rac-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe⁺: An Alkyl **Zirconocenium Cation Stabilized by Steric Shielding** against Interaction with Ancillary Ligands

Lars Schröder and Hans-Herbert Brintzinger*

Fachbereich Chemie, Universität Konstanz, D-78457 Konstanz, Germany

Dmitrii E. Babushkin

Boreskov Institute of Catalysis, Russian Academy of Sciences, and Department of Natural Sciences, Novosibirsk State University, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

David Fischer and Rolf Mülhaupt

Freiburger Materialforschungszentrum, Universität Freiburg, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany

Received November 9, 2004

The sterically shielded cation rac-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe⁺, generated by reaction of rac-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe₂ with methylalumoxane (MAO) or with dimethylanilinium or trityl perfluorotetraphenylborate, differs from other, more open zirconocene methyl cations in that it does not form the symmetric AlMe₃ adduct rac-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-t- $Bu-C_5H_2)_2Zr(\mu-Me)_2AlMe_2^+$. Instead, the unsymmetric ternary adduct $rac-Me_2Si(2-Me-4-t Bu-C_5H_2)_2ZrMe(\mu-Me)AlMe_3-NMe_2Ph^+$ is formed in the presence of dimethylaniline and trimethylaluminum. UV/vis and ¹H NMR characteristics of hydrocarbon solutions containing $rac - Me_2Si(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C_5H_2)_2ZrMe^+ \cdots B(C_6F_5)_4^- \text{ or } rac - Me_2Si(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C_5H_2)_2ZrMe^+ \cdots B(C_6F_5)_4^-$ MAOMe⁻ indicate that cation—anion association in these ion pairs is unusually weak.

Introduction

Mechanistic considerations on olefin polymerization catalysis by methylalumoxane (MAO)-activated zirconocene dichlorides, Cpx2IrCl2, with Cpx being a variety of substituted, annelated, and/or bridged cyclopentadienyl ligands,¹ ascribe a central role to an alkyl zirconocenium cation of the type Cp^x₂Zr-R⁺. By analogy to carbenium or, more significantly, to silylenium cations,² one might expect, however, that "free" species of this kind are of such high energy that their formation will generally be circumvented.³ Generation and characterization of such a "free" alkyl zirconocenium cation might be facilitated if the cationic metal center is efficiently shielded from otherwise prevalent stabilizing interactions with ancillary ligands or counteranions.

Among the many substituted, annelated, and/or bridged zirconocene complexes made available for studies on their catalytic properties,1 one of the most sterically shielded yet easily accessible representatives is the di-tert-butyl-substituted complex rac-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrCl₂.^{4,5} In a previous communication, we have reported that interactions of the anion $B(C_6F_5)_4^{-1}$

with the methyl zirconocenium cation rac-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe⁺, derived from this zirconocene complex, are weaker than those with other, more open methyl zirconocenium cations, as indicated by an exceptionally high rate of symmetrization.^{3a} Here, we wish to report on further properties of this highly shielded cation.

Results and Discussion

To characterize the nature of cationic species formed from the highly shielded zirconocene complexes rac-Me₂- $Si(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C_5H_2)_2ZrX_2$ (1, X = Cl; 2, X = Me), activation reactions with various cation-forming reagents were investigated by means of UV/vis and NMR methods (see Experimental Section).

Upon addition of MAO to a toluene solution of **1**, the UV/vis absorption bands at 318 and 384 nm are first shifted to shorter wavelengths (Figure 1, left), with maximum absorption increases at ca. 310 and 352 nm. As with other zirconocene systems studied,⁸ this hypsochromic shift is attributable to formation of a monomethyl species, rac-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C₅H₂)₂Zr(Cl)Me, due to Cl vs Me exchange with the AlMe₃ content of

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: hans.brintzinger@uni-konstanz.de.

⁽¹⁾ Resconi, L.; Cavallo, L.; Fait, A.; Piemontesi, F. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1253.

⁽²⁾ Kim, K. C.; Reed, C. A.; Elliott, D. W.; Mueller, L. J.; Tham, F.;
Lin, L. J.; Lambert, J. B. *Science* 2002, 297, 825.
(3) (a) Beck, S.; Lieber, S.; Schaper, F.; Geyer, A.; Brintzinger, H.

⁽d) Beck, S., Eneber, S., Schaper, F.; Geyer, A.; Brintzinger, H.
H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1483. (b) Schaper, F.; Geyer, A.;
Brintzinger, H.-H. Organometallics 2002, 21, 473.
(4) Wiesenfeldt, H.; Reinmuth, A.; Barsties, E.; Evertz, K.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 369, 359.

⁽⁵⁾ Similar degrees of shielding might be expected for other tertbutyl-substituted zirconocene complexes such as rac-Me₂C(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrCl₂⁶ and rac- R₂C(3-t-Bu-Ind)₂ZrCl₂ (R = H, Me).⁷

⁽⁶⁾ Resconi, L.; Piemontesi, F.; Nifant'ev, I. E.; Ivchenko, P. V. PCT Int. Appl. WO 96/22995 to Montell, 1995.

^{(7) (}a) Resconi, L.; Piemontesi, F.; Camurati, L.; Sudmeijer, O.; Nifant'ev, I. E.; Ivchenko, P. V.; Kuz'mina, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, *120*, 2208. (b) Resconi, L.; Balboni, D.; Baruzzi, G.; Fiori, C.; Guidotti, S.; Organometallics 2000, 19, 420.



Figure 1. Successive reactions of *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrCl₂ (1) with increasing excesses of MAO.



Figure 2. Reaction of *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe₂ (**2**) with increasing excesses of MAO (left) and final absorption spectra for the systems **1**/MAO ([Al]:[Zr] \approx 1800) and **2**/MAO (([Al]:[Zr] \approx 180) (right).

MAO, which occurs without any side or consecutive reactions up to a ratio of [Al]:[Zr] = 110, as indicated by isosbestic points at 313, 333, and 371 nm. At higher ratios of [Al] to [Zr], 110-155, a transition range without isosbestic points indicates that methyl transfer is going to completion together with the onset of a second reaction step.

Further additions of MAO then cause a bathochromic shift with maximal absorption increase at ca. 400 nm. In the range [Al]:[Zr] = 155-1800, this second reaction step appears to proceed again without any side or consecutive reactions, as documented by an isosbestic point at 331 nm (Figure 1, right). At higher [Al]:[Zr] ratios, no further spectral changes are apparent.

These obervations set the reaction system 1/MAO apart from all other MAO-activated zirconocene systems studied so far: in all other cases, increasing MAO additions had been found to cause two consecutive bathochromic shifts,^{8,9} associated with the formation first of contact ion pairs of the type $Cp_2ZrMe^+\cdots MAOX^-$ (type IV,¹⁰ with X = Me, Cl) and then of AlMe₃-containing, heterobinuclear cations in outer-sphere association with MAO-derived anions, $[Cp_2Zr(\mu-Me)_2Al-Me_2]^+MAOX^-$ (type III).^{10,11} In the present case, only one of these cationic species is formed. The size of the

bathochromic shift of $\Delta\lambda \sim 50$ nm suggests, by analogy to numerous related reaction systems studied before,^{8,9} that only the contact ion pair *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe⁺····MAOX⁻ is formed in the reaction system 1/MAO. The AlMe₃ adduct [*rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂Zr(μ -Me)₂AlMe₂]⁺MAOX⁻ appears to be inaccessible, probably for steric reasons.

To corroborate this finding, we have also investigated by UV/vis spectroscopy the reaction of MAO with the dimethyl complex *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4*-t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe₂ (2). Addition of MAO to a toluene solution of 2 causes a bathochromic shift of the lowest energy absorption band from 303 nm to higher wavelengths with maximal absorption increase at ca. 380 nm (Figure 2, left), which is completed at a ratio of [Al]:[Zr] \approx 180. As for the system 1/MAO, an isosbestic point at 343 nm and the absence of any further changes at higher [Al]:[Zr] ratios indicate again that only a single reaction takes place.

Direct comparison of the final spectra for 1/MAO and 2/MAO shows practically identical absorption maxima at ca. 380 nm and also similar shapes of the absorption bands (Figure 2, right). The nature of X = Cl, Me in *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe⁺····MAOX⁻, according to its origin from either 1 or 2, thus appears to have little influence on its lowest energy UV/vis absorption band. It is remarkable, however, that complete abstraction of Cl⁻ from 1 requires about 10 times higher MAO concentrations than abstraction of Me⁻ from 2.

Closely related species as by reaction with MAO are generally formed by reaction of a zirconocene dimethyl complex with 1 equiv of dimethylanilinium perfluorotetraphenylborate.^{12,13} As expected, stepwise additions of

^{(8) (}a) Coevoet, D.; Cramail, H.; Deffiex, A. Macromol. Chem. Phys.
1998, 199, 1451. (b) Coevoet, D.; Cramail, H.; Deffiex, A.; Mladenov, C.; Pedeutour, J. N.; Peruch, F. Polym. Int. 1999, 48, 257. (c) Pedeutour, J. N.; Coevoet, D.; Cramail, H.; Deffiex, A. Macromol. Chem. Phys.
1999, 200, 1215. (d) Deffieux, A.; Cramail, H.; Pedeutour, J. N. Polym. Prepr. 2000, 41, 1887.

^{(9) (}a) Wieser, U.; Brintzinger, H. H. Organometallic Catalysts and Olefin Polymerization; Blom, E., Follestad, A., Rytter, E., Tilset, M., Ystenes, M., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 2001, p 3. (b) Wieser, U. Dissertation, Universität Konstanz, 2002.

Babushkin, D. E.; Semikolenova, N. V.; Zakharov, V. A.; Talsi,
 E. P. Macromol. Chem. Phys. 2000, 201, 558.

⁽¹¹⁾ Bochmann, M.; Lancaster, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1994**, 33, 1634.



Figure 3. Stepwise (left) and immediate addition (right) of 1 equiv of $PhNMe_2H^+(C_6F_5)_4B^-$ to a toluene solution of *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe₂.

Table 1. ¹ H NMR Signals in Reaction Mixtures of <i>rac</i> -Me ₂ Si(2-Me-4- <i>t</i> -Bu-C ₅ H ₂) ₂ ZrMe ₂ with ca. 1 Equiv of
PhNMe ₂ H ⁺ (C ₆ F ₅) ₄ B ⁻ (A), with ca. 1 Equiv of $(C_{6}H_{5})_{3}C^{+}(C_{6}F_{5})_{4}B^{-}$ (B), and with ca. 1 Equiv of
PhNMe ₂ H ⁺ (C ₆ F _{5)₄B⁻ and 12 Equiv of Al₂Me₆ (C), in C₆D₆ at 293 K (250 MHz)^a}

		Α			В			С	
assignt	ϑ (ppm)	$\Delta v_{1/2} ({\rm Hz})$		ϑ (ppm)	$\Delta v_{1/2} (\mathrm{Hz})$		ϑ (ppm)	$\Delta \nu_{1/2} ({\rm Hz})$	
2-Me-4- t -Bu-C ₅ H_2 (3)	6.00	11	$\mathrm{s}^{,b}\mathrm{2H}$	6.01	2.1	$d,^c 2H$	6.33	1.8	d,º 1H
2-Me-4- t -Bu-C ₅ H_2 (5)	5.09	6	$\mathrm{s}^{,b}\mathrm{2H}$	5.09	1.8	$d,^c 2H$	5.86 5.19	$1.8 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5$	d,º 1H d,º 1H
$2-CH_3-4-t-Bu-C_5H_2$	1.45	3.3	s, 6H	1.46	1.8	s, 6H	4.98 1.50	1.5 2.0	a,º 1H s, 6H
$2-Me-4-C(CH_3)_3C_5H_2$	0.85	3.7	s, 18H	0.84	1.8	s, 18H	1.10, 0.80	1.9 1.9	s, 9H s. 9H
$\operatorname{Zr}(CH_3)$ $\operatorname{Zr}(\mu$ - CH_3) $\operatorname{Al}(CH_3)_2$	0.29	3	s, 3H	0.29	2	s, 3H	$0.28 \\ -0.67$	1.7 1.8	s, 3H s, 3H
							$-0.76 \\ -1.05$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8\\ 1.9\end{array}$	s, 3H s, 3H
$(CH_3)_2Si$	0.04	3.2	s, 6H	0.04	1.5	${ m s}$ 6H	$0.10 \\ 0.25$	$1.5 \\ 1.5$	s, 3H s, 3H
$C_6H_5N(CH_3)_2$	F 00	,					0.00	,	
m	7.23	nd	t, 2H + 1H				6.96 6.85	nd	t, 2H + 1H
<i>p</i>	6.62	nd	d. 2H				6.66	nd	d. 2H
$C_6H_5N(CH_3)_2$	2.50^d	0.7	s, 6H				2,20	3.0	s, 6H
$(C_6H_5)_3CCH_3$ $(C_6H_5)_3CCH_3$				7.00 - 7.12 2.03	nd 0.6	m, 15H s, 3H			
CH_4 $C_6H_5(CH_3)_2N-AlMe_3^e$	0.15	0.4	s			*	$0.15 \\ 2.23^{f}$	$0.3 \\ 1.6$	s s

^{*a*} For ¹H NMR spectra of reaction systems **B** and **C**, see the Supporting Information. ^{*b*} Apparent $\Delta \nu_{1/2}$ values include unresolved doublet splitting of 2.4 Hz. ^{*c*} ⁴J_{HH} = 2.4 Hz. ^{*d*} Position and ¹J_{CH} = 135 Hz as for C₆H₅N(CH₃)₂ in C₆D₆. ^{*e*} Signals of the AlMe₃ adduct of dimethylaniline indicate the presence of excess borate activator in these solutions.¹⁴ ^{*f*} Position and ¹J_{CH} = 139.5 Hz, as for C₆H₅(CH₃)₂N–AlMe₃ in C₆D₆.

increasing portions of a 1.5 M toluene solution of PhNMe₂H⁺(C₆F₅)₄B⁻ to a 0.73 mM solution of **2** lead to the appearance of a similar broad shoulder at ca. 378 nm, as observed with MAO, which is completed after addition of 0.82 equiv of this activator reagent (Figure 3, left).^{14,15} When 1 equiv of the dimethylanilinium borate is added to a toluene solution of **2** all at once, the same absorption band, as observed for stepwise addition, appears and goes to full height over the course of 2–3 min (Figure 3, right).

The close similarity of the spectrum of the borateactivated species with that obtained by activation with a large excess of MAO indicates that the same cation is indeed contained in the ion pairs *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe⁺···B(C₆F₅)₄⁻ and *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe⁺····MAOX⁻ and that its electronic properties are not significantly affected by its association with different anions.

The nature of ion pairs containing the cation rac-Me₂-Si(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe⁺ was further investigated by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Upon addition of 1 equiv of the dimethylanilinium borate activator to a toluene solution of complex **2** at 20 °C,¹⁴ the ¹H NMR spectrum of the resulting reaction mixture shows, in addition to the signals of free methane and dimethylaniline,¹⁶ only one signal set for each substituent group of the zirconocene cation formed, in accord with a complex structure of time-averaged C_2 symmetry (Table 1).^{3a}

¹H NMR signals superimposable to those discussed above are observed when the zirconocenium cation is produced by reaction of complex **2** with trityl perfluo-

^{(12) (}a) Deck, P. A.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 6128.
(b) Deck, P. A.; Beswick, C. L.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 1772.

⁽¹³⁾ Marks, T. J. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1391.

⁽¹⁴⁾ For activations by dimethylanilinium or trityl perfluorotetraphenylborate, concentrations of complex 2 were chosen to be higher by a factor of 2 than those used in other studies, to minimize possible losses of these highly reactive species by solvent impurities. Nevertheless, some deviation from the expected stoichiometry indicates that a small fraction of Zr-methyl units had been lost and, hence, that the borate activators are generally present in slight excess in these solutions.

⁽¹⁵⁾ These UV/vis as well as ¹H NMR data document that homobinuclear intermediates with a Zr–(μ -Me)–Zr bridge, observed in related reaction systems, ^{10,11} are not formed in the present system, presumably due to the steric demands of the ligand substituents.

⁽¹⁶⁾ These solutions contain free dimethylaniline, as indicated by a CH_3 singlet at 2.50 ppm, with ${}^{1}J_{\rm CH} = 135$ Hz and a line width of only 0.7 Hz, values which are identical with those of dimethylaniline in C_6D_6 , while dimethylaniline coordinated to the Zr-bound AlMe₃ unit gives rise to a singlet at 2.20 ppm with ${}^{1}J_{\rm CH} = 139.5$ Hz and a line width of 3.0 Hz.



Figure 4. Spectral changes caused by addition of 9.2 equiv of trimethylaluminum to a solution containing the products of a reaction of complex **2** with 1.2 equiv of dimethylanilinium perfluorotetraphenylborate (left) and by addition of MAO to a solution containing complex **2** and 42 equiv of dimethylaniline (right).

Chart 1. Unfavorable Symmetric Al(CH₃)₃ Adduct with Two μ -CH₃ Bridges (Left) and Dimethylaniline-Stabilized Unsymmetric AlMe₃ Adduct with Only One μ -CH₃ Bridge (Right)



rotetraphenylborate (Table 1). Cooling of this reaction system in toluene- d_8 solution to temperatures below 0 °C causes a slight broadening of these signals, in particular those of the C₅ ring protons, but signal separation was not apparent down to ca. 223 K, at which temperature these signals became unobservable.

These NMR data, as well as the UV/vis data presented above, thus provide no indication for direct coordinative cation—anion interactions. They would rather indicate an unusually weak interaction between $B(C_6F_5)_4^-$ anions and *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂-ZrMe⁺ cations in these solutions, possibly approaching an outer-sphere electrostatic association.

As discussed above, the efficient steric shielding of the Zr center in this di-*tert*-butyl-substituted cation precludes the formation of the otherwise prevalent cationic AlMe₃ adduct. Surprisingly enough, however, addition of ca. 10 equiv of trimethylaluminum to a reaction mixture of complex 2 with 1 equiv of the dimethylanilinium borate causes, over the course of several minutes, the generation of a long-wavelength shoulder at ca. 445 nm in addition to an absorption increase at ca. 380 nm. An isosbestic point at 324 nm indicates again the absence of side or consecutive reactions (Figure 4, left).

The nature of the product of this reaction is revealed by its ¹H NMR spectrum: the presence of an AlMe₃ adduct with an unsymmetric structure is indicated by a double set of signals for all ligand positions, except for the methyl groups in position 2 of the C₅ ring ligands and the methyl groups of the dimethylaniline. The signals of the latter are now found at 2.20 ppm, i.e., at significantly higher fields than the singlet of free dimethylaniline at 2.50 ppm (Table 1). This shift undoubtedly arises from coordination of the N ligand atom to the Al center of the AlMe₃ adduct, since this binding causes, at the same time, a substantial diastereotopic splitting of the terminal Al–CH₃ signals. The persistence of this splitting at room temperature indicates a stable mutual attachment of the components of the ternary adduct *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4*-t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂Zr-(Me)(μ -Me)AlMe₂–NMe₂Ph⁺ (Chart 1).^{17,19}

We have further tried to assess whether a similar ternary adduct is also stabilized by the presence of dimethylaniline in solutions in which the cation rac-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe⁺ is generated by reaction of 2 with excess MAO. Addition of increasing amounts of MAO to a toluene solution containing complex 2 and ca. 40 equiv of dimethylaniline does indeed give rise to a weak but distinct absorption band at ca. 470 nm: i.e., in a region close to the absorption increase caused by formation of the ternary adduct rac- $Me_2Si(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C_5H_2)_2Zr(Me)(\mu-Me)AlMe_2-NMe_2$ Ph⁺. Further additions of MAO to [Al]:[Zr] ratios above 100 cause a shift back to ca. 380 nm, however, indicating that the ion pair *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe⁺· ··MAOMe⁻ finally prevails in these solutions again. Obviously, the Lewis acidic centers present in excess MAO deplete these solutions of the dimethylaniline required to form the ternary adduct rac-Me₂Si(2-Me-4t-Bu-C₅H₂)₂Zr(Me)(μ -Me)AlMe₂-NMe₂Ph⁺.

The results presented above leave little doubt that the bulky *tert*-butyl substituents shield the cation *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe⁺ sufficiently to prevent



Figure 5. Productivity of the catalyst system rac-Me₂Si-(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrCl₂/MAO for the polymerization of propene at p = 2 atm, at 20 and 40 °C.

formation of a symmetric AlMe₃ adduct, in which a rigid four-membered ring with two methyl bridges would force the terminal Al-methyl groups to collide with the *tert*-butyl ring substituents. In a dimethylanilinestabilized adduct with only one bridging methyl group, however, the Zr and Al centers are farther apart from each other and are conformationally free to arrange their ligands in less repulsive orientations, such that this adduct gains surprising stability (Scheme 1).

The structural proposal for the unsymmetric AlMe₃ adduct (Scheme 1) is closely related to the solid-state structure of the ion pair *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂- $ZrMe^{+\cdots}(\mu-Me)-B(C_6F_5)_3^{-}$, in which one bridging methyl group is found at a Zr-C distance of 255 pm from the zirconium center.^{3a} It can thus be reasonably assumed that a "slim" substrate, such as an α -olefin, will have a substantial competitive advantage over larger neutral or anionic ligand entities in its approach to the Zr center of a cationic catalyst species. In MAOactivated catalyst systems derived from complex 1 or 2, an olefin would have to compete for a coordination site at the metal center only with a very weakly bound counteranion, rather than with an AlMe₃ unit. An unusually high initial activity observed for propene polymerization with the catalyst system 1/MAO (Figure 5) attests to this notion. The unusually rapid activity decay observed for this catalyst system, on the other hand, is most likely due to the lack of protection against catalyst deactivation, which AlMe₃ adduct formation normally exerts in more open zirconocene catalyst systems. It remains to be established to which degree related anion and AlMe₃⁻ exclusion effects pertain also to other, sterically crowded metallocene catalyst systems.20,21

Experimental Section

Toluene- d_8 and benzene- d_6 were dried over molecular sieves (4 Å) prior to use. All operations were carried out in a glovebox under dry nitrogen (99.999%). For UV/vis measurements, a MAO solution in toluene (1.8 M in Al, AlMe₃ content ca. 30%) was used, which was obtained as a gift from Crompton (Bergkamen). Samples of dimethylanilinium and tritylper-fluorotetraphenyl borates and of perfluorotriphenylborane were obtained as gifts from BASELL GmbH. Complex **1** was synthesized according to ref 4 and converted to complex **2** by reaction with 5–6 equiv of methylmagnesium chloride in diethyl ether/THF.^{3a}

UV/vis spectra were measured in a Schlenk vessel under an argon atmosphere by use of an immersion probe with 10 mm path length and light-conducting connections to a Carey 50 spectrometer.⁹ To 15 mL of a 0.4-1.0 mM solution of complex 1 or 2 in toluene were added small volumes of MAO solution or of a 1.5 M stock solution of the respective boroncontaining reagent through a double-septum closure. The data thus obtained were corrected for changes in background absorption by use of the standard software provided with the spectrometer and for dilution by addition of reagent solutions by use of software contained in the program package Microcal Origin 5.0.

¹H NMR spectra were recorded in standard 5 mm NMR tubes on a Bruker AMX 250 MHz spectrometer. Typical operating conditions for ¹H NMR measurements: spectral width 5 kHz; spectrum accumulation frequency 0.03–0.2 Hz; number of transients 32–200. For the determination of ¹H chemical shifts, residual solvent peaks were taken as 2.09 ppm (CHD₂ group of deuterated toluene) and 7.15 ppm (deuterated benzene).

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the European Commission, INTAS grant 00-841. We thank BASELL Polyolefine GmbH (Frankfurt-Hoechst, Germany) for financial support and for gifts of chemicals, Crompton Holdings GmbH (Bergkamen, Germany) for a gift of MAO solution, and Dr. F. Schaper (University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland) for helpful comments.

Supporting Information Available: Figures giving ¹H NMR spectra of reaction systems **B** and **C**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OM049139Z

(21) Rodriguez-Delgado, A.; Hannant, M. D.; Lancaster, S. J.; Bochmann, M. Macromol. Chem. Phys. 2004, 205, 334.

⁽¹⁷⁾ No such adduct is formed when trimethylaluminum is added to a solution of *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-*t*-Bu-C₅H₂)₂ZrMe⁺···B(C₆F₅)₄⁻, obtained by reaction of complex **2** with trityl perfluorotetraphenylborate, as expected from the lack of dimethylaniline. In this case, the large number of unassigned signals indicates some decomposition reaction, probably under transfer of pentafluorophenyl units from the borate anion to a cationic aluminium species.¹⁸

⁽¹⁸⁾ Bochmann, M.; Sarsfield, M. J. Organometallics **1998**, 17, 5908. (19) Solutions in which the ion pair *rac*-Me₂Si(2-Me-4-t-Bu-C₅H₂)₂-ZrMe⁺···MeB(C₆F₅)₃⁻ has been generated by reaction of complex **2** with perfluorotriphenylborane develop, upon reaction with Al₂Me₆, an absorption band at 440 nm, similar to that observed in the system **2**/PhMe₂NH⁺B(C₆F₅)₄⁻/Al₂Me₆. We assume that the cationic AlMe₃ adduct is stabilized in this case by attachment of the rather nucleophilic anion MeB(C₆F₅)₃⁻ to the Al center.

⁽²⁰⁾ Resconi, L.; Piemontesi, F.; Camurati, I.; Sudmeijer, O.; Nifant'ev, I. E.; Ivhchenko, P. V.; Kuz'mina, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, *120*, 2308.