.2° for 8, with an average of 136.8°, which is close to the value of 137° reported for the  $[U(Cl)_2(\eta^5-C_2B_9H_{11})_2]^{2-}$  complex<sup>1</sup> and that of 135.5° found for the dimeric mixed-sandwich complex {[Li-(TMEDA)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>{commo-1-[2,3-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>]-1-Er- $[2,4-(SiMe_3)_2-2,4-C_2B_4H_4]$ }.<sup>4e</sup> On the other hand, these angles are slightly larger than the average value of  $128.6 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$  found in "carbons-adjacent" Ln(C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Ln = Sm, Gd, Dy, Ho, Er) sandwich complexes<sup>4h</sup> and the value of 131.9° reported for  $\{Sm(THF)_2 [\eta^5 - C_2 B_9 H_{11}]_2\}^{-2}$ . The average Ln-Cnt distances decrease in the order 2  $(Nd; 2.421 \pm 0.014 \text{ Å}) > 3 (Gd; 2.395 \pm 0.055 \text{ Å}) > 4$ (Dy;  $2.311 \pm 0.012$  Å) > 5 (Ho;  $2.298 \pm 0.009$  Å) > 8 (Lu; 2.246  $\pm$  0.016 Å), which is the same as the decrease in the metal's "ionic radius", suggesting essentially electrostatic metal-carborane interactions. This same trend has been noted in the corresponding trinuclear Ln-carborane complexes<sup>4a-d,g,k</sup> and in the lanthanocenes.18

The X-ray structures of **9** and **10** show that both the complexes are isostructural, with the presence of two molecules of the particular lanthanacarborane, each associated with one *n*-hexane and 1.5 benzene molecules of crystallization in the unit cell. Therefore, only the view of **9** (Figure 6) need be shown; the structure of compound **10** can be seen in our preliminary communication.<sup>7b</sup> It is of interest to compare the structures of the two dysprosacarboranes **4** and **9**. An inspection of Figures 3 and 6 along with reference to the data in Tables 5 and 6 show that the environments around the Dy atoms in the two complexes are quite similar. The Dy–Cnt bond distances in **4** are 2.299 and 2.322 Å,

<sup>(17)</sup> Khattar, R.; Knobler, C. B.; Johnson, S. E.; Hawthorne, M. F. Inorg. Chem. **1991**, *30*, 1970.

<sup>(18) (</sup>a) Schumann, H.; Genthe, W.; Bruncks, N. Angew. Chem. **1981**, 93, 126; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1981**, 20, 119 (b) Schumann, H.; Genthe, W.; Bruncks, N.; Pickardt, J. Organometallics **1982**, 1, 1194. (c) Maginn, R. W.; Manastyrskyj, S.; Dudeck, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1963**, 85, 672. (d) Evans, W. J.; Wayda, A. L.; Hunter, W. E.; Atwood, J. L. Chem. Commun. **1981**, 292–293.

<sup>(19)</sup> Prout, K.; Cameron, T. S.; Forder, R. A.; Critchley, S. R.; Denton, B.; Rees, G. V. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B* **1974**, *30*, 2290.

Table 5. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) for 2-5 and 8-10

			Comp	ound <b>2</b> ª			
Nd-C(23)#1 Nd-C(13) Nd-B(22) Nd-B(14) Nd-B(12) Nd-B(25) Nd-B(15)	2.732(2) 2.734(2) 2.745(3) 2.750(3) 2.763(3) 2.772(3) 2.778(3)	Nd-C(21) Nd-B(25)#1 Na-O(41) Na-O(31) Nd-C(11) Nd-B(24)#1 Nd-B(24)	2.807(2) 2.837(3) 2.302(2) 2.333(2) 2.778(2) 2.779(3) 2.794(3)	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Na-B(14)} \\ \text{Na-B(15)} \\ \text{Si}(1)-C(11) \\ \text{Si}(2)-C(13) \\ \text{Si}(3)-C(21) \\ \text{Si}(4)-C(23) \\ C(11)-B(12) \end{array} $	2.717(3) 2.721(3) 1.857(2) 1.852(2) 1.863(2) 1.855(2) 1.568(4)	$\begin{array}{c} C(11)-B(15)\\ C(11)-B(16)\\ B(12)-C(13)\\ B(12)-B(16)\\ C(13)-B(14)\\ Nd-Cnt(1)\\ Nd-Cnt(2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.571(4)\\ 1.716(3)\\ 1.565(4)\\ 1.780(4)\\ 1.583(3)\\ 2.407\\ 2.435\end{array}$
			Comp	aund $3^{b}$			
$\begin{array}{c} Gd{-}B(15)\\ Gd{-}B(12)\\ Gd{-}C(23)\\ Gd{-}B(24)\#1\\ Gd{-}C(21)\\ Gd{-}B(22)\\ Gd{-}B(22)\\ Gd{-}B(14)\\ Gd{-}C(13)\\ Gd{-}B(25)\#1\\ \end{array}$	2.694(8) 2.696(8) 2.701(7) 2.701(8) 2.702(7) 2.704(8) 2.705(8) 2.710(7) 2.713(8)	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Si}(2) - {\rm C}(13) \\ {\rm Gd} - {\rm C}(11) \\ {\rm Gd} - {\rm B}(25) \\ {\rm Gd} - {\rm B}(24) \\ {\rm Si}(1) - {\rm C}(11) \\ {\rm Si}(3) - {\rm C}(23) \\ {\rm Si}(4) - {\rm C}(21) \\ {\rm Si}(3) - {\rm C}(23) \end{array}$	1.857(7) 2.715(7) 2.745(8) 2.747(8) 1.859(7) 1.857(7) 1.860(7) 1.857(7)		$\begin{array}{c} 1.860(7)\\ 2.296(7)\\ 2.297(7)\\ 2.304(7)\\ 2.738(9)\\ 2.771(8)\\ 1.565(10)\\ 2.296(7) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Na-O(31) \\ B(14)-Na\#3 \\ B(15)-Na\#3 \\ B(24)-Gd\#1 \\ B(24)-Gd\#1 \\ B(24)-Gd\#1 \\ B(24)-Gd\#1 \\ Gd-Cnt(1) \\ Gd-Cnt(2) \end{array}$	2.297(7) 2.771(8) 2.738(9) 2.701(8) 2.701(8) 2.701(8) 2.340 2.360
			Comp	ound <b>4</b> <sup>c</sup>			
$\begin{array}{c} Dy-C(11)\\ Dy-(15)\\ Dy-B(22)\#1\\ Dy-B(14)\\ Dy-C(21)\#1\\ Dy-B(12)\\ Dy-B(25) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.659(4)\\ 2.664(5)\\ 2.666(5)\\ 2.667(5)\\ 2.668(4)\\ 2.671(5)\\ 2.672(5)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Dy-C(13) \\ Dy-C(23)\#1 \\ Dy-B(24) \\ Dy-B(25)\#1 \\ Dy-B(24)\#1 \\ Na-O(41) \\ Na-N(32) \end{array}$	2.681(4) 2.686(4) 2.692(5) 2.701(5) 2.705(5) 2.365(4) 2.443(4)	$\begin{array}{c} Na-N(31) \\ Na-B(14) \\ Na-B(15) \\ Si(11)-C(11) \\ Si(12)-C(13) \\ Si(21)-C(21) \\ Si(22)-C(23) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.508(5)\\ 2.744(5)\\ 2.751(5)\\ 1.855(4)\\ 1.854(4)\\ 1.860(4)\\ 1.862(4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} C(21) - Dy \# 1 \\ B(22) - Dy \# 1 \\ C(23) - Dy \# 1 \\ B(24) - Dy \# 1 \\ B(25) - Dy \# 1 \\ Dy - Cnt(1) \\ Dy - Cnt(2) \end{array}$	2.668(4) 2.666(5) 2.686(4) 2.705(5) 2.701(5) 2.299 2.322
0			Comp	ound $5^d$		•	
$\begin{array}{l} Ho-B(15) \\ Ho-C(11) \\ Ho-C(23) \\ Ho-B(22) \\ Ho-B(25)\#1 \\ Ho-C(13) \\ Ho-B(24)\#1 \\ Ho-B(12) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.622(11)\\ 2.638(8)\\ 2.643(8)\\ 2.644(10)\\ 2.654(9)\\ 2.663(8)\\ 2.666(9)\\ 2.666(10)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Ho-C(21)\\ Ho-B(24)\\ Ho-B(14)\\ Ho-B(25)\\ Si(11)-C(11)\\ Si(12)-C(13)\\ Si(21)-C(21)\\ Si(22)-C(23) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.677(8)\\ 2.683(9)\\ 2.691(10)\\ 2.707(10)\\ 1.870(9)\\ 1.860(9)\\ 1.876(9)\\ 1.868(9)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Na - O(31) \\ Na - O(32) \\ Na - B(15) \\ Na - B(14) \\ Na - C(32) \\ Na - C(31) \\ Na - B(22) \\ B(24) - Ho#1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.188(14)\\ 2.305(17)\\ 2.639(13)\\ 2.673(12)\\ 2.99(3)\\ 3.077(19)\\ 3.124(12)\\ 2.666(9) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} B(25)-Ho\#1\\ Ho-B(15)\\ Ho-C(11)\\ Ho-C(23)\\ Ho-B(22)\\ Ho-B(25)\#1\\ Ho-Cnt(1)\\ Ho-Cnt(2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.654(9)\\ 2.622(11)\\ 2.638(8)\\ 2.643(8)\\ 2.644(10)\\ 2.654(9)\\ 2.289\\ 2.307\\ \end{array}$
			Comp	ound <b>8</b> <sup>e</sup>			
$\begin{array}{l} Lu(1)-C(13)\\ Lu(1)-C(21)\\ Lu(1)-B(25)\\ Lu(1)-B(15)\#1\\ Lu(1)-B(12)\\ Lu(1)-B(24)\\ Lu(1)-B(22)\\ Lu(1)-B(22)\\ Lu(1)-B(14)\#1\\ Lu(1)-C(23)\\ Lu(1)-C(11)\\ Lu(1)-B(14) \end{array}$	2.582(7) 2.586(6) 2.590(9) 2.611(7) 2.612(7) 2.617(8) 2.618(7) 2.620(7) 2.626(7) 2.6244(7) 2.654(7)	$\begin{array}{l} Lu(1)-B(15)\\ Lu(2)-C(31)\\ Lu(2)-B(35)\\ Lu(2)-B(34)\\ Lu(2)-C(41)\\ Lu(2)-B(42)\\ Lu(2)-C(33)\\ Lu(2)-C(43)\\ Lu(2)-B(44)\#2\\ Lu(2)-B(45)\#2 \end{array}$	2.680(7) 2.598(7) 2.600(8) 2.606(8) 2.608(7) 2.614(8) 2.620(7) 2.622(7) 2.622(7) 2.632(7)	Lu(2)-B(32) Lu(2)-B(45) Lu(2)-B(44) Na(2)-O(81) Na(2)-O(71) Na(2)-B(34) Na(2)-B(35) Na(2)-B(42) Na(3)-O(61) Na(3)-O(51)	2.635(8) 2.651(7) 2.657(8) 2.261(8) 2.283(8) 2.640(10) 2.697(10) 3.159(9) 2.238(9) 2.296(8)	$\begin{array}{l} Na(3)-B(24)\\ Na(3)-B(25)\\ Na(3)-B(12)\\ B(14)-Lu(1)\#1\\ B(15)-Lu(1)\#1\\ B(44)-Lu(2)\#2\\ B(45)-Lu(2)\#2\\ Na(3)-B(12)\\ Lu-Cnt(1)\\ Lu-Cnt(2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.671(10)\\ 2.740(9)\\ 3.172(9)\\ 2.620(7)\\ .611(7)\\ 2.621(7)\\ 2.632(7)\\ 3.172(9)\\ 2.261\\ 2.230\\ \end{array}$
			Comp	ound <b>9</b> <sup>f</sup>			
$\begin{array}{c} Dy - B(34) \\ Dy - B(15) \\ Dy - B(35) \\ Dy - C(11) \\ Dy - C(23) \\ Dy - B(24) \\ Dy - B(14) \\ Dy - B(22) \\ Dy - B(12) \\ Dy - B(25) \end{array}$	2.650(7) 2.654(7) 2.665(7) 2.669(6) 2.673(6) 2.686(7) 2.698(7) 2.719(6) 2.721(7) 2.723(7)	$\begin{array}{c} Dy-C(21)\\ Dy-C(3)\\ Si(11)-C(11)\\ Si(12)-C(13)\\ Si(21)-C(21)\\ Si(22)-C(23)\\ Si(31)-C(31)\\ Si(32)-C(33)\\ Na(1)-B(14)\\ Na(1)-B(15) \end{array}$	2.727(6) 2.741(6) 1.857(6) 1.870(6) 1.875(6) 1.846(6) 1.859(7) 1.858(7) 2.685(7) 2.695(7)	$\begin{array}{l} Na(1)-Na(1)\#1\\ Na(2)-B(32)\\ Na(2)-C(31)\\ Na(2)-C(33)\\ Na(2)-B(35)\\ Na(2)-B(34)\\ Na(2)-B(12)\\ Na(2)-B(12)\\ Na(2)-C(46)\\ Na(2)-C(45)\\ Na(2)-C(41)\\ \end{array}$	3.902(5) 2.604(8) 2.650(7) 2.694(7) 2.731(7) 2.743(8) 2.916(8) 2.938(10) 2.978(10) 3.048(10)	$\begin{array}{l} Na(3)-B(36)\\ Na(3)-B(25)\\ Na(3)B(24)\#2\\ Na(3)-B(26)\#2\\ Na(3)-B(34)\\ Na(3)-Na(3)\#2\\ B(24)-Na(3)\#2\\ B(26)-Na(3)\#2\\ B(26)-Na(3)\#2\\ Dy-Cnt(1)\\ Dy-Cnt(2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.684(8)\\ 2.716(8)\\ 2.834(7)\\ 2.866(7)\\ 3.172(8)\\ 3.604(6)\\ 2.834(7)\\ 2.866(7)\\ 2.336\\ 2.342\end{array}$
			Compo	ound <b>10</b> g		-	
Er-B(34) Er-B(15) Er-B(35) Er-C(11) Er-C(23) Er-B(24) Er-B(14) Er-B(25)	$\begin{array}{c} 2.608(10)\\ 2.620(9)\\ 2.632(10)\\ 2.642(8)\\ 2.650(8)\\ 2.668(9)\\ 2.673(9)\\ 2.696(9)\\ 2.696(9)\end{array}$	$Er-C(21) \\ Er-C(13) \\ Si(11)-C(11) \\ Si(12)-C(13) \\ Si(21)-C(21) \\ Si(22)-C(23) \\ Si(31)-C(31) \\ Si(32)-C(33) \\ N_{2}(32)-C(33) \\ N_{2}(33) \\ N_{2}(33) \\ N_{3}(33) \\ N_{3}($	2.706(8) 2.708(8) 1.859(9) 1.874(8) 1.876(8) 1.847(9) 1.862(9) 1.852(9)	Na(1)Na(1)#1 Na(2) $-B(32)$ Na(2) $-C(31)$ Na(2) $-C(33)$ Na(2) $-B(35)$ Na(2) $-B(34)$ Na(2) $-B(12)$ Na(3) $-B(36)$	3.893(7) 2.594(11) 2.644(10) 2.693(9) 2.724(10) 2.753(10) 2.897(10) 2.675(10)	$\begin{array}{c} Na(3)B(24)\#2\\ Na(3)-B(26)\#2\\ Na(3)-Na(3)\#2\\ B(24)-Na(3)\#2\\ B(26)-Na(3)\#2\\ C(62)-Na(1)\#1\\ C(65)-Na(1)\#1\\ Er-Cnt(1)\\ From Cnt(1)\\ $	2.832(10) 2.860(10) 3.597(8) 2.832(10) 2.860(10) 2.499(7) 2.326(8) 2.324
Er-B(22) Er-B(12)	2.703(8) 2.704(9)	Na(1)–B(14) Na(1)–B(15)	2.677(10) 2.691(10)	Na(3)-B(25)	2.713(10)	Er-Cnt(2)	2.305

<sup>*a*</sup> Symmetry transformation: (#1) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1. <sup>*b*</sup> Symmetry transformations: (#1) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (#2)  $-x + \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (#3)  $-x + \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ . <sup>*c*</sup> Symmetry transformations: (#1) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (#2) -x - 1, -y, -z. <sup>*d*</sup> Symmetry transformations: (#1) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z, (#2) -x - 1, -y, -z. <sup>*d*</sup> Symmetry transformations: (#1) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z, (#2) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1. <sup>*f*</sup> Symmetry transformations: (#1) -x - 2, -y + 1, -z + 1; (#2) -x - 1, -y, -z + 1. <sup>*f*</sup> Symmetry transformations: (#1) -x - 2, -y + 1, -z + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1. <sup>*f*</sup> Symmetry transformations: (#1) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (#2) -x, -y, -z + 1; (#3) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1. <sup>*f*</sup> Symmetry transformations: (#1) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (#3) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Table 6. Selected Bond Angles (deg) for Compounds 2-5 and 8-10<sup>a</sup>

Compound 2								
B(16) - C(11) - Nd	100.31(13)	B(24)#1-C(23)-Nd#1	75.72(12)	B(24)#1-C(23)B(22)#1	112.23(19)			
B(16) - B(14) - Nd	99.83(14)	C(23)#1-B(24)-B(25)#1	105.02(19)	C(21) - B(22) - C(23) # 1	105.2(2)			
B(16) - B(12) - Nd	99.16(14)	B(26)-B(22)-Nd	101.40(14)	B(26) - B(24) - Nd	99.71(14)			
B(12) - C(13) - B(14)	112.4(2)	Nd-B(25)-Nd#1	93.24(8)	C(21)#1 - B(25) - B(24)#1	105.36(19)			
B(16) - C(13) - Nd	102.38(14)	B(16) - B(15) - Nd	98.63(14)	B(26)#1-B(25)-Nd#1	97.98(14)			
B(26) - C(21) - Nd	100.69(13)	B(22) - C(21) - B(25) # 1	112.1(2)	Cnt(1)-Ln-Cnt(2)	134.7			
		Compound 2	()	(-)				
P(19) = C(11) = P(15)	111 9(6)	P(16) - C(13) - Cd	00.5(4)	P(26) - P(25) - Cd # 1	126 4(5)			
B(12) - C(11) - B(13) B(16) - C(11) - Cd	111.0(0) 100.0(4)	P(16) = P(15) = Gd	99.3(4)	B(26) - B(24) - Cd#1 B(26) - B(24) - Cd#1	120.4(3) 126 2(4)			
P(16) = P(14) = Gu	100.0(4) 07.9(4)	D(10) - D(13) - Gu D(95) - C(91) - D(99)	30.3(4) 119.7(6)	D(20) - D(24) - G(0 + 1) D(20) - D(24) - G(0 + 1)	120.3(4)			
D(10) = D(14) = Gu D(16) = D(19) = Cd	97.0(4)	D(23) = C(21) = D(22) D(26) = C(21) = Cd	112.7(0) 101 5(4)	D(20) = D(24) = Gu	97.9(4)			
B(10) = B(12) = Gu B(20) = C(22) = Cd	90.1(3)	D(20) = C(21) = Gu	101.3(4)	$\operatorname{CIII}(1)$ - $\operatorname{LII}$ - $\operatorname{CIII}(2)$	137.2			
B(20)-C(23)-Ga	101.3(4)	B(20)-B(22)-Gu	99.2(4)					
		Compound 4						
B(16)-B(12)-Dy	98.1(3)	B(26)-C(21)-Dy#1	101.5(2)	Dy-B(24)-Dy#1	92.70(14)			
B(16) - C(11) - Dy	100.2(2)	B(26)-B(22)-Dy#1	99.6(2)	Dy-B(25)-Dy#1	93.23(14)			
B(16)-B(14)-Dy	98.4(2)	B(26)-C(23)-Dy#1	100.9(2)	Cnt(1)-Ln-Cnt(2)	136.9			
B(16) - B(15) - Dy	35.42(14)	B(26)-B(24)-Dy	125.5(3)					
		Compound 5						
B(16)-C(13)-Ho	99.0(5)	B(26)-C(21)-Ho	100.1(5)	B(26)-C(23)-Ho	101.9(5)			
B(16)-B(14)-Ho	97.2(5)	B(16)-B(12)-Ho	97.4(5)	B(26)-B(25)-Ho	97.5(5)			
B(26)-B(22)-Ho	99.5(5)	B(16)-C(11)-Ho	100.7(5)	Cnt(1)-Ln-Cnt(2)	137.1			
		Compound 8						
B(16) - B(14) - Lu(1)	97 8(4)	B(26) - C(21) - Lu(1)	100 5(4)	B(26) - B(25) - Lu(1)	104 7(6)			
B(26) - B(22) - Lu(1)	97.0(4)	B(16) - B(15) - Lu(1) #1	125 3(5)	B(26) - B(24) - Lu(1)	96 9(4)			
B(16) - C(11) - Lu(1)	99 5(4)	B(16) - B(15) - Lu(1)	96 5(4)	B(16) - B(12) - Lu(1)	99.3(4)			
B(16) - C(13) - Lu(1)	102 0(4)	B(26) - C(23) - Lu(1)	98 0(4)	Cnt(1) - In - Cnt(2)	138.2			
$I_{u(1)} = R(14) - I_{u(1)}$	93 8(2)	B(20) C(20) Eu(1)	00.0(4)		100.2			
	55.6( <i>L</i> )							
D(99) C(91) D	00.0(0)	Compound 9	00.1(0)	$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}(1 \mathbf{f}) \mathbf{N} \mathbf{I}(1)$	110 7(0)			
B(26) - C(21) - Dy	99.9(3)	B(16) - C(13) - Dy	99.1(3)	Dy - B(15) - Na(1)	113.7(3)			
B(16) - C(11) - Dy	101.5(4)	B(26) - B(22) - Dy	98.5(4)	Dy - B(35) - Na(2)	100.4(2)			
B(16) - B(14) - Dy	98.6(4)	B(26) - B(25) - Dy	98.3(4)	Cnt(1)-Ln-Cnt(2)	131.0			
B(16) - B(12) - Dy	98.3(4)	B(26)-C(23)-Dy	101.9(3)					
Compound 10								
B(16)-B(15)-Er	100.9(5)	B(26)-C(21)-Er	99.6(5)	B(36)-C(31)-Na(2)	102.6(5)			
B(16)-C(11)-Er	101.3(5)	B(16)-B(14)-Er	98.5(5)	B(36)-C(33)-Na(2)	100.5(5)			
B(26)-B(25)-Er	98.0(5)	B(26)-B(22)-Er	97.8(5)	Er-B(12)-Na(2)	95.1(3)			
B(16)-B(12)-Er	97.5(5)	B(26)-C(23)-Er	101.8(5)	Er-B(15)-Na(1)	114.6(3)			
B(16)-C(13)-Er	99.0(5)	B(36)-B(34)-Er	122.8(6)	Cnt(1)-Ln-Cnt(2)	130.9			

<sup>a</sup> See Table 5 for definitions of the symmetry transformations.

which are slightly smaller than the equivalent distances of 2.336 and 2.342 Å in **9**, while the Cnt–Dy–Cnt bond angle in **4** is larger than that found in **9** (136.9° compared to 131.0°). The Dy–B(34,35) distance in **9** is 2.657  $\pm$  0.007 Å, compared to 2.703  $\pm$  0.002 Å for the equivalent distances in **4**, indicating a closer approach of the bridging carborane in **9**. The closer approach of the  $\eta^2$ -bonded carborane and the larger bond angle and bond distances in **9** are all internally consistent, but there is no way of assessing which of these is the dominant interaction and which are consequences.

In all cases each lanthanide metal atom is associated with three carboranes, two of which are  $\eta^5$  bonded and the other being  $\eta^2$  bonded. When excess carborane ligand is present, it is incorporated as the  $\eta^2$ -bonding group; otherwise, dimeric compounds, such as **2**–**8**, are formed.

**IR and NMR Spectroscopy.** All compounds were also characterized by IR spectroscopy and elemental analyses. Except for the fact that the analytical samples contain no hexane in **9** and one additional THF molecule of solvation in **8**, the results of these analyses are consistent with the crystal structures. The IR spectra of the lanthanacarboranes all exhibit well-resolved multiple terminal B–H stretching vibrations in the 2270–2590 cm<sup>-1</sup> range. Such fine structures of B–H stretching bands have been previously observed in other

closo- and commo-lanthanacarboranes and lithiacarborane. They have been explained on the basis of unequal interactions of the boron-bound hydrogens with metal groups present in the complex.<sup>4g,h,j</sup> Because of the strong paramagnetism of complexes 2-7, 9 and 10, no useful NMR spectra could be obtained. However, the diamagnetic lutetium complex 8 was found to provide interpretable NMR data. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 8 showed two broad singlets at  $\delta$  3.71 and 1.56 ppm due to the CH<sub>2</sub> groups of THF and two singlets at  $\delta$  0.74 and 0.68 ppm which were assigned to the CH<sub>3</sub> protons of the SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum showed the presence of the cage carbons and THF molecules, plus two quartets due to the CH<sub>3</sub> carbon atoms of the SiMe<sub>3</sub> groups at  $\delta$  2.19 and 1.84 ppm, respectively. The resonance doublets for the SiMe3 hydrogens and carbons indicate two different chemical environments for the trimethylsilyl groups. Reference to Figure 5 shows two different sets of carborane groups in compound 8, which could account for the multiple resonances. The <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectra show broad peaks at  $\delta$  28.5 and 7.0 ppm and a well-resolved doublet at  $\delta$  –47.3 ppm (apical B) in a 1:2:1 ratio. While all three of these should be doublets due to the presence of the cage hydrogens, the broadness of the two downfield resonances could prevent their resolution. This 1:2:1 peak area pattern and the chemical shifts are very similar to that of the precursor closo-

exo-5,6-Na(THF)<sub>2</sub>-1-Na(THF)<sub>2</sub>-2,4-(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-2,4- $C_2B_4H_4$ , which shows a set of three doublets at  $\delta$  16.3, 5.4, and -54.2 ppm.<sup>8</sup> It has been observed that the replacement of an apical group 1 element such as sodium by p- or d-block metal groups gives rise to significant deshielding of the apical boron, B(6), resulting in a large downfield shift of its resonance from  $\delta$  $\sim$ -50 ppm to the  $\delta$  -10 to 0 ppm range, while the basal boron resonances experience much smaller chemical shift changes. The relatively large apical boron shifts on metalation have been qualitatively explained by noting that the capping metal and the apical boron compete for electron density in the C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub> bonding face and that covalent metal-carborane interactions will tend to drain electron density away from the apical boron toward the metal group, resulting in a downfield shift in its resonance.<sup>20</sup> Therefore, the small change of the chemical shift of the apical boron resonance on coordination to Lu is consistent with the predominantly ionic lanthanide-carborane interaction. It should also be pointed out that there is no definitive way of proving that the solid-state structure of compound 8, shown in Figure 5, is a valid representation of the solution species. However, the solubility of the lanthanacarboranes in solvents such as benzene indicate that there is not extensive ionization in solution.

**Magnetic Susceptibilities.** The molar magnetic susceptibilities of compounds **9** and **10** followed the Curie–Weiss law,  $\chi = C/(T - \Theta)$ , with  $\Theta \approx 0$  K, indicating only very weak magnetic interactions. The Curie constants, *C*, correspond to effective magnetic moments of 10.4 and 9.76  $\mu_{\rm B}$  per atom for Dy<sup>3+</sup> (**9**) and Er<sup>3+</sup> (**10**) with their <sup>6</sup>H<sub>15/2</sub> and <sup>4</sup>I<sub>15/2</sub> ground states, respectively. The results indicate that crystal field effects play little or no role in the temperature range studied and that free ion behavior can be safely assumed. This was the same conclusion reached earlier for Dy and Er complexes.<sup>21</sup>

**EPR Spectroscopy.** Compounds **2**, **3**, and **5** were studied by X-band EPR spectroscopy at 4 K as polycrystalline powders or in glassy frozen solutions (THF for **3** or benzene/toluene mixtures for **2** and **5**). The diholmium complex **5** (Ho<sup>3+</sup>:  ${}^{5}I_{8}$  ground state) showed no EPR response between 0.1 and 1.0 T magnetic field range. The dineodymium compound **2** (Nd<sup>3+</sup>:  ${}^{4}I_{9/2}$  ground state) exhibits line-rich EPR spectra between 0.05 and 1.0 T with considerable differences between solid and frozen-solution samples, suggesting effects of

spin-spin coupling between the two 4f<sup>3</sup> centers. An EPR analysis of **2** must therefore rely on corresponding studies of related mononuclear compounds, which we plan to synthesize and investigate in the future.

The digadolinium compound **3** shows a single, very broad (0.2 mT) EPR signal centered at g = 2.030 (solid) or g = 2.038 (frozen THF solution). This result differs from observations of partially resolved spectra reported by us<sup>4h</sup> and others.<sup>22</sup> Because of the relatively simple configuration of Gd<sup>3+</sup> (4f<sup>7</sup>: <sup>8</sup>S<sub>7/2</sub> ground state) and its relevance for MRI enhancement the EPR spectra of mononuclear and dinuclear gadolinium complexes have been tentatively analyzed in terms of zero-field splitting contributions.<sup>22</sup> Unfortunately, the absence of spectral structuring and the broadness of the signal preclude any detection of possible intermetallic interactions, either within the molecule **3** (3.969 Å Gd–Gd distance) or between molecules (smallest intermolecular Gd–Gd distance 14.291 Å).

# Conclusions

The reactions of the "carbons-apart" *closo-exo*-5,6-Na- $(THF)_2$ -1-Na $(THF)_2$ -2,4- $(SiMe_3)_2$ -2,4- $C_2B_4H_4$  (1) with a number of LnCl<sub>3</sub> salts, in molar ratios of 2:1 and 3:1, produced full-sandwich lanthanacarborane complexes in which each Ln(III) occupies the apical positions above the open faces of two carborane dianions and is bonded to a third by two Ln-H-B bridges. If excess carborane is not available, this bonding pattern is achieved by dimerization (compounds **2**–**5** and **8**).

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported by grants from the National Science Foundation (Nos. CHE-9988045 and CHE-0241319), the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, The Robert A. Welch Foundation (No. N-1322 to J.A.M.), and Northern Illinois University through a Presidential Research Professorship. N.S.H. gratefully acknowledges the Forschungspreis der Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung (Bonn, Germany).

**Supporting Information Available:** Tables of crystallographic data, including fractional coordinates, bond lengths and angles, anisotropic displacement parameters, and hydrogen atom coordinates, for **2–5** and **8–10**; these data are also available as CIF files. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

# OM030426X

<sup>(20)</sup> Maguire, J. A.; Ford, G. P.; Hosmane, N. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 3354.

<sup>(21)</sup> Birmingham, J. M.; Wilkinson, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 42–44.

<sup>(22) (</sup>a) Rizzi, A.; Baggio, R.; Calvo, R.; Garland, M. T.; Pena, O.; Perec, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 3623–3625. (b) Avecilla, F.; Platas-Iglesias, C.; Rodriguez-Cortinas, R.; Guillemot, G.; Bünzli, J.-C. G.; Brondino, C. D.; Geraldes, C. F. G. C.; de Blas, A.; Rodriguez-Blas, T. *Dalton* **2002**, 4658–4665.