Synthesis and Characterization of (CH=CH)₃-Bridged Heterobimetallic Ferrocene-**Ruthenium Complexes**

Ping Yuan,† Sheng Hua Liu,*,† Weicheng Xiong,† Jun Yin,† Guang-ao Yu,† Ho Yung Sung,‡ Ian D. Williams,‡ and Guochen Jia‡

Key Laboratory of Pesticide & Chemical Biology, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, People's Republic of China, and Department of Chemistry, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Received November 30, 2004

The complex $FcCH=CH_2C=CTMS$ (Fc = ferrocenyl) was obtained from Wittig olefination of $FcCH_2PPh_3Br$ with TMS-C=CCH=CHCHO in THF. The conjugated monometallic diene can be desilylated to give $FcCH=CH)_2C\equiv CH$, which reacted with RuHCl(CO)(PPh₃)₃ to produce $FcCH=CH)_{3}RuCl(CO)(PPh_{3})_{2}$. Treatment of the latter complex with PMe₃, 4-phenylpyridine (PhPy), 2,6-(Ph₂PCH₂)₂C₅H₃N (PMP), and KTp (Tp = hydridotris(pyrazolyl)borate) gave $FcCH=CH)_3RuCl(CO)(PMe_3)_3$, $FcCH=CH)_3RuCl(CO)(PhPy)(PPh_3)_2$, $FcCH=$ $CH₃RuCl(CO)(PMP)$, and $FcCH=CH₃RuTp(CO)(PPh₃)$, respectively. The structures of $FcCH=CH)_2C\equiv CH$ and $FcCH=CH)_3RuCl(CO)(PMe_3)_3$ have been confirmed by X-ray diffraction.

Introduction

Bimetallic and polymetallic complexes with conjugated hydrocarbon ligands bridging metal centers are attracting considerable current interest.1,2 Bimetallic complexes with polyynediyl bridges, $M - (C \equiv C)_n - M'$, constitute the most fundamental class of carbon-based molecular wires, and they have been proposed for construction of nanoscale electronic devices.3 To date,

(2) For examples of recent work, see: (a) Enriquez, A. E.; Templeton, J. L. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 852. (b) Yam, V. W. W.; Tao, C. H.; Zhang, L.; Wong, K. M. C.; Cheung, K. K. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 453. (c) Matsumi, N.; Chujo, Y.; Lavastre, O.; Dixneuf, P. H. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 2425. (d) Wong, W. Y.; Chol, K. H.; Lu, G. L.; Shi, J. X.; Lai, P. Y.; Chan, S. M.; Lin, Z. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 5446. (e) Dijkstra, H. P.; Menjer, M. D.; Paul, J.; Kreiter, R.; Van Kli *tallics* **2001**, *20*, 3159. (f) Le Stang, S.; Paul, F.; Lapinte, C. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 1035. (g) Weyland, T.; Costuas, K.; Toupet, L.; Halet, J. F.; Lapinte, C. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 4228. (h) Weyland, T.; Ledoux, I.; Brasselet, S.; Zyss, J.; Lapinte, C. *Organometallics* **2000**,
19, 5232. (i) MacDonald, M. A.; Puddephatt, R. J.; Yap, G. P. A.
Organometallics 2000, *19, 2194*. (j) Yam, V. W. W.; Wong, K. M. C.;
Zhu Costuas, K.; Gladysz, J. A.; Halet, J. F.; Guillemot, M.; Toupet, L.; Paul, F.; Lapinte, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9511. (1) Carré, F.; Devylder, N.; Dutremez, S. G.; Guierin, C.; Henner, B. J. L.; Jolivet, A.; Toure Hampel, F.; Gladysz, J. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, 41, 1871. (n)
Coat, F.; Paul, F.; Lapinte, C.; Toupet, L.; Costuas, K.; Halet, J. F. *J.*
Orgamomet. Chem. **2003**, 683, 368. (o) Belanzoni, P.; Re, N.; Sgamellotti, A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2002**, *656*, 156.

 $\rm C_1, \rm C_2, \rm C_3, \rm C_4, \rm C_5, \rm C_6, \rm C_8, \rm C_{10}, \rm C_{12}, \rm C_{16}, \rm and \rm C_{20}$ adducts have been isolated.⁴⁻¹³ In contrast, very few studies have been carried out with bimetallic complexes with polyenediyl bridges, despite the fact that many conjugated organic materials (e.g. polyacetylenes, push/pull stilbenes) have only sp²-hybridized carbon in their backbones and polyacetylenes have high electrical conductivity (up to 10^5 S cm⁻¹) upon doping.¹⁴ Nonbranched monodisperse π -conjugated oligoenes $R(CR' = CR'')_nR(R',\mathbb{R})$ $R'' = H$, Me, $R = Ar$, CHO, $n = 3, 5, ..., 11$) have been $\frac{1}{3}$ Central China Normal University.
 $\frac{1}{3}$ The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology synthesized, and they have promising electronic and

> (3) (a) Barlow, S.; O'Hare, D. *Chem. Rev*. **1997**, *97*, 637. (b) Grosshenny, V.; Harriman, A.; Hissler, M.; Ziessel, R. *Platinum Met. Rev.* **1996**, *40*, 26. (c) Bruce, M. I. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *166*, 91. (4) Che, C. M.; Chao, H. Y.; Miskowski, V. M.; Li, Y.; Cheung, K. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 4895.

> (5) Paul, F.; Meyer, W. E.; Toupet, I.; Jiao, H.; Gladysz, J. A.; Lapinte, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 9405.

> (6) (a) Bruce, M. I.; Low, P. J.; Costuas, K.; Halet, J. F.; Best, S. P.; Health, G. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 1949. (b) Bruce, M. I.; Hall, B. C.; Kelly, B. D.; Low, P. J.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1999**, 3719.

> (7) Gil-Rubio, J.; Laubender, M.; Werner, H. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 1365.

> (8) (a) Meyer, W. E.; Amoroso, A. J.; Horn, C. R.; Jaeger, M.; Gladysz, J. A. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 1115. (b) Dembinski, R.; Bartik, T.; Bartik, B.; Jaeger, M.; Gladysz, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 810. (c) Brady, M.; Weng. W.; Gladysz, J. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 2665.

(9) Coate, F.; Lapinte, C. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 477.

(10) (a) Peters, T. B.; Bohling, J. C.; Arif, A. M.; Gladysz, J. A. *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 3261. (b) Mohr, W.; Stahl, J.; Hampel, F.; Gladysz, J. A. *Inorg. Chem*. **2001**, *40*, 3263.

(11) (a) Sakurai, A.; Akita, M.; Moro-oka, Y. *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 3241. (b) Akita, M.; Chung, M. C.; Sakurai, A.; Sugimoto, S.; Terada, M.; Tanaka, M.; Moro-oka, Y. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 4882.

(12) (a) Bruce, M. I.; Ke, M.; Low, P. J.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A.
H. *Organometallics* **1998**, 17, 3539. (b) Bruce, M. I.; Kelly, V. D.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, 604, 150.

(13) Dewhurst, R. D.; Hill, A. F.; Smith, M. K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 476.

(14) See for example: (a) Kanis, D. R.; Ratner, M. A.; Marks, T. J. *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *94*, 195 and references therein. (b) Bredas, J. L.; Adant, C.; Tackx, P.; Peraoons, A. *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *94*, 243. (c) *Handbook of Conducting Polymers*; Skotheim, T. A., Elsenbaumer, R. L., Reynolds, J. R., Eds.; Dekker: New York, 1998.

[‡] The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology. (1) (a) Long, N. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1995**, *34*, 21 and references therein. (b) Bunz, U. H. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1996**, *35*, 969. (c) Lotz, S.; Van Rooyen, P. H.; Meyer, R. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, *37*, 219. (d) Ward, M. D. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1995**, *24*, 121. (e) Lang, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1994**, *33*, 547. (f) Berk, W.; Niemer, B.; Wieser, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, *32*, 923. (g) Paul, F.; Lapinte, C. *Coord. Chem*. **1998**, *178*, 431. (h) Neil, R.; Craig, A. M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2003**, *32*, 96. (i) Szafert, S.; Gladysz, J. A. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 4175. (j) Schwab, P. F. H.; Levin, M. D.; Michl, J. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 1863. (k) Ceccon, A.; Santi, S.; Orian, L.; Bisello, A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2004,** *248*, 683. (l) Bruce, M. I.; Low, P. J. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **2004***, 50*, 179.

Scheme 1

optical properties.15 Previously reported examples of $(CH)_x$ -bridged bimetallic complexes are limited to a few of those with nonbranched $\text{CH})_{2}$, ¹⁶ $\text{CH})_{4}$, ^{17, 19c} $\text{CH})_{5}$, ¹⁸ $\rm (CH)_6, ^{19}$ and $\rm (CH)_8 ^{20}$ bridges, and most of them have the same end groups. Heterobimetallic complexes may have second-order NLO properties.²¹ In fact, heterobimetallic complexes related to (CH)*x*-bridged bimetallic complexes such as $(CO)_{3}M=C(OCH_{3})-(CH=CH)_{n}-(C_{5}H_{4})Fe(C_{5}H_{5})$ $(M = W, Cr, n = 1-4)$ have been synthesized, and they have high β values.²² In this report, the synthesis, $characterization$, and electrochemical properties of $(CH=$ CH)3-bridged heterobimetallic ferrocene-ruthenium complexes will be described.

(16) (a) Rajapakse, N.; James, B. R.; Dolphin, D. *Can. J. Chem.* **1990**, *68*, 2274. (b) Bullock, R. M.; Lemke, F. R.; Szalda, D. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 3244. (c) Lemke, F. R.; Szalda, D. J.; Bullock, R. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 8466.

(17) (a) Sponsler, M. B. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 1920 and references therein. (b) Etzenhouser, B. A.; Chen, Q.; Sponsler, M. B. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 4176. (c) Etzenhouser, B. A.; Cavanaugh, M. D.; Spurgeon, H. N.; Sponsler, M. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 2221. (d) Niu, X,; Gopal, L.; Masingale, M. P.; Braden, D. A.; Hudson, B. S.; Sponsler, M. B. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 649. (e) Xia, H. P.; Yeung, R. C. Y.; Jia, G. *Organometallics* **1998**, *17*, 4762. (18) (a) Xia, H. P.; Jia, G. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 1. (b) Xia, H.

P.; Yeung, R. C. Y.; Jia, G. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 3557. (19) (a) Fox, H. H.; Lee, J. K.; Park, L. Y.; Schrock, R. R. *Organo-*

metallics **1993**, *12*, 759. (b) Liu, S. H.; Xia, H. P.; Wen, T. B.; Zhou, Z. Y.; Jia, G. *Organometallics* **2003**, *22*, 737. (c) Sato, M.; Nagata, T.; Tanemura, A.; Fujihara, T.; Kumakura, S.; Unoura, K. *Chem. Eur. J*. **2004**, *10*, 2166.

(20) Liu, S. H.; Chen, Y. H.; Wan, K. L.; Wen, T. B.; Zhou, Z. Y.; Lo, M. F.; Williams, I. D.; Jia, G. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 4984.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of the Complex $Fc(CH=CH)_2C\equiv CH$ **(4).** The general synthetic route for the preparation of heterobimetallic polyenes is outlined in Scheme 1. The ferrocene-containing phosphonium bromide **2** was prepared in four steps: aminomethylation of ferrocene forms the tertiary amine FcCH₂NMe₂, methylation of the tertiary amine forms the quarternary ammonium FcCH2NMe3 ⁺, which reacts with sodium hydroxide to form the alcohol $FcCH_2OH,^{23}$ and reaction of the alcohol with triphenylphosphonium hydrobromide produces the complex $FcCH_2P^+Ph_3Br$ ⁻ (2). Complex 2 has been characterized by NMR and elemental analysis. The 31P NMR spectrum in CDCl₃ showed a singlet at 19.79 ppm. The ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum in CDCl₃ is much like that of the compound ((1′,2,2′,3,3′,4,4′,5-octamethylferrocenyl) methyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide.24

The ferrocenyl-derived triphenylphosphonium bromide **2** underwent a Wittig reaction with the aldehyde TMS-C \equiv CCH \equiv CHCHO (using NaN(TMS)₂ as the base) to produce the complex $FcCH=CHCH=CHC\equiv CSiMe₃$ (**3**). This complex was obtained as a mixture of 3*E*,5*E* and 3*E*,5*Z* isomers, which can be separated by chromatography on silica gel. It was characterized by 1H NMR, and its structure has been further confirmed by its reaction with Bu_4NF to give the complex $FcCH=$ $CHCH=CHC\equiv CH(4)$, which was confirmed by an X-ray

^{(15) (}a) Martin, R. E.; Diederich, F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1999**, *38*, 1350. (b) Chiang, C. K.; Fincher, C. R., Jr.; Park, Y. W.; Heeger, A. J.; Shirakawa, H.; Louis, E. J.; Gau, S. C.; MacDiarmid, A. G. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1977**, *39*, 1098. (c) Heeger, A. J.; MacDiarmid, A. G. In *The Physics and Chemistry of Low-Dimensional Solids;* Alcacer, L., Ed,; Reidel: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1980; pp 353-391. (d) Monkman, A. P. In *Introduction to Molecular Electronics*; Petty, M. R., Bryce, M. R., Bloor, D., Eds.; Edward Arnold: London, 1995; pp ¹⁴²-167. (e) *Handbook of Organic Conductive Molecules and Polymers*; Nalwa, H. S., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, U.K., 1997; Vols. 1-4.

⁽²¹⁾ Bella, S. D. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2001**, *30*, 355 and references therein.

⁽²²⁾ Jayaprakash, K. N.; Ray, P. C.; Matsuoka, I.; Bhadbhade, M. M.; Puranik, V. G.; Das, P. K.; Nirshihara, H.; Sarkar, A. *Organome-tallics* **1999**, *18*, 3851.

⁽²³⁾ Lindsay, J. K.; Hauser, C. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1957**, *22*, 355.

⁽²⁴⁾ Hradsky, A.; Bildstein, B.; Schuler, N.; Schottenberger, H.; Jaitner, P.; Ongania, K. H.; Wurst K.; Launay, J. P. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 392.

Figure 1. Molecular structure of Fc-CH=CHCH=CHC= CH (**4**).

diffraction study (Figure 1). Treatment of **3** with *n*-Bu4- NF in THF produced complex **4**, which was isolated as a red crystalline solid.

Synthesis of Heterobimetallic Complexes. The complex FcCH=CHCH=CHC=CH (4) reacted with Ru- $HCl(CO)(PPh_3)$ ₃ to give the insertion product $Fc-(CH=$ $CH₃-RuCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂$ (5), which can be isolated as a red solid in 80% yield. This compound has been characterized by NMR and elemental analysis. The 31P NMR spectrum in CD_2Cl_2 showed a singlet at 30.35 ppm, which is typical for $RuCl((E)-CH=CHR)(CO)(PPh_3)_2^{25a}$ The ¹H NMR spectrum in CD_2Cl_2 displayed the Ru-CH signal at 7.94 ppm, the chemical shift of which is similar to those of the complexes $[RuCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2]_2$ - $(\mu$ -(CH=CH)_n) and [RuCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂]₂ (μ -CH=CH-Ar- $CH=CH$), and there were three singlet signals of Cp $(C_5H_5FeC_5H_4)$ at 4.01, 4.11, and 4.21 ppm. The fivecoordinated complex **5** is air-sensitive, especially in solution.

Several related $(CH=CH)_3$ -bridged heterobimetallic six-coordinated complexes were prepared from complex **5**. Treatment of **5** with PMe₃ produced the six-coordinated complex $FcCH=CH_3RuCl(CO)(PMe_3)_3$ (6). The complex is stable in solution and can be purified by chromatography on silica gel. The PMe3 ligands in **6** are meridionally coordinated to ruthenium, as indicated by the AM_2 pattern ³¹P NMR spectrum. The ¹H NMR (³¹Pdecoupled) spectrum in CD_2Cl_2 displayed the Ru-CH signal at 7.94 ppm with a ³*J*(HH) coupling constant of 16.7 Hz. The magnitude of the coupling constant indicates that the two vinylic protons $(Ru-CH=CH)$ are in a trans geometry and that the acetylene is cisinserted into the Ru-H bond. The structure of **⁶** has been confirmed by an X-ray diffraction study (Figure 2).

Reaction of 5 with 4-phenylpyridine (PhPy), $2,6$ -(Ph₂- $PCH₂)₂C₅H₃N$ (PMP), and KTp (Tp = hydridotris-(pyrazolyl)borate) give the corresponding six-coordinated complexes $FcCH=CH_{3}RuCl(CO)(PhPy)(PPh_{3})_{2}$ (7) , Fc(CH=CH)₃RuCl(CO)(PMP) (8), and Fc(CH= CH)3RuTp(CO)(PPh3) (**9**), respectively. These complexes have been characterized by NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. The closely related mononuclear complexes $RuCl(CH=CHR)(L)(CO)(PPh_3)$ ₂ (L = 2e nitrogen donor ligands) have been previously prepared from the reaction of $HC=CR$ with $RuHCl(L)(CO)$ - $(PPh₃)₂$.²⁵ A few ruthenium PMP complexes, for example $RuCl₂(PPh₃)(PMP)$ and $RuHX(PPh₃)(PMP)$ (X = Cl,

OAc),26 have also been reported. The homonuclear bimetallic complexes $[RuCl(PhPy)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]_2(\mu$ -(CH= CH_n) ($n = 3, 4$), $[RuCl(CO)(PMP)]_2(\mu$ -($CH=CH_n)$) ($n =$ 3, 4),^{19b,20b} and $\text{[RuTp(CO)(PPh_3)]_2}(\mu\text{-}(CH=CH)_2-C_6\text{H}_4 (CH=CH)_2$ ²⁷ were also reported recently.

Crystal Structures of Complexes 4 and 6. The molecular structure of Fc-CH=CHCH=CHC=CH (4) is depicted in Figure 1. The crystallographic details and selected bond distances and angles are given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. As shown in Figure 1, the compound contains two trans carbon-carbon double bonds. The structure displayed an extended conformation where the $C(5)-C(6)$ double bond and the Cp remained nearly coplanar, with a maximum deviation from the least-squares plane of 0.0177 Å for $C(6)$. The molecular structure of FcCH=CHCH=CHCH=CHRuCl- $(CO)(PMe₃)₃$ (6) is depicted in Figure 2. The crystallographic details and selected bond distances and angles are given in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. The complex contains a ferrocenyl moiety with a cyclopentadienyl ring substituted with a CH=CHCH=CHCH=CH group linked to a ruthenium center. The ruthenium center is a distorted octahedron with three meridionally bound $PMe₃$ ligands. The vinyl group is trans to a $PMe₃$ ligand, and the CO group is trans to the chloride group, as suggested by NMR data. The overall geometry around the ruthenium center is closely related to the bimetallic ruthenium complex $\text{[RuCl(CO)(}P\text{Me}_3)_3\text{]}_2(\mu\text{-}CH=\text{CHCH}=\text{-}O)$ $CHCH=CHCH=CH$).²⁰ It is worth noting that the vinyl groups are essentially coplanar with Cl-Ru-CO. Thus, $Ru(1), Cl(1), C(51) O(1), C(45), and C(46) form a plane$ with a maximum deviation from the least-squares plane of 0.0149 Å for C(45). Such a coplanar phenomenon of the vinyl group and CO is expected, due to the strong *π*-interaction between CO and vinyl with metal centers in such a conformation.^{20,31} The $(CH)_6$ unit shows a single/double alternation pattern of carbon-carbon bonds. All of the olefinic double bonds are in a trans geometry. The formal double bonds have an average bond distance of 1.342 Å, and the formal single bonds have an average bond distance of 1.455 Å. The difference between the average single- and double-bond distances is 0.113 Å. The structural parameters of the $(CH)_6$ chain are similar to those of $[RuCl(CO)(PMe_3)_3]_2(\mu$ -CH= CHCH=CHCH=CHCH=CH),²⁰ [MoTp*Cl(NO)]₂ $(\mu$ -4,4'- $NC_5H_4CH=CH)_4C_5H_4N$,³² and PhCH=CH(CH=CH)₂-CH=CHPh.³³ In these compounds, the differences in the average single- and double-bond distances are 0.099, 0.11, and 0.092 Å, respectively.

Electrochemical Study. The cyclic voltammogram of complex **6** is shown in Figure 3. As shown in Figure 3, complex **6** exhibited two partially reversible oxidation waves at 0.37 and 0.51 V vs Ag/AgCl with a scan

-
- (30) Trofimenko, S. *Inorg. Synth*. **1970**, *12*, 99.
- (31) Choi, S. H.; Bytheway. I.; Lin, Z.; Jia, G., *Organometallics* **1998**, *17*, 3974.
- (32) McWhinnie, S. L. W.; Thomas, J. A.; Hamor, T. A.; Jones, C.
- J.; Yellowlees, L. J.; Hutchings, M. G. *Inorg. Chem*. **1996**, 35, *760*. (33) Drenth, W.; Wiebenga, E. H. *Acta Crystallogr*. **1955**, 8, *755*.

^{(25) (}a) Torres, M. R.; Vegas, A.; Santos, A.; Ros, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, *309*, **169.** (b) Santos, A.; López, J.; Galán, A.; González, J. J.; Tinono, P.; Echavarren, A. M. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 3482. (c) Romereo, A.; Santos, A.; Lo´pez, J.; Echavarren, A. M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1990**, *391*, 219.

^{(26) (}a) Jia, G.; Lee, H. M.; Williams, I. D.; Lau, C. P.; Chem, Y. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 3941. (b) Rahmouni, N.; Osborn, J. A.; Decian, A.; Fischer, J.; Ezzamarty, A. *Organometallics* **1998**, *17*, 2470 and references therein.

⁽²⁷⁾ Liu, S. H.; Xia, H. P.; Wan, K. L.; Yeung, R. C. Y.; Hu, Q. Y.; Jia, G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2003**, *683*, 331.

⁽²⁸⁾ Ahmad, N.; Levison, J. J.; Robinson, S. D.; Uttley, M. F.; Wonchoba, E. R.; Parshall, G. W. *Inorg. Synth*. **1974**, *15*, 45. (29) Dahlhoff, W. V.; Nelson, S. M. *J. Chem. Soc*. *A* **1971**, 2184.

Figure 2. Molecular structure of $C_5H_5FeC_5H_4CH=CHCH=CHCH=CHRUC(CO)(PMe₃)₃ (6).$

Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Bond Angles (deg) of Complex 4

ranging from 0 to 1.0 V, showing that, at the electrode surface, the neutral bimetallic complex underwent two successive one-electron oxidations to yield the monoand dication, respectively. Cyclic voltammograms of related monometallic iron (**4**) and ruthenium complexes $(RuCl(CO)(PMe₃)₃((E,Z) -CH=CHCH=CHCC=CH) (10)$ and $RuCl(CO)(PMe_3)_3((E)-CH=CHPh)$ (11)) were measured under identical conditions. The cyclic voltammogram of iron complex **4** showed only one reversible oxidation at 0.51 V. The cyclic voltammograms of both monometallic ruthenium complexes **10** (0.94 V) and **11** (0.97 V) showed one irreversible oxidation peak at a potential similar to that of the nonconjugated bimetallic complex [RuCl(CO)(PMe₃)₃]₂(μ -CH=CHCH₂CH(OMs)- $CH(OMs)CH₂CH=CH$), which showed one irreversible oxidation peak at 1.09 V vs Ag/AgCl. (The ferrocene/

Table 3. Crystal Data and Structure Refinement Details for Compound 6

Table 4. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Bond Angles (deg) of Complex 6

ferrocenium redox couple was located at 0.26 V under the experimental condition of ref 20.)

The first oxidation wave at 0.37 V in the cyclic voltammogram of complex **6** is tentatively ascribed to the ferrocene-ferrocenium couple. Substitution of the end hydrogen in the iron complex **4** by the ruthenium end group renders oxidation 0.14 V more favorable. The second oxidation, which should have more ruthenium character, is about 0.4 V more favorable than that of the monometallic ruthenium complexes. This can be

Figure 3. Cyclic voltammogram of complex **6**.

attributed to the strong electronic communications between the iron end group and the ruthenium end group.

Complex **7** showed two partially reverisible oxidation waves at 0.41 and 0.32 V. Complexes **8** and **9** have only one oxidation wave at 0.47 and 0.44 V, respectively, with a scan ranging from 0 to 1.0 V; both of them are reversible with $\Delta E_P = 0.07$ V. These results show that changes of the ligands of Ru have a large effect on the oxidation potentials of the ferrocene moiety. The dependence of the redox potentials of the ferrocene moiety on the Ru moiety suggests that significant electronic communication between the ferrocene moiety and the Ru center occurs via the polyene linker.

Summary. We have successfully prepared bimetallic complexes bridged by CH=CHCH=CHCH=CH with different end groups. The structures of $FcCH=CHCH=$ CHC=CH and FcCH=CHCH=CHCH=CHRuCl(CO)- $(PMe₃)₃$ have been confirmed by X-ray diffraction. Electrochemical studies have shown that the metals linked through the CH=CHCH=CHCH=CH bridge interact with each other.

Experimental Section

All manipulations were carried out at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques, unless otherwise stated. Solvents were distilled under nitrogen from sodium-benzophenone (hexane, diethyl ether, THF, benzene) or calcium hydride (dichloromethane, CHCl₃). The starting materials $RuHCl(CO)(PPh₃)₃$,²⁸ TMS-C=CCH= CHCHO,^{20b} 1-(hydroxymethyl)ferrocene,²³ 2,6-(Ph₂PCH₂)₂C₅H₃N (PMP),29 and KTp30 were prepared according to literature methods, and complexes **10**19b and **11** were also prepared according to literature methods. Elemental analyses (C, H, N) were performed by the Microanalytical Services, College of Chemistry, CCNU. 1H, 13C, and 31P NMR spectra were collected on a Varian MERCURY Plus 400 spectrometer (400 MHz). 1H and 13C NMR chemical shifts are relative to TMS, and ³¹P NMR chemical shifts are relative to 85% H₃PO₄.

The electrochemical measurements were performed on an Autolab PGSTAT 30 instrument. A three-component electrochemical cell was used with a glassy-carbon electrode as the working electrode, a platinum wire as the counter electrode, and a Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode. The cyclic voltammograms were collected with a scan rate ranging from 50 to 200 mV/s in CH_2Cl_2 containing 0.10 M *n*-Bu₄NClO₄ as the supporting electrolyte. The peak potentials reported were referenced to Ag/AgCl. The ferrocene/ferrocenium redox couple was located at 0.49 V under our experimental conditions.

FcCH2P+**Ph3Br**- **(2).** A mixture of 1-(hydroxymethyl) ferrocene (0.85 g, 3.96 mmol) and triphenylphosphonium hydrobromide (1.36 g, 3.96 mmol) in 120 mL of toluene was refluxed for 2 h until separation from the eutectic condensate was completed in a Dean-Stark trap. After cooling, a yellow solid precipitated, which was filtered off, washed with 20 mL of ether, and dried. Yield: 2.02 g, 94%. Anal. Calcd for $C_{29}H_{26}$ - BrPFe: C, 64.36; H, 4.84. Found: C, 63.98; H, 4.70. 31P NMR (160 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 19.79 (s). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): *δ* 1.98 (s, 2H, CH2), 3.97 (s, 2H, C5H2*H*2CH2), 4.06 (s, 2H, C5*H*2H2 $CH₂$), 4.36 (s, 5H, $C₅H₅$), 7.71 (m, 15H, PPh₃).

FcCH=CHCH=CHC≡CSiMe₃ (3). To a slurry of (ferrocenylmethyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide (**2**; 1.95 g, 3.6 mmol) in THF (40 mL) was added a 2 M THF solution of NaN- $(SiMe₃)₂$ (1.8 mL, 3.6 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 30 min, and then a solution of the aldehyde $Me₃SiC=CCH=$ CHCHO (0.5 g, 3.29 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added slowly. The resulting solution was stirred for another 30 min, and then water (50 mL) was added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of sodium chloride $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and then concentrated under rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with petroleum ether) to give a red solid. Yield: 0.38 g, 34%. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{22}SiFe$: C, 68.26; H, 6.63. Found: C, 67.88; H, 6.75. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ* 0.20 (s, 9H, SiMe₃), 4.10 (s, 5H, C₅H₅Fe), 4.29 (s, $2H$, $C_5H_2H_2C\equiv$), 4.38 (s, 2H, $C_5H_2H_2C\equiv$), 5.58 (d, 1H, *J*(HH) $= 15.2$ Hz, d-CH), 6.42 (m, 2H, a,b-CH), 6.69 (q, 1H, $J(HH) =$ 8.4, 15.2 Hz, c-CH).

FcCH=CHCH=CHC≡**CH** (4). To a solution of complex 3 $(0.16 \text{ g}, 0.5 \text{ mmol})$ in THF (10 mL) was slowly added a 1 M THF solution of n -Bu₄N⁺F⁻ (0.5 mL, 1 M in THF) with stirring. After 2 h, the solvent was removed to give a red oil. The crude product was purified by column chromatography to give red crystals. Yield: 0.12 g, 96%. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{14}Fe$: C, 73.31; H, 5.38. Found: C, 73.10; H, 5.52. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.01 (d, $J(HH) = 2.0$ Hz, 1H, \equiv CH), 4.04 (s, 5H, C_5H_5Fe , 4.23 (s, 2H, $FeC_5H_2H_2C=$), 4.32 (s, 2H, $FeC_5H_2H_2C=$), 5.48 (q, $J(HH) = 2.0$, 15.6 Hz, $1H$, d-CH), 6.35 (m, $2H$, a,b-CH), 6.65 (q, $J(HH) = 8.8$, 15.6 Hz, 1H, c-CH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 67.15, 69.34, 69.56, 79.21 (s, C₅H₅, C₅H₄), 81.82, 83.72 (s, C=C), 106.84, 125.18, 134.80, 144.06 (s, a,b,c,d-CH).

 $\textbf{FcCH}=\textbf{CHCH}=\textbf{CHCH}=\textbf{CHRuCl}(\textbf{CO})(\textbf{PPh}_3)_2$ (5). To a suspension of RuHCl(CO)(PPh₃)₃ (0.80 g, 0.84 mmol) in CH₂-Cl2 (20 mL) was slowly added a solution of **4** (0.26 g, 0.99 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min to give a red solution. The reaction mixture was filtered through a column of Celite. The volume of the filtrate was reduced to ca. 5 mL under vacuum. Addition of hexane (50 mL) to the residue produced a red solid, which was collected by filtration, washed with hexane, and dried under vacuum. Yield: 0.64 g, 80%. Anal. Calcd for $C_{53}H_{45}CIOP_2$ -FeRu: C, 66.85; H, 4.76. Found: C, 67.10; H, 4.57. 31P NMR (160 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ 30.35 (s). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ 4.01 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 4.11 (s, 2H, C₅H₂H₂C=), 4.21 (s, 2H, $C_5H_2H_2C=$, 5.45 (m, 1H, d-CH), 5.91 (q, $J(HH) = 10.8$, 14.2 Hz, 1H, c-CH), 6.02 (d, $J(HH) = 15.6$ Hz, 1H, f-CH), 6.18 (q, $J(HH) = 10.8$, 15.4 Hz, 1H, e-CH), 7.35 (m, 31H, b-CH, PPh₃), 7.92 (d, $J(HH) = 12.4$ Hz, 1H, Ru-H).

 $FCCH=CHCH=CHCH=CHRuCl(CO)(PMe₃)₃$ (6). To a solution of complex $5(0.18 \text{ g}, 0.19 \text{ mmol})$ in $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2(30 \text{ mL})$ was added a 1 M THF solution of PMe3 (2.0 mL, 2.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The solvent of the reaction mixture was removed under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 20/ 80 acetone/petroleum ether) to give a red solid. Yield: 0.93 g, 79%. Anal. Calcd for C26H42ClOP3FeRu: C, 47.61; H, 6.45. Found: C, 47.65; H, 6.51. ³¹P NMR (160 MHz, CD_2Cl_2): δ -19.42 (t, $J(PP) = 24.2$ Hz), -7.89 (d, $J(PP) = 24.2$ Hz). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ 1.28 (t, *J*(PH) = 3.4 Hz, 18H, PMe_3), 1.35 (d, $J(PH) = 6.8$ Hz, 9H, PMe₃), 4.04 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 4.11 (s, 2H, $C_5H_2H_2C=$), 4.25 (s, 2H, $C_5H_2H_2C=$), 5.79 (q, $J(HH) = 10.8$, 14.4 Hz, 1H, d-CH), 6.04 (d, $J(HH) = 15.6$ Hz, 1H, f-CH), 6.14 (q, *J*(HH) = 10.4, 14.4 Hz, 1H, c-CH), 6.30 (m, 2H, b,e-CH), 7.94 (m, 1H, a-CH). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 16.79 (t, $J(PC) = 15.3$ Hz, PMe₃), 20.03 (d, $J(PC) = 21.0$ Hz, PMe₃), 66.57, 68.83, 69.46, 85.29 (s, C₅H₅, C₅H₄), 122.78, 126.33, 129.31, 137.93 (s, b,c,d,e,f-CH), 176.24 (Ru-CH), 202.81 (CO).

 $FcCH=CHCH=CHCH=CHRuCl(CO)(PhPy)(PPh₃)₂$ (7). A mixture of complex **5** (0.18 g, 0.19 mmol) and 4-phenylpyridine (0.06 g, 0.38 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) was stirred for 30 min. The solution was filtered through a column of Celite. The volume of the filtrate was reduced to ca. 2 mL under vacuum. Addition of hexane (15 mL) to the residue produced a red solid, which was collected by filtration, washed with hexane, and dried under vacuum. Yield: 0.18 g, 85%. Anal. Calcd for $C_{64}H_{54}CINOP_2FeRu: C, 69.41; H, 4.92. Found: C,$ 69.81; H, 4.75. 31P NMR (160 MHz, CD2Cl2): *δ* 26.14 (s). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ 4.06 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 4.19 (s, 2H, $C_5H_2H_2C=$), 4.30 (s, 2H, $C_5H_2H_2C=$), 5.50 (q, $J(HH) = 11.0$, 14.6 Hz, 1H, d-CH), 5.68 (q, J(HH) = 10.0, 16.0 Hz, 1H, c-CH), 6.07 (m, 1H, f-CH), 6.31 (q, $J(HH) = 11.0$, 15.2 Hz, 1H, e-CH), 6.77 (br, 2H, C5H2*H*2N), 7.40 (m, 36H, Ph, b-CH), 8.43 (br d, $J(HH) = 15.6$ Hz, 3H, Ru-CH, $C_5H_2H_2N$.

FcCH=CHCH=CHCH=CHRuCl(CO)(PMP) (8). A mixture of complex **5** (0.18 g, 0.19 mmol) and PMP (0.09 g, 0.19 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) was stirred for 15 h. The solution was filtered through a column of Celite. The volumn of the filtrate was reduced to ca. 2 mL under vacuum. Addition of hexane (20 mL) to the residue produced a yellow solid, which was collected by filtration, washed with hexane, and dried under vacuum. Yield: 0.14 g, 86%. Anal. Calcd for $C_{48}H_{42}$ -ClNOP2FeRu: C, 63.83; H, 4.69. Found: C, 64.16; H, 4.43. 31P NMR (160 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ 49.83 (s). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂-Cl₂): δ 3.95 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 4.06 (s, 2H, C₅H₂H₂C=), 4.13 (m, 4H, C₅H₂H₂C=, CHH(C₅H₃N)CHH), 4.49 (m, 2H, CHH(C₅H₃N)-C*H*H), 5.05 (m, 1H, d-CH), 5.28 (m, 1H, c-CH), 5.85 (m, 1H, f-CH,), 6.16 (m, 1H, e-CH), 7.27-8.78 (m, 25H, Ph, C_5H_3N , Ru-CH, b-CH).

FcCH=CHCH=CHCH=CHRuTp(CO)(PPh₃) (9). A mixture of complex **5** (0.18 g, 0.19 mmol) and KTp (0.18 g, 0.21 mmol) in $CH_2Cl_2(20 \text{ mL})$ was stirred for 2 h. The solution was filtered through a column of Celite to remove the KCl. The volume of the filtrate was reduced to ca. 2 mL under vacuum. Addition of hexane (20 mL) to the residue produced a red solid, which was collected by filtration, washed with hexane, and dried over vacuum. Yield: 0.14 g, 85%. Anal. Calcd for C44H40- BN_6 OPFeRu: C, 60.92; H, 4.65. Found: C, 61.26; H, 4.88. ³¹P NMR (160 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ 49.23 (s). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂-Cl₂): δ 4.01 (s, 5H, C₅H₅), 4.11 (s, 2H, C₅H₂H₂C=), 5.23 (s, 2H, C₅H₂H₂C=), 5.67 (m, 1H, d-CH), 5.88-7.73 (m, 30H, PPh₃, $Ru-CH$, $b,c,e,f-CH$, Tp).

Crystallographic Analysis for $Fc(CH=CH)_2C=CH(4)$ **.**

Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from a hexane solution cooled to -20 °C. A crystal was mounted on a glass fiber, and the diffraction intensity data were collected on a Bruker CCD 4K diffractometer with graphite-monochromated M_{*o*} K_{α} radiation (λ = 0.710 73 Å). Lattice determination and data collection were carried out using SMART version 5.625 software. Data reduction and absorption corrections were performed using SAINT version 6.45 and SADABS version 2.03. Structure solution and refinement were performed using the SHELXTL version 6.14 software package. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogens were included in their idealized positions and refined using a riding model. Further crystallographic details were summarized in Table 1, and selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 2.

 C rystallographic Analysis for Fc ($CH=CH$)₃RuCl(CO)-**(PMe3)3 (6).** Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from a benzene solution layered with hexane. A red bar-shaped crystal of $C_5H_5FeC_5H_4CH=CHCH=CHCH=CHRUC1(CO)$ -(PMe₃)₃ (6), with dimensions $0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$ mm³, was mounted on a glass fiber, and diffraction intensity data were collected by a Bruker Apex CCD diffractometer with graphitemonochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.710$ 73 Å) at 100(2) K. Lattice determination and data collection were carried out using SMART version 5.625 software. Data reduction and absorption correction were performed using SAINT version 6.26 and SADABS version 2.03. Structure solution and refinement were performed using SHELXTL version 6.10 software package. All non-hydrogen atoms (except for those disordered atoms) were refined anisotropically. Further crystallographic details are given in Table 3, and selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 4.

Acknowledgment. We acknowledge financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 20242010 and 20472023), the Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, State Education Ministry, and the National Key Project for Basic Research of China (No. 2004CCA00100).

Supporting Information Available: CIF files giving crystallographic data for $\rm C_5H_5FeC_5H_4CH=CHCH=CHC\equiv CH$ (4) and $C_5H_5FeC_5H_4CH=CHCH=CHCH=CHRU(CO)(PMe₃)₃$ (**6**). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OM0490637