Syntheses and Structural Characterization of a LAl(N₃)N[μ -Si(N₃)(*t*Bu)]₂NAl(N₃)L and a Monomeric Aluminum Hydride Amide LAlH(NHAr) (L = HC[(CMe)(NAr)]₂, Ar = 2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃)

Hongping Zhu, Zhi Yang, Jörg Magull, Herbert W. Roesky,* Hans-Georg Schmidt, and Mathias Noltemeyer

Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Göttingen, Tammannstrasse 4, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany

Received August 12, 2005

Reactions between the aluminum(I) monomer LAl $(L = HC[(CMe)(NAr)]_2, Ar = 2,6$ $iPr_2C_6H_3$) and organic azides (RN₃, R = C₁₀H₁₅ (adamantyl), Ph₃Si; *tBuSi*(N₃)₃) are reported. LAl reacted with the bulky azide RN_3 in toluene in a temperature range of -50 to 25 °C, resulting in the compound $LAI[(NR)_2N_2]$ (R = $C_{10}H_{15}$ (1), Ph₃Si (2)) instead of the expected Al=N multiple bond species, while the reaction with $tBuSi(N_3)_3$ gave $LAl(N_3)N[(\mu-Si (N_3)(tBu)]_2NAl(N_3)L(3)$. Compounds 1-3 have been fully characterized by mass spectrometry and IR and multinuclear NMR spectroscopy (¹H, ¹³C, and ²⁹Si) as well as by single-crystal X-ray crystallography. The structural analysis of 1 and 2 reveals an AlN₄ planar fivemembered ring compound, suggesting a [2 + 3] cycloaddition of the Al=N multiple bond species with a molecule of RN₃ despite the steric bulk of the L and R substituents. Compound ${f 3}$ shows a bonding of the N $_3$ group to the Al and a formation of the N $_2$ Si $_2$ central core, implying the migration of N_3 and a [2 + 2] cycloaddition in the reaction sequence. In addition, the solvent-free reaction of an aluminum dihydride LAlH₂ with ArNH₂ was also investigated at elevated temperatures (150-290 °C), and a monomeric aluminum hydride amide, LAlH-(NHAr) (4), was obtained as the only isolable product. The Al-H and N-H groups of 4 are shown in the IR and ¹H NMR spectra. The corresponding X-ray structural analysis exhibits the Al–H and N–H bonds that are nearly arranged in position *trans* to the Al–N_{NHAr} bond.

Introduction

The reactions of monovalent heavier group 13 compounds with organic azides are of general interest. In these reactions, an interaction between the metal center and the N₃ group results in an elimination of N₂ and the formation of a M–N bond with a multiple bond character.¹ Such M–N bonded species are highly reactive and can further react through dimerization,² by C–H bond activation,³ by 1,3-dipolar addition,⁴ and alternatively by cycloaddition with another molecule of azide.⁵ More recently, we have reported on a reaction of LAl with Ar*N₃ (L = HC[(CMe)(NAr)]₂, Ar* = 2,6-Ar₂C₆H₃, Ar = 2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃) at low temperatures. The

initially formed imide LAINAr* further reacts intramolecularly under C–H bond activated addition and [2 + 2] cycloaddition involving the methyl and phenyl group, respectively.⁶ However, the reaction of $(Cp*Al)_4$ with Me₃SiN₃ at elevated temperature yielded Cp*[(Me₃- Si_2N]AlN(μ -AlCp*)(μ -Al[N(SiMe_3)_2])NAlCp*₂; the related mechanism is still under investigation.³ These examples demonstrate an extensive reaction chemistry between heavier group 13 metal(I) species and organic azides. Moreover, it has been shown that the steric demand of the substituents at M (M(I) species) and N (organic azide) has a great influence on the formation of the products. Herein we report on the reaction of the aluminum(I) monomer LAl with the bulky azide RN₃ and the isolation of the AlN₄ five-membered-ring compound LAI[$(NR)_2N_2$] (R = C₁₀H₁₅ (adamantyl) (1), SiPh₃ (2)). Treatment of LAl with $tBuSi(N_3)_3$, however, generates the compound $LAl(N_3)N[(\mu-Si(N_3)(tBu)]_2NAl(N_3)L]$ (3), different from the previously reported results on related reactions. In addition, the investigation of the H₂ elimination reaction using an aluminum dihydride LAlH₂ and a primary amine ArNH₂ at elevated temperatures is also presented, in which a monomeric aluminum hydride amide, LAlH(NHAr) (4), was obtained as the only isolable product.

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: hroesky@gwdg.de.

^{(1) (}a) Hardman, N. J.; Cui, C.; Roesky, H. W.; Fink, W. H.; Power,
P. P. Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 2230-2232; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
2001, 40, 2172-2174. (b) Wright, R. J.; Phillips, A. D.; Allen, T. L.;
Fink, W. H.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 1694-1695.

Fink, W. H.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 1694–1695.
 (2) Schulz, S.; Voigt, A.; Roesky, H. W.; Häming, L.; Herbst-Irmer,
 R. Organometallics 1996, 15, 5252–5253.

⁽³⁾ Schulz, S.; Häming, L.; Herbst-Irmer, R.; Roesky, H. W.; Sheldrick, G. M. Angew. Chem. **1994**, *106*, 1052–1054; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1994**, *33*, 969–971.

⁽⁴⁾ Jutzi, P.; Neumann, B.; Reumann, G.; Stammler, H.-G. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2037–2039.
(5) (a) Cui, C.; Roesky, H. W.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer, M.

^{(5) (}a) Cui, C.; Roesky, H. W.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer, M. Angew. Chem. **2000**, *112*, 4705–4707; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2000**, *39*, 4531–4533. (b) Cui, C.; Köpke, S.; Herbst-Irmer, R.; Roesky, H. W.; Noltemeyer, M.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Wrackmeyer, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, *129*, 9091–9098.

⁽⁶⁾ Zhu, H.; Chai, J.; Chandrasekhar, V.; Roesky, H. W.; Magull, J.; Vidovic, D.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer, M.; Power, P. P.; Merrill, W. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, *126*, 9472–9473.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. All manipulations were carried out under a purified nitrogen atmosphere using Schlenk techniques or inside an Mbraun MB 150-GI glovebox filled with dry nitrogen where the calibrated values of O₂ and H₂O were strictly controlled below 1 ppm. All solvents were dried by standard methods prior to use. Commercially available chemicals were purchased from Aldrich or Fluka and used as received except for ArNH₂. ArNH₂ was distilled prior to use. The other compounds mentioned in this paper were prepared according to published procedures: Ph₃SiN₃,²LAl,⁷tBuSi(N₃)₃,⁸ LAIH₂.⁹ Elemental analyses were performed by the Analytisches Labor des Instituts für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Göttingen. ¹H (300.13 and 500.13 MHz), ¹³C (125.76 MHz), and ²⁹Si (99.36 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM 300 or 500 spectrometer and IR spectra on a Bio-Rad Digilab FTS-7 spectrometer. EI mass spectra were measured on a Finnigan MAT 8230 or a Varian MAT CH5 instrument. Melting points were measured in sealed glass tubes and were not corrected.

Synthesis of LAI[(NC₁₀H₁₅)₂N₂] (1). Precooled toluene (30 mL) was added to a mixture of LAl (0.20 g, 0.45 mmol) and $C_{10}H_{15}N_3$ (0.16 g, 0.90 mmol) at -50 °C. The suspension was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 12 h. A yellow solution developed. The solvent from the solution was removed in vacuo, and the residue was washed with *n*-hexane (5 mL) to afford a yellow crystalline solid of 1. Yield: 0.29 g, 84%. Mp: 384 °C. ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, D₈-toluene, 298 K, ppm): δ 1.06 (d, 2 × 3 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.18 (d, 2×3 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.24 (d, 2×3 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} =$ 6.8 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.25 (d, 2×3 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.33–1.49 (m), 1.77–1.84 (m), 2.22 (br) (15 H, $C_{10}H_{15}$), 1.62 (s, 2 × 3 H, β -CH₃), 3.32 (sept, 2 × 1 H, $^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, $CH(CH_3)_2$), 3.88 (sept, 2 × 1 H, ${}^{\bar{3}}J_{HH} = 6.8$ Hz, $CH(CH_3)_2$), 5.20 (s, 1 H, $\gamma\text{-C}H),~6.90-7.18$ (m, 6 H, Ar-C_6H_3). $^{13}\mathrm{C}\{^{1}\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR (125.76 MHz, D₈-toluene, 298 K, ppm): δ 24.5, 24.6, 25.2, 25.5, 25.6, 29.2, 30.1, 31.0 (CH(CH₃)₂, β-CH₃), 36.9, 37.8, 44.6, 52.5, 53.6 ($C_{10}H_{15}$), 101.8 (γ -C), 124.9, 125.1, 127.8, 128.1, 129.2, 141.1, 143.0, 146.1 (Ar- C_6H_3), 171.8 (CN). EI-MS: m/z (%) 770.5 (100, [M⁺]). Anal. Calcd (%) for $C_{49}H_{71}AlN_6$ ($M_r =$ 771.13): C, 76.32; H, 9.28; N, 10.90. Found: C, 76.34; H, 9.24; N, 10.74. X-ray quality single crystals of 1. Et₂O were grown from a mixture of solvents (Et₂O:*n*-hexane = 1:3) at 4 °C for one week.

Synthesis of LAI[(NSiPh₃)₂N₂]·PhMe (2·PhMe). The synthetic route is similar to that of 1. LAl (0.44 g, 1 mmol) and Ph_3SiN_3 (0.60 g, 2 mmol) are used. After workup, a colorless solution developed. The solution was concentrated (ca. 5 mL), and to it *n*-hexane (10 mL) was added. The solution was kept at 4 °C for three weeks to afford X-ray quality crystals of 2·PhMe. Yield: 0.89 g, 80%. Mp: 220 °C. ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K, ppm): δ 0.61 (d, 2 × 3 H, ³J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 0.76 (d, 2×3 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), $0.87 (d, 2 \times 3 H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 1.01 (d, 2 \times 3 H,$ ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}(\text{C}H_{3})_{2}), 1.27 \text{ (s, } 2 \times 3 \text{ H}, \beta\text{-C}H_{3}), 2.10 \text{ (s, } 3$ H, PhMe), 2.47 (sept, 2×1 H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.8$ Hz, $CH(CH_{3})_{2}$), 3.66 (sept, 2×1 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, $CH(CH_{3})_{2}$), 3.91 (s, 1 H, γ -CH), 6.96-7.26 (m, 41 H, Ar-C₆H₃, SiPh₃, PhMe). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.76 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K, ppm): δ 21.4, 24.7, 25.0, 25.6, 28.3, $28.8 (CH(CH_3)_2, \beta$ -CH₃, PhMe), 102.3 (γ -C), 125.1, 125.8, 126.0, 128.0, 128.2, 128.5, 128.9, 129.2, 129.3, 137.2, 137.3, 137.5, 138.1, 140.7, 143.7, 145.8 (Ar- C_6H_3 , Si Ph_3 , PhMe), 172.2 (CN). ²⁹Si NMR (99.36 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K, ppm): δ -20.6, -15.1. EI-MS: m/z (%) 717.4 (100, [M⁺ - Ph₃SiN₃]). Anal. Calcd (%) for C₇₂H₇₉AlN₆Si₂ (**2**·PhMe, M_r = 1111.62): C, 77.80; H, 7.16; N, 7.56. Found: C, 77.79; H, 7.25; N, 7.34.

Note: The reaction of LAl and $C_{10}H_{15}N_3$ (or Ph_3SiN_3) in a molar ratio of 1:1 even in the temperature range of -78 °C to room temperature still resulted in the formation of 1 (or 2).

Synthesis of $LAl(N_3)N[\mu-Si(N_3)(tBu)]_2NAl(N_3)L$ (3). A precooled toluene solution (10 mL) of $tBuSi(N_3)_3$ (0.11 g, 0.5 mmol) was added to a toluene solution (20 mL) of LAl (0.22 g, 0.5 mmol) at -50 °C. The mixture was stirred and allowed to warm to room temperature. The color of the solution changed from red to yellow, and finally to colorless. After stirring for an additional 12 h, the solution was concentrated (ca. 5 mL), and to this solution *n*-hexane (15 mL) was added. The colorless crystalline solid of 3 was immediately formed and collected by filtration (0.10 g). The filtrate was stored at 4 $^{\circ}$ C for three weeks to afford another crop of X-ray quality crystals of 3. PhMe (0.17 g). Total yield: 0.26 g, 83% of 3. Mp: 320 °C. ¹H NMR (300.13 MHz, D₈-toluene, 298 K, ppm): δ 0.27 (s, 18 H, tBu), 1.07 (d, 4 × 3 H, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH}$ = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.14 (d, 8 × 3 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.21 (d, 4 × 3 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.64 (s, 4×3 H, β -CH₃), 3.16 (sept, 4×1 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 3.26 \text{ (sept, } 4 \times 1 \text{ H}, {}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8 \text{ Hz},$ $CH(CH_3)_2$), 4.76 (s, 2 × 1 H, γ -CH), 6.94–7.20 (m, 12 H, Ar-C₆*H*₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.76 MHz, D₈-toluene, 298 K, ppm): δ 1.36 (tBu), 22.5, 23.0, 24.2, 24.6, 24.8, 27.1, 28.6, 29.1, 32.0 (CH(CH₃)₂, β-CH₃), 94.3 (γ-C), 123.5, 125.1, 127.9, 128.1, 137.4, 141.3, 142.7, 144.4 (Ar- C_6H_3), 172.2 (CN). ²⁹Si NMR (99.36 MHz, D₈-toluene, 298 K, ppm): δ -21.5, -20.8. EI-MS: m/z (%) 1255 (30, $[M^+]$), 1198 (100, $[M^+ - tBu]$). IR (KBr plate, Nujol mull, cm⁻¹): v 2113, 2076 (m, N₃). Anal. Calcd (%) for $C_{66}H_{100}Al_2N_{18}Si_2$ ($M_r = 1255.79$): C, 63.12; H, 8.03; N, 20.01. Found: C, 63.08; H, 8.08; N, 20.04.

Synthesis of LAlH(NHAr) (4). A mixture of $LAlH_2$ (1.34 g, 3 mmol) and ArNH₂ (0.57 mL, 3 mmol), with stirring, was slowly heated to ca. 150 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ for 1 h. H_2 evolution was observed and ceased after 1 h. When cooled to room temperature, the solidified product was dissolved in toluene (15 mL). The solvent from the solution was removed in vacuo, and the residue was washed with *n*-hexane $(2 \times 2 \text{ mL})$, affording the light yellow crystalline solid of 4 (yield >95%). Mp: 134 °C. ¹H NMR (300.13 MHz, D₈-toluene, 298 K, ppm): δ 0.95 (d, 4 × 3 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}(\text{C}H_{3})_{2}), 1.10 \text{ (d, } 2 \times 3 \text{ H}, {}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8 \text{ Hz},$ CH(CH₃)₂), 1.11 (d, 2 × 3 H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.8$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.13 (d, 2×3 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.26 (d, 2×3 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.55 (s, 2×3 H, β -Me), 2.43 (sept, $2 \times$ 1 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, $CH(CH_{3})_{2}$), 2.93 (s, 1 H, NH), 3.32 (sept, 4×1 H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8$ Hz, $CH(CH_{3})_{2}$), 4.97 (s, 1 H, γ -CH), 5.50 (br, 1 H, Al-H), 6.69–6.72, 6.92–7.10 (m, 9 H, Ar-C₆H₃). ¹³C-{¹H} NMR (125.76 MHz, D₈-toluene, 298 K, ppm): δ 23.4, 24.4, 24.5, 24.6, 24.7, 25.2, 28.4, 28.7, 28.9 ($CH(CH_3)_2, \beta$ - CH_3), 97.9 $(\gamma$ -C), 117.5, 123.0, 124.6, 125.0, 125.6, 128.2, 128.5, 129.2, 129.3, 135.4, 137.5, 140.7, 144.0, 144.5, 145.3 (Ar-C₆H₃), 170.6 (CN). EI-MS: m/z (%): 621 (22, [M⁺]), 578 (100, [M⁺ - *i*Pr]). IR (KBr plate, Nujol mull, cm⁻¹): v 1821 (w, Al-H), 3358 (w, N-H). Anal. Calcd (%) for $C_{41}H_{60}AlN_3$ ($M_r = 621.93$): C, 79.18; H, 9.72; N, 6.76. Found: C, 79.12; H, 9.74; N, 6.61. X-ray single crystals of 4 PhMe were obtained by recrystallization of 4 from a mixture of toluene and n-hexane (3:2).

X-ray Structure Determination and Refinement. The crystallographic data for compounds $1 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$, $2 \cdot \text{PhMe}$, and $3 \cdot \text{PhMe}$ were collected on a Stoe IPDS II-array detector system and for compound $4 \cdot \text{PhMe}$ on a Stoe-Siemens-Huber four-circle diffractometer coupled to a Siemens CCD area detector. In both cases graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) was used. All structures were solved by direct methods

⁽⁷⁾ Cui, C.; Roesky, H. W.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer, M.; Hao, H.; Cimpoesu, F. Angew. Chem. **2000**, *112*, 4444–4446; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2000**, *39*, 4274–4276.

⁽⁸⁾ The compound tBuSi(N₃)₃ was prepared using tBuSiCl₃ and excess NaN₃ with reference to that of Ph₃SiN₃ in ref 2. The physical and spectroscopic data are shown here: colorless liquid, mp -10 °C; ¹H NMR (300.13 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K, ppm) δ 0.70; IR (KBr plate, Nujol mull, cm⁻¹) ν 2185, 2156 (vs, N₃).
(9) Cui, C.; Roesky, H. W.; Hao, H.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer,

 ⁽⁹⁾ Cui, C.; Roesky, H. W.; Hao, H.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer,
 M. Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 1885–1887; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 1815–1817.

Table 1. Crystal	llographic Data foi	• Compounds 1•	·Et ₂ O, 2·PhMe	, 3·PhMe, and	l 4·PhMe

. 8	•	L _ /	, ,	
	$1 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$	$2 \cdot \text{PhMe}$	3 ∙PhMe	4·PhMe
formula	C ₅₃ H ₈₁ AlN ₆ O	$C_{72}H_{79}AlN_6Si_2$	$C_{73}H_{108}Al_2N_{18}Si_2$	C ₄₈ H ₆₈ AlN ₃
fw	845.22	1111.57	1347.91	714.03
temp (K)	133(2)	133(2)	133(2)	200(2)
cryst syst	orthorhombic	monoclinic	triclinic	triclinic
space group	Pbca	P2(1)/n	$P\bar{1}$	$P\bar{1}$
a (Å)	17.413(1)	14.476(3)	12.923(1)	10.071(2)
b(A)	21.173(1)	22.773(5)	16.568(1)	11.630(2)
c (Å)	26.774(1)	18.766(4)	19.751(1)	19.851(4)
α (deg)			102.65(1)	91.56(3)
β (deg)		93.20(3)	99.84(1)	90.86(3)
γ (deg)			99.24(1)	110.81(3)
$V(A^3)$	9871(1)	6177(2)	3978(1)	2172(1)
Z	8	4	2	2
$\rho_{\rm c} ({\rm Mg/m^3})$	1.137	1.195	1.125	1.427
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.084	0.119	0.118	0.110
F(000)	3696	2376	1452	1020
θ range (deg)	1.52 - 25.01	1.41 - 24.99	1.76 - 25.17	3.52 - 22.47
index ranges	$-20 \le h \le 20$	$-17 \le h \le 16$	$-12 \le h \le 15$	$-10 \le h \le 10$
	$-23 \le k \le 25$	$-25 \le k \le 26$	$-19 \le k \le 19$	$-12 \le k \le 12$
	$-30 \le l \le 31$	$-22 \le l \le 22$	$-23 \le l \le 23$	$-21 \le l \le 21$
no. of reflns collected	91 750	62 011	40 310	9308
no. of indep reflns (R_{int})	8688 (0.0571)	10 804 (0.0903)	13 529 (0.0561)	5648 (0.0761)
no. of data/restraints/params	8688/0/562	10 804/0/735	13 529/1/853	5648/0/488
GoF/H ²	1.036	1.025	1.082	1.015
$R1,^{a} wR2^{b} (I > 2\sigma(I))$	0.0418, 0.1057	0.0480, 0.1183	0.0629, 0.1770	0.0495, 0.1318
$R1,^{a}$ wR2 ^b (all data)	0.0586, 0.1124	0.0608, 0.1258	0.0837, 0.1925	0.0615, 0.1464
largest diff peak/hole (e•A ⁻³)	0.203/-0.265	0.491/-0.413	1.1777 - 0.845	0.258/-0.234

 ${}^{a}R = \sum ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \sum |F_{o}|. {}^{b} \text{ wR2} = [\sum w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2} / \sum w(F_{o}^{2})]^{1/2}.$

 $(SHELXS-96)^{10}$ and refined against F^2 using SHELXL-97.¹¹ All non-hydrogen atoms were located by difference Fourier synthesis and refined anisotropically except for those of toluene molecules in 2. PhMe and 3. PhMe, which were refined isotropically using a part treatment (the PhMe molecule was located in two positions with the respective 0.50 occupation) for 2. PhMe and a rigid model of benzene and distance restraints treatment for 3. PhMe. Thus, the residual electronic density of the difference Fourier synthesis in 3-PhMe is somewhat high $(1.177 \text{ e} \cdot \text{Å}^{-3})$. We were not able to improve this value by the collection of the reflection data on another diffractometer. All hydrogen atoms were included using the riding model with $U_{
m iso}$ tied to the $U_{
m iso}$ of the parent atoms, except for the Al-H hydrogen in 4, which was located by difference Fourier synthesis and refined isotropically. A summary of cell parameters, data collection, and structure solution and refinement is given in Table 1.

Results and Discussion

Reactions of LAl with RN_3 ($R = C_{10}H_{15}$ (adamantyl), Ph₃Si) and tBuSi(N₃)₃. The reaction of LAl with 2 equiv of bulky azide and RN₃ in toluene in the temperature range -50 to 25 °C resulted in the formation of the five-membered-ring compound $LAI[(NR)_2N_2]$ $(R = C_{10}H_{15} (1), Ph_3Si (2))$, a result similar to that in the previously reported reaction of LAl with the less bulky Me₃SiN₃.⁵ Treatment of such a mixture in 1:1 molar ratio in a lower temperature range (-78 to 25)°C) in an attempt to isolate a LAINR species was not successful, and LAI[(NR)₂N₂] was always formed as the only isolable product. This indicates that the steric bulk of the C₁₀H₁₅ and Ph₃Si substituents is still not sufficient enough to stabilize the LAINR monomer; instead, such Al=N multiple bonded species further react under [2+3] cycloaddition with another molecule of the azide (Scheme 1). Undoubtedly, in view of our work in this field,⁵⁻⁷ the alternation of the bulky azide further



evidences the high reactivity of the Al=N multiple bonded species despite the steric bulk of the substituents at both Al and N atoms. Further exploration of the reaction of LAl with $tBuSi(N_3)_3$ interestingly led under similar conditions to $LAl(N_3)N[\mu-Si(N_3)(tBu)]_2NAl(N_3)L$ (3). This result is completely different from the previously reported ones. $^{\rm 2-6}$ Here we were able to deduce that the formation of **3** may proceed through the initial N_2 elimination to yield the Al=N multiple bonded intermediate A. A further rearranges under migration of one N₃ group from the Si to the Al atom to form the N=Si species **B**. Finally **B** reacts in a [2 + 2] cycloaddition to yield 3 (Scheme 2). Obviously, the high reactivity of A initiates this intramolecular N₃ migration. Moreover, the chelating character and the bulk of L at Al compared to those of the N_3 and tBu groups at N may be

⁽¹⁰⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS-90, Program for Structure Solution. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1990, 46, 467–473.

⁽¹¹⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.



Figure 1. Molecular structure of **1**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% level, and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

responsible for the different reaction products. This proposed rearrangement can be compared to the result reported on the 1,3-dipolar addition of Me₃SiN₃ to the Ga=N multiple bonded intermediate.⁴ Compounds containing the N=Si double bond are known,¹² and [2 + 2] cycloaddition reactions of these systems have been well documented.¹³

Compounds 1 and 2 were obtained in high yield as a yellowish crystalline solid and colorless crystals, respectively. The latter crystallized with a toluene molecule. 1 has a high melting point (384 °C), and EI-MS indicates the highest peak for the molecular ion. In contrast, 2 melts at 220 °C, and the most intense peak in the EI-MS of 2 was observed corresponding to the LAINSiPh₃ fragment without any other related ions indicative of the composition of 2 in the gas phase.

The single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies clearly reveal that 1 and 2 both have an AlN₄ five-memberedring structure. The molecular structures of 1 and 2 are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 2. In the structures of 1 and 2, the Al center is involved as part of two fused rings $(AlC_3N_2 \text{ and } AlN_4)$ that are in almost orthogonal array (dihedral angle: 90.6° 1; 87.1° 2). The AlN₄ ring exhibits a perfect plane (least-squares plane $\Delta = 0.0069 \text{ Å}$ 1; 0.0188 Å 2). The corresponding parameters within this plane (Al-N: 1.818(1), 1.854(1) Å 1; 1.842(2), 1.871(2) Å 2; N–N: 1.390(2), 1.272(2), 1.391(2) Å 1; 1.412(2), 1.259(2), 1.427(2) Å **2**) indicate that the AlN₄ ring in **1** is more densely packed than that in 2. This may give rise to the difference of the above-mentioned physical properties between 1 and 2. The Al-N bond lengths within the AlN₄ ring are a little shorter compared to that in the AlNC₂ ring (1.876(2) Å), which is formed by [2 + 2] cycloaddition of an Al=N and the C=C bond of a phenyl ring.⁶

Compound **3** is a colorless crystalline solid that has a good solubility in toluene and benzene but a poor one



Figure 2. Molecular structure of 2. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% level, and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Figure 3. Molecular structure of **3**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% level, and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

 Table 2. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for 1·Et₂O and 2·PhMe

	$1 \cdot Et_2O$	2·PhMe	$1 \cdot Et_2O$	2 •PhMe
Al(1)-N(1)	1.907(1)	1.892(2) N(1)-Al(1)-N(2)	95.38(5)	96.99(7)
Al(1)-N(2)	1.895(1)	$1.896(2)\ N(3){-}Al(1){-}N(6)$	85.08(5)	87.38(8)
Al(1) - N(3)	1.818(1)	$1.871(2)\ Al(1){-}N(6){-}N(5)$	110.96(9)	108.94(12)
Al(1) - N(6)	1.854(1)	1.842(2) N(6) - N(5) - N(4)	115.99(12)	117.51(15)
N(3)-N(4)	1.391(2)	1.412(2) N(5) - N(4) - N(3)	115.63(11)	117.22(16)
N(4) - N(5)	1.272(2)	$1.259(2)\ N(4){-}N(3){-}Al(1)$	112.31(9)	108.77(12)
N(5) - N(6)	1.390(2)	1.427(2)		

in *n*-hexane. It has a high melting point (320 °C). EI-MS data (*m*/*z* (%): 1255 (30, [M⁺]), 1198 (100, [M⁺ – *t*Bu])) are consistent with the formulation of **3**, which was unambiguously established by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. This indicates a thermal stability of **3**, although this compound contains four N₃ groups.

Compound **3** crystallizes with two half toluene molecules in space group $P\overline{1}$. The molecular structure of **3** is shown in Figure 3. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 3. In the structure of **3**, each Al atom is coordinated by the chelating β -diketiminato L and one N₃ group and one N atom from the Si₂N₂ ring and appears in a distorted tetrahedral geometry. The central Si₂N₂ ring is formed in an approximate square (Si-N:

⁽¹²⁾ Power, P. P. Chem. Rev. **1999**, 99, 3463–3503, and references therein.

^{(13) (}a) Walter, S.; Klingebiel, U. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *130*, 481– 508, and references therein. (b) Hemme, I.; Klingebiel, U. Adv. *Organomet. Chem.* **1996**, *39*, 159–192, and references therein. (c) Xiao, Y.; Son, D. Y. *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*, 4438–4443.

Table 3. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for 3·PhMe

	-		
Al(1)-N(1)	1.912(3)	Al(1)-N(2)	1.909(3)
Al(1) - N(3)	1.839(3)	Al(1)-N(6)	1.808(2)
N(6) - Si(1)	1.748(2)	N(6) - Si(2)	1.734(2)
Si(1) - N(7)	1.737(2)	Si(2) - N(7)	1.749(2)
Al(2) - N(7)	1.812(2)	Al(2)-N(16)	1.851(3)
Al(2) - N(14)	1.902(3)	Al(2) - N(15)	1.921(3)
N(3) - N(4)	1.190(4)	N(4) - N(5)	1.150(4)
N(8)-N(9)	1.227(4)	N(9)-N(10)	1.139(4)
N(11) - N(12)	1.223(4)	N(12) - N(13)	1.140(4)
N(16) - N(17)	1.207(4)	N(17) - N(18)	1.151(4)
N(1) - AI(1) - N(2)	97.07(11)	N(3) - AI(1) - N(6)	101.16(12)
Si(1) - N(6) - Si(2)	88.37(11)	N(6) - Si(1) - N(7)	91.66(12)
Si(1) - N(7) - Si(2)	88.22(11)	N(6)-Si(2)-N(7)	91.72(11)
N(7) - Al(2) - N(16)	102.90(11)	N(14)-Al(2)-N(15)	97.55(11)
N(3) - N(4) - N(5)	176.0(3)	N(8) - N(9) - N(10)	174.6(4)
N(11) - N(12) - N(13)	174.6(4)	N(16) - N(17) - N(18)	176.7(3)

1.734(2), 1.737(2), 1.748(2), 1.749(2)Å; N-Si-N: 91.66(12), 91.72(11)°; Si-N-Si: 88.37(11), 88.22(11)°; $\Delta = 0.0101$ Å). A notable feature is that the two *t*Bu groups bound to Si atoms are arranged on one side of the Si₂N₂ ring, whereas the N₃ groups are located on the opposite side of the ring. This structural character is hardly observed among the known Si₂N₂ ring compounds¹³ and gives rise to the two LAl moieties bonded to the respective N atom also in a position syn to the Si_2N_2 ring due to the steric effect caused by the two *t*Bu groups, whereas the two N₃ groups at the respective Al center are arranged in trans position. The Al-N bond lengths range from 1.808(2) to 1.921(3) Å (Al-N_b-diketiminato = 1.902(3)-1.921(3) Å; Al-N_{N3} = 1.839(3)-1.851(3) Å; Al-N_{Si2N2} = 1.808(2)-1.812(2) Å). The Al-N_{β}-diketiminato bond lengths are close to those in 1 and 2(1.895(1)-1.907(1))Å), and the $Al-N_{N3}$ bond distances are a little shorter than those terminal ones in R_2AlN_3 ($R = 2-(Me_2NCH_2)-$ C₆H₄, 1.864(6) Å; (CH₂)₃NMe₂, 1.897(2) Å)¹⁴ and Me₂- $Al(N_3)NH_2tBu$ (1.901(1) Å).¹⁵ The Al-N_{Si2N2} bond lengths compare well with those in $Cp^{(Me_3Si_2N)}AlN(\mu-AlCp^{)}$ - $(\mu-Al\{N(SiMe_3)_2\})NAlCp*_2(1.781-1.819 \text{ Å}).^3 \text{ The N-N}$ bond lengths (1.139(4)-1.227(4) Å) and N-N-N angles $(174.6(4) - 176.7(3)^\circ)$ of the N_3 groups are consistent with those in the literature.^{14,15} The IR spectrum confirms the characteristic frequencies of the terminal N₃ groups $(2113, 2076 \text{ cm}^{-1}).$

Solvent-Free Reaction of LAlH₂ with ArNH₂. Among the syntheses of heavier group 13 imido compounds, the reaction of monovalent group 13 metal species with organic azides can be considered as a direct synthetic route under the elimination of N_2 ,¹⁶ although the high reactivity of such monomeric species can cause

a variety of products. One of the classic routes,¹⁷ the reaction of group 13 metal hydride and/or alkyl (R'MR₂, R = organic group or H) with primary amine $R''NH_2$, has been widely studied. Work in this field has been extensively reported by Nöth,¹⁸ Power,¹⁹ Roesky,²⁰ and Schulz.²¹ In these reactions, the $[R'MR(NHR'')]_m$ is thought to be an intermediate in the formation of the corresponding metal imide $[R'MNR'']_n$ $(m, n \ge 2)$ with regard to the stepwise elimination of H_2 or alkane, whereas the orthometalation in some cases is observed resulting in C–H activated derivatives.^{19a,b} However, $[R'MR(NHR'')]_m$ is thermally unstable. Studies on these systems have shown that its stability is strongly influenced by the steric bulk of R' and R" at both M and N atoms, especially in the case of $R = H^{.17} [R_2 Al(NHtBu)]_2$ (R = H or D) is stable only at room temperature.¹⁸ $[Mes*AlH(\mu-NHR*)]_2$ containing bulky substituents R* = CH_2Mes , $SiPh_3$, $Mes = 2,4,6-Me_3C_6H_2$, and $Mes^* =$ 2,4,6- $tBu_3C_6H_2$ decomposes in the range 125 to 200 °C to the corresponding aluminum imides.^{19d} Recent studies on the reaction of Me₃N·AlH₃ and ArNH₂ indicate that the Me₃N·AlH(NHAr)₂ intermediate gives under reflux in pentane [ArHNAl(H)-µ-NHAr]2 and Me3N·Al-(NHAr)₃, respectively.²¹ Nonetheless, there are only a few of such compounds known, and they are only sparingly studied by X-ray structural analysis.²² Herein we show the preparation and structural characterization of the monomeric compound LAlH(NHAr) (4) derived from a solvent-free reaction of LAlH₂ and ArNH₂.

A mixture of $LAlH_2$ and 1 equiv of $ArNH_2$ in the absence of solvent was treated at elevated temperature (150 °C) until H₂ evolution ceased. This reaction smoothly afforded a monomeric aluminum hydride amide, LAlH-(NHAr) (4), as a light yellow crystalline solid in almost quantitative yield (>95%) (eq 1).



Compound 4 is soluble in aromatic solvents, but sparingly soluble in such hydrocarbons as *n*-pentane and *n*-hexane. It melts at 134 °C. The most intense peak in the EI-MS of 4 appeared at m/z 578 [M⁺ - *i*Pr], and the signal at m/z 621 (22%) was assigned to the molecular ion [M⁺]. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, a singlet at 3.01 ppm corresponds to the N-H proton resonance

⁽¹⁴⁾ Müller, J.; Fischer, R. A.; Sussek, H.; Pilgram, P.; Wang, R.; Pritzkow, H.; Herdtweck, E. Organometallics **1998**, *17*, 161–166.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Fischer, R. A.; Miehr, A.; Sussek, H.; Pritzkow, H.; Herdtweck,
E.; Müller, J.; Ambacher, O.; Metzger, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1996, 2685–2686.

⁽¹⁶⁾ In organometallic chemistry, azides are commonly used as precursor for nitrene in the synthesis of transition metal imido complexes. Selected examples: (a) Nugent, W. A.; Meyer, J. M. Metal-Ligand Multiple Bonds; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1988. (b) Parkin, G.; van Asselt, A.; Leahy, D. J.; Whinnery, L.; Hua, N. G.; Quan, R. W.; Henling, L. M.; Schaefer, W. P.; Santarsiero, B. D.; Bercaw, J. E. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 82–85. (c) Proulx, G.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 6382–6383. (d) Fickes, M. C.; Davis, W. M.; Cummins, C. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 6384–6385. (e) Proulx, G.; Bergman, R. G. Organometallics 1996, 15, 684–692. (f) Hanna, T. A.; Baranger, A. M.; Bergman, R. G. Angew. Chem. 1996, 108, 693–696; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 653–655. (g) Gavenonis, J.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 8536–8537.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Cesari, M.; Cucinella, S. In *The Chemistry of Inorganic Homoand Heterocycles*; Haiduc, I., Sowerby, D. B., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1982; Vol. 1, Chapter 6, pp 167–190.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Nöth, H.; Wolfgardt, P. Z. Naturforsch. B 1976, 31, 697-708.
(19) Selected examples: (a) Waggoner, K. M.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3385-3393. (b) Wehmschulte, R. J.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 791-797. (c) Wehmschulte, R. J.; Power, P. P. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 2106-2109. (d) Wehmschulte, R. J.; Power, P. P. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 6906-6911. (e) Wehmschulte, R. J.; Power, P. P. Polyhedron 2000, 19, 1649-1661.

^{(20) (}a) Belgardt, T.; Waezsada, S. D.; Roesky, H. W.; Gornitzka, H.; Häming, L.; Stalke, D. Inorg. Chem. 1994, 33, 6247-6251. (b) Belgardt, T.; Storre, J.; Roesky, H. W.; Noltemeyer, M.; Schmidt, H.-G. Inorg. Chem. 1995, 34, 3821-3822. (c) Belgardt, T.; Storre, J.; Klemp, A.; Gornitzka, H.; Häming, L.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Roesky, H. W. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1995, 3747-3751.

⁽²¹⁾ Bauer, T.; Schulz, S.; Hupfer, H.; Nieger, M. Organometallics 2002, 21, 2931–2939.



Figure 4. Molecular structure of **4**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% level, and hydrogen atoms of the L ligand and Ar group are omitted for clarity.

Table 4. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for 4·PhMe

Al(1) - N(1)	1.905(2)	Al(1) - N(2)	1.922(2)
Al(1)-N(3)	1.817(2)	Al(1)-H(1)	1.482
N(1) - Al(1) - N(2)	95.57(8)	N(3) - Al(1) - H(1)	119.1

and one broad resonance centered at 5.50 ppm to the Al-H proton, characteristic for amidoaluminum hydrides.^{22a} The IR spectrum clearly shows the respective N-H (3358 cm⁻¹) and Al-H (1821 cm⁻¹) absorption bands.

The structural analysis revealed **4** as monomeric with the coordination of the aluminum center completed by the chelating β -diketiminato ligand, one NHAr group, and one H atom in a distorted tetrahedral geometry. The molecular structure of **4** is shown in Figure 4. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 4. The Al-H bond length (1.482 Å) is located in the range 1.37–1.75 Å observed for terminal aluminum hydrides.^{21–23} The Al–N_{NHAr} bond length (1.817(2) Å) is shorter than those of Al–N_{β}-diketiminato bonds (1.905-(2), 1.922(2) Å). The Al–H and N–H bonds are nearly arranged in *trans* position to the Al–N_{NHAr} bond.

Compound 4 contains the Al-H and N-H functionalities, which are commonly thought to be highly reactive. Therefore, 4 was further treated at higher temperatures $(150-290 \ ^{\circ}C)$ for 5 h; however, no H₂ gas evolution was observed. The melting point and spectroscopic (IR, ¹H NMR) measurements indicated that, during the heating, compound 4 did not change its composition.

Conclusion

In summary, LAl reacts with bulky azide RN3 to yield the five-membered ring compound $LAI[(NR)_2N_2]$ (R = $C_{10}H_{15}$ (1), Ph_3Si (2)), while with $tBuSi(N_3)_3$ LAl(N₃)N- $[\mu$ -Si(N₃)(tBu)]₂NAl(N₃)L (**3**) is formed. The former appears to proceed by a [2+3] cycloaddition of a reactive LAl=NR species and an azide molecule, although the L and R substituents are rather bulky. The latter may occur with a further rearrangement of LAl=NSi(tBu)- $(N_3)_2$ (A) to LAl $(N_3)N=Si(tBu)(N_3)$ (B) and finally is completed by [2 + 2] cycloaddition. The steric demand of the β -diketiminato ligand L at Al and the R group at N hinders the oligomerization of the Al=N intermediates; however, the Al=N system is reactive enough to allow the cyclic addition, the N₃ migration, or the C-H activation. In some cases this is influenced by the reaction temperature.⁶ In contrast, the reaction of LAIH₂ with ArNH₂ yields only the monomeric aluminum hydride amide LAlH(NHAr) (4). Further condensation of 4 at elevated temperatures was not observed.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful for financial support of the Göttinger Akademie der Wissenschaften.

Supporting Information Available: CIF files for compounds 1·Et₂O, 2·PhMe, 3·PhMe, and 4·PhMe are available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OM050698V

⁽²²⁾ Compounds of the type EHAlNHR (E = Cl, H) are structurally characterized in the form of intramolecular stabilized heterocycles. (a) Atwood, J. L.; Lawrence, S. M.; Raston, C. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1994**, 73–74. (b) Gardiner, M. G.; Lawrence, S. M.; Raston, C. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 4652–4659. (c) Gardiner, M. G.; Lawrence, S. M.; Raston, C. L. Inorg. Chem. **1996**, *35*, 1349–1354. Me₃N·AlH-(NHAr)₂, [ArHNAlH(μ -NHAr)]₂, and ArHNAlH(μ -NHAr)(μ -NAr)AlH-(NMe₂Et) are reported as monomeric and dimeric, respectively: see ref 21.

⁽²³⁾ Selected examples: (a) Linti, G.; Nöth, H.; Rahm, P. Z. Naturforsch. B **1988**, 43, 1101–1112. (b) Cowley, A. H.; Isom, H. S.; Decke, A. Organometallics **1995**, 14, 2589–2592. (c) Gardiner, M. G.; Lawrence, S. M.; Raston, C. L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1996**, 4163–4169. (d) Wehmschulte, R. J.; Power, P. P. Inorg. Chem. **1996**, 35, 3262–3267. (e) Zhu, H.; Chai, J.; Roesky, H. W.; Noltemeyer, M.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Vidovic, D.; Magull, J. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. **2003**, 3113–3119.