

Volume 22, Number 26, December 22, 2003

© Copyright 2003 American Chemical Society

Communications

Triple-Hydrogen-Bridged Dititanium(III) and **Dizirconium(IV)** Aryloxide Complexes

Tsukasa Matsuo and Hiroyuki Kawaguchi*

Coordination Chemistry Laboratories, Institute for Molecular Science, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan

Received September 1, 2003

Summary: The triple-hydrogen-bridged dititanium(III) complex was prepared by the reaction of the titanium-(IV) aryloxide complex with LiBHEt₃, while a similar reaction using the zirconium aryloxide complex gave the triple-hydrogen-bridged dizirconium(IV) complex. The titanium(III) dimer was found to be diamagnetic, and its dynamic behavior in solution was revealed by NMR studies.

Group 4 metal hydrides have attracted great attention for the wide range of insertion reactions into the metalhydride bond,¹ silane polymerization,² and nitrogen fixation.³ This rich chemistry is dominated by group 4 metal complexes based on cyclopentadienyl ligands.⁴ In contrast, relatively little is known about the synthesis and chemistry of non-cyclopentadienyl group 4 metal hydride complexes, especially Ti(III) hydride complexes. Recently, Cloke reported the synthesis and structure of $[Ti_2{(Me_3SiNCH_2CH_2)_2NSiMe_3}_2(\mu-H)_2]$, which is only one example of a non-cyclopentadienyl Ti(III) hydride complex.⁵

The tridentate aryloxide ligand [^tBu-L]^{3–} (H₃[^tBu-L] = 2,6-bis(4-*tert*-butyl-6-methylsalicyl)-4-*tert*-butylphenol) has been employed by us to prepare a series of group 5 complexes.⁶ For example, the reaction of [{Nb- $(^{t}Bu-L)Cl_{2}_{2}$ with LiBHEt₃ under N₂ afforded the nitride complex [{Nb($^{t}Bu-L$)(μ -N)Li(thf)}] along with the cleavage of dinitrogen.^{6a} In this context, we were interested in extending this chemistry to titanium and zirconium.⁷ In this paper we report that treatment of ^tBu-L complexes of titanium and zirconium with Li-BHEt₃ afforded the triple-hydride-bridged Ti(III) and Zr(IV) dimers $[Li_3(thf)_3{Ti(^tBu-L)}_2(\mu-H)_3]$ (2) and $[{Li_2(thf)_4Cl}{Zr(^tBu-L)}_2(\mu-H)_3]$ (4), respectively.

Addition of 5 equiv of LiBHEt₃ in THF to a toluene solution of $[{Ti(^{t}Bu-L)Cl}_{2}]$ (1) at -98 °C led to the formation of a dark greenish brown solution, from which 2 was obtained as brown crystals in 50% isolated yield (Scheme 1).⁸ During the course of the reaction, LiBHEt₃ is a hydride transfer reagent as well as a reductant, and the metal center is reduced from Ti(IV) to Ti(III). While 2 is stable in the solid state under an inert atmosphere and in solution for extended periods at low temperatures, it is unstable in solution at room temperature, slowly undergoing degradation into uncharacterized products. A measurement of the solution magnetic

10.1021/om034147l CCC: \$25.00 © 2003 American Chemical Society Publication on Web 11/25/2003

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: hkawa@ ims.ac.jp

⁽¹⁾ Wolczanski, P. T.; Bercaw, J. E. Acc. Chem. Res. **1980**, *13*, 121– 127.

<sup>127.
(2)</sup> Tilley, T. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 22–29.
(3) (a) Pool, J. A.; Lobkovsky, E.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2003, 125, 2241–2251. (b) Chirik, P. J.; Henling, L. M.; Bercaw, J. E. Organometallics 2001, 20, 534–544. (c) Fryzuk, M. D.; Love, J. B.; Retting, S. J.; Young, V. G. Science 1997, 275, 1445–1447.
(4) Hoskin, A. J.; Stephane, D. W. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2002, 233–234 107–129

^{234, 107-129.}

⁽⁵⁾ Love, J. B.; Clark, H. C. S.; Cloke, F. G. N.; Green, J. C.; Hitchcock, P. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6843-6849.

^{(6) (}a) Kawaguchi, H.; Matsuo, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2792–2794. (b) Matsuo, T.; Kawaguchi, H. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 6090–6098. (c) Kawaguchi, H.; Matsuo, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 1000–10008. 125, 14254–14255.

⁽⁷⁾ Matsuo, T.; Kawaguchi, H.; Sakai, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 2536–2540.



susceptibility (Evans method, 233–298 K) indicates that **2** is diamagnetic. Additionally, the hydride signals in the ¹H NMR spectra of **2** did not show any significant shift as a function of temperature (vide infra), thus excluding the presence of a small underlying paramagnetism again.⁵ For a structural study, $[Li_3(thf)_2(Et_2O)-{Ti(^{tBu-L})}_2(\mu-H)_3]$ (**2-Et_2O**), in which one of the THF molecules was replaced by an Et₂O molecule (Figure 1), was prepared by addition of Et₂O to a toluene solution of **2**.^{9,10}

A single-crystal X-ray structural determination confirmed the dimeric nature of 2, and the molecule lies on a crystallographic mirror plane passing through the atoms Li(1), H(1), H(2), and H(3). The opposing ^tBu-L ligands on the titanium centers are thus required to be eclipsed. A striking feature of the structure is the presence of the novel $[{Ti(^{t}Bu-L)}_{2}(\mu-H)_{3}]^{3-}$ dimer, which adopts a face-sharing bioctahedral geometry. To our knowledge, there is no precedent for a titanium dimer bridged by three hydrides. Deviation from ideal octahedral geometry around Ti is indicated by the H(3)-Ti-O(2) angle of 146.4(8)°. The three lithiums are not innocent countercations but are bound strongly to the aryloxides. One Li(1) atom bridges the two central aryloxides (O(2) and O(2')), while the remaining two Li atoms are accommodated inside the cavity of the ^tBu-L ligand, binding two outer aryloxides of the ligand. The trigonal-planar coordination around each lithium is completed by THF or Et₂O. The Ti-Ti distance of 2.621-(1) Å is comparable to the sum of titanium covalent radii (2.64 Å) but longer than in [{($^{t}Bu_{3}SiNH$)Ti}₂(μ -NSi ^{t}Bu)₂] (2.442(1) Å)¹¹ and [(1,4-(Me₃Si)₂-cot)₂Ti₂] (2.326(2) Å).¹²

(9) The structure of 2 is analogous to that of 2-Et₂O



Figure 1. Structure of **2**. Selected interatomic distances (Å): Ti-Ti' = 2.6210(10), Ti-H(1) = 1.94(3), Ti-H(2) = 1.92(3), Ti-H(3) = 1.94(3), Ti-O(1) = 2.010(2), Ti-O(2) = 1.954(2), Ti-O(3) = 2.044(2).

Longer Ti–Ti interactions ranging from 2.73 to 3.46 Å have been observed in the hydride-bridged titanium dimers.^{5,13} When the diamagnetism of this complex is taken into account, the short Ti–Ti distance might be explained by the formation of a metal–metal σ bond. Although the metal–metal distance alone is not a good criterion, a qualitative picture of the electronic structure of the face-sharing bioctahedral complexes¹⁴ indicates that there is a σ -bonding interaction between two Ti centers in **2**. The acute Ti–H–Ti angles ranging from 84.8(15) to 86.3(16)° are in keeping with the idea of a Ti–Ti bonding interaction. The Ti–H bond distances (1.92(3)–1.94(3) Å) are at the upper part of the range of those previously reported in bridging hydrides (1.71– 2.08 Å).^{5,13}

The structure of 2 observed in the solid state is persistent in solution. In the variable-temperature ¹H NMR spectra of **2** in toluene- d_8 between 213 and 298 K, the resonances from the ^tBu-L ligand are essentially invariant. The methylene protons of the ligand are observed as two doublets. At low temperature (233 K), the hydride resonances appears as a doublet and a triplet with 2:1 integration at 16.6 and -1.33 ppm, coupled with each other with a 12.0 Hz coupling constant. When the sample is warmed to room temperature, the two hydride resonances broaden and shift slightly (16.7 and -1.12 ppm, 298 K). Unfortunately, the thermal instability of 2 prevents us from acquiring the high-temperature NMR spectra. The breadth of the hydride signals suggests that a slow exchange process is operative at room temperature. Thus, we have studied the dynamic behavior of $\mathbf{2}$ by variable-temperature ¹H NMR line shape analysis (243-298 K) of the two hydride resonances, which gave the following activation parameters: $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 6.00 \ (\pm 0.24) \ \text{kcal mol}^{-1}; \ \Delta S^{\ddagger} = 28.4$ (± 0.9) cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. The positive entropy of activation

⁽⁸⁾ A THF (1.0 M) solution of LiBHEt₃ (12.5 mL, 12.5 mmol) was added to **1** (2.91 g, 2.50 mmol) in toluene (60 mL) at -98 °C. The reaction mixture turned from dark red to dark greenish brown along with the evolution of H₂. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and was stirred for 12 h. The resulting greenish brown suspension was centrifuged, and the precipitate was extracted with toluene (60 mL). The combined supernatant was concentrated and stored at -30 °C to give **2** as brown needles (1.67 g, 50%). ¹H NMR (toluene-*d*₈, 298 K): δ 16.7 (s, 2 H, μ -H), 7.18 (s, 4 H, Ar H), 7.12 (s, 4 H, Ar H), 6.89 (s, 4 H, Ar H), 5.30 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 4 H, CH₂), 3.41 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 4 H, CH₂), 3.02 (br s. THF), 2.22 (s, 12 H, Me), 1.57 (s, 36 H, Bu), 1.10 (br s. THF), 1.08 (s, 18 H, Bu), -1.12 (s, 1 H, μ -H). ⁷Li NMR (toluene-*d*₈, 298 K): δ 0.42 (s, 1 Li), -2.65 (s, 2 Li). Anal. Calcd for C₈₀H₁₁₃-Li₃O₉Ti₂: C, 71.96; H, 8.53. Found: C, 71.08; H, 7.86.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Data for **2** (173 K): space group *C2/m*; *a* = 19.516(8) Å, *b* = 30.102(13) Å, *c* = 15.339(6) Å, β = 96.149(8) °; *V* = 8959.3(65) Å³; *Z* = 4; 35 838 measured reflections ($2\theta_{max} = 55^{\circ}$), 10 453 unique reflections; R1 = 0.093 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$); wR2 = 0.220 (all data). Data for **2**-Et₂**O** (173 K): space group *C2/m*; *a* = 19.453(6) Å, *b* = 29.861(8) Å, *c* = 15.260(5) Å, β = 95.550(4)°; *V* = 8822.7(44)Å³; *Z* = 4; 34 881 measured reflections; ($2\theta_{max} = 55^{\circ}$), 10 258 unique reflections; R1 = 0.078 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$); wR2 = 0.184 (all data). The hydrides were located from the different Fourier map, and their positions were refined, although the thermal parameters remained fixed.

⁽¹¹⁾ Cummins, C. C.; Schaller, C. P.; van Duyne, G. D.; Wolczanski, P. T.; Edith Chan, A. W.; Hoffmann, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 2985–2994.

⁽¹²⁾ Horácek, M.; Kupfer, V.; Thewalt, U.; Stepnicka, P.; Polásek, M.; Mach, K. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, *584*, 286–292.

^{(13) (}a) Hagadorn, J. R.; McNevin, M. J. Organometallics 2003, 22, 609–611. (b) Xin, S.; Woo, H. G.; Harrod, J. F.; Samuel, E.; Lebuis, A.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 5307–5313. (c) Varga, V.; Mach, K.; Polásek, M.; Sedmera, P.; Hiller, J.; Thewalt, U.; Troyanov, S. I. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 506, 241–251. (d) Xin, S.; Harrod, J. F.; Samuel, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 11562–11563. (e) Gauvin, F.; Britten, J.; Samuel, E.; Harrod, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 1489–1491. (f) Guggenberger, L. J.; Tebbe, F. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 7870–7872.

^{(14) (}a) Dedieu, A.; Albright, T. A.; Hoffmann, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 3141–3151. (b) Chen, L.; Cotton, F. A.; Dunbar, K. R.; Feng, X.; Heintz, R. A.; Uzelmeir, C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 7358–7363.



may be interpreted in terms of the dissociation of the bridging Li(1) cation in the transition state for hydride exchange. The characteristic hydride resonances are absent in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the trideuteride complex (2-d₃) prepared in the reaction of 1 and LiB-DEt₃. In addition, the ¹H NMR spectrum of a mixture of **2** and **2**-**d**₃ shows no evidence of any H/D exchange. The ⁷Li NMR spectrum in toluene-*d*₈ consists of two singlets at 0.42 and -2.65 ppm in a 1:2 ratio. The upfield resonance is due to shielding anisotropy effects induced by the close proximity of Li(2) and Li(2') to the center of the phenyl rings of the ligand.

In parallel studies, we are examining ^tBu-L complexes of zirconium. A similar reaction of [Zr(^tBu-L)Cl(thf)₂] (3) with LiBHEt₃ afforded 4 as colorless crystals in 59% yield (Scheme 2),¹⁵ in which the Zr(IV) oxidation state is retained. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **4** in toluene- d_8 is consistent with a species of high symmetry. In contrast to 2, the three hydrides are equivalent on the NMR time scale and appear as a singlet at 5.91 ppm. The ⁷Li NMR spectrum displays a single resonance at -0.18 ppm.

The structure of 4 was clarified by a single-crystal X-ray analysis (Figure 2).¹⁶ The molecule contains the $[{\rm Zr}({}^{\rm t}{\rm Bu-L})]_2(\mu-{\rm H})_3]^-$ dimeric unit, which is analogous to that found in **2**. For **4**, one [Li-Cl-Li]⁺ moiety spans the two central aryloxides (O(2) and O(5)) of the ligands. The accommodation of the $[Li-Cl-Li]^+$ moiety requires two (^tBu-L)Zr fragments to be staggered at the O(2)-Zr(1)-Zr(2)-O(5) torsion angle of 53.1(2)°. Furthermore, each Zr center adopts a more trigonal-prismatic structure. The Zr–Zr separation of 3.163(1) Å is similar to those of $[Cp_{2}Zr_{2}(\mu-H)_{3}Cl_{3}(PMe_{3})]$ (3.126(1) Å)¹⁷ and $[Zr_{2}-PMe_{3}]$ $(\mu$ -H)₃(BH₄)₅(PMe₃)₂] (3.124(1) Å).¹⁸ In comparison with

(all data). The hydrides were located from the different Fourier map and refined isotropically.

(17) van den Hende, J.; Hessen, B.; Meetsma, A.; Teuben, J. H. Organometallics **1990**, *9*, 537–539.



Figure 2. Structure of 4. Selected interatomic distances (Å): Zr(1)-Zr(2) = 3.1630(10), Zr(1)-H(1) = 2.10(3), Zr-(1)-H(2) = 1.95(4), Zr(1)-H(3) = 1.97(3), Zr(2)-H(1) =1.92(3), Zr(2) - H(2) = 2.03(4), Zr(2) - H(3) = 1.98(3), Zr(1) -O(1) = 1.967(3), Zr(1) - O(2) = 1.967(3), Zr(1) - O(3) = 1.967(3), Zr(2)-O(4) = 1.958(3), Zr(2)-O(5) = 2.045(3), Zr(2)-O(5) = 2O(6) = 1.973(3).

2, the angles at the hydride ligands are ca. 20° larger in **4**. The Zr–H distances fall in the ranges of those of other Zr bridging hydrides.¹⁷⁻²¹

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the tridentate aryloxide ligand can stabilize the Ti(III) and Zr-(IV) dimers, which are rare examples of triple-hydridebridging early transition metals. The facile synthesis of **2** and **4** provides us with a good opportunity to examine differences in the reactivity between d^1-d^1 and d^0-d^0 metal systems and to compare this reactivity with that of complexes bearing cyclopentadienyl ligands. The reactivity of these complexes is an ongoing study.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully thank Prof. Kazuyuki Tatsumi and Dr. Yasuhiro Ohki (Nagoya University) for valuable discussions. This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 14703008) and Priority Areas (Nos. 14078101 "Reaction Control of Dynamic Complexes") from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan.

Supporting Information Available: Text, tables, and figures giving experimental details, analytical data, spectroscopic data, and preliminary calculation results for 2-Et₂O, 2, and 4; X-ray crystallographic data are available in electronic format as CIF files. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OM034147L

⁽¹⁵⁾ A THF (1.0 M) solution of LiBHEt₃ (1.50 mL, 1.50 mmol) was added to **3** (0.43 g, 0.55 mmol) in THF (20 mL). The mixture was warmed to room temperature and was stirred for 12 h. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was extracted with toluene (20 mL). The solution was centrifuged, and then the supernatant was concentrated and stored at -30 °C to give colorless crystals of **4** (0.25 g, 59%). ¹H NMR (toluene- d_8 , 298 K): δ 7.27 (s, 4 H, Ar H), 7.06 (s, 8 H, Ar H × 2), 5.91 (s, 3 H, μ -H), 4.98 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 4 H, CH₂), 3.55 (br s. THF), 3.54 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 4 H, CH₂), 2.30 (s, 12 H, Me), 1.48 (s, 36 H, 'Bu), 1.38 (br s. THF), 0.96 (s, 18 H, 'Bu). ¹Li NMR (toluene- d_8 , 298 K): δ -0.18 (s). Anal. Calcd for C₈₄H₁₂₁Li₂O₁₀ClZr₂·C₇H₈: C, 67.69; H, 8.05. Found: C, 67.64; H, 8.04. (16) Data for **4** (173 K): space group *P*I; *a* = 15.271(6) Å, *b* = 17.876-(6) Å, *c* = 20.872(7) Å, α = 94.392(4)°, β = 106.356(3)°, γ = 108.872-(4)°; *V* = 5084.1(31) Å³; *Z* = 2; 39 248 measured reflections (2 θ_{max} = 55°), 21 602 unique reflections; R1 = 0.065 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$); wR2 = 0.130 (all data). The hydrides were located from the different Fourier map tion of the solvent, the residue was extracted with toluene (20 mL).

⁽¹⁸⁾ Gozum, J. E.; Girolami, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3829 - 3837.

^{(19) (}a) Liu, X.; Wu, Z.; Peng, Z.; Wu, Y.-D.; Xue, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **199**, *121*, 5350–5351. (b) Driess, M.; Aust, J.; Merz, K.; van Wüllen, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1999**. *38*, 3677–3680. (c) Etkin, N.; Hoskin, A. J.; Stephan, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, *119*, 11420– 11424

⁽²⁰⁾ Fryzuk, M. D.; Retting, S. T.; Westerhaus, A.; Williams, H. D. (21) Hagadorn, J. R.; McNevin, M. J.; Weidenfeld, G.; Shoemaker,

R. Organometallics 2003, 22, 4818-4824.