New Synthon in the Design of *ansa*-Cyclopentadienyl Ligands with Variable Substitution at the Bridging Atom

Antonio Antiñolo,[†] Rafael Fernández-Galán,[†] Antonio Otero,^{*,†} Sanjiv Prashar,[‡] Iván Rivilla,[†] Ana M. Rodríguez,[†] and Miguel A. Maestro[§]

Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Orgánica y Bioquímica, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Campus Universitario, 13071-Ciudad Real, Spain, Departamento de Tecnología Química, Ambiental y de los Materiales, ESCET, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, 28933 Móstoles (Madrid), Spain, and Departamento de Química Fundamental, Facultade de Ciencias, Campus da Zapateira, Universidade da Coruña, 15071 A Coruña, Spain

Received July 26, 2004

Summary: This communication describes the synthesis and structural characterization of a potassium fulvenesubstituted cyclopentadienyl compound which acts as a synthon in the facile preparation of ansa-metallocene complexes with variable substitution at the bridging atom.

In the world of single-site metallocene catalysis there is a growing emphasis in ligand design toward the goal of "made to measure" *ansa*-metallocene catalysts for the preparation of polyolefins with defined properties¹ and the immobilization of the catalyst on distinct substrates by the prefunctionalization of the *ansa* ligand of the metallocene complex.² Here we report the synthesis and characterization of a potassium fulvene-substituted cyclopentadienyl compound and its use in the introduction of specific substituents at the bridging atom of the *ansa* ligand.

The reaction of 6-(dimethylamino)fulvene with $(C_5Me_4H)K$ in THF gave, after HNMe₂ elimination, $(C_5Me_4)=CH(\{C_5H_4\}K)$ (1).³ This type of amine elimination has previously been observed, although not explained, by Alt and co-workers.⁴ The reaction of alkalimetal organic derivatives with aminofulvenes does not always give the same result. For example, for $(C_5H_4)=C(Me)NMe_2$ the reaction with methyllithium in THF gave $Me_2N(CH_2=)C(\{C_5H_4\}Li)$ and methane.⁵ The same

§ Universidade da Coruña.

(2) (a) Hlatky, G. C. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1347. (b) Lee, B. Y.; Oh, J. S. Macromolecules 2000, 33, 3194. (c) Galan-Fereres, M.; Koch, T.; Hey-Hawkins, E.; Eisen, M. S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 580, 145. (d) Lee, B. Y.; Oh, J. S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 552, 313. (3) Preparation of 1: 6-(dimethylamino)fulvene (1.50 g, 12.5 mmol).

(3) Preparation of 1: 6-(dimethylamino)fulvene (1.50 g, 12.5 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added slowly to $(C_5Me_4H)K$ (2.00 g, 12.5 mmol) in THF (100 mL) at -40 °C. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 16 h, and filtered. Solvent was removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure to give the title complex as a red solid (2.41 g, 82%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆/d₈-THF): δ 2.04 (3H), 2.06 (3H), 2.31 (3H), 2.52 (3H) (s, C₅Me_4), 6.20 (1H), 6.42 (1H), 7.31 (2H) (m, C₅Me_4CH=C₅H_4), 6.53 (s, 1H, C₅Me_4CH=C₅H_4). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆/d₈-THF): δ 11.9, 11.6, 11.8, 16.2 (C₅Me_4), 11.7, 114.4, 119.1, 131.2, 135.0 (C₅H_4), 119.8, 125.5, 128.7, 133.7, 134.1 (C₅Me_4), not observed (C₅Me_4CH=C₅H_4). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₇K: C, 76.21; H, 7.25. Found: C, 76.00; H, 7.18.

(4) Alt, H. G.; Zenk, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 526, 295.



Scheme 1. Proposed Mechanism in the Synthesis

of 1



reaction in Et₂O gave the addition product Me₂N(Me₂)C-({C₅H₄}Li).⁵ The proposed mechanism for the formation of **1** is given in Scheme 1. The first step involves nucleophilic addition of tetramethylcyclopentadienyl to the aminofulvene molecule followed by the intramolecular elimination of HNMe₂. The potassium cation is now the counterion to the C₅H₄ anionic moiety.

When the same reaction was carried out in Et₂O, (C₅Me₄)=CH({C₅H₄}K{(C₅H₄)=C(H)NMe₂}) (**2**) was isolated as the crystalline product in a somewhat reduced yield. The second addition of excess (C₅Me₄H)K to the exocyclic double bond of **2** was not observed. The yield of **2** was greatly improved when the reaction was repeated using 2 molar equiv of the aminofulvene reagent (Scheme 2). The presence of a 6-(dimethyl-

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: antonio.otero@uclm.es.

[†] Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha.

[‡] Universidad Rey Juan Carlos.

⁽⁵⁾ Venne-Dunker, S.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Erker, G. Organometallics 2003, 22, 948.



Figure 1. Molecular structure of **2** with thermal ellipsoids at the 30% probability level. Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): K(1)-Ct(1) = 2.88(3), K(1)-Ct(2) = 2.97(4),K(2)-Ct(3) = 2.88(3), K(2)-Ct(4) = 2.94(3), average K(1)-C(Ct(1)) = 3.085, average K(1) - C(Ct(2)) = 3.215, average K(2)-C(Ct(3)) = 3.108, average K(2)-C(Ct(4)) = 3.20, K(2)-C(1) = 3.186(4), C(9)-C(14) = 1.438(5), C(14)-C(15)= 1.371(5), C(32)-C(37) = 1.430(5), C(37)-C(38) = 1.377-(5), C(3)-C(6) = 1.416(8), C(6)-N(1) = 1.384(8), C(24)-C(29) = 1.36(1), C(29) - N(2) = 1.400(7), 1.44(1); Ct(1) -K(1)-Ct(1)' = 119.39(6), Ct(1)-K(1)-Ct(2) = 127.33(3),Ct(3)-K(2)-Ct(3)' = 114.0(1), Ct(3)-K(2)-Ct(4) = 110.18(2), Ct(3)-K(2)-C(1) = 107.58(9), Ct(4)-K(2)-C(1) =111.38(9), C(9)-C(14)-C(15) = 137.7(3), C(32)-C(37)-C(37)C(38) = 137.5(3), K(1)-C(1)-K(2) = 168.4(2), C(3)-C(6)-N(1) = 126.4(5), C(24) - C(29) - N(2) = 126.7(6), 122.0(7).Ct(1) corresponds to the centroid of C(9)-C(13); Ct(2) corresponds to the centroid of C(1)-C(5); Ct(3) corresponds to the centroid of C(32)-C(36); Ct(4) corresponds to the centroid of C(24)-C(28).

amino) fulvene molecule coordinated to the metal was revealed by $\rm NMR$ spectroscopy 6 and single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies.

The 6-(dimethylamino)fulvene on reaction with (C₅Me₄H)K has two possible courses of action open to it: addition and coordination. When the reaction is carried out in THF, the coordination of the aminofulvene is probably disfavored by the presence of the strongly Lewis basic solvent. However, the reaction in Et₂O occurs, most probably, with the initial coordination of aminofulvene to the potassium atom and the subsequent addition to the exocyclic double bond of a second aminofulvene molecule. To support this theory, we have observed that there was no reaction when 6-(dimethylamino)fulvene was added to a suspension of 1 in Et₂O and the mixture stirred at room temperature for several hours. The conclusion drawn from this fact is that, if aminofulvene coordination is to take place, then this must occur in the first step of the reaction. The further



Figure 2. Coordination environment of K(1).



Figure 3. Polymeric chain P1.

possible reaction of **1** and **2** with 6-(dimethylamino)fulvene, to give compounds with three or more C_5 rings, can also be ruled out, and the presence of such products was not detected in the final isolated yields of **1** and **2**.

Few structural determinations have been carried out for potassium cyclopentadienyl systems, and any new contributions to this field are of importance.⁷ With this aim in mind, we have determined the molecular structure of 2 by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies.⁸ An ORTEP representation of 2 is given in Figure 1. The molecular structure is made up of laminas which contain interlinked polymeric chains. There is no apparent interaction between laminas. The polymeric structure consists of cyclopentadienyl and aminofulvene moieties bridging the potassium atoms. The fulvene substituents of the cyclopentadienyl ligands do not interact with the potassium atoms. There are two distinct potassium environments in the unit cell, which lead to the formation of two different and alternating ring-metal-ring-metal chains (P1 and P2).

In the first chain, **P1** (Figures 2 and 3), the potassium atom adopts a distorted-trigonal-planar conformation. The cyclopentadienyl units are situated in an η^5 bent-metallocene arrangement (Ct(1)–K(1)–Ct(1)' = 119.39(6)°) and bridge adjacent potassium atoms in the polymeric chain. The K(1)–Ct(1)–K(1)' angle is slightly deviated from linear (175(1)°), in accordance with that previously described for other potassium cyclopenta-

⁽⁶⁾ Preparation of **2**: $(C_5Me_4H)K$ (2.00 g, 12.5 mmol) in Et₂O (50 mL) was added slowly to 6-(dimethylamino)fulvene (3.02 g, 25.0 mmol) in Et₂O (100 mL) at -40 °C. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 16 h. The suspension was filtered and solvent removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure to give the title complex as a red solid (2.81 g, 63%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆/ d_8 -THF): δ 1.85 (3H), 1.87 (3H), 2.11 (3H), 2.32 (3H) (s, C₅Me₄), 2.20 (s, 6H, NMe₂), 5.94 (2H), 6.20 (2H) (m, 2H, C₅Me₄CH=C₅H₄), 6.35 (1H), 6.40 (1H), 6.42 (1H), 6.63 (1H) (m, Me₂NCH=C₅H₄), 6.41 (s, 1H, S₅Me₄CH=C₅H₄), 6.41 (s, 1H, Me₂NCH=C₅H₄), 142.7 (NMe₂), 111.8, 114.6, 119.3, 132.2, 132.8 (C₅H₄), 114.7, 120.0, 125.6, 128.7, 133.7 (C₅Me₄), not observed (C₅Me₄CH=C₅H₄), 114.6, 120.1, 125.0, 125.8, 132.4 (Me₂NCH=C₅H₄), 147.5 (Me₂NCH=C₅H₄). Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₂₈KN: C, 77.26; H, 7.89; N, 3.92. Found: C, 77.03; H, 7.18; N, 3.94.

^{(7) (}a) Dinnebier, R. E.; Behrens, U.; Olbrich, F. Organometallics
1997, 16, 3855. (b) Jordan, V.; Behrens, U.; Olbrich, F.; Weiss, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 517, 81. (c) Cole, M. L.; Jones, C.; Junk, P. C. Dalton 2002, 896. (d) Jutzi, P.; Leffers, W.; Hampel, B.; Pohl, S.; Saak, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 583. (e) Evans, W. J.; Brady, J. C.; Fujimoto, C. H.; Giarikos, D. G.; Ziller, J. W. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 649, 252. (f) Rabe, G.; Roesky, H. W.; Stalke, D.; Pauer, F.; Sheldrick, G. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 403, 11. (g) Bercaw, J. E.; Schaefer, W. D.; Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst Struct. Commun. 1993, 49, 1489. (h) Harvey, M. J.; Hanusa, T. P.; Pink, M. Dalton 2001, 1128. (i) Leung, W.-P.; Song, F.-Q.; Xue, F.; Mak, T. C. W. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1997, 4307.

⁽⁸⁾ Crystal data for **2**: C₅₄H₆₆K₂N₃, $M_{\rm r}$ = 835.3, crystal size 0.32 × 0.21 × 0.19 mm³, Z = 4, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a = 16.710(2) Å, b = 9.8370(10) Å, c = 29.408(2) Å, β = 92.080(3)°, V = 4830.8(8) Å³, ρ = 1.149 Mg/m³, T = 170(2) K, $\theta_{\rm min}$ = 1.81°, $\theta_{\rm max}$ = 28.35°, λ = 0.710 73 Å. Data were collected on a Bruker SMART CCD-based diffractometer operating at 50 kV and 30 mA, using the $\omega/2\theta$ scan technique. The crystal used for the diffraction studies showed no decomposition during data collection. The integration process yielded a total of 30 197 reflections, of which 11 548 were independent (R(int) = 0.0737). The structure was solved using direct methods (SIR97) and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods on F^2 . All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions and refined in the riding mode. Final R1 = 0.0736 and wR2 = 0.1746 (5348 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$). Residual electron density $\rho_{\rm max} = 1.108$ e Å⁻³ and $\rho_{\rm min} = -0.430$ e Å⁻³.



Figure 4. Coordination environment of K(2).



Figure 5. Polymeric chain P2.



Figure 6. Extended structure of 2.

dienyl compounds with extended structures.⁷ The coordination sphere of the potassium atom is completed by an aminofulvene molecule via a proposed η^5 bonding interaction instead of an η^6 interaction, on the grounds that the K(1)–C(6) distance (3.964(7) Å) is significantly greater than those observed for the atoms of the C₅ ring C(1)–C(5) (between 3.144 and 3.300 Å). However, it is important to highlight the planarity of the aminofulvene moiety, which maintains the fulvene six-electron delocalized system. The polymeric chain adopts a zigzag conformation (K(1)'–K(1)–K(1)'' = 119.39(3)°).

The potassium atoms in the second polymeric chain, **P2** (Figures 4 and 5), adopt a distorted-tetrahedral geometry and are arranged in a zigzag conformation with respect to each other (K(2)'-K(2)-K(2)'' = $114.21(3)^{\circ}$). The cyclopentadienyl units form an η^5 bent metallocene substructure $(Ct(3)-K(2)-Ct(3)' = 114.0(1)^{\circ})$ and bridge the potassium atoms of **P2**. The K(2)-Ct(3)-K(2)' angle $(170(1)^{\circ})$ is slightly smaller than that observed in the first polymeric chain **P1**. In addition, the coordination sphere of the potassium atom contains a planar π -coordinated aminofulvene ligand. This moiety presents disorder in the structural determination, and two positions were assigned. The first possibility indicates an η^5 rather than an η^6 interaction, as in the





Scheme 4. Synthesis of 6–8



 $R = {}^{n}Bu$ (6), ${}^{t}Bu$ (7), Ph (8)

case of **P1**, considering the greater distance observed for K(2)–C(29) (3.914(7) Å) compared with those corresponding to C(24)-C(28) (between 2.977 and 3.43 Å). In the alternative position the distance K(2)-C(29)(3.57(1) Å) is somewhat shorter and makes the election of an η^5 or η^6 interaction unclear. The potassium atom of P2 is also linked to an aminofulvene of an adjacent **P1** chain via a proposed η^1 interaction (K(2)-C(1) = 3.186(4) Å). An η^2 interaction may be ruled out on considering the greater distances observed for K(2)-C(2) (3.582(5) Å) and K(2)-C(5) (3.827(6) Å). Interchain associations, similar to those observed in 2, have also been reported for the compounds (C₅H₅)K, (C₅H₅)K- (OEt_2) , $(C_5H_5)K(DME)_{0.5}$, and $(C_5H_4SiMe_3)K.^{7a-d}$ In **P1** the potassium atoms are slightly more exposed than in P2, but surprisingly, no interactions with the neighboring chains were observed. This may be due to crystalpacking forces, which oblige the potassium atoms to sit in hexagonal rings with respect to each other (Figure 6)

The alkyllithium reagents, RLi (R = n Bu, t Bu, Ph), react with **1** with nucleophilic addition at the exocyclic double bond to give the lithium–potassium *ansa*-biscyclopentadienyl compounds K{(C₅H₄)CH(R)(C₅Me₄)}Li (R = n Bu (**3**), t Bu (**4**), Ph (**5**); Scheme 3).⁹ Using the synthon **1**, we have devised a method for the facile synthesis of *ansa*-metallocene precursors with variable substitution at the bridging atom.

The reaction of $\mathbf{3-5}$ with ZrCl_4 gave the corresponding zirconocene(IV) complexes $[(R)HC(\eta^5 \cdot C_5Me_4)(\eta^5 \cdot C_5H_4)]$ -ZrCl₂ (R = ^{*n*}Bu (**6**), ^{*t*}Bu (**7**), Ph (**8**)) (Scheme 4), which were characterized by NMR spectroscopy.¹⁰ In the ¹H NMR spectra of the chiral complexes **6**–**8**, eight signals were observed corresponding to the four protons of the unsubstituted cyclopentadienyl moiety and to the four methyl groups of the tetramethylcyclopentadienyl fragment. For **6**, the proton at the *ansa* bridge gave a triplet signal; in **7** and **8** this signal was observed as a singlet.

⁽⁹⁾ Preparation of **3**: "BuLi (1.6 M in hexane; 1.27 mL, 2.0 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of **1** (0.48 g, 2.0 mmol) in Et₂O (50 mL) at -78 °C. The mixture was warmed to 25 °C and stirred for 15 h. Solvent was removed in vacuo, and the resulting solid was washed with hexane (2 × 50 mL) and dried under vacuum to yield, as an orange powder, the title complex (0.56 g, 95%). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₆KLi: C, 75.95; H, 8.72. Found: C, 75.68; H, 8.66. 4 and 5 were prepared in a similar manner. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₆KLi (**4**): C, 75.95; H, 8.72. Found: C, 75.75; H, 8.71. Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₂KLi (**5**): C, 78.71; H, 6.92. Found: C, 78.36; H, 6.84.

The signals associated with the alkyl or aryl substituent of the *ansa* bridge were also present. The ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were as expected, with the carbon *ansa* bridgehead atom giving a signal at ca. δ 45.

In addition, the molecular structure of **8** was determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies (Figure 7).¹¹ This is the first reported X-ray structure of an *ansa*bis(cyclopentadienyl) group 4 complex with an alkyl- or aryl/hydride-substituted *ansa* methylene bridge. The only similar example encountered in the literature is that of a cyclopentadienyl–indenyl *ansa* system.¹² The usual bent-metallocene conformation is observed in **8**, with the geometry around the zirconium atom being pseudo-tetrahedral. The *ansa* ligand chelates the zirconium atom, and both C₅ rings are bound to the metal

(1) Crystal data for 8: $C_{21}H_{22}Cl_2Zr$, $M_r = 436.51$, crystal size 0.30 × 0.19 × 0.12 mm³, Z = 4, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, a = 9.7472(11) Å, b = 16.924(2) Å, c = 11.9315(14) Å, $\beta = 100.747(2)^\circ$, V = 1933.7(4) Å³, $\rho = 1.499$ Mg/m³, T = 170(2) K, $\theta_{min} = 2.11^\circ$, $\theta_{max} = 26.38^\circ$, $\lambda = 0.710$ 73 Å. Data were collected on a Bruker SMART CCD-based diffractometer operating at 50 kV and 30 mA, using the $\omega/2\theta$ scan technique. The crystal used for the diffraction studies showed no decomposition during data collection. The integration process yielded a total of 11 166 reflections, of which 3949 were independent (*R*(int) = 0.0329) for 8. Absorption corrections were applied using the SADABS program (maximum and minimum transmission coefficients 0.9055 and 0.7858). The structure was solved using the Bruker SHELXTL-PC software by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods on *F*². All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions and refined in the riding mode. Final R1 = 0.0299 (3143 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$) and wR2 = 0.0722 (all data). Residual electron density $\rho_{max} = 0.332$ e Å⁻³

and wh2 = 0.0722 (an data). Residual electron density $\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.332$ e Å⁻³ and $\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.333$ e Å⁻³. (12) (a) Chien, J. C. W.; Llinas, G. H.; Rausch, M. D.; Lin, G.-Y.; Winter, H. H.; Atwood, J. L.; Bott, S. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 8569. (b) Llinas, G. H.; Day, R. O.; Rausch, M. D.; Chien, J. C. W. Organometallics **1993**, *12*, 1283.



Figure 7. Molecular structure of **8** with thermal ellipsoids at the 30% probability level. Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): Zr(1)-Cl(1) = 2.436(7), Zr(1)-Cl(2) = 2.432(7), Zr(1)-Ct(1) = 2.495(5), Zr(1)-Ct(2) = 2.502(6), average Zr(1)-C(Ct(1)) = 2.495, average Zr(1)-C(Ct(2)) = 2.502; Ct(1)-Zr(1)-Ct(2) = 117.1(1), Ct(1)-Zr(1)-Cl(1) = 110.4(1), Ct(1)-Zr(1)-Cl(2) = 109.3(3), Ct(2)-Zr(1)-Cl(1) = 110.1(3), Ct(2)-Zr(1)-Cl(2) = 109.1(3), Cl(1)-Zr(1)-Cl(2) = 99.40(2), C(5)-C(6)-C(7) = 100.9(2), Ct(1)-C(5)-C(6) = 163(2), Ct(2)-C(7)-C(6) = 165(1), C(5)-C(6)-C(16) = 117.3(2), C(7)-C(6)-C(16) = 115.9(2). Ct(1) corresponds to the centroid of C(1)-C(5); Ct(2) corresponds to the centroid of C(7)-C(11).

in an η^5 mode. The centroids of the cyclopentadienyl rings form an angle with the zirconium atom of 116.99(4)°, typical for dichloride *ansa*-zirconocene complexes (compare, for example, with [Me₂C(η^5 -C₅H₄)₂]-ZrCl₂ with a Ct–Zr–Ct angle of 116.6(1)°).¹³

In conclusion, we report here the synthesis and molecular structure of a potassium cyclopentadienyl–fulvene compound, which acts as a synthon in the preparation of *ansa*-metallocene precursors with variable substitution at the bridging atom. This novel synthetic method should be of great utility in the design of tailored single-site catalysts.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain (Grant Nos. BQU2002-04638-C02-01 and BQU2000-0463), the Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha (PAC-02-003 and PAI-02-010), and the Universidad Rey Juan Carlos (PPR-2003-54).

Supporting Information Available: Crystallographic data and data collection details, atom coordinates, anisotropic displacement parameters, and bond distances and angles for complexes **2** and **8** as CIF files. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org

OM049425A

^{(10) (}a) Preparation of 6: $\rm ZrCl_4(THF)_2$ (0.64 g, 1.7 mmol) in toluene (50 mL) was added to a solution of 3 (0.48 g, 1.7 mmol) in $\rm Et_2O$ (100 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and hexane (100 mL) added to the resulting solid. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate concentrated (10 mL) and cooled to -30 °C to yield crystals of the title complex (0.41 g, 59%). and cooled to -30 °C to yield crystals of the title complex (0.41 g, 59%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 1.52 (3H), 1.68 (3H), 1.87 (3H), 1.88 (3H) (s, C₅Me₄), 0.84 (3H), 1.26 (2H), 1.40–2.10 (4H) (m, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 3.81 (t, H, "BuCH), 5.06 (1H), 5.22 (1H), 6.40 (1H), 6.49 (1H) (m, C₅H₄). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 11.4, 11.7, 14.2, 14.3 (C₅Me₄), 12.5, 22.8, 29.9, 30.7 ("Bu), 39.4 ("BuCH), 104.4, 104.5, 107.3, 119.7, 121.9 (C₅H₄), 114.9, 117.7, 120.4, 128.9, 129.9 (C₅Me₄), MS electron impact (m₂/c₂fdeting intensity): 416 (100) [M^{±1} Apped Colled for C H impact (m/e (relative intensity)): 416 (100) [M⁺]. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₆-Cl₂Zr: C, 54.79; H, 6.29. Found: C, 54.64; H, 6.23. (b) Preparation of 7 was carried out in a manner identical with that for 6. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 1.54 (3H), 1.71 (3H), 1.88 (6H), (s, C₅Me₄), 1.04 (s, 9H, ^(BU), 3.81 (s, 1H, (BuCH), 5.04 (1H), 5.18 (1H), 6.31 (1H), 6.52 (1H) (m, C_5H_4). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 11.7, 11.8, 12.6, 16.5 (C₅Me₄), 30.0, 31.8 (^tBu), 51.7 (^tBuCH), 105.6, 106.2, 110.6, 117.8, 124.1 (C₅H₄), 113.4, 116.4, 128.4, 130.8 (C₅Me₄). MS electron impact (m/e (relative intensity)): 416 (50) [M⁺]. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{26}Cl_2Zr$: C, 54.79; H, 6.29. Found: C, 54.53; H, 6.26. (c) Preparation of **8** was carried in a manner identical with that for 6. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 1.18, 1.51, 1.79, 1.89 (4s, each 3H, C_5Me_4), 5.15 (s, 1H, PhCH), 5.10, 5.19, 6.37, 6.47 (4m, each 1H, C_5H_4), 7.00–7.25 (m, 5H, *Ph*). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 11.4, 11.5, 12.4, 14.8 (C_5Me_4), 43.0 (Ph*C*H), 105.4, 108.6, 119.2, 120.3, 123.0 (C_5H_4), 104.5, 109.8, 112.8, 118.2, 120.9 (C5Me4), 127.8, 129.4, 130.7, 140.0 (Ph). EI-MS (m/z (%)): 436 (38) [M⁺]. Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₂Cl₂Zr: C, 57.78; H, 5.08. Found: C, 57.55; H, 5.10.

⁽¹³⁾ Shaltout, R. M.; Corey, J. Y.; Rath, N. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 503, 205.