New Mononuclear and Polynuclear **Perfluoroarylmetalate Cocatalysts for Stereospecific Olefin Polymerization**

Ming-Chou Chen, John A. S. Roberts, and Tobin J. Marks*

Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208-3113

Received September 15, 2003

Summary: Mononuclear and polynuclear perfluoroarylborate, -aluminate, and -gallate cocatalysts for metallocene-mediated olefin polymerization have been synthesized as trityl fluoride adducts of known perfluoroarylmetalloid cocatalysts. Propylene polymerization experiments using these species as cocatalysts with the Cs-symmetric precatalyst [Me₂C(Cp)(fluorenyl)]ZrMe₂ reveal a marked counteranion dependence of polymerization activity, product polymer syndiotacticity, and relative [m] and [mm] stereoerror abundance, with the polynuclear perfluoroaryl cocatalysts uniformly giving enhanced product polymer stereoregularity vs the neutral analogues.

Metallocenium ion pair complexes (A; eq 1) produced in the reaction of metallocenes with various activators (cocatalysts) have been recognized as the active species in single-site olefin polymerization, and clear evidence shows that interionic structures and interactions have a profound influence on catalyst activity, lifetime, stability, chain-transfer characteristics, and stereoregulation.^{1,2} Well-known cocatalysts include tris(perfluoro-



phenyl)borane (B(C_6F_5)₃; **3**)³ and related perfluoroarylboranes,⁴ ammonium or trityl salts of $B(C_6F_5)_4^{-1}$ (5),⁵ and related perfluoroarylborates,⁶ alanes (6),⁷ and aluminates.8

Published on February 4, 2004 on http://pubs.acs.org | doi: 10.1021/om0341698

Downloaded by NAT LIB UKRAINE on July 3, 2009

Recently, several sterically encumbered perfluoroaryl group 13 cocatalysts, tris(2,2',2"-perfluorobiphenyl)borane (PBB; **4**), ^{4a} tris(β -perfluoronaphthyl)borane (B(C₁₀- F_7)₃; PNB),^{4b} and trityl tris(2,2',2''-perfluorobiphenyl)fluoroaluminate (Ph₃C⁺PBA⁻; 8)^{8a} have been synthesized and their cocatalytic polymerization characteristics studied. Bulky PBB and PNB generate cationic



species with significantly higher activities for olefin polymerization and copolymerization than does B(C₆F₅)₃. Via M-F-Al coordination to the metal center, PBAaffords more tightly bound and stereochemically immobile ion pairs which exhibit decreased enchainment and chain transfer rates, but more importantly, depressed syndiospecificity-degrading, rrmr stereoerrorinducing site epimerization rates in ion pairs generated from C_s-symmetric [Me₂C(Cp)(fluorenyl)]ZrMe₂ (1; eq 2).⁹ These observations suggest that it would be of great



interest to synthesize new cocatalysts with substantially modified steric and charge-dispersing properties and to assess their influence on the polymerization process. Here we report the synthesis, molecular structures,

Soc. 1996, 118, 12451–12452. (d) Also see refs 2c-e. (e) For a recent chelating borane review, see: Piers, W. E.; Irvine, G. J.; Williams, V. C. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 2131–2142.
(5) (a) Chien, J. C. W.; Tsai, W.-M.; Rausch, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 8570–8571. (b) Yang, X.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. Organometallics 1991, 10, 840–842. (c) Ewen, J. A.; Elder, M. J. Eur. Pat. Appl. 426637, 1991; Chem. Abstr. 1991, 115, 136987c, 136988d. (6) For related fluorinated tetraarylborates, see: (a) References 2h-j,l. (b) Jia, L.; Yang, X.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. Organometallics 1995, 14, 3135–3137.
(7) (a) Reference 2f. (b) Bochmann, M.; Sarsfield, M. J. Organometallics 1998, 17, 5908–5912. (c) Biagini, P.; Lugli, G.; Abis, L.; Andreussi, P. U. S. Patent 5,602,269, 1997.

^{*} Corresponding author.

⁽¹⁾ For recent reviews, see: (a) Gibson, V. C.; Spitzmesser, S. K. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*(1), 283–315. (b) Pédeutour, J.-N.; Radhakrishnan, K.; Cramail, H.; Deffieux, A. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2001**, *22*, 1095–1123. (c) Chen, Y.-X.; Marks, T. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*(4), 1391-1434. (d) Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1167-1682. (e) Top. Catal. 1999, 7, 1–208. (f) Britovsek, G. J. P.; Gibson, V. C.; Wass, D. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 428-447.

⁽²⁾ For recent cocatalyst studies, see: (a) Busico, V.; Cipullo, R.; Cutillo, F.; Vacatello, M.; Castelli, V. V. *Macromolecules* **2003**, *36*, 4258-4261. (b) Mohammed, M.; Nele, M.; Al-Humydi, A.; Xin, S.; Stapleton, R. A.; Collins, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7930-7941. (c) Abramo, G. P.; Li, L.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, (1) 13966–13967. (d) Li, L.; Metz, M. V.; Li, H.; Chen, M.-C.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 12725–12741. (e) Metz, M. V.; Schwartz, D. J.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J.; Nickias, P. N. Organometallics **2002**, 21, 4159–4168. (f) Metz, M. V.; Sun, Y. M.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 3691–3702. (g) Wilmes, G. M.; Polse, J. L.; Waymouth, R. M. *Macromolecules* **2002**, *35*, 6766–6772. (h) Lancaster, S. J.; Rodriguez, A.; Lara-Sanchez, A.; Hannant, M. D.; Walker, D. A.; S. J.; Kodriguez, A.; Lara-Sanchez, A.; Hannant, M. D.; Walker, D. A.; Hughes, D. H.; Bochmann, M. *Organometallics* 2002, *21*, 451–453. (i) Rodriguez, G.; Brant, P. *Organometallics* 2001, *20*, 2417–2420. (j) Kaul, F. A. R.; Puchta, G. T.; Schneider, H.; Grosche, M.; Mihalios, D.; Herrmann, W. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2001, *621*, 177–183. (k) Chen, Y.-X.; Kruper, W. J.; Roof, G.; Wilson, D. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, *123*, 745–746. (l) Zhou, J.; Lancaster, S. J.; Walker, D. A.; Beck, S.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Bochmann, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, *123*, 223–237. (m) Kehr, G.; Roesmann, R.; Froblich, R.; Holst, C.; Frker 223–237. (m) Kehr, G.; Roesmann, R.; Frohlich, R.; Holst, C.; Erker, G. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, 535–538. (n) Mager, M.; Becke, S.; Windisch, H.; Denninger, U. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 1898– 1902.

^{(3) (}a) Yang, X.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 10015–10031. (b)Yang, X.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3623-3625.

^{(4) (}a) Li, L.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. Organometallics **2000**, *19*, 3332–3337. (b) Li, L.; Marks, T. J. Organometallics **1998**, *17*, 3996–4003. (c) Chen, Y.-X.; Stern, C. L.; Yang, S.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 12451-12452. (d) Also see refs 2c-e. (e) For a recent



reactivity, and single-site polymerization catalytic characteristics of a new series of mononuclear and polynuclear perfluoroarylborates, -aluminates, and -gallates. It will be seen that most are excellent cocatalysts, affording high catalytic activity and improved enchainment stereocontrol for syndiotactic propylene polymerization.

Reaction of trityl fluoride (Ph₃CF; **2**)¹⁰ with $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (3) and $B(2-C_6F_5C_6F_4)_3$ (4)^{4a} yields the corresponding trityl fluorometalate salts tris(perfluorophenyl)fluoroborate $(9)^{11}$ and trityl tris(2,2',2''-nonafluorobiphenyl)fluoroborate (10; Scheme 1).¹² ¹⁹F NMR spectra of both fluoroborates exhibit characteristic broad, upfield B-F resonances at δ -186.99 (9) and -185.00 ppm (10).¹³ The structure of anion **10** was confirmed by X-ray diffraction¹⁴ and features an unassociated trityl cation and a sterically congested chiral, essentially C_3 -symmetric borate anion (Scheme 1). Depending on the reagent molar ratio, either of three trityl tris(perfluo-

(14) For **10** (50% probability thermal ellipsoids in Scheme 1), selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg) are as follows: B1–F1=1.437(6), B1–C1=1.649(7), B1–C13=1.659(6); F1–B1–C1= 105.3(4), F1-B1-C13 = 106.8(3), C1-B1-C13 = 114.4(4), C1-B1-C25 = 112.2(3)

rophenyl)fluoroaluminates $[(Ph_3C^+)_x \{F_x[Al(C_6F_5)_3]_y\}^{x-};$ x = 1, y = 1, 11; x = 1, y = 2, 12; x = 2, y = 3, 13) can be isolated in good yield from reaction of 2 with Al(C_6F_5)₃ (**6**; Scheme 1). Trityl tris(2,2',2''-nonafluorobiphenyl)fluoroaluminate $(Ph_3C^+[FAl(2-C_6F_5C_6F_4)_3]^-;$ 8)^{8a} also undergoes reaction with 6 to afford the trityl fluoro-bridged mixed aluminate (Ph₃C⁺[(C₆F₅)₃AlFAl- $(2-C_6F_5C_6F_4)_3]^-$; **14**). These fluoroaluminates were characterized by standard techniques¹⁵ and also by singlecrystal diffraction (13, 14; Scheme 1). The structure of 13 features two unassociated trityl cations and a sterically congested pseudo-C₃-symmetric trinuclear anion,¹⁶ where the three Al centers are connected by two μ -F atoms in a nearly linear Al-F-Al-F-Al configuration.¹⁷ The crystal structure of **14** features an unassociated trityl cation and a sterically congested fluorobridged Al anion, with the two Al centers bridged by a single F atom, forming a nearly linear Al-F-Al configuration.¹⁸ Trityl fluorobis[tris(perfluorophenyl)gallate] $(Ph_3C^+F[Ga(C_6F_5)_3]_2^-; 15)$ is derived from a "one pot" reaction of **2** with $Ga(C_6F_5)_3$ (**7**; Scheme 1), in turn generated in situ from reaction of $B(C_6F_5)_3 + Ga(CH_3)_3$ (eq 3).¹⁹ The structure of **15** was confirmed by X-ray

$$GaMe_{3} + B(C_{6}F_{5})_{3} \xrightarrow{Ph_{3}CF} Ph_{3}C^{+}\{F[Ga(C_{6}F_{5})_{3}]_{2}\}^{-} (3)$$

3 15 (67%)

diffraction²⁰ as the first linear fluoro-bridged organogallium complex.²¹

The activation reactivities and olefin polymerization²² cocatalytic characteristics (Table 1; Figure 1) of salts **9–15** were investigated with respect to the C_s -sym-

^{(8) (}a) Chen, Y.-X.; Metz, M. V.; Li, L.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 6287–6305. (b) Chen, Y.-X.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 2582-2583. (c) Elder, M. J.; Ewen, J. A. Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 573,403, 1993; Chem. Abstr. 1994, 121, 0207d.

^{(9) (}a) Chen, M.-C.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 11803-11804. (b) Chen, M.-C.; Roberts, J. A. S.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. *Chem. Soc.*, in press. (10) Oishi, M.; Yamamoto, H. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2001**, *74*(8),

^{1445-1454.}

⁽¹¹⁾ A similar fluoroborate (Li⁺[FB(C₆F₅)₃]⁻) has been claimed previously. See: Klemann, L. P.; Newman, G. H.; Stogryn, E. L. U. S. Patent 4,139,681, 1979.

⁽¹²⁾ See the Supporting Information for full experimental details. (13) Similarly, the F–Al resonance of $Ph_3C^+PBA^-$ (8) appears at δ -175.60 ppm.⁸

⁽¹⁵⁾ The ¹⁹F NMR spectra of complexes 11-14 exhibit broad singlets at δ -168.61, -167.30, -166.49, and -169.38 ppm, respectively, indicative of an Al-F bond.

 Table 1. Comparison of Propylene Polymerization Results with 1 + the Indicated Cocatalysts at 25 °C under 1.0 atm of Propylene^a

expt no.	cocat. (R = C_6F_5 ; R' = $C_{12}F_9$)	amt (µmol)	time (min)	amt of PP (g)	ΔT^c (°C)	$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{activity}^d \ (imes 10^6) \end{array}$	<i>T</i> _m ^{<i>e</i>} (°C)	rmmr ^f (%)	mmrr (%)	rrmr (%)	<i>rrrr</i> (%)	$M_{ m w}^{g}$ (×10 ³)	$M_{ m w}/M_{ m n}$
1b	BR ₃ (3)	20	40	5.9	1.0	0.44	101.4	1.5	3.1	10.6	69.5	79	1.81
2	$Tr^{+}FBR_{3}^{-}$ (9)	10	60	0.87	1.0	0.087	104.5	1.6	3.1	10.3	70.2	81	1.99
3^b	$BR'_{3}(4)$	10	5	2.92	3.0	3.5	130.3	1.5	3.1	4.2	83.5	101	1.85
4	$Tr^{+}FBR'_{3}^{-}$ (10)	20	12	1.39	1.0	0.35	137.6	1.7	3.2	2.8	86.3	94	2.11
5^{b}	$Tr^{+}BR'_{4}(5)$	4.8	1.25	0.89	3.0	8.9	130.7	1.4	3.0	4.2	84.0	112	1.95
6	AlR_3 (6)	20	45	0.84	1.0	0.056	139.5	1.7	2.8	3.4	85.5	74	2.2
7	$Tr^{+}FAlR_{3}^{-}$ (11)	10	4	1.32	2.0	2.0	142.1	1.6	2.6	2.4	88.7	138	1.95
8	$Tr^{+}F(AlR_{3})_{2}^{-}$ (12)	1.6	2	0.79	2.0	14	143.7	1.5	2.5	2.3	88.9	147	2.08
9	$Tr^{+}F_{2}(AlR_{3})_{3}^{2-}$ (13)	2.5	3	0.99	1.0	7.9	143.5	1.4	2.5	2.2	89.3	144	1.98
10 ^b	$Tr^{+}FAlR'_{3}$ (8)	20	75	5.0	0.5	0.20	145.7	1.3	2.6	1.3	91.0	147	1.85
11	$Tr^+(AlR_3FAlR'_3)^-$ (14)	2.6	5	0.94	1.0	4.4	145.8	1.5	2.4	1.4	90.8	121	1.91
12	$GaR_3(7)$	20	40	1.30	0.5	0.098	138.0	1.6	2.7	3.4	86.2	77	2.85
13	$Tr^{+}F(GaR_{3})_{2}^{-}$ (15)	10	3	1.17	3.0	2.33	140.5	1.5	2.5	2.5	88.6	129	1.93

^{*a*} In 50 mL of toluene with precise polymerization temperature control (exotherm <3 °C). ^{*b*} See ref 11b. ^{*c*} Internal temperature variation (\pm). ^{*d*} In units of g of polymer/((mol of cat.) atm h). ^{*e*} Second scan by DSC. ^{*f*} Pentad analysis by ¹³C NMR (\pm 0.3%). ^{*g*} GPC relative to polystyrene standards.



Figure 1. Activity (A), syndiotacticity (*rrrr%*) (B), and stereoerror (*rrmr%*) data (C) for polypropylenes produced by metallocene $\mathbf{1}$ + the indicated cocatalysts at 25 °C under 1.0 atm of propylene.

metric precatalyst [Me₂C(Cp)(fluorenyl)]ZrMe₂ (1). As monitored by in situ ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR, reaction of 1 (methide abstraction) with **9**–**15** is rapid,¹² and spectroscopically identified products are shown in Scheme 1. For reaction of 1 with cocatalyst **9**, [Me₂C(Flu)(Cp)-ZrMe]⁺[MeB(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ (**16**) is observed as the major

(16) For **13** (50% probability thermal ellipsoids in Scheme 1), selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg) are as follows: Al1–F1 = 1.740(2), Al1–C1 = 1.998(4), Al2–F1 = 1.964(2), Al2–F2 = 1.966-(2), Al2–C19 = 1.997(4), Al3–F2 = 1.734(2), Al3–C37 = 1.999(4); Al1–F1–Al2 = 168.66(14), F1–Al2–F2 = 176.95(11), Al3–F2–Al2 = 173.53(15), F1–Al1–C1 = 106.39(14), C1–Al1–C7 = 110.36(16), F1–Al2–C19 = 89.63(13), F2–Al2–C19 = 91.18(13), C19–Al2–C25 = 116.97(16), F2–Al3–C37 = 104.84(14).

(17) (a) A similar M–F–M–F–M arrangement is seen in a Bi system. For a recent review of metal fluorides, see: Roesky, H. W.; Haiduc, I. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1999**, 2249–2264. (b) For the first structural study of a fluoro-bridged organoaluminum complex, $K^+[(Et)_3Al-F-Al(Et)_3]^-$, with F–Al = 1.80(6) Å, see: Natta, G.; Allegra, G.; Perego, G.; Zambelli, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1961**, 83, 5033–5033.

(18) For **14** (50% probability thermal ellipsoids in Scheme 1), selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg) are as follows: Al1–F1 = 1.771(2), Al2–F1 = 1.795(2), Al1–C1 = 1.979(4), Al2–C31 = 1.999(4); Al1–F1–Al2 = 176.93(15), F1–Al1–C1 = 102.07(15), C1–Al1–C7 = 113.62(17), F1–Al2–C19 = 100.24(14), C19–Al2–C31 = 116.62(17).

(19) Several products are observed in this reaction; however, pure 15 can be isolated in good yield by fractional crystallization. The $^{19}\mathrm{F}$ NMR spectrum of 15 exhibits a characteristic broad, upfield resonance at δ –210.11 ppm, indicative of Ga–F bond formation.

(20) For **15** (50% probability thermal ellipsoids in Scheme 1), selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (deg) are as follows: F1–Ga1 = 1.896(2), F1–Ga2 = 1.918(2), C1–Ga1 = 1.991(4), C19–Ga2 = 1.992(4); Ga1–F1–Ga2 = 173.37(12), F1–Ga1–C1 = 103.02(13), C1–Ga1–C7 = 113.98(16), F1–Ga2–C19 = 97.39(13), C19–Ga2–C25 = 119.52(16).

(21) For recent examples of organogallium-F complexes, see: (a) Werner, B.; Kräuter, T.; Neumüller, B. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 3746-3751. (b) See ref 17a for examples of nonlinear fluoro-bridged Ga complexes. (c) Kräuter, T.; Neumuller, B. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **1995**, *621*, 597-606.

(22) Polymerizations were carried out under 1.0 atm of propylene pressure in toluene at 25 °C using conditions minimizing mass transfer and exotherm effects; product isolation and characterization utilized standard techniques.^{2d,9,12}

product (~30% yield),²³ suggesting B–F/Zr–Me metathesis. Not unexpectedly, lower polymerization activity (~20%) but with similar polypropylene syndiotacticity (~70.2(3) *rrrr*%) results from propylene polymerization catalyzed by the **9**-derived ion-pair complex, compared to **1**/B(C₆F₅)₃ (**3**; Table 1, entries 1 and 2). For reaction of **1** with cocatalyst **10**, formation of a pair of dinuclear {[Me₂C(Flu)(Cp)ZrMe]₂(μ -F)}⁺[FB(2-C₆F₅C₆-F₄)₃]⁻ diastereomers (**17**) is observed in a 2:1 ratio by NMR.²⁴ In comparison to **1**/PBB (**4**), lower polymerization activity but increased polypropylene syndiotacticity (86.3(3) vs 83.5(3) *rrrr*%) results from **1**+**10** (Table 1, entries 3 and 4).

For reaction of 1 with cocatalysts 11–13, in situ NMR assigns a mixture of [Me₂C(Flu)(Cp)ZrMe]⁺[FAl(C₆F₅)₃]⁻ (18) and a pair of diastereometric μ -methyl complexes $\{[Me_2C(Flu)(Cp)ZrMe]_2(\mu-Me)\}^+\{F[Al(C_6F_5)_3]_2\}^-$ (19) in differing ratios for all three cocatalysts (Scheme 1). Despite the complexity of these reactions, it is found that the active species generated by in situ reactions are effective agents for highly syndiospecific propylene polymerization (~89.0(3) rrrr%, Table 1).²⁵ In comparison to the borate-derived catalyst 1+5 (84.0(3) rrrr%) and alane-derived catalyst 1+6 (85.5(3) *rrrr*%), the new bridged fluoroaluminate cocatalyst **12** provides a system with the highest polymerization activity along with the highest product stereoregularity. In the reaction of 1 with cocatalyst 14, a pair of diastereomeric μ -methyl complexes { $[Me_2C(Flu)(Cp)ZrMe]_2(\mu-Me)$ }+ $[(C_6F_5)_3AlFAl (2-C_6F_5C_6F_4)_3]^-$ (**20**; 3:2 ratio) is obtained (Scheme 1). When cocatalysts 1-15 are compared in propylene

⁽²³⁾ The other product is an unidentified precipitate which exhibits very broad peaks in the ${}^{1}H$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂), and structural identification is ambiguous.

⁽²⁴⁾ In the ¹⁹F NMR, the Zr–F–Zr and F–B signals of ion pair **17** appear at δ –77.97 and –184.47 (br), respectively. In addition, free B(C₁₂F₉)₃ is also observed in ~50% yield.

Communications

polymerization, cocatalyst **14** achieves the highest syndioselectivity (90.8(3)%), comparable to $Ph_3C^+PBA^-$ (**8**) but with 20× greater catalytic activity (Table 1, entries 10 and 11; Figure 1). In the reaction of **1** with cocatalyst **15**, rapid methide abstraction and formation of a complex mixture, including unidentified dinuclear species, is observed. In propylene polymerization, the bridged fluoro-gallate **15** produces a polymer with high product syndiotacticity (88.6(3)%), comparable to the results for fluoroaluminates **11**–**13**. Substantially higher polymerization activity is exhibited by the fluoro-bridged gallate **15**, compared to Ga(C₆F₅)₃.

In summary, several new classes of mono- and polynuclear trityl fluorotris(perfluoroaryl)borates, -aluminates, and -gallates have been synthesized and characterized. These new cocatalysts demonstrate efficient and rapid activation of C_s -symmetric group 4 complexes to generate highly active single-site agents for propylene polymerization.²⁶ Polymerization activities (Figure 1A), product molecular weights (Table 1), product syndiotacticities (Figure 1B), and %*rrmr* stereoerrors (Figure 1C) are markedly sensitive to the cocatalyst. These new cocatalysts achieve higher stereoregulation by depressing %*rrmr* stereoerrors. In addition, bridged fluoro polynuclear cocatalysts effecting similar stereocontrol exhibit significantly higher polymerization activities than mononuclear cocatalysts.

Acknowledgment. Financial support by the DOE (Grant No. DE-FG02-86ER1351) is gratefully acknowledged. M.-C.C. thanks Dow Chemical for a postdoctoral fellowship, Dr. M. Oishi for helpful discussions, and Dr. P. Nickias of Dow for GPC measurements.

Supporting Information Available: Text giving detailed synthetic procedures and analytical data for **9–15** and complete X-ray experimental details, tables of bond lengths, angles, and positional parameters for **10** and **13–15**, and text giving a description of polymerization experiments. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OM0341698

⁽²⁵⁾ The highest catalytic activity is exhibited with the highest **19**: **18** ratio (\sim 2:1) when cocatalyst **12** is used. The lowest polymerization activity is observed at the lowest **19:18** ratio (\sim 1:1) when **11** is used. Similar product syndiotacticities are observed for all three cocatalysts, arguing that the same (or very similar) species serve as the active catalyst(s) in all three polymerization systems. Since the activity increases as **19** content is increased, this argues that **19** is the precursor to the actual active species.

⁽²⁶⁾ Product polydispersities are consistent with well-defined singlesite processes (Table 1).