A New Rhodium(III) Complex with a Tripodal **Bis(imidazolylidene) Ligand. Synthesis and Catalytic Properties**

Elena Mas-Marzá,[†] Macarena Poyatos,[†] Mercedes Sanaú,[‡] and Eduardo Peris^{*,†}

Dpto. de Química Inorgánica y Orgánica, Universitat Jaume I, Av. Vicente Sos Baynat, s/n. 12071-Castellón, Špain, and Ďpto. de Química Inorgánica, Universitat de Vaľencia, C/Dr. Moliner, s/n, 46100 Burjassot-Valencia, Spain

Received September 4, 2003

Summary: A new bis(imidazolylidene) tripodal ligand has been obtained by a simple method. The coordination of this ligand to Rh provides the first Rh(III) complex with a bis(carbene) ligand in a tripodal coordination, and its catalytic properties toward hydrogen transfer have been examined.

N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) have emerged as new types of ligands in homogeneous catalysis.^{1,2} The combination of chelate and pincer effects on such carbenes have provided a new type of catalyst whose properties can be easily modulated by changing the topology and electronic properties of the ligands.^{2,3} In many cases, the coordination of NHCs results in an improvement in the catalytic properties and stability of the compounds. A large number of the N-heterocyclic chelate complexes reported so far have been η^2 -bis(carbene) species or CNC-pincer (mer) compounds of Pd, Rh, Ir, and Ru. However, the design of such ligands with a tripodal (fac) topology has been restricted to a few articles describing their coordination to Fe,⁴ Ag,⁵ and Tl⁶ and the recently described nitrogen-anchored tris(carbene) complexes of Cu(I) and Cu(II) reported by Meyer et al.⁷ The preparation of other tris(carbene) tripodal ligands has resulted in their coordination in η^2 -chelate or bridging forms.⁸

Most of the non-phosphine tripodal heterocyclic ligands reported so far have been restricted to N-donor compounds such as poly(pyrazolyl)borates9 and triamidoamine¹⁰ compounds. On the basis of the topology of these compounds, we have now prepared a new precursor of

- (1) Herrmann, W. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1291. Herrmann, W. A.; Kocher, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 2163. Bourissou, D.; Guerret, O.; Gabbai, F. P.; Bertrand, G. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 39.

 - (2) Crabtree, R. H. Pure Appl. Chem. 2003, 75, 435.
 (3) Peris, E.; Crabtree, R. H. C. R. Chim. 2003, 6, 33.
- (4) Kernbach, U.; Ramm, M.; Luger, P.; Fehlhammer, W. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 310.
- (5) Hu, X. L.; Tang, Y. J.; Gantzel, P.; Meyer, K. Organometallics 2003, 22, 612
- (6) Nakai, H.; Tang, Y. J.; Gantzel, P.; Meyer, K. Chem. Commun. 2003, 24.
- (7) Hu, X. L.; Castro-Rodriguez, I.; Meyer, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12237. Hu, X.; Castro-Rodriguez, I.; Meyer, K. Organome-
- tallics 2003, 22, 3016. (8) Mas-Marzá, E.; Poyatos, M.; Sanau, M.; Peris, E. Submitted for
- nublication.
- (9) Trofimenko, S. Scorpionates: The Coordination Chemistry of Polypyrazolylborate Ligands, Imperial College Press: London, 1999.
 (10) Schrock, R. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1997, 30, 9.

a tripodal bis(carbene) ligand, by reaction of salicylaldehyde and bis(imidazolyl) ketone at 80 °C in the presence of CoCl₂·6H₂O as catalyst (Scheme 1). The synthesis of this compound is similar to that reported by Higgs et al. for the preparation of the bis(pyrazolyl) analogue.¹¹ The reaction of (2-hydroxyphenyl)bis(imidazolyl)methane (1) with MeI gives the corresponding methylated bis(imidazolium) salt (2-hydroxyphenyl)bis-(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl)methane diiodide (2; BIPHOH-H₂) in high yield.¹²

In the presence of a weak base such as NEt₃, **2** reacted with [(COD)RhCl]₂ in refluxing MeCN to give [(BIPHO)- $(N-methylimidazole)_2 RhI]I$ (3)¹³ in good yield, as shown in Scheme 2. The metalation and the chelating character of the BIPHO ligand can be deduced by NMR spectroscopy. The three protic hydrogen atoms of the precursor BIPHOH- H_2 (2) disappear upon coordination, and the imidazolylidene rings are symmetry-related. The more direct evidence of the metalation of the ligand comes from ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, which shows the carbene signal at δ 156.8 and a coupling constant that is diagnostic of direct Rh binding (${}^{1}J_{C-Rh} = 40.3$ Hz). The signals due to the three hydrogens in the two methylimidazole ligands appear at frequencies higher than those due to the imidazolylidene rings of the tripodal ligand. The methylimidazole rings are N-bound, since

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: 34 964 728214. E-mail: eperis@qio.uji.es.

[†] Universitat Jaume I.

[‡] Universitat de València.

⁽¹¹⁾ Higgs, T. C.; Carrano, C. J. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 291

⁽¹²⁾ Synthesis of (2-hydroxyphenyl)bis(imidazolyl)methane (1): bis-(imidazolyl) ketone (4.57 g, 28.2 mmol), salicylaldehyde (3 mL, 28.2 mmol), and CoCl2·6H2O (31 mg, 0.13 mmol) were stirred and heated to 80 °C for 2 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, 30 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was added, and a white solid appeared. The white solid was filtered and recrystallized with acetone/CH₃OH. Yield: 6.26 g (92%). Synthesis of (2-hydroxyphenyl)bis(3-methylimidazolium-1-yl)methane diiodide (BIPHOH-H₂) (2): a mixture of 1 (0.5 g, 2.1 mmol) and iodomethane (3 mL, 48.2 mmol) was refluxed in CH₃OH overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the product was precipitated with CH₃OH/ether, giving a light yellow solid. Yield: 870 mg (80%). (13) Synthesis of [(BIPHO)(*N*-methylimidazole)₂RhI]I (**3**): a mixture

of [(COD)RhCl]2 (150 mg, 0.30 mmol), 2 (345 mg, 0.66 mmol), and NEt3 (0.3 mL, 2.0 mmol) was refluxed in CH₃CN overnight. A brown solid appeared and was filtered off. The solvent was eliminated under vacuum, the crude solid was redissolved in CH₂Cl₂, and the solution was transferred to a column chromatograph. Elution with CH2Cl2 separated a minor yellow band that contained [(COD)RhCl]₂. Further elution with gradient CH_2Cl_2 /acetone afforded the separation of a major orange-yellow band that contained **3**. The product was precipitated with CH_2Cl_2 /hexane. Yield: 144 mg (30%). Crystal data for **3**: $C_{23}H_{27}I_{2}$ -White Ch₂C₂/μexale. There is the first of the first object of the characteristic of the constraints of the characteristic of t $> 2\sigma(I)$

Scheme 1







we did not detect any C–Rh coupling in the ¹³C NMR for these two ligands. We were slightly concerned that the compound obtained could contain the ligand in a bridging form, yielding a dimetallic species, since we have observed that these species can be readily formed with some bis(carbene) ligands,^{14–16} but this possibility was eliminated by elemental analysis and mass spectroscopy.

The oxidation of Rh(I) to Rh(III) in the reaction process is still a matter of discussion, although it has been observed for the reaction of other bis(carbene) ligands with Rh(I),^{14,16,17} and a possible mechanism has been proposed.¹⁶ The two coordinated *N*-methylimidazole ligands come from the cleavage of the ligand precursor **2**; we have observed that under mild reaction conditions some bis-N-heterocyclic carbene precursors can break their C–N bonds, yielding the *N*-alkylimidazole fragments.⁸

The structure of **3** was unequivocally determined by single-crystal X-ray crystallography. Figure 1 shows the molecular structure of **3**. The Rh is octahedral, with a metal-bis(carbene) (CRhC) bite angle of 87.1(8)°. The Rh-C distances for the NHCs are usually near 2 Å for Rh complexes; in our case they are 2.00(2) Å, suggesting that the bond has mainly σ -character. The high trans influence of the carbenes is reflected in the long Rh-N distances of 2.10 and 2.17 Å.

Complex **3** catalyzes the hydrogenation of C=O and C=N groups via hydrogen transfer from i-PrOH/KOH at 82 °C. As shown in Table 1, aryl and alkyl ketones



⁽¹⁵⁾ Poyatos, M.; Uriz, P.; Mata, J. A.; Claver, C.; Fernandez, E.; Peris, E. *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 440. Herrmann, W. A.; Elison, M.; Fischer, J.; Kocher, C.; Artus, G. R. J. *Chem. Eur. J.* **1996**, *2*, 772; Simons, R. S.; Custer, P.; Tessier, C. A.; Youngs, W. J. *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 1979.



Figure 1. X-ray molecular structure of **3**. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Rh(1)-C(31) = 2.00(2), Rh(1)-C(21) = 2.00(2), Rh(1)-O(1) = 2.030(12), Rh(1)-N(6) = 2.10(2), Rh(1)-N(5) = 2.170(15), Rh(1)-I(2) = 2.671(19); C(31)-Rh(1)-C(21) = 87.2(8), C(31)-Rh(1)-O(1) = 89.1-(7), C(21)-Rh(1)-O(1) = 93.6(7).

 Table 1. Catalytic Transfer Hydrogenation Using Compound 3 as Catalyst^a

	amt				
	of cat.	time	yield		
substrate	(mol %)	(h)	°(%)	TON	TOF
cyclohexanone	0.1	1	97	970	970
cyclohexanone	0.1	2	>99	1000	500
benzophenone	0.1	0.5	70	700	1400
benzophenone	0.1	1	79	790	790
benzophenone	0.1	5	>98	1000	200
acetophenone	0.1	0.5	86	860	1720
acetophenone	0.1	1	91	910	910
acetophenone	0.1	2	>98	1000	500
ethyl methyl ketone	0.1	7	65	650	93
ethyl methyl ketone	0.1	24	90	900	38
<i>N</i> -benzylideneaniline	0.1	0.5	55	550	1100
<i>N</i> -benzylideneaniline	0.1	1	65	650	650
<i>N</i> -benzylideneaniline	0.1	24	85	850	35
N-benzylidenemethyl-	0.1	0.5	60	600	1200
amine					
N-benzylidenemethyl-	0.1	1	72	720	720
amine					
N-benzylidenemethyl-	0.1	24	>98	1000	42
amine					
cyclohexanone	0.001	6	50	50000	8333
cyclohexanone	0.001	24	70	70000	2917
benzophenone	0.001	6	60	60000	10000
benzophenone	0.001	24	68	68000	2833
acetophenone	0.001	6	20	20000	3333
acetophenone	0.001	24	50	50000	2083
	substrate cyclohexanone cyclohexanone benzophenone benzophenone acetophenone acetophenone acetophenone acetophenone ethyl methyl ketone ethyl methyl ketone ethyl methyl ketone N-benzylideneaniline N-benzylideneaniline N-benzylidenemethyl- amine N-benzylidenemethyl- amine N-benzylidenemethyl- amine N-benzylidenemethyl- amine N-benzylidenemethyl- amine Senzophenone benzophenone acetophenone acetophenone	amt of cat. (mol %)cyclohexanone0.1cyclohexanone0.1benzophenone0.1benzophenone0.1benzophenone0.1acetophenone0.1acetophenone0.1acetophenone0.1acetophenone0.1acetophenone0.1acetophenone0.1benzolphenone0.1acetophenone0.1acetophenone0.1off-line0.1acetophenone0.1off-line0.1acetophenone0.1N-benzylideneaniline0.1N-benzylideneaniline0.1N-benzylidenemethyl- amine0.1mine0.1N-benzylidenemethyl- amine0.1amine0.1cyclohexanone0.001benzophenone0.001benzophenone0.001benzophenone0.001acetophenone0.001acetophenone0.001	amt of cat.time of cat.time (mol %)substrateof cat.time (mol %)cyclohexanone0.11cyclohexanone0.12benzophenone0.11benzophenone0.11benzophenone0.15acetophenone0.11acetophenone0.11acetophenone0.11acetophenone0.12ethyl methyl ketone0.124N-benzylideneaniline0.124N-benzylideneaniline0.124N-benzylidenemethyl- amine0.11mine124N-benzylidenemethyl- amine0.124amine124benzophenone0.0016cyclohexanone0.00124benzophenone0.00124benzophenone0.00124acetophenone0.00124acetophenone0.00124	amtsubstrateof cat.timeyieldsubstrateof cat.timeyieldcyclohexanone0.1197cyclohexanone0.12>99benzophenone0.10.570benzophenone0.1179benzophenone0.1197acetophenone0.1191acetophenone0.1191acetophenone0.12>98ethyl methyl ketone0.12490N-benzylideneaniline0.12490N-benzylideneaniline0.1165N-benzylideneaniline0.12485N-benzylideneaniline0.1172amine1172amine0.001650cyclohexanone0.001650cyclohexanone0.0016460benzophenone0.0012468acetophenone0.0012468acetophenone0.0012450	amt of cat.time time yield (mol %)yield (mol %)TONcyclohexanone0.1197970cyclohexanone0.12>991000benzophenone0.10.570700benzophenone0.1179790benzophenone0.1179970benzophenone0.11919100acetophenone0.1191910acetophenone0.1191910acetophenone0.12>981000ethyl methyl ketone0.12490900N-benzylideneaniline0.1165650N-benzylideneaniline0.1165650N-benzylideneaniline0.12485850N-benzylidenemethyl- amine0.1172720amineN-benzylidenemethyl- amine0.1172720amineN-benzylidenemethyl- amine0.1172720amineN-benzylidenemethyl- amine0.0165050000cyclohexanone0.001246868000acetophenone0.00162020000benzophenone0.00162020000benzophenone0.00162020000<

 a Conditions: 10 mL of 0.1 M KOH in i-PrOH, reflux temperature 82 °C. Yields were determined by $^1{\rm H}$ NMR. TON is given in units of (mol of product)/(mol of catalyst) and TOF in units of (mol of product)/((mol of catalyst) h).

are converted to the corresponding alcohols in good yields with a catalyst loading of 0.1 mol %. Under these conditions the reactions are almost complete in 2 h, except for ethyl methyl ketone, which only reaches full conversion after 24 h. **3** also catalyzes the reduction of imines to the corresponding amines, although the reactions are slower.

Interestingly, lower catalyst loadings of **3**, such as 10^{-3} mol %, still are efficient enough to catalyze the reduction of the ketones in high yields. TOFs as high as 8300 and 10 000 are achieved for the cyclohexanone and benzophenone cases (entries 17 and 19), which show a higher activity toward reduction under all the condi-

 ⁽¹⁶⁾ Poyatos, M.; Sanau, M.; Peris, E. *Inorg. Chem.* 2003, *42*, 2572.
 (17) Albrecht, M.; Crabtree, R. H.; Mata, J.; Peris, E. *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 32.

tions used. The TONs of 50 000–70 000 observed for the benzophenone and cyclohexanone cases (entries 17–22) are considerably larger than those reported for other Rh(III) carbene complexes described by $us^{14,17}$ and are among the highest reported for this reaction using a Rh catalyst.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the DGESIC (Grant No. MAT2002-

04421-C02-01) and Bancaixa (Grant No. P1.1B2001-03). We also thank the Spanish MECD for a fellowship (E.M.-M.).

Supporting Information Available: Text giving spectroscopic data of compounds **2** and **3** and complete listings of structural parameters for **3** as CIF files. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org. OM0341552