Cationic (η^{6} -Arene)tricarbonylmanganese Linked to Ferrocene Complexes

Béatrice Jacques, Jean-Philippe Tranchier, Françoise Rose-Munch,* and Eric Rose*

Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique et Organométallique, UMR CNRS 7611, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Tour 44 1^{er} étage, BP 181, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

G. Richard Stephenson

Wolfson Materials and Catalysis Centre, School of Chemical Sciences and Pharmacy, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, U.K.

Carine Guyard-Duhayon

Laboratoire de Chimie Inorganique et Matériaux Moléculaires, Unité de Recherche 7071-CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 Place Jussieu, BP 42, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

Received September 12, 2003

Palladium-catalyzed Sonogashira coupling reactions of the neutral $[\eta^5(1-5)-(1-\text{chloro-4-methoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl}]$ tricarbonylmanganese(I) (2) and 2-bromo-5-{ $[\eta^5(1-5)-(4-\text{methoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl}]$ tricarbonylmanganese(I) }thiophene (13) with the ethynylferrocene derivative 5 give the dinuclear complexes 1-(ferrocenylethynyl) $[\eta^5(1-5)-(4-\text{methoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl})]$ tricarbonylmanganese(I) (6) and 2-(ferrocenylethynyl)-5- $[\eta^5(1-5)-(4-\text{methoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl})]$ tricarbonylmanganese]thiophene (10). Similarly, condensation of 2 with 2-(ferrocenylethynyl)-5-ethynylthiophene (18) affords the dinuclear complex 19. These complexes are valuable precursors, upon hydride abstraction, of the corresponding η^6 -arene cations 7, 11, and 20. The structure of one of them, 1-(ferrocenylethynyl)[$\eta^6(1-6)-(4-\text{methoxybenzene})$]tricarbonylmanganese(I) tetrafluoroborate (7), has been investigated by X-ray diffraction.

Introduction

Organometallic chromophores have recently received increasing attention, motivated by the need to probe the properties of electron delocalization when the two end groups are linked with extended π conjugation. When they contain the main features donor $-\pi$ system acceptor, they offer a large diversity of tunable electronic behavior due to the size, nature, and redox ability of the transition-metal atoms,^{1,2} which confer to them interesting properties: for example, optoelectronical and electrical applications.³ A small number of heterobimetallic complexes with such a form have been described in the literature and usually contain, as end groups, metallocenyl derivatives (metal = Fe,⁴ Ru,⁵) and Cr or W complexes.⁶ As for conjugated dinuclear complexes containing cationic Mn complexes, to our knowledge, only two articles have been reported.^{7a,b} The first complexes of type A (Figure 1) contain a cationic tricarbonyl(thiophene)manganese group linked to a ferrocene or to an $(\eta^6$ -arene)tricarbonylchromium group by a conjugated double-bond system.^{7a,b} Those of type



Figure 1. Dinuclear complexes containing cationic Mn complexes.

B (Figure 1) have a cationic (η^6 -arene)tricarbonylmanganese group conjugated with an (η^6 -arene)tricarbon-

⁽¹⁾ Goovaerts, E.; Wenseleers, W. E.; Garcia, M. H.; Cross, G. H. *Handbook of Advanced Electronic and Photonic Materials and Devices*, Nalwa, H. S., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 2001; Vol. 9, Chapter 3, pp 127–191.

⁽a) Long, N. J.; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 21–38.
(b) Marder, S. R. Inorganic Materials, 2nd ed.; Bruce, D. W., O'Hare, D., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1996; Chapter 3, pp 122–169.

^{(3) (}a) Plyta, Z.; Prim, D.; Tranchier, J.-P.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 6769-6771. (b) Lee, I. S.; H. Seo, H.; Chung, Y. K. Organometallics 1999, 18, 1091-1096. (c) Balavoine, G. G. A.; Daran, J. C.; Iftime, G.; Lacroix, P. G.; Manoury, E.; Delaire, J. A.; Maltey-Fanton, I.; Nakatani, K.; Di Bella, S. Organometallics 1999, 18, 21-29. (d) Jayprakash, K. N.; Ray, P. C.; Matsuoka, I.; Bhadbhade, M. M.; Puranik, V. G.; Das, P. K.; Nishihara, H.; Sarkar, A. Organometallics 1999, 18, 3851-3858. (e) Heck, J.; Dabeck, S.; Meyer-Friedrichsen, T.; Wong, H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1999, 190-192, 1217-1254. (f) Le Bozec, H.; Renouard, T. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 229-239. (g) Farrell, T.; Meyer-Friedrichsen, T.; Heck, J.; Manning, A. R. Organometallics 2000, 19, 3410-3419. (h) Prim, D.; Auffrant, A.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E.; Stephenson, G. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 3311-3313. (j) Mata, J. A.; Peris, E.; Llusar, R.; Uriel, S.; Cifuentes, M. P.; Humphrey, M. G.; Samoc, M.; Luther-Davies, B. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 2113-2122. (k) Demadis, K. D.; Hartshorn, C., Y. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 2113-2122. (k) Demadis, K. D.; Hartshorn, C. M.; Meyer, T. J. Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 2655-2685. (l) Mata, J. A.; Peris, E.; Uriel, S.; Llusar, R.; Asselberghs, I.; Persoons, A. Polyhedron 2001, 20, 2083-2088. (m) Meyer-Friedrichsen, T.; Wong, H.; Prosenc, M. H.; Heck, J. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 5, 936-946.



Complexes



ylchromium entity.^{7a} In both cases, the last step of the synthesis of the final complex consists of the coordination of the carbonylmanganese entity to the arene ring, a process which usually requires severe reaction conditions. An alternative procedure has used a transfer of an $Mn(CO)_3$ moiety, but the preparation of the transfer agent complex was found to be time consuming and in our hands proceeded only in moderate yield.^{7a,c}

Here, we report an efficient synthesis of heterobimetallic complexes containing cationic (η^{6} -arene)Mn(CO)₃⁺ complexes and a ferrocenyl entity via a two-step procedure that we have recently developed⁸ in the case of mononuclear complexes (Scheme 1). The first step (a) involves a palladium-catalysis strategy for functionalization of (η^{5} -1-chlorocyclohexadienyl)Mn(CO)₃. This method preserves the methylene group and allows the second step (b): the rearomatization of the η^{5} complex by hydride abstraction, which leads to new cationic (η^{6} arene)Mn(CO)₃⁺ complexes.

Results and Discussion

Ipso chloride substitution in (η^6 -chloroarene)tricarbonylmanganese complexes allows a clean nucleophile introduction, but such a strategy is limited to amino, oxo, and thio derivatives (Scheme 2, path a; Nu = NR₂, OR, SR).⁹ The main reaction for Mn complexes is the addition reaction, which leads to stable neutral (η^5 -cyclohexadienyl)tricarbonylmanganese complexes (Scheme 2, path b),¹⁰ whose reactivity has been described in several studies.¹¹

Thus, the formation of a carbon–carbon bond is not possible by nucleophilic substitution starting from a cationic (η^{6} -chlorobenzene)tricarbonylmanganese de-





rivative. Moreover, whereas the presence of the cationic electron-withdrawing tricarbonylmanganese entity activates the carbon-chlorine bond toward Pd(0) oxidative addition, no report of Pd-catalyzed carbon-carbon bond formation has been published to our knowledge. Indeed, we have shown¹² that although palladium inserts into the carbon-chlorine bond, the resulting bimetallic Mn/Pd complex is so stable that it did not give any further reaction (Scheme 3): no coupling reaction was observed.

Synthesis of Complex 7. Thus, to access the bimetallic target structures, we used as our starting material an η^5 complex, namely (η^5 -1-chloro-4-methoxycyclohexadienyl) $Mn(CO)_3$ (2). This complex is easily accessible by employing the nucleophile addition chemistry described above, by regioselective hydride addition to $(\eta^6-1$ -chloro-4-methoxybenzene)Mn(CO)₃⁺ tetrafluoroborate (1) (Scheme 4).^{8,9,11a,13,14} Functionalization of $(\eta^5$ -chlorocyclohexadienyl)Mn(CO)₃ derivatives is efficient,¹⁵ and C-O,^{15d} C-N,^{15d} C-S,^{15d} C-P,^{15d} and $C-C^{8,15a,b,d}$ bond formation are achieved by Pd-catalyzed reactions (Negishi, Sonogashira, and Stille reactions). An advantage of this strategy comes from the fact that neutral η^5 Mn complexes are stable and can be purified by silica gel column chromatography, in contrast to the cationic polar η^6 -Mn structures. Ethynylferrocene **5** was prepared by classical methods in 80% yield by treating acetylferrocene (3) with POCl₃, DMF, and NaOAc. The resulting conjugated chloro aldehyde 4 was treated with

^{(4) (}a) Müller, T. J. J.; Netz, A.; Ansorge, M.; Schmalzlin, E.; Bräuchle, C.; Meerholz, K. *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 5066–5074. (b) Müller, T. J. J.; Ansorge, M.; Lindner, H. J. *Chem. Ber.* **1996**, *129*, 1433–1440.

⁽⁵⁾ Garcia, M. H.; Royer, S.; Robalo, M. P.; Dias, R. A.; Tranchier, J. P.; Chavignon, R.; Prim, D.; Auffrant, A.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E.; Vaissermann, J.; Persoons, A.; Asselberghs, I. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, in press.

^{(6) (}a) Houbrechts, S.; Clays, K.; Persoons, A.; Cadierno, V.; Gamasa, M. P.; Gimeno, J. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 5266–5268. (b) Cadierno, V.; Conejero, S.; Pilar Gamasa, M.; Gimeno, J.; Asselberghs, I.; Houbrechts, S.; Clays, K.; Persoons, A.; Borge, J.; Garcia-Granda, S. *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 582–597.

^{(7) (}a) Su, S. L.; Lee, T.-Y.; Lee, J. E.; Lee, I.-S.; Chung, Y. K.; Lah, M. S. Organometallics **1996**, *15*, 3664–3669. (b) Lee, I. S.; Seo, H.; Chung, Y. K. Organometallics **1999**, *18*, 1091–1096. (c) Sun, S.; Yeung, L. K.; Sweigart, D. A.; Lee, T.-Y.; Lee, S. S.; Chung, Y. K.; Switzer, S. R.; Pike, R. D. Organometallics **1995**, *14*, 2613–2615.

⁽⁸⁾ Auffrant, A.; Prim, D.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E.; Schouteeten, S.; Vaissermann, J. *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 1898–1913.

⁽⁹⁾ Pauson, P. L.; Segal, J. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1975, 1677.

^{(10) (}a) Balssa, F.; Aniss, K.; Rose-Munch, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 1901–1902. (b) Rose-Munch, F.; Susanne, C.; Balssa, F.; Rose, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1994, 476, C25–C26. (c) McDaniel, K. In Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry, Abel, W., Stone, F. G. A., Wilkinson, G., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, U.K., 1995; Vol. 6, Chapter 4, p 93. (d) Balssa, F.; Gagliardini, V.; Le Corre-Susanne, C.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E.; Vaissermann, J. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1997, 134, 537–541. (e) Rose-Munch, F.; Gagliardini, V.; Renard, C.; Rose, E. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 178–180, 249–268. (f) Renard, C.; Valenti, R.; Rose, E.; Le Corre-Susanne, C.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E. Corgaliardini, V.; Teldji, F.; Vaissermann, J. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 421–423. (h) Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 6, 1269–1283. (i) Auffrant, A. Ph.D. Thesis, Université P. et M. Curie, July 19, 2002, p 227. (j) Comte, V.; Tranchier, J. P.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, T.; Rose, E.; Perrey, D.; Richard, D.; Moise, C. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 1893–1899.

^{(11) (}a) Brookhart, M.; Lamanna, W.; Pinhas, A. R. Organometallics
1983, 2, 638–6649. (b) Brookhart, M.; Lukacs, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1984, 106, 4161–4166. (c) Kane-Maguire, L. A. P.; Honig, E. D.; Sweigart, D. A. Chem. Rev. 1984, 84, 525–543. (d) Rush, P. J.; Noh, S. K.; Brookhart, M. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1745–1747. (e) Hyeon, T. H.; Chung, T. M.; Chung, Y. K. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 1989, 10, 500–505. (f) Pike, R. D.; Ryan, W. J.; Lenhoff, N. S.; Van Epp, J.; Sweigart, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4798–4804. (g) Sheridan, J. B.; Padda, R. S.; Chaffee, K.; Wang, C.; Huang, Y.; Lalancette, R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1992, 1539–1549. (h) Roell, B. C.; McDaniel, K. F.; Vaughan, W. S.; Macy, T. S. Organometallics 1993, 12, 224–228. (i) Pearson, A. J.; Shin, H. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2314–2323. (j) Balssa, F.; Gagliardini, V.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4373–4382. (k) Pearson, A.; Vickerman, R. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5931–5932. (l) Woo, K.; Cao, Y.; Li, H.; Yu, K.; Carpenter, G. B.; Sweigart, D. A.; Robinson, B. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 630, 84–87.

⁽¹²⁾ Carpentier, J. F.; Castanet, Y.; Brocard, J.; Mortreux, A.; Rose-Munch, F.; Susanne, C.; Rose, E. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, *493*, C22– C24

⁽¹³⁾ Pauson, P. L.; Segal, J. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1975, 1683–1686.

Scheme 4. Addition of Hydride to the Chloroarene Complex 1



Scheme 5. Preparation of the Dinuclear Complex



1 N NaOH to afford ethynylferrocene 5 in 90% yield (Scheme 5).¹⁶

BF₄

Ethynylferrocene **5** reacted with (η^{5} -1-chloro-4-methoxycyclohexadienyl) $Mn(CO)_3$ complex 2 in the presence of Pd₂dba₃ and AsPh₃ at 40 °C in NEt₃ for 22 h to afford 6 in 78% yield. This catalytic system has been proved to be the most efficient in the synthesis of alkynesubstituted (η^5 -cyclohexadienyl)Mn(CO)₃ complexes.⁸ Triphenylcarbenium ion reagents are able to oxidize ferrocene to ferrocenium, but despite the presence of a ferrocenyl substituent in **6**, reaction with $CPh_3^+BF_4^$ proceeded smoothly to deliver 7 in 92% yield (Scheme 5). The favored site of reaction is thus the neutral (cyclohexadienyl)manganese complex, and overall hydride abstraction occurs in preference to electrontransfer redox chemistry at iron. The mechanism of hydride abstraction from (cyclohexadienyl)manganese may involve an initial electron-transfer step followed by transfer of H[•] to Ph₃C[•].¹⁷ Our results show that reaction at the organomanganese moiety is preferred over the possibility of ferrocene redox chemistry. Heck et al. reported also an hydride abstraction in the case of bimetallic sesquifulvalene complexes.^{3e}

X-ray Analysis of Complex 7. Crystals of 1-(ferrocenylethynyl)[η^{6} -(4-methoxybenzene)]tricarbonylmanganese (**7**) were grown in a petroleum ether/acetone mixture. Two CAMERON views and some selected bond

Table 1. Crystal Data for C₂₂H₁₆BFeF₄MnO₄^a

Tuble I: Crystal Data for	
formula	$C_{22}H_{16}BFeF_4MnO_4$
cryst class	orthorhombic
space group	$P2_1cn$
a (Å)	12.060(4)
b (Å)	12.829(4)
<i>c</i> (Å)	14.042(4)
α (deg)	90
β (deg)	90
γ (deg)	90
$V(Å^3)$	2172(1)
Ζ	4
radiation type	Μο Κα
wavelength (Å)	0.710 690
density $(g \text{ cm}^{-3})$	1.66
$M_{\rm r}$	541.94
$\mu ({\rm cm}^{-1})$	13.10
temp (K)	295
size (mm)	0.20 imes 0.20 imes 0.30
color	dark red
shape	block
diffractometer	Enraf-Nonius Cad-4
scan type	$2\theta/\omega$
no. of rflns measd	2437
no of indep rflns	2413
$\theta(\min, \max)$	1, 26.00
index ranges	
h	0-14
k	0-15
1	0-17
secondary extinction coeff	155
decay	0.02
refinement	on F
$R = \sum (F_{\rm o} - F_{\rm c}) / \sum F_{\rm o} $	0.0699
$R_{\rm w} = [\sum w(F_{\rm o} - F_{\rm c})^2 / \sum wF_{\rm o}^2]^{1/2}$	0.0756
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ (e Å ⁻³)	-0.58
$\Delta ho_{ m max}$ e Å $^{-3}$)	0.77
no. of rflns used	1547
$\sigma(I)$ limit	1.50
no. of params	250
goodness of fit	1.010

^{*a*} Weighting scheme of the form $w = w'[1 - (||F_o| - |F_c||)/6\sigma(F_o))^2]^2$ with $w'=1/\sum_r A_r T_r(X)$ with coefficients 2.90, 0.815, and 2.27 for a Chebychev series for which $X = F_c/F_c(\max)$.

lengths are presented in Figures 2 and 3, and crystal data are reported in Table 1.

Three important features can be emphasized. First, the cation displays the well-known piano-stool conformation found in half-sandwich tricarbonyl complexes.²⁰ The conformation adopted by the $Mn(CO)_3$ entity is significant, because the η^6 -arene is substituted by two electron-donating groups: the methoxy group and the ferrocenylacetylene. These effects compete and, in the solid state, the almost staggered conformation of the tripod shows a slightly dominant effect of the methoxy group. Indeed, the values of the torsion angles C(6)-C(100)-Mn-C(22), C(4)-C(100)-Mn-C(20) and C(2)-C(100)-Mn-C(21), C(100) being the center of the sixmembered ring, are 17, 18, and 17°. This conclusion can be drawn because it is well reported in the case of substituted (η^6 -arene)Cr(CO)₃ complexes that the conformation of the $Cr(CO)_3$ entity with respect to the arene

^{(14) (}a) Auffrant, A.; Prim, D. Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E. *C. R. Chimie* **2002**, *5*, 137–141. (b) Rose-Munch, F.; Balssa, F.; Jacques, B.; Rose, E.; Dromzee, Y. *C. R. Chim.* **2003**, 581–588.

^{(15) (}a) Prim, D.; Auffrant, A.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E.; Vaissermann, J. Organometallics **2001**, 20, 1901–1903. (b) Auffrant, A., Prim, D.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E.; Vaissermann, J. Organometallics **2001**, 20, 3214–3216. (c) Auffrant, A.; Prim, D.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E. C. R. Chimie **2002**, 5, 137–141. (d) Auffrant, A.; Prim, D.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E.; Vaissermann, J. Organometallics **2002**, 21, 3500–3502. (e) Prim, D.; Andrioletti, B.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E.; Couty, F. Tetrahedron **2003**, submitted.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Polin, J.; Schottenberger, H. Org. Synth. **1996**, 73, 262–269. (17) (a) Astruc, D. Electron Transfer and Radical Processes in Transition-Metal Chemistry, VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1995. (b) Astruc, D., Ed. Modern Arene Chemistry, Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2002.

^{(18) (}a) Watkin, D. J.; Prout, C. K.; Carruthers, J. R.; Betteridge, P. W. Crystals, issue 10; Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K., 1996. (b) Cromer, D. T. *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*, Kynoch Press: Birmingham, U.K., 1974; Vol. IV.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Altomare, A.; Cascarano, G.; Giacovazzo, G.; Guagliardi, A.; Burla, M. C.; Polidori, G.; Camalli, M. SIR92–A Program for Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures by Direct Methods. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1994**, *27*, 435.

⁽²⁰⁾ Berndt, A. F.; Marsh, R. E. Acta Crystallogr. 1963, 16, 118-125.



Figure 2. CAMERON view of the molecular structure of complex **7** ($C_{22}H_{16}BFeF_4MnO_4$). Selected bond lengths (Å): Mn-C1 = 2.207(12), Mn-C2 = 2.163(9), Mn-C3 = 2.168(13), Mn-C4 = 2.265(12), Mn-C5 = 2.182(13), Mn-C6 = 2.155(12), C1-C7 = 1.399(19), C7-C8 = 1.199(18), C8-C9 = 1.42(2), Mn-C20 = 1.803(14), C20-O20 = 1.135(16), C9-C10 = 1.48(2), C10-C11 = 1.39(2), C11-C12 = 1.36(3), C12-C13 = 1.40(3), C13-C9 = 1.41(2), Fe-C9 = 2.031(16), Fe-C10 = 2.052(17), Fe-C11 = 2.008(19), Fe-C12 = 2.042(16), Fe-C13 = 2.04(1).



Figure 3. CAMERON view of the structure of complex 7 with the $Mn(CO)_3$ tripod projected onto the arene ring.

ring depends on the nature of the substituent.^{10h} An electron-donating group, for example, favors the eclipsed conformation of the substituent by a Cr–CO bond. We observed a similar effect^{21,22} in the case, for example, of (anisole)tricarbonylchromium substituted at the para position by the thiophenyl group,²¹ where the corresponding torsion angles are 22, 22, and 23°. Second, the arene ring and C7 atom were found to be almost coplanar, as well as the Cp ring and C8 carbon atom. The methoxy group lies in the arene ring plane. The Mn–C(ring) bond lengths ranging from 2.15 to 2.27 Å (average 2.19 Å) are similar to the corresponding distances of other para-disubstituted (anisole)Mn(CO)₃⁺ derivatives such as those substituted by a trimethylsilyl ynone or a thienyl ketone group.⁸

The arene, the Cp ring, and the triple bond are in the same plane. Therefore, an ideal overlap of the π system and a strong electronic coupling can be assumed in the solid state. The third point concerns the position of the Mn and Fe atoms: the structure shows clearly that the

Scheme 6. Preparation of the Dinuclear Complex 11



two metal atoms lie on the opposite faces of the conjugated system, probably for steric reasons. The antiperiplanar alignment of the two metal centers across the alkyne link is very pronounced. This is consistent with a high degree of orbital overlap through the extended π system. These data are remarkable, because dinuclear derivatives of comparable sesquiful-valene complexes all adopt a synperiplanar conformation.^{3e}

Synthesis of Complex 11. Two possible routes could be considered to prepare the heterodinuclear complex **11** which is homologated by a thienyl unit (Schemes 6 and 7). The first methodology involved a Sonogashira coupling with ethynylferrocene (5) and 2-bromothiophene in the presence of CuI, NEt₃, and PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (Scheme 6), which yielded the ferrocene derivative **8** in 64% yield. This compound was lithiated with lithium diisopropyl-

⁽²¹⁾ Prim, D.; Tranchier, J. P.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 901–905.

⁽²²⁾ In the case of chromium complexes, see: (a) Boutonnet, J. C.; Rose-Munch, F.; Rose, E.; Jeannin, Y.; Robert, Y. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1985**, *297*, 185–191. (b) Rose-Munch, F.; Bellot, O.; Mignon, L.; Semra, A.; Robert, F.; Jeannin, Y. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, *402*, 1–16.

Scheme 7. Preparation of the Dinuclear Complex 11



Scheme 8. Preparation of the Mononuclear Complex 15



amide (LDA)²³ and treated with tributyltin chloride to give **9**, but all the attempts made to purify **9** by silica gel chromatography failed, due to a destannylation reaction affording the starting material **8**. Thus, the reaction mixture of **9** was reacted with the Mn complex **2** and afforded the dinuclear complex **10** in 25% yield for the two steps. Complex **10** reacted with triphenyl-carbenium tetrafluoroborate, $CPh_3^+BF_4^-$, to deliver **11** quantitatively. No problem of stability during the reaction occurred.

A second method described in Scheme 7 was developed in order to increase the yield of preparation of **11**. Thus, a Stille reaction with the chloro Mn complex **2** and 2-(tributylstannyl)-5-bromothiophene **12** in the presence of Pd₂dba₃ and AsPh₃ delivered complex **13** in 48% yield. Then, a Sonogashira reaction with ethynylferrocene **5** and **13** delivered the expected complex **10** in 78% yield, showing the efficiency of this method in comparison with the first method.

Synthesis of Complex 20. We then turned our attention to the synthesis of **20**, containing a spacer homologated by a further triple bond. To achieve this goal, we undertook a Sonogashira reaction with ethynylferrocene **5** and 2,5-dibromothiophene **14**, and two compounds were obtained: the desired one, **15**, in 53% yield and the bis(ferrocene) derivative **16** as a byproduct (Scheme 8). Clearly, a competing reaction occurred between the two bromo derivatives **14** and **15**.

Nevertheless, using an excess of 2,5-dibromothiophene, it was possible to minimize the formation of the bis-(ferrocene) derivative **16**. Moreover, the electron-donating ability of the ferrocenyl unit of complex **15** inhibits the oxidative addition of Pd into the C–Br bond of this Scheme 9. Preparation of the Dinuclear Complex 20



Table 2. Selected ¹H NMR Data (ppm)

		complex		
proton	7	11	20	
H_2	7.35	7.58	7.43	
H_3	6.62	6.63	6.63	
$\delta(H_2) - \delta(H_3)$	0.73	0.95	0.80	
$C_{5}H_{4}$ (2 H_{a})	4.46	4.39	4.39	
$C_{5}H_{4}$ (2H _b)	4.64	4.58	4.57	

complex with respect to the C–Br bond of the thiophene derivative 14, avoiding the appearance of 16. A second coupling reaction with (trimethylsilyl)acetylene and complex 15 afforded complex 17 almost quantitatively. This product was treated with NaOH in MeOH, yielding, again quantitatively, complex 18 (Scheme 9). Finally, a coupling reaction between 18 and the η^5 -Mn complex 2 afforded in 48% yield the heterodinuclear complex 19, from which hydrogen abstraction proceeded quantitatively, giving the dinuclear complex 20.

NMR Spectroscopy. Selected ¹H NMR data, reported in Table 2, showed that for the six-memberedring protons only H₂ protons are influenced by the nature of the spacer, with a maximum effect for the linker containing a triple bond and a thiophenyl unit (complex **11**). Indeed, the H₂ proton of **11** is the most deshielded and exhibits the largest difference of chemical shifts $\delta(H_2) - \delta(H_3) = 0.95$ ppm in comparison with **7** (0.73 ppm) and **20** (0.80 ppm). The chemical shifts of the C₅H₄ ring protons of **11** and **20** have roughly the same values (4.39 and 4.58 ppm for **11** and 4.39 and 4.57 ppm for **20**), but they are shielded with respect to those of **7** (4.46 and 4.64 ppm).

Selected ¹³C NMR data are reported in Table 3. The carbonyl carbon resonance signals of complexes **7**, **11**, and **20** at 216.0, 216.0, and 215.7 ppm are not arranged according to decreasing donating ability²⁴ but present

⁽²³⁾ Ewbank, P. C.; Nuding, G.; Suenaga, H.; McCullough, R. D.; Shinkai, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 155–158.

^{(24) (}a) Gansow, O. A.; Schexnayder, D. A.; Kimura, B. Y.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 3406–3408. In the case of Cr complexes, see:
(b) Van Meurs, F.; Baas, J. M. A.; Van Bekkum, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1976, 113, 353–359.

Table 3.	Selected	¹³ C NMR	Data	(ppm))
----------	----------	---------------------	------	-------	---

		$\operatorname{complex}^{a}$		
entry	carbon	7^{e}	11 ^f	20 g
1	C ₁	95.8	97.8	96.3
2	C_2	105.2	100.0	105.7
3	C_3	83.9	83.6	83.6
4	$\delta(C_2) - \delta(C_3)$	21.3	16.4	22.1
5	C_4	148.3	148.3	149.2
6	C_{β}^{c}	91.8	101.5	86.8^{h}
7	\dot{C}_{α}^{d}	77.8	77.7	77.9^{i}
8	$\delta(\mathbf{C}_{\beta}) - \delta(\mathbf{C}_{\alpha})$	14.0	23.8	11.7
9	Cp	70.8	b	70.6
10	CO	216.0	216.0	215.7

^{*a*} [D₆]Me₂CO at 29.55 ppm. ^{*b*}70–74 ppm, broad band. ^{*c*}C_β, alkyne carbon β to C₅H₄FeCp. ^{*d*}C_α, alkyne carbon α to C₅H₄FeCp. ^{*e*} For the atom numbering, see Scheme 5. ^{*f*}For the atom numbering, see Scheme 6. ^{*g*} For the atom numbering, see Scheme 9. ^{*h*} Or 77.9 or 85.6 or 89.6 ppm. ^{*i*}Or 85.6 or 89.6 ppm.

similar values and seem to be independent of the nature of the spacer (Table 3, entry 10). In fact, these signals appear at almost the same frequency as (4-methoxyanisole)tricarbonylmanganese(I) tetrafluoroborate (216.7 ppm)¹¹ⁱ and (anisole)tricarbonylmanganese(I) tetrafluoroborate (216.2 ppm),^{9a} whereas for an arene substituted by a withdrawing group, for example (phenylbenzoate)tricarbonylmanganese tetrafluoroborate, the carbonyl carbon resonance is at lower frequency: 213.0 ppm.^{8,22} These last data are in good agreement with the fact that if the chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbon nuclei increase, the CO ligands should be deshielded with increasing electron richness of the metal center.²⁵

Two important features, however, can be emphasized. First, the 100.0 ppm resonance of the C₂ carbon of complex 11 occurs at a lower value than those of complexes 7 and 20 (Table 3, entry 2). The overall shielding effect on this carbon is unexpected, suggesting a stronger electron donation of the spacer. The second point concerns the unexpected chemical shift of the carbon C_{β} (β to the ferrocenyl unit) of complex **11** at 101.5 ppm (Table 3, entry 6) with respect to the 91.8 ppm value of the carbon C_{β} of complex **7**. The carbons C_{α} of complexes **7** and **11** resonate at 77.8 and 77.9 ppm. The downfield shift of the C_{β} carbon (101.5 ppm for **11**) can be plausibly rationalized by a less efficient overall electronic back-donation. It is also significant that the largest difference of chemical shifts $\delta(C_{\beta}) - \delta(C_{\alpha})$ (Table 3, entry 8) is observed in the case of complex 11. This corresponds also to the largest difference of chemical shifts for the H_2 and H_3 protons of complex 11 (see Table 2), and since the ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of complexes 7 and **20** are very similar, this reveals the unique role of the thiophene unit in 11.

The ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of Cp ligands are also often taken as a guide to the degree of cationic character of an FeCp moiety, and for complex **20**, the position of the Cp resonance is similar to that of complex **7**. The extent of electron donation from the ferrocene substituent should thus be similar in both cases. The electron donation is delocalized through the extended π system of **20**, attenuating the effect on the cationic metal complex (compared to the result in **7**). In **11**, the positive charge on the Mn(CO)₃ moiety is significantly less than

(25) In the case of Cr complexes, see ref 4b and: Müller, T. J. J.; Lindner, H. J. Chem. Ber. $1996,\ 129,\ 607-613.$

in **20** because of the direct attachment of the thiophene substituent on the cationic arene complex. Thus, the alkynes serve as electronically conducting links, but a relatively small amount of charge delocalization occurs, while direct attachment of the π -excessive heterocycle causes substantial transfer of positive charge to the thiophene, but not onto the ferrocene.

IR Spectroscopy. Complexes 7, 11, and 20 present two CO stretching modes in accordance with the local symmetry $C_{3\nu}$ due to the A₁ and E bands of the Mn-(CO)₃ groups.²⁶ The lowest frequencies of the Mn-CO unit are observed in the case of complexes 7 and 11 at 2012 and 2077 cm^{-1} and at 2015 and 2073 cm^{-1} respectively, showing a better overall electron-donating influence of the 1-(ferrocenylethynyl)-(4-methoxybenzene)thiophene and 2-(ferrocenylethynyl-5-(4-methoxybenzene)thiophene units as compared to that of complex **20** (2024 and 2080 cm^{-1}) (see the Experimental Section). The v_{as} E band of the vibrationally coupled Mn–CO stretching modes contains two degenerate antisymmetric modes and so is combined with the $v_s A_1$ band in the ratio 2:1 to calculate an estimate of the overall band position that can be related to the degree of positive charge on the metal, and the extent of back-donation of electron density into the π^* antibonding orbital of each CO ligand. The values obtained in this way (weighted mean band positions: 7, 2034 cm⁻¹; 11, 2034 cm⁻¹; 20, 2043 cm⁻¹) show that complex **20** has substantially more positive charge at the (arene)Mn(CO)₃ end than the other two compounds, which are quite similar to one another.

UV-Vis Spectroscopy. The electronic spectra were recorded in dichloromethane, chloroform, and acetonitrile. The longest wavelength absorptions of 7 at 470 nm (CH₃CN), 494 nm (CH₂Cl₂), and 493 nm (CHCl₃) have small extinction coefficients and are assigned to the metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT) band arising from a charge transfer from the manganese center to the π - and σ -bound ligands.^{4,27} The next band at 302 nm (CH₃CN), 310 nm (CH₂Cl₂), and 314 nm (CHCl₃) has an intense absorption and arises from intraligand (IL) transitions with a high oscillator strength to the most extent from $\pi - \pi^*$ transitions. These assignments are supported by the moderate negative solvatochromism of the MLCT band, which indicates that the electronic ground state is more polar than the first excited state (an increase in solvent polarity causes a hypsochromic shift).²⁸ This is also in agreement with the highly polar nature of the cationic (η^{6} -arene)tricarbonylmanganese complexes, which can be attributed to the dominant μ_{z} dipole vector component directed from the arene to the manganese carbonyl tripod (Figure 4). The $\pi - \pi^*$ transition intraligand charge transfer (ILCT) detected along the μ_x -dipole axis are also shifted hypsochromically upon an increase in solvent polarity, which reflects the polarizability of 7. A canonical resonance structure of the dinuclear complex 7 can be represented by a cumulene structure with a cationic iron atom and a neutral manganese atom (Figure 5).

^{(26) (}a) Davison, G.; Riley, E. M. Spectrochim. Acta 1971, 27A, 1649.
(b) Van Meurs, F.; Baas, J. M. A.; Van Bekkum, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1976, 113, 353-359.

⁽²⁷⁾ Kanis, D. R.; Ratner, M. A.; Marks, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, *114*, 10338–10357.

⁽²⁸⁾ Paley, M. S.; Harris, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3774-3778.



Figure 4. Additive nature of ground-state dipole vectors of carbonylmetal arenes with conjugated side chains.



Figure 5. Mesomeric forms of complex 7.

However, the X-ray structure data show clear alternating single- and triple-bond character in the three bonds of the link, thus reinforcing the assignment of an electronic ground state with alkyne, not cumulene, form. This crystal structure reveals that outward extension of the hapto-bound portions of the ligand to include contributions from *exo*-methylenecyclopentadiene (fulvene) and *exo*-methylenecyclohexadienyl bonding modes is not favored in the ground state, providing an illustration of the capacity of alkyne links to separate the charged and neutral metal complexes. The ILCT transitions and solvatochromic behavior observed in the UV spectrum, however, provide evidence for retained electronic communication through the π system.

The corresponding UV-vis bands for complex **11** (475 nm in CH₃CN, 481 nm in CH₂Cl₂, and 493 nm in CHCl₃) are similarly assigned to MLCT transitions and show similar solvatochromism with a significant shift between CH₃CN and the less polar chlorinated solvents. The degree of solvatochromism in the MLCT transitions of complex **20** was less pronounced (470 nm in CH₃CN, 480 nm in CH₂Cl₂, and 475 nm in CHCl₃). The ILCT transitions for the two complexes lie in the expected range (**11**, 344 nm in CH₃CN, 352 nm in CH₂Cl₂, and 357 nm in CHCl₃).

Conclusion

We successfully developed a bimetallic Pd/Mn activation of carbon-chlorine bonds for the preparation of the first heterodinuclear Fe/Mn complexes involving a ferrocenyl unit conjugated to a cationic (η^6 -arene)tricarbonylmanganese derivative. The (η^{5} -1-chlorocyclohexadienyl)tricarbonylmanganese starting material permits the creation of a carbon-carbon bond at the C₁ carbon. Despite the presence of a ferrocenyl group in the bimetallic complex, hydride abstraction by CPh₃⁺BF₄⁻ yields efficiently the corresponding cationic (η^{6} -arene)tricarbonylmanganese complexes. These complexes have been studied in solution by different spectroscopic methods in order to explore the extent of electron transfer from one metal to the other and in the solid state by an X-ray structure of complex 7, which provides further indications of pathways for delocalization of electron density through alkyne links. Alkyne links allow electronic communication but retain ground-state charge separation between the ends of the bimetallic molecule.

Experimental Section

All reactions and manipulations were routinely performed under a dry nitrogen atmosphere using Schlenk tube techniques. THF was dried over sodium benzophenone ketyl and distilled. Infrared spectra were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 1420 spectrometer. ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were obtained on Bruker AC200 and AC400 spectrometers. Elemental analyses were performed by Le service de Microanalyses de l'Université Pierre et Marie Curie. UV-vis spectra were recorded on a UVIKON 923 spectrometer and mass spectra on a MALDI-TOF spectrometer. X-ray analysis: intensity data were collected at room temperature on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer using Mo Ka radiation. Accurate cell dimensions and orientation matrixes were obtained from leastsquares refinements of the setting angles of 25 well-defined reflections. No significant decay in the intensities of two standard reflections was observed during the course of the data collections. Crystal data, collection parameters, and other significant details are listed in the Supporting Information. The usual corrections for Lorentz and polarization effects were applied. Computations were performed by using CRYSTALS.^{18a} Scattering factors and corrections for anomalous dispersion were taken from ref 18b. The structures were resolved by direct methods¹⁹ and refined by least squares with anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms, excluding the free BF₄⁻ anion. Hydrogen atoms were introduced in calculated positions, and only one overall isotropic displacement parameter was refined.

Preparation of 1-(ferrocenylethynyl) $[\eta^{5}(1-5)-4-meth$ oxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl]tricarbonylmanganese (I) (6). Tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (Pd2(dba)3; 51 mg, 0.56 mmol), triphenylarsine (51 mg, 0.168 mmol), and ethynylferrocene (5;¹⁶ 117 mg, 0.559 mmol) were introduced in a flask. $(\eta^{5}-1$ -Chloro-4-methoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl)tricarbonylmanganese (2;⁸ 158 mg, 0.559 mmol) was dissolved in NEt₃ (16 mL) and poured via a cannula into the flask. The reaction mixture was heated for 22 h at 40 °C with magnetic stirring. Filtration of the mixture on Celite and evaporation of the solution under reduced pressure gave a crude mixture which was purified on a silica gel chromatography column (petroleum ether/ether: 100/0 to 98/2). A red oil of **6** is obtained (198 mg, 0.434 mmol) in 78% yield. For $C_{21}H_{17}FeMnO_4$, $M_r = 455.7$. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.46 (d, ²J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H_{6*exo*}), 2.94 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 12.5$ Hz, ${}^{3}J = 5$ Hz 1H, H_{6endo}), 3.05 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 6$, ${}^{4}J = 2.5, 1H, H_{5}$), 3.46 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.17 (m, 2H, C₅H₄), 4.20 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 5.15 (d, ${}^{3}J = 5.5$, 1H, H₂), 5.75 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 5.5$, ${}^{4}J$ = 2.5 Hz, 1H, H₃). 13 C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 32.1 (C₆), 36.1 (C₅), 49.4 (C₁), 54.4 (OMe), 65.1 (C₉), 67.4 (C₃), 68.8 (C₁₀ or C11), 70.1 (C12), 71.4 (C10 or C11), 85.2 (C7 or C8), 86.1 (C7 or C₈), 96.3 (C₂), 143.2 (C₄), 222.6 (CO). UV (CH₃CN): λ 307 nm $(\epsilon = 10\ 500\ \text{L}\ \text{mol}^{-1}\ \text{cm}^{-1}), \lambda\ 267\ \text{nm}\ (\epsilon = 13\ 700\ \text{L}\ \text{mol}^{-1}\ \text{cm}^{-1}).$ UV (CH₂Cl₂): λ 311 nm (ϵ = 11 600 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 274 nm $(\epsilon = 9547 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$. IR (CHCl₃): $\bar{\nu}$ 1951 cm⁻¹ (Mn(CO)₃), 2018 cm⁻¹ (Mn(CO)₃), 2201 cm⁻¹ (CC). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C₂₂H₁₇FeMnO₄, 455.98; found, 455.98 [M]⁺.

Preparation of 1-(ferrocenylethynyl)[$\eta^{6}(1-6)$ -4-methoxybenzene]tricarbonylmanganese(I) Tetrafluoroborate (7). Complex 6 was dissolved in dichloromethane (6 mL) (0.198 g, 0.434 mmol; 1 equiv). In another flask, triphenylcarbenium tetrafluoroborate, CPh₃BF₄ (172 mg, 0.521 mmol; 1.2 equiv), was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). This solution was transferred via a cannula into the first flask and stirred for 1 h at room temperature with magnetic stirring. Freshly distilled Et₂O was added (40 mL): a precipitate formed. A red solid was recovered after filtration on a frit to afford 7 (216 mg, 0.399 mmol; 92%). Slow recrystallization from a petroleum ether/acetone mixture gave crystals (orthorhombic blocks, mp 143 °C) for an X-ray study. For $C_{22}H_{16}BF_4FeMnO_4$, $M_r = 541.8$. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 4.23 (s, 3 H, OMe), 4.30 (s, 5 H, Cp), 4.46 (m, 2 H, H₁₀ or H₁₁), 4.64 (m, 2 H, H₁₀ or H₁₁), 6.62 (d, ${}^{3}J = 7.5$ Hz, 2 H, H_{3,5}), 7.35 (d, ${}^{3}J = 7.5$ Hz, 2 H, H_{2,6}). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone-*d*₆): δ 58.8 (OMe), 61.7 (C₉), 70.8 (Cp), 70.9 (C₁₀ or C₁₁), 72.8 (C₁₀ or C₁₁), 77.8 (C₈), 83.9 (C_{3,5}), 91.8 (C7), 95.8 (C1), 105.2 (C2,6), 148.3 (C4), 216.0 (CO). UV (CH₃CN): λ 470 nm (ϵ = 1400 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 302 nm (ϵ = 11 700 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 268 nm (ϵ = 14 400 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). UV (CH₂Cl₂): λ 494 nm (ϵ = 2000 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 310 nm (ϵ = 12 400 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 269 nm (ϵ = 10 500 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). UV (CHCl₃): λ 493 nm (ϵ = 1800 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 314 nm (ϵ = 10 400 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 272 nm (ϵ = 9400 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). IR (CHCl₃): $\bar{\nu}$ 2012 cm⁻¹ (Mn(CO)₃⁺), 2077 cm⁻¹ (Mn(CO)₃⁺), 2213 cm⁻¹ (CC). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C₂₂H₁₆FeMnO₄, 454.98; found, 454.98 $[M - BF_4]^+$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{16}BF_4$ -FeMnO₄: C, 48.76; H, 2.98; Found: C, 48.29; H, 3.11.

Synthesis of 2-(Ferrocenylethynyl)thiophene (8). Ethynylferrocene (5; 210 mg, 1 mmol; 1 equiv), trans-dichlorobis-(triphenylphosphine)palladium (PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂; 35 mg, 0.05 mmol; 0.05 equiv), and copper(I) iodide (CuI; 10 mg, 0.05 mmol; 0.05 equiv) were dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (THF; 7 mL) and triethylamine (NEt₃; 7 mL). 2-Bromothiophene (0.107 mL, 1.1 mmol; 1.1 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was heated for 2 h under reflux. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered on Celite. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography column with petroleum ether. The orange solid 8 (185 mg, 0.634 mmol) was obtained in 64% yield and used without further purification for the next step. For C₁₆H₁₂-FeS $M_r = 291.9$. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.23 (s, 5 H, Cp), 4.25 (m, 2 H, Cp), 4.49 (m, 2 H, Cp), 6.97 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 5$ Hz, ${}^{3}J$ = 3.5 Hz, 1 H, H₄), 7.22 (m, 2 H, H₃ and H₅). ${}^{13}C$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 63.7 (C₅H₄ quat), 68.0 (C₅H₄), 69.0 (C₅H₅), 70.4 (C₅H₄), 77.7 (CC_{thio}), 91.3 (CC), 123.1 (C₂), 125.4 (C₃ or C₅), 126.0 (C₅ or C₃), 130.1 (C₄).

Synthesis of 2-(Ferrocenylethynyl)-5-(tributyltin)thiophene (9). In a flask a solution of diisopropylamine (0.124 mL, 0.873 mmol; 1.3 equiv) in THF (2 mL) was cooled to -78 °C, and then n-butyllithium (0.582 mL, 0.873 mmol; 1.3 equiv) was added and the mixture stirred for 15 min. In another flask, compound 8 (0.196 g, 0.671 mmol; 1 equiv) was dissolved in THF (4 mL) and cooled to -78 °C. Lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) was transferred into the other flask via a cannula. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at -78 °C. Tributyltin chloride (ClSnBu₃; 0.200 mL, 0.738 mmol; 1 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at -78 °C and at room temperature for 1 h. The solution was extracted with Et₂O (20 mL) and distilled water (20 mL). The organic phase was washed twice with a saturated Na₂CO₃ solution (20 mL) and then with water (20 mL). The organic phase was dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered on Celite, and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The orange oil 9 was not purified because a carbon-tin cleavage occurred on silica gel column chromatography. For $C_{28}H_{38}FeSSn M_r = 580.6$. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.78–1.89 (m, 27 H, Sn(Bu)₃), 4.25 (m, 7 H, Cp and C₅H₄), 4.50 (m, 2 H, C₅H₄), 7.04 (d, ${}^{3}J = 3.5$ Hz, 1 H, H₃), 7.34 (d, ${}^{3}J = 3.5$ Hz, 1 H, H₄).

Synthesis of 2-(Ferrocenylethynyl)-5-[$(\eta^{5}(1'-5')$ -4'methoxycyclohexa-2',4'-dienyl)tricarbonylmanganese-(I)]thiophene (10). Compound 9 used without purification was introduced into a flask (50 mL) with a water cooler. On the basis of the assumption that the yield of the preceding reaction was quantitative, triphenylarsine (AsPh₃; 39 mg, 0.127 mmol; 0.2 equiv) and tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (Pd₂(dba)₃; 29 mg, 0.032 mmol; 0.05 equiv) were dissolved in DMF (5 mL). In another flask, (η^{5} -1-chloro-4methoxycyclohexadienyl)tricarbonylmanganese (**2**; 0.179 mg, 0.634 mmol; 1 equiv) was dissolved in DMF (5 mL) and the solution was transferred via a cannula into the flask and stirred at room temperature for 15 h and for 1 h at room temperature. Distilled water (20 mL) and Et₂O (20 mL) were added. The organic phase was extracted three times with Et₂O (20 mL). The organic phases were washed five times with distilled water (20 mL), dried over magnesium sulfate, and filtered on Celite, and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified on a silica gel chromatography column with petroleum ether/Et₂O (100/0 to 98/2) as eluent, which afforded the orange compound 10 (0.086 g, 0.160 mmol; 25% in two steps). For C₂₆H₁₉FeMnO₄S $M_{\rm r} = 537.8$. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.57 (d, ²J = 12 Hz, 1 H, H_{6'exo}), 3.14 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 6$ Hz, ${}^{4}J = 2$ Hz, 1 H, H_{5'}), 3.23 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 12$ Hz, ${}^{3}J = 6$ Hz, 1 H, H_{6'endo}), 3.51 (s, 3 H, OMe), 4.23 (m, Cp or C₅H₄), 4.58 (m, 2 H, C₅H₄), 5.26 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 5.5 Hz, 1 H, H_{2'}), 5.79 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 5.5$ Hz, ${}^{4}J = 2$ Hz, 1 H, H_{3'}), 6.65 (d, ${}^{3}J = 3.5$ Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}), 7.00 (d, J³ = 3.5 Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}). {}^{13}C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 30.3 (C_{6'}), 36.9 (C_{5'}), 54.5 (OMe), 62.2 (C1' or C5H4 quat), 64.7 (C5H4 quat or C1'), 65.9 (C3'), 69.1 (C₅H₄), 70.1 (Cp), 71.4 (C₅H₄), 78.9 (CC), 90.9 (C₂), 93.7 (CC), 122.2 (C₃ or C₄), 123.1 (C_{thio,quat}), 131.9 (C₄ or C₃), 143.2 (C_{4'}), 146.6 (C_{thio,quat}), 222.0 (CO). UV (CH₃CN): λ 370 nm (ϵ = 16 270 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 269 nm (ϵ = 14 255 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). UV (CH₂-Cl₂): λ 377 nm (ϵ = 16 100 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 265 nm (ϵ = 15 900 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). UV (CHCl₃): λ 377 nm (ϵ = 15 600 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 265 nm (ϵ = 16 400 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). IR (CHCl₃): $\bar{\nu}$ 1943 cm⁻¹ (Mn(CO)₃), 2017 cm⁻¹ (Mn(CO)₃), 2208 cm⁻¹ (CC).

Alternative Synthesis of 2-(Ferrocenylethynyl)-5- $[\eta^{5}-$ (1'-5')-(4'-methoxycyclohexa-2',4'-dienyl)tricarbonylmanganese(I) [thiophene (10). In a flask, a solution of diisopropylamine (4 mL, 28.4 mmol; 1.1 equiv) in THF (15 mL) was cooled to -78 °C, n-butyllithium (17.75 mL, 28.4 mmol; 1.1 equiv) was added, and the solution was stirred for 15 min at -78 °C. In another flask, 2-bromothiophene (2.5 mL, 25.8 mmol; 1 equiv) in THF (15 mL) was cooled to -78 °C. LDA was transferred into the other flask via a cannula, and after the solution was stirred for 1 h, ClSnBu₃ was added (7.7 mL, 28.4 mmol; 1.1 equiv). The solution was stirred for 1 h at -78°C and 1 h at room temperature. Et₂O (20 mL) and saturated Na₂CO₃ (20 mL) were added. The solution was extracted three times with saturated Na₂CO₃ (20 mL) and with water (20 mL). The organic phase was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered on Celite, and evaporated under reduced pressure, giving 2-bromo-5-(tributylstannyl)thiophene (12) as a yellow liquid in quantitative yield (11.66 g, 25.82 mmol). For C₁₆H₂₉BrSSn $M_{\rm r} = 451.7.$ ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.85–1.63 (m, 27 H, Sn(Bu)₃), 6.91 (d, ${}^{3}J = 3.5$ Hz, 1 H, H₂ or H₃), 7.13 (d, ${}^{3}J =$ 3.5 Hz, 1 H, H₂ or H₃). ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 11.0 (Bu), 13.8 (Bu), 27.4 (Bu), 29.0 (Bu), 116.4 (C1 or C4), 131.0 (C2 or C₃), 135.9 (C₂ or C₃), 139.6 (C₁ or C₄). In a flask (50 mL) was introduced (η^{5} -1-chloro-4-methoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl)tricarbonylmanganese (2; 0.282 mg, 1 mmol; 1 equiv), triphenylarsine (AsPh₃; 46 mg, 0.15 mmol; 0.15 equiv), and tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (Pd2(dba)3; 46 mg, 0.05 mmol; 0.05 equiv) in DMF (5 mL). The mixture was heated for 10 min at 40 °C. In another flask, 2-bromo-5-(tributylstannyl)thiophene (12; 0.452 g, 1 mmol; 1 equiv) was dissolved in DMF (5 mL). The solution was transferred into the other flask via a cannula. The reaction mixture was heated to 40 °C for 1.5 h. After Et₂O (20 mL) and distilled water (20 mL) were added, the organic phase was recovered. The water phase was extracted four times with ethyl acetate. Organic phases were collected, washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography with petroleum ether as eluent gave the yellow solid 2-bromo-5- $[\eta^5(1-5)-4$ -methoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienvl)tricarbonylmanganese|thiophene (13; 0.198 g, 0.484 mmol) in 48% yield. For $C_{14}H_{10}BrMnO_4S M_r = 408.9$. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 2.54 (d, ${}^2J = 12$ Hz, 1 H, H_{6'exo}), 3.12 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 6$ Hz, ${}^{4}J = 2$ Hz, 1 H, H₅), 3.18 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 12$ Hz, ${}^{3}J = 6$ Hz, 1 H, H_{6'endo}), 3.51 (s, 3 H, OMe), 5.17 (d, ³J = 5.5 Hz, 1 H, $H_{2'}$), 5.77 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 5.5$ Hz, ${}^{4}J = 2$ Hz, 1 H, $H_{3'}$), 6.53 (d, ${}^{3}J =$ 3.5 Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}), 6.85 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 3.5 Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}). ${}^{13}C$ NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 30.2 (C₆), 36.8 (C₅), 54.5 (OMe), 61.6 (C₁), 65.7 (CH, C_{3'}), 90.8 (C_{2'}), 111.0 (C₅), 122.4 (C₃ or C₄), 130.5 (C₄ or C₃), 143.2 (C_{4'}), 147.5 (C₂), 222.5 (CO). IR (CHCl₃): $\bar{\nu}$ 1943 cm⁻¹ (Mn(CO)₃), 2016 cm⁻¹ (Mn(CO)₃). In a flask (50 mL), 2-bromo-5- $[\eta^5(1-5)-4$ -methoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienyl)tricarbonylmanganese]thiophene (13; 0.198 g, 0.484 mmol; 1 equiv), trans-dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂; 17 mg, 0.024 mmol; 0.05 equiv), and copper(I) iodide (CuI; 5 mg, 0.024 mmol; 0.05 equiv) were dissolved in THF (2 mL) and triethylamine NEt₃ (5 mL). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 10 min. In another flask, ethynylferrocene (0.112 g, 0.532 mmol; 1.1 equiv) was dissolved in THF (3 mL). The solution was transferred via a cannula and stirred for 30 min. The solution was filtered on Celite and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography with a mixture of petroleum ether and ether (100/0 to 98/2). The orange powder 10 (0.203 g, 0.378 mmol) was obtained in 78% yield.

Synthesis of 2-(Ferrocenylethynyl)-5-{ $[\eta^6(1-6')-(4'$ methoxybenzene)]tricarbonylmanganese}thiophene Tetrafluoroborate (11). In a flask, 10 (0.198 g, 0.434 mmol; 1 equiv) was dissolved in dichloromethane (6 mL). In another flask, triphenylcarbenium tetrafluoroborate (CPh₃BF₄; 0.172 g, 0.521 mmol; 1.2 equiv) was dissolved in dichloromethane (5 mL). This solution was transferred into the first flask via a cannula and stirred for 30 min at room temperature. Freshly distilled diethyl ether (40 mL) was introduced into the medium, and an orange precipitate formed, affording 11 in 92% yield (216 mg, 0399 mmol). Mp: 129 °C. For C₂₆H₁₈BF₄-FeMnO₄S M_r = 536.99. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 4.22 (s, 3 H, OMe), 4.28 (s, 5 H, Cp), 4.39 (m, 2 H, C₅H₄), 4.57 (m, 2 H, C₅H₄), 6.63 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, H_{3,5}), 7.35 (d, ${}^{3}J$ = 4 Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}), 7.43 (d, ${}^{3}J = 7.5$ Hz, 2 H, H_{2.6}), 7.48 (d, ${}^{3}J = 4$ Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 58.9 (OMe), 70-74br (Cp and C5H4) (CC), 83.6 (C3,5), 97.8 (C1), 100.0 (C2,6), 101.5 (CC), 128.8 (Cthio quat), 130.0 (Cthio), 133.4 (Cthio), 134.3 (C_{thio,quat}), 148.7 (C₄), 216.0 (CO). UV (CH₂Cl₂): λ 269 nm (ϵ = 16 700 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 352 nm (ϵ = 21 300 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 481 nm (ϵ = 3400 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). UV (CHCl₃): λ 268 nm (ϵ = 17 000 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 352 nm (ϵ = 20 800 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 493 nm (ϵ = 3300 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). UV (CH₃CN): λ 270 nm (ϵ = 17 300 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 344 nm (ϵ = 23 300 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 475 nm ($\epsilon = 3100 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). IR (CHCl₃): $\bar{\nu}$ 2015 cm⁻¹ (Mn-(CO)₃⁺), 2073 cm⁻¹ (Mn(CO)₃), 2202 cm⁻¹ (CC). IR (solid state): $\bar{\nu}$ 2020 cm⁻¹ (Mn(CO)₃⁺), 2068 cm⁻¹ (Mn(CO)₃⁺), 2360, 2341 cm⁻¹ (CC). MS (MALDI-TOF, *m/z*): calcd for C₂₆H₁₈FeMnO₄S, 536.99; found, 536.96 $[M - BF_4]^+$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{26}H_{18}BF_4$ -FeMnO₄S (M_r = 623.97): C, 50.00; H, 2.91. Found: C, 49.31; H, 2.96

Synthesis of 2-(Ferrocenylethynyl)-5-{ $[\eta^5(1'-5')-(4'-5')]$ methoxycyclohexa-2',4'-dienyl)tricarbonylmanganese]ethynyl}thiophene (19). In a flask (50 mL) was added transdichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂; 18 mg, 0.025 mmol; 0.05 equiv), and copper(I) iodide (CuI; 5 mg, 0.025 mmol; 0.05 equiv) was dissolved in THF (2 mL) and triethylamine NEt₃ (5 mL). 2,5-Dibromothiophene (14; 0.115 mL, 1 mmol; 2 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture heated under reflux for 10 min. In another flask, ethynylferrocene (5; 0.105 g, 0.5 mmol; 1 equiv) was dissolved in THF (3 mL) and transferred into the first flask via a cannula. The mixture was stirred for 30 min under reflux. Filtration on Celite and evaporation of the solvents under reduced pressure gave a residue which was purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/ether: 100/0 to 90/0). A red powder of 15 was obtained (0.099 g, 0.267 mmol) in 53% yield. For C₁₆H₁₁BrFeS, $M_r = 370.8$. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.23 (s, 5 H, Cp), 4.25 (m, 2 H, C₅H₄), 4.48 (m, 2 H, C₅H₄), 6.91 (d, ${}^{3}J = 4$ Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}), 6.94 (d, ${}^{3}J = 4$ Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 63.2 (C_{quat}C₅H₄), 68.1 (C₅H₄),

69.0 (Cp), 70.4 (C₅H₄), 76.8 (CC), 92.6 (CC), 110.9 (C_{thio.guat}), 124.9 ($C_{thio,quat}$), 128.9 (C_{thio}), 130.6 (C_{thio}). The minor product 16 was obtained, but only a ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude material has been recorded. In a flask (50 mL), the bromothiophene 15 (0.210 g, 0.566 mmol; 1 equiv) and transdichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂; 20 mg, 0.028 mmol; 0.05 equiv) and CuI (6 mg, 0.028 mmol; 0.05 equiv) were dissolved in THF (7 mL) and NEt₃ (7 mL). (Trimethylsilyl)acetylene (0.120 mL, 0.849 mmol; 1.5 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture heated under reflux with magnetic agitation for 3 h. The reaction mixture was filtered on Celite, and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography with petroleum ether as eluent. The orange solid 17 (0.220 g, 0.566 mmol) was obtained quantitatively. For $C_{21}H_{20}FeSSi M_r = 388.0$. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.24 (s, 9 H, Si(Me)₃), 4.24 (s, 5 H, Cp), 4.25 (m, 2 H, C₅H₄), 4.49 (m, 2 H, C₅H₄), 7.01 (d, ${}^{3}J = 3.5$ Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}), 7.07 (d, ${}^{3}J = 3.5$ Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}). ${}^{13}C$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.0 (SiMe₃), 64.4 (C_{quat} C₅H₄), 69.4 (C₅H₄), 70.3 (Cp), 71.7 (C₅H₄), 78.6 (CC), 93.7 (CC), 97.3 (CC), 99.8 (CC), 123.7 (Cthio,quat), 125.8 (C_{thio,quat}), 131.0 (C_{thio}), 132.7 (C_{thio}). Compound 17 (0.220 g, 0.566 mmol; 1 equiv) was dissolved in MeOH (15 mL). A solution of sodium hydroxide (0.670 mL, c = 2 N; 2.2 equiv) was then added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and extracted with water and Et₂O. The organic phase was washed with a saturated solution of NaCl, dried over MgSO₄, and filtered on Celite. Evaporation of the solvents under reduced pressure afforded quantitatively the red oil **18** (0.179 g, 0.566 mmol). For $C_{28}H_{12}FeS M_r = 315.9$. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.37 (s, 1 H, CCH), 4.25 (m, 7 H, Cp, C₅H₄), 4.51 (m, 2 H, C₅H₄), 7.04 (d, ${}^{3}J = 4$ Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}), 7.13 (d, ${}^{3}J = 4$ Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}). 13 C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 64.2 (C₅H₄ C_{quat}), 69.3 (C₅H₄), 70.2 (Cp), 71.6 (C₅H₄), 78.3 (CC), 82.0 (CCH), 93.9 (CC), 122.3 (Cthio,quat), 126.1 (Cthio, guat), 130.8 (Cthio), 133.1 (Cthio). (η⁵-1-Chloro-4-methoxycyclohexadienyl)tricarbonylmanganese (2; 0.134 mg, 0.472 mmol; 1 equiv), triphenylarsine (AsPh₃; 29 mg, 0.094 mmol; 0.20 equiv), and tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (Pd2-(dba)₃; 22 mg, 0.024 mmol; 0.05 equiv) were introduced in a flask. NEt₃ (7 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was heated at 40 °C for 10 min. In another flask, the acetylene compound 18 (0.149 g, 0.472 mmol; 1 equiv) was dissolved in NEt₃ (8 mL). This solution was transferred into the first flask via a cannula. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at 40 °C and filtered on Celite, and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. A red oil was obtained, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography (petroleum ether/ ether:100/0 to 90/10), giving compound 19 (0.128 g, 0.228 mmol) in 48% yield. For $C_{28}H_{19}FeMnO_4S M_r = 561.8$. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.46 (d, ²J = 13 Hz, 1 H, H_{6exo}), 2.94 (dd, ${}^{2}J = 13$ Hz, ${}^{3}J = 6$ Hz, 1 H, H_{6endo}), 3.08 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 6$ Hz, ${}^{4}J = 6$ 2.5 Hz, 1 H, H₅), 3.46 (s, 3 H, OMe), 4.23 (s, 5 H, H₁₈), 4.26 (m, 2 H, H₁₆ or H₁₇), 4.48 (m, 2 H, H₁₆ or H₁₇), 5.24 (d, ${}^{3}J = 6$ Hz, 1 H, H₂), 5.80 (dd, ${}^{3}J = 6$ Hz, ${}^{4}J = 2.5$ Hz, 1 H, H₃), 6.98 (d, ${}^{3}J = 4$ Hz, 1 H, H₁₀ or H₁₁), 7.01 (d, ${}^{3}J = 4$ Hz, 1 H, H₁₀ or H₁₁). ^{13}C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 31.8 (C_6), 36.5 (C_5), 46.2 (C1), 54.5 (OMe), 64.4 (C15), 68.3 (C3), 69.2 (C16 or C17), 70.1 (C18), 71.5 (C16 or C17), 78.5 (CC), 78.9 (CC), 93.7 (CC), 94.8 (CC), 97.1 (C₂), 123.7 (C₉ or C₁₂), 125.3 (C₉ or C₁₂), 131.0 (C₁₀ or C₁₁), 131.7 (C₁₀ or C₁₁), 143.3 (C₄), 221.9 (CO). UV (CH₃-CN): λ 355 nm (ϵ = 27 700 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 264 nm (ϵ = 21 900 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). UV (CH₂Cl₂): λ 358 nm (ϵ = 27 000 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) UV (CHCl₃): λ 358 nm (ϵ = 26 900 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). IR (CHCl₃): $\bar{\nu}$ 1948 cm⁻¹ (Mn(CO)₃), 2019 cm⁻¹ (Mn(CO)₃). MS (MALDI-TOF, *m/z*): calcd for C₂₈H₁₉FeMnO₄S, 561.97; found, 561.97 [M]⁺.

Synthesis of 2-(Ferrocenylethynyl)-5-{ $[(\eta^6(1'-6')-4'-methoxyphenyl)tricarbonylmanganese]ethynyl}thio$ phene Tetrafluoroborate (20). Compound 19 (0.057 g, 0.102 mmol; 1 equiv) was dissolved in dichloromethane (5 mL) in a

(CPh₃BF₄; 0.034 g; 0.102 mmol; 1 equiv) was dissolved in dichloromethane (5 mL). This solution was transferred via a cannula into the first flask. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, and freshly distilled Et₂O was added. An orange precipitate appeared and was collected by filtration to afford 20 (0.066 g, 0.102 mmol), obtained in quantitative yield. For $C_{28}H_{18}BF_{4}FeMnO_{4}S M_{r} = 647.6$. Mp: 151 °C. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, acetone- d_6): $\delta = 4.24$ (s, 3 H, OMe), 4.28 (s, 5 H, Cp), 4.39 (m, 2 H, C₅ H_4), 4.57 (m, 2 H, C₅ H_4), 6.63 (d, ³J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, H_{3,5}), 7.28 (d, ${}^{3}J = 4$ Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}), 7.43 (d, ${}^{3}J = 7.5$ Hz, 2 H, H_{2,6}), 7.48 (d, ${}^{3}J = 4$ Hz, 1 H, H_{thio}). ${}^{13}C$ NMR (100 MHz, acetone-d₆): δ 59.0 (OMe), 64.0 (C₁₅), 70.2 (C₅H₄), 70.6 (C₁₈), 72.1 (C₅H₄), 77.9 (CC), 83.6 (C_{3,5}), 85.6 (CC), 86.8 (CC), 89.6 (CC), 96.3 (C1), 105.7 (C2,6), 120.3 (Cthio,quat), 129.0 (Cthio,quat), 132.3 (Cthio), 136.3 (Cthio), 149.2 (C4), 215.7 (CO). UV (CH3-CN): λ 470 nm (ϵ = 3100 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 349 nm (ϵ = 31 400 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), λ 260 nm (ϵ = 13 400). UV (CH₂Cl₂): λ 480 nm $(\epsilon = 4400 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}), \lambda 359 \text{ nm} (\epsilon = 27 300 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}),$

 λ 264 nm (ϵ = 23 900 L mol^{-1} cm^{-1}). UV (CHCl₃): λ 475 nm (ϵ = 3800 L mol^{-1} cm^{-1}), λ 357 nm (ϵ = 28 400 L mol^{-1} cm^{-1}), λ 264 nm (ϵ = 24 600 L mol^{-1} cm^{-1}). IR (CHCl₃): $\bar{\nu}$ 2024 cm^{-1} (Mn(CO)_3⁺), 2080 cm^{-1} (Mn(CO)_3⁺), 2248, 2200 cm^{-1} (CC). MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C₂₈H₁₈FeMnO₄S, 560.97; found, 560.90 [M - BF₄]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₁₈BF₄FeMnO₄S (M_r = 647.97): C, 51.85; H, 2.80. Found: C, 51.71, H, 2.59.

Organometallics, Vol. 23, No. 2, 2004 193

Acknowledgment. We thank the COST D14 action (WG008) and the CNRS for financial support and Prof. C. Rolando and Dr. G. Ricart from the "Université des Sciences et Techniques de Lille" for MS analyses.

Supporting Information Available: Tables giving crystal data, atomic coordinates, and bond distances and angles and figures giving additional views of complex **7**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OM034168F