# Electronic Structure and Evolution of a Terminal Butadienylphosphinidene Complex

Ngoc Hoa Tran Huy,\*,<sup>†</sup> Shaojie Hao,<sup>†</sup> Louis Ricard,<sup>†</sup> and François Mathey\*,<sup>‡</sup>

Laboratoire "Hétéroéléments et Coordination", UMR CNRS 7653, DCPH, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France, and UCR–CNRS Joint Research Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of California, Riverside, California 92521-0403

Received February 17, 2006

The DFT study of the 1-butadienylphosphinidene complex  $[CH_2=CHCH=CHP-Cr(CO)_5]$  shows a delocalized structure with a short P–C bond at 1.789 Å and a long  $C_{\alpha}=C_{\beta}$  double bond at 1.367 Å. The W(CO)<sub>5</sub> complex has been synthesized by copper-catalyzed decomposition of the appropriate 7-phosphanorbornadiene complex. It gives the normal reaction products with alkynes (phosphirenes) and with conjugated dienes (phospholenes and dihydrophosphepines via a phospha-Cope rearrangement), but when no trapping reagent is added to the reaction mixture, it gives a complex pentacyclic product whose structure has been established by X-ray crystal structure analysis and whose formation involves a cascade of reactions starting at the dienyl substituent of the precursor.

### Introduction

The electrophilic terminal phosphinidene complexes [RP– M(CO)<sub>5</sub>] (M = Cr, Mo, W) are known to have an electronic structure which resembles that of singlet carbenes.<sup>1</sup> Their LUMO is essentially the empty  $p_z$  orbital at phosphorus. Any  $\pi$ -system directly bonded to phosphorus will stabilize the phosphinidene by interaction with the  $p_z$  orbital. From this standpoint, the highlying  $\pi$ -electrons of a conjugated dienyl unit would be especially interesting as a stabilizing unit. From another standpoint, the reaction of conjugated dienes with phosphinidene complexes has been one of the most thoroughly studied reactions of phosphinidenes.<sup>2</sup> One can wonder through what kind of reaction a butadienylphosphinidene complex would evolve toward a stable compound. These two reasons led us to investigate the electronic structure and the trapping of these previously unreported species.

## **Results and Discussion**

To evaluate the effect of the introduction of a butadienyl substituent in  $[RP-M(CO)_5]$ , we performed DFT calculations<sup>3</sup> on  $[(E)-CH_2=CHCH=CHP-Cr(CO)_5]$  (1) using the B3LYP functional<sup>4,5</sup> with 6-31G(d) basis sets for all atoms except Cr (lan12dz). Our results were then compared to those obtained for  $[MeP-Cr(CO)_5]$  at the same level of formalism.<sup>6</sup> The computed structure of **1** is shown in Figure 1. The replacement of the methyl group by a butadienyl substituent induces some significant changes in the geometry of the complex. Both



**Figure 1.** Computed structure of the (butadienylphosphinidene)pentacarbonylchromium complex **1**. Significant bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): P1-Cr2 = 2.305, P1-Cr3 = 1.789, C13-C15 = 1.367, C15-C17 = 1.445, C17-C19 = 1.346; Cr2-P1-C13 = 112.0.

complexes adopt a staggered conformation of the P–R and Cr-(CO)<sub>5</sub> units, and the R–P–Cr bond angles are identical. However, the P–C bond is short in **1** at 1.789 Å vs 1.864 Å for the P–Me complex and the  $C_{\alpha}=C_{\beta}$  double bond is long at 1.367 Å vs 1.346 Å for the terminal double bond. As expected,

<sup>†</sup> Ecole Polytechnique.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> University of California.

Creve, S.; Pierloot, K.; Nguyen, M. T.; Vanquickenborne, L. G. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 107. Grigoleit, S.; Alijah, A.; Rozhenko, A. B.; Streubel, R.; Schoeller, W. W. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 643–644, 223. Ehlers, A. W.; Lammertsma, K.; Baerends, E. J. Organometallics 1998, 17, 2738. Frison, G.; Mathey, F.; Sevin, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 570, 225.

<sup>(2)</sup> Marinetti, A.; Mathey, F. Organometallics **1984**, *3*, 456. Lammertsma, K.; Hung, J.-T.; Chand, P.; Gray, G. M. J. Org. Chem. **1992**, *57*, 6557. van Eis, M. J.; Nijbacker, T.; de Kanter, F. J. J.; de Wolf, W. H.; Lammertsma, K.; Bickelhaupt, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, *122*, 3033. Vlaar, M. J. M.; Ehlers, A. W.; de Kanter, F. J. J.; Schakel, M.; Spek, A. L.; Lammertsma, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2000**, *39*, 2943.

<sup>(3)</sup> Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 03*, revision B.05; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.

<sup>(4)</sup> Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. **1993**, 98, 5648.

<sup>(5)</sup> Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr. Ř. G. *Phys. Rev. B* 1988, *37*, 785.
(6) Compain, C.; Donnadieu, B.; Mathey, F. *Organometallics* 2006, *25*, 540



Figure 2. Frontier orbitals of 1.

the Cr–P–C<sub>4</sub> unit of **1** is strictly planar. These data suggest a strong conjugation between phosphorus and the vicinal double bond in **1**. The NBO charges confirm this point. The charge at P is reduced at 0.534 e vs 0.60 e for P–Me. The two double bonds are highly polarized:  $C_{\alpha}$ , -0.606;  $C_{\beta}$ , -0.131;  $C_{\gamma}$ , -0.268;  $C_{\delta}$ , -0.333. Thus, it appears that **1** is better represented by a mesomeric formulation as shown in eq 1.

$$(OC)_5Cr - P \longrightarrow (OC)_5Cr - P \xrightarrow{-}_{+} (OC)$$

The orbital scheme confirms the strong delocalization within the phosphinidene unit. HOMO-1 is essentially the antibonding combination of the  $p_z$  orbital at P and the  $\Psi_2$  orbital of butadiene, the HOMO is still essentially the lone-pair orbital at P but is destabilized by 0.19 eV by comparison with the P–Me complex, and the LUMO is heavily localized at P,  $C_\beta$ , and  $C_\delta$ (Figure 2).

Our starting synthetic point was the 1-chlorobutenylphosphole complex **2**, whose preparation has been described in a previous work.<sup>7</sup> The dehydrochlorination of the chlorobutenyl substituent by a strong base afforded the butadienylphosphole complex **3** in good yield (eq 2). The  ${}^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of **3** shows a



characteristic resonance for the terminal =CH<sub>2</sub> group as a singlet at 122.38 ppm (CDCl<sub>3</sub>). The stereochemistry of the exocyclic PCH<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>=CH<sub> $\beta$ </sub> unit was established by inspection of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum: H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> appears as a pseudo-triplet ( $J_{H-H} = J_{H-P} = 16.3$ Hz) only compatible with the *E* stereochemistry.<sup>8</sup> Contrary to what we feared, the Diels-Alder reaction of **3** with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) exclusively took place at the cyclic diene to give the 7-phosphanorbornadiene complex **4**, once again in good yield (eq 2). Such a perfect selectivity was not observed in previous experiments with a 1-(tetramethylcyclopentadienyl)phosphole.<sup>9</sup> In this case, DMAD reacts with both the phosphole and cyclopentadiene rings.

Complex **4** shows the characteristic deshielding of the <sup>31</sup>P resonance at 205 ppm (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) and the characteristic <sup>13</sup>C resonance for the terminal =CH<sub>2</sub> group at 123.19 ppm (CDCl<sub>3</sub>). To check that **4** was a genuine precursor of the butadienylphosphinidene complex **5**, we investigated the reaction of **4** with diphenylacetylene at 60 °C in the presence of CuCl as a catalyst. We obtained the expected phosphirene **6**, thus demonstrating the formation of **5**, together with an unknown biphosphorus compound (**7**), characterized by two singlet resonances at 48 and 148.6 ppm (eq 3). We shall discuss the structure of **7** later.



The phosphirene displays the characteristic shielding of its <sup>31</sup>P resonance at -163.8 ppm (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and the terminal =*C*H<sub>2</sub> group at 122.91 ppm (CDCl<sub>3</sub>). The reaction of **4** with 2,3-dimethylbutadiene was much faster and did not produce the byproduct **7**. The minor product was the phospholene **8** and the major product the bicyclic compound **9** (eq 4).



The <sup>13</sup>C spectrum of the phospholene **8** shows the intracyclic *C*H<sub>2</sub> groups as a doublet at 44.48 ppm ( ${}^{1}J_{C-P} = 29.2$  Hz) and the terminal *C*H<sub>2</sub> group as a singlet at 122.2 ppm. The formula of **9** was established by an X-ray crystal structure analysis (Figure 3). The origin of **8** and **9** is quite clear. The initial product is very likely the phosphirane **10**, which ring-expands either via a 1,3-shift to give the phospholene according to a well-known scheme<sup>2</sup> or via a phospha-Cope<sup>10a</sup> rearrangement to give a seven-membered ring with a P=C double bond that reacts with a second molecule of diene to give the bicyclic compound **9**<sup>10b</sup> (eq 5).



<sup>(9)</sup> Frison, G. Ph.D. Thesis, Paris VI University, November 13, 2000.
(10) (a) Appel, R.; Kochta, J.; Winkhaus, V. *Chem. Ber.* 1988, *121*, 631.
(b) Tran Huy, N. H.; Marinetti, A.; Ricard, L.; Mathey, F. *Organometallics* 1992, *11*, 1438.

<sup>(7)</sup> Tran Huy, N. H.; Gryaznova, T. V.; Ricard, L.; Mathey, F. Organometallics 2005, 24, 2930.

<sup>(8)</sup> Nelson, J. H. *Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy*; Prentice Hall: Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2003; p 161.



**Figure 3.** X-ray crystal structure of complex **9**. Thermal ellipsoids are scaled to enclose 50% of the electronic density. Main bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): P(1)-W(1) = 2.5187(6), P(1)-C(1) = 1.826(2), P(1)-C(5) = 1.842(2), P(1)-C(10) = 1.844(2); C(1)-P(1)-C(10) = 105.5(1), C(5)-P(1)-C(10) = 106.8(1), C(1)-P(1)-C(5) = 98.1(1), C(4)-C(5)-C(6) = 111.6(2).

The formation of **8** and **9** demonstrated that the reaction of the butadienylphosphinidene **5** toward a conjugated diene was similar to that of a vinylphosphinidene complex.<sup>10b</sup> We were ready then to study the generation and evolution of **5** in the absence of additional reagent. Upon heating in the presence of CuCl as a catalyst, the phosphanorbornadiene **4** gave the already observed biphosphorus compound **7** as the single major product (eq 6).



The structure of **7** was established by an X-ray analysis (Figure 4). On the basis of what we had observed in the reaction of **5** with dimethylbutadiene, the origin of **7** is very likely the following. The phosphinidene **5** first reacts with the butadienyl substituent of a second molecule of precursor **4** to give a sevenmembered ring (**11**) via a phospha-Cope rearrangement. In **11**, the reactive P=C double bond is close to a C=CC=O unit of the phosphanorbornadiene. An intramolecular [4 + 2] cycloaddition takes place between the phosphaalkene and the  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ unsaturated ester to give the pentacyclic product **7** in fair yield.

This complex and subtle chemistry once again demonstrates the extraordinary versatility of the phosphole–phosphanorbornadiene route to electrophilic terminal phosphinidene complexes.<sup>11</sup>



**Figure 4.** X-ray crystal structure of complex **7**. Thermal ellipsoids are scaled to enclose 50% of the electronic density. Main bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): W(1)-P(1) = 2.4957(8), W(2)-P(2) = 2.467(1), P(1)-C(1) = 1.849(3), P(1)-C(4) = 1.874(3), P(1)-C(11) = 1.886(3), P(2)-O(7) = 1.653(2), P(2)-C(9) = 1.856(3), P(2)-C(14) = 1.833(4); C(1)-P(1)-C(4) = 80.8(1), C(1)-P(1)-C(11) = 103.9(1), C(4)-P(1)-C(11) = 107.4(1), O(7)-P(2)-C(9) = 103.1(1), O(7)-P(2)-C(14) = 102.6(1), C(9)-P(2)-C(14) = 105.3(2).

## **Experimental Section**

NMR spectra were recorded on a multinuclear Bruker AVANCE 300 MHz spectrometer operating at 300.13 for <sup>1</sup>H, 75.47 for <sup>13</sup>C, and 121.50 MHz for <sup>31</sup>P. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm) downfield from internal tetramethylsilane (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C) and external 85% aqueous H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (<sup>31</sup>P). Elemental analyses were performed by the *Service de microanalyse du CNRS*, Gifsur-Yvette, France.

Synthesis of 1-Butadienylphosphole Complex 3. To a solution of complex 2 (10.5 g,  $20 \times 10^{-3}$  mol) in THF (100 mL) at 0 °C was added 'BuOK (3 g,  $26 \times 10^{-3}$  mol). The solution was stirred for 1.5 h. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was chromatographed on silica gel with hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (9/1) as the eluent. 3 was obtained as a yellow powder, yield 7.3 g (75%).

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  0.9. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  2.42 (s, 6H, Me), 5.55 (d, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = 10$  Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.66 (d, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = 16.9$  Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.98 (pseudo t, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = J_{H-P} = 16.3$  Hz, PCH=), 6.58 (d, 2H, <sup>2</sup> $J_{H-P} = 36.7$  Hz, ring =CH–P), 6.66 (m, 1H, =CH), 6.95 (m, 1H, =CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  17.67 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 11.5$  Hz, Me), 122.38 (s, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 123.25 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 40.5$  Hz, =CHP), 129.45 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 44.8$  Hz, ring =CHP), 136.36 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 18.8$  Hz, =CH), 145.98 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 8.6$  Hz, =CH),151.42 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 9.0$  Hz, =CMe), 196.49 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 6.7$  Hz, *cis*-CO), 199.45 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 27.8$  Hz, *trans*-CO). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-PW: C, 36.91; H, 2.68. Found: C, 37.02; H, 2.64.

Synthesis of 7-Butadienyl-7-phosphanorbornadiene Complex 4. Complex 3 (5 g,  $10 \times 10^{-3}$  mol) and DMAD (3 mL) were heated overnight at 70 °C in toluene (2 mL). The product was chromatographed on silica gel with hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1/1) as the eluent. 4 was obtained as a yellow powder, yield 5 g (80%).

<sup>31</sup>P NMR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  205.4. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.99 (s, 6H, Me), 3.72 (d, 2H,  $J_{H-P} = 1.9$  Hz, CH–P), 3.80 (s, 6H, OMe), 5.33 (d, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = 9.1$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.42 (d, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = 16.1$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.14 (pseudo t, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = J_{H-P} = 16.2$  Hz, PCH=), 6.35–6.46 (m, 2H, =CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  16.24 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 2.3$  Hz, Me), 52.82 (s, OMe), 60.47 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 21.5$  Hz, CHP), 123.19 (s, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 131.47 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 10.1$  Hz, =CH), 136.33 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 13.4$  Hz, =CH), 138.45 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 17.0$  Hz, =C(Me)), 144.71 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 5.4$  Hz, =CH), 146.27 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 4.6$  Hz, =C(CO<sub>2</sub>Me)), 165.39 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 25.4$  Hz, CO<sub>2</sub>), 196.20 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 6.8$  Hz, *cis*-CO), 198.43 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 25.4$  Hz, *trans*-CO).

<sup>(11)</sup> Reviews: (a) Mathey, F.; Tran Huy, N. H.; Marinetti, A. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2001**, *84*, 2938. (b) Lammertsma, K.; Vlaar, M. J. M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *4*, 1127.

Synthesis of 1-Butadienylphosphirene Complex 6. Complex 4 (0.64 g,  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  mol), diphenylacetylene (0.2 g,  $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$  mol), and CuCl (0.03 g) were heated overnight at 60 °C in toluene (8 mL). The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel with hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (9/1) as the eluent. The phosphirene complex 6 was thus obtained (0.06 g, 25%). Complex 7 was then eluted with hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2/3), yield 0.15 g, 40%.

Data for **6** are as follows. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  –163.8. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  5.32 (d, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = 9.8$  Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.43 (d, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = 16.9$  Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.69 (pseudo t, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = J_{H-P} = 16.6$  Hz, PCH=), 6.38 (m, 1H, =CH), 6.79 (m, 1H, =CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 122.91 (s, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 127.90 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 6.7$  Hz, =C-), 129.19 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 8.5$  Hz, =C-), 129.67 (s, =CH(Ph)), 130.63 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 5.5$  Hz, =CH(Ph)), 130.95 (s, =CH(Ph)), 134.65 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 6.3$  Hz, =CH), 135.65 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 19.8$  Hz, =CH), 147.68 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 30.5$  Hz, CO). Mass spectrum (<sup>184</sup>W): m/z 586 (M, 14%), 446 (M - 5CO, 100%).

Synthesis of 1-Butadienylphospholene Complex 8 and Bicyclic Complex 9. Complex 4 (0.32 g,  $0.5 \times 10^{-3}$  mol), 2,3-dimethylbutadiene (0.5 mL), and CuCl (0.02 g) were heated for 2 h at 58 °C in toluene (5 mL). The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel with hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (9.5/0.5) as the eluent. The phospholene complex 8 was first recovered (0.02 g, 8%) and then the bicyclic complex 9 (0.1 g, 35%).

Data for **8** are as follows. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta - 12.1$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta 1.75$  (s, 6H, Me), 2.74–2.98 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>P), 5.31 (d, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = 9.8$  Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.40 (d, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = 16.8$  Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.03 (pseudo t, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = J_{H-P} = 15.8$  Hz, =CHP), 6.43 (m, 1H, =CH), 6.58 (m, 1H, =CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 16.53 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 7.9$  Hz, Me), 44.48 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 29.2$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>P), 122.21 (s, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 130.15 (s, =C(Me)), 130.61 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 7.5$  Hz, =CHP), 136.28 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 7.2$  Hz, CO). Mass spectrum (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>; <sup>184</sup>W): m/z 491 (M + H, 76%), 436 (100%).

Data for **9** are as follows. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta -23.2$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta 1.60$  (s, 3H, Me), 1.73 (s, 3H, Me), 1.75 (s, 6H, Me), 4.95 (d, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = 16.9$  Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.03 (d, 1H,  $J_{H-H} = 10.0$  Hz, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.59 (m, 1H, =CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 20.49 (s, Me), 21.10 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 2.1$  Hz, Me), 22.20 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 8.0$  Hz, Me), 22.66 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 2.9$  Hz, Me), 28.69 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 26.0$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 36.32 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 39.52 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 19.8$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 41.38 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 3.6$  Hz, CH), 41.93 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 42.10 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 19.5$  Hz, CH–P), 115.01 (s, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 120.84 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 3.5$  Hz, =C–), 125.30 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 6.5$  Hz, =C–), 143.33 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 8.6$  Hz, =CH). Mass spectrum (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>; <sup>184</sup>W): m/z 572 (M, 100%). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>5</sub>PW: C, 44.08; H, 4.39. Found: C, 43.91; H, 4.39.

Synthesis of the Polycyclic Complex 7 by Thermolysis of the 7-Butadienyl-7-phosphanorbornadiene Complex 4. Complex 4 (1 g,  $1.58 \times 10^{-3}$  mol) and CuCl (0.03 g) were heated for 3 h at 58 °C in toluene (8 mL). The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel with hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1/1) as the eluent. Complex 7 was recovered as yellow crystals (0.4 g, 50%).

Data for **7** are as follows. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  48.0, 148.6. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  14.83 (d,  $J_{C-P}$  = 3.7 Hz, Me), 15.28 (d,  $J_{C-P}$  =

2.7 Hz, Me), 38.61 (s, OMe), 41.05 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 10.3$  Hz,  $P-CH_2$ ), 51.71 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 21.2$  Hz, P-CH), 53.76 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 7.7$  Hz, OMe), 54.12 (dd,  $J_{C-P} = 21.3$  and 5.8 Hz, P-CH), 55.18 (s, P-CH), 56.28 (s, P-CH), 56.50 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 18.6$  Hz, P-CH), 74.96 (s, C), 98.32 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 8.7$  Hz, =C), 118.37 (s, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 122.94 (dd, =CH), 123.62 (s, =CH), 133.40 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 10.5$  Hz, =CMe), 138.75 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 14.6$  Hz, =CMe), 141.13 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 14.7$  Hz, vinyl =CH), 152.52 (s, =COO), 172.76 (s, CO<sub>2</sub>Me), 195.75 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 7.6$  Hz, *cis*-CO), 196.26 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 6.8$  Hz, *cis*-CO), 197.89 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 27.0$  Hz, *trans*-CO), 198.91 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 28.6$  Hz, *trans*-CO), 197.89 (d,  $J_{C-P} = 27.0$  Hz, *trans*-CO). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>14</sub>P<sub>2</sub>W<sub>2</sub>: C, 34.71; H, 2.33. Found: C, 34.53; H, 2.36.

**X-ray Structure Data.** General details are as follows: Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer,  $\phi$  and  $\omega$  scans, Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å), graphite monochromator, T = 150 K, structure solution with SIR97,<sup>12</sup> refinement against  $F^2$  in SHELXL97<sup>13</sup> with anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms, calculated hydrogen positions with riding isotropic thermal parameters.

Data collection for **7**: colorless plate,  $0.20 \times 0.14 \times 0.12$  mm; monoclinic,  $P_{21}/c$ , a = 17.0670(10) Å, b = 13.4710(10) Å, c = 16.3210(10) Å,  $\beta = 115.1700(10)^\circ$ ; V = 3396.1(4) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $\rho_{calcd} = 2.030$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 6.930$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 1976,  $\theta_{max} = 30.03^\circ$ , *hkl* ranges -24 to +24, -18 to +16, -22 to +22, 16 351 data collected, 9903 unique data ( $R_{int} = 0.0256$ ), 7814 data with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ , 437 parameters refined, GOF( $F^2$ ) = 1.008, final *R* indices (R1 =  $\Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c||/\Sigma |F_o|$ , wR2 = [ $\Sigma w (F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \Sigma w (F_o^2)^2$ ]<sup>1/2</sup>), R1 = 0.0290, wR2 = 0.0693, maximum/minimum residual electron density +1.300(0.142)/-1.512(0.142) e Å^{-3}.

Data collection for **9**: colorless plate,  $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.14$  mm; triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$ , a = 8.3200(10) Å, b = 10.2930(10) Å, c = 14.1890(10) Å,  $\alpha = 91.3990(10)^\circ$ ,  $\beta = 95.9020(10)^\circ$ ,  $\gamma = 112.7390(10)^\circ$ , V = 1112.00(19) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $\rho_{calcd} = 1.709$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 5.293$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 560,  $\theta_{max} = 29.99^\circ$ , hkl ranges -11 to +11, -14 to +14, -19 to +19, 9450 data collected, 6434 unique data  $(R_{int} = 0.0190)$ , 6049 data with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ , 257 parameters refined, GOF( $F^2$ ) = 1.058, final *R* indices (R1 =  $\Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c||/\Sigma |F_o|$ , wR2 = [ $\Sigma w (F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \Sigma w (F_o^2)^2$ ]<sup>1/2</sup>), R1 = 0.0241, wR2 = 0.0629, maximum/minimum residual electron density +1.113(0.113)/-1.575(0.113) e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

**Acknowledgment.** We thank the Ecole Polytechnique, the CNRS, and the University of California Riverside for financial support of this work.

**Supporting Information Available:** CIF files giving data for the X-ray crystal structure analyses of complexes **7** and **9**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

## OM060156T

<sup>(12)</sup> Altomare, A.; Burla, M. C.; Camalli, M.; Cascarano, G.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Moliterni, A. G. G.; Polidori, G.; Spagna, R. SIR97, an integrated package of computer programs for the solution and refinement of crystal structures using single-crystal data.

<sup>(13)</sup> Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97; Universität Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.