College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

## Table: Polar surface areas and permeability coefficients of various compounds

## Prediction of corneal permeability using polar molecular surface areas

## X. C. FU and W. Q. LIANG

A few models have been developed to predict corneal permeability as a function of the partition coefficient or the distribution coefficient of the drug [1–3]. However, these models are applicable only to congeneric compounds. Yoshida and Topliss developed a noncongeneric model using the difference between the octanol-water partition coefficient and the alkane-water partition coefficient ( $\Delta \log P$ ) and the distribution coefficient (log D) as predictors [4], but  $\Delta \log P$  values are usually difficult to obtain. Recently, the application of the polar molecular surface area (PSA), which is defined as the area of van der Waals

area (PSA), which is defined as the area of van der waals surface that arises from oxygen or nitrogen atoms or hydrogen atoms attached to oxygen or nitrogen atoms, has proved to be useful in predicting permeation of drugs through biological membranes such as Caco-2 monolayer, human small intestine, blood-brain barrier and so on [5-8]. In this paper, we are using the polar molecular surface area parameters to develop a noncongeneric model of the corneal permeability from a training set of miscellaneous compounds constructed by Yoshida and Topliss [4]. The molecular geometries of the compounds shown in the Table are optimized using the semiempirical self-consistent field molecular orbital calculation AM1 method [9] and the atomic radii used to calculate polar molecular surface areas are the same as those Clark used [6]. The permeation data in excised rabbit cornea are taken from the literature [4].

The regression eq. (1) is derived when the regression variables are the area of van der Waals surface that arises from hydrogen atoms attached to oxygen or nitrogen atoms ( $S_H$ ) and the area of van der Waals surface that arises from oxygen or nitrogen atoms ( $S_{O,N}$ ).

$$\log PC = -4.612 - 0.01749 S_{\rm H}^2 + 0.1894 S_{\rm H} - 0.005718 S_{\rm O, N}$$
(1)

$$n=30 \quad r=0.9115 \quad s=0.2407 \quad F=42.58$$

PC is the permeability coefficient across excised rabbit cornea (cm/s), n is the number of samples, r is the correlation coefficient, s is the standard deviation, F is the F-statistic. Acebutolol (20) and phenylephrine (28) are excluded from equation 1 as outliers.

If log D or PSA replaces  $S_H$  and  $S_{O,N}$  in eq. (1), the following two regression equations are obtained:

$$log PC = -4.968 - 0.08732 (log D)^2 + 0.4052 log D (2)$$
  
n = 30 r = 0.6327 s = 0.4448 F = 9.012

 $\log PC = -3.606 + 4.925 \times 10^{-5} (PSA)^2 - 0.02078 PSA$ (3)

$$n=30 \quad r=0.6323 \quad s=0.4450 \quad F=8.994$$

The regression equations above show that  $S_H$  and  $S_{O,N}$  are much better predictors of corneal permeability than log D or PSA.  $S_H$  and  $S_{O,N}$  are clearly related to the capacity of a compound to form hydrogen bonds. Eq. (1) indicates that a compound has stronger hydrogen-bond forming ability and less corneal permeability when it has greater  $S_H$  (> 5.414  $A^2$ ) or greater  $S_{O,N}$ .

2	<sup>pd.</sup> Hydrocortisone Progesterone	S <sub>H</sub>	S <sub>O,N</sub>	Obs. <sup>a</sup>	Calc. <sup>b</sup>
2		10.00			Calc.
	Progesterone	12.02	83.90	-5.07	-5.34
	rogesterone	0.00	39.32	-4.71	-4.84
5	Testosterone	4.45	38.24	-4.37	-4.33
4	Cortexolone	7.86	70.74	-4.52	-4.61
5	Desoxycorticosterone	3.69	58.55	-4.40	-4.49
	Prednisolone	11.68	80.38	-5.43	-5.25
7	Dexamethasone	10.91	78.40	-5.30	-5.08
8	Fluorometholone	8.12	60.92	-4.78	-4.58
9 '	Triamcinolone Acetonide	3.97	92.25	-4.80	-4.66
10	Prednisolone Acetate	7.81	89.87	-4.48	-4.71
11	Dexamethasone Acetate	7.00	86.86	-4.43	-4.64
12	Penbutolol	6.60	33.18	-4.35	-4.31
13	Bufuralol	8.06	29.78	-4.14	-4.39
14	Bevantolol	8.06	55.73	-4.24	-4.54
15	Propranolol	7.76	35.43	-4.32	-4.40
16	Levobunolol	8.26	56.64	-4.79	-4.56
17	Oxprenolol	7.57	46.43	-4.60	-4.45
18	Timolol	7.20	75.30	-4.91	-4.59
19	Metoprolol	7.61	46.15	-4.66	-4.45
20	Acebutolol	9.59	78.67	-6.07	-
21	Nadolol	15.11	70.18	-6.00	-6.14
22	Sotalol	12.33	72.47	-5.80	-5.35
23	Atenolol	14.81	78.37	-6.17	-6.09
24	Methanol	4.36	20.76	-4.04	-4.24
25	Butanol	4.38	19.58	-4.12	-4.23
26	4-Chlorobenzenesulfonamide	8.27	56.72	-4.26	-4.57
27	4-Chloro-N-Methyl	4.12	46.08	-4.19	-4.39
	Benzenesulfonamide				
28	Phenylephrine	12.66	46.61	-6.03	-
29	Clonidine	7.26	34.66	-4.36	-4.36
30	Ibuprofen	7.42	51.74	-4.65	-4.47
31	Cyclophosphamide	2.41	38.42	-4.95	-4.48
32	Chloramphenicol	12.18	101.83	-5.17	-5.48

a From reference [4], b From equation (1)

As shown in eq. (1), there is the parabolic correlation between log PC and  $S_H$ . The optimal  $S_H$  value is 5.414  $A^2$ .  $S_H$ is obviously relevant to the lipophilicity and greater  $S_H$ means less lipophilicity. The result can be well understood from the corneal anatomy. The cornea contains three primary layers: epithelium, stroma, and endothelium. Both the epithelium and the endothelium are lipophilic and provide main barriers to hydrophilic compounds. The stroma is an aqueous layer and limits the movement of lipophilic compounds across the cornea. Therefore, the lipophilicity usually relates parabolically to the corneal permeability.

 $S_{\rm H}$  and  $S_{\rm O,N}$  can be easily calculated and the model is suitable for the rapid prediction of the corneal permeability of drugs.

## References

- 1 Schoenwald, R. D.; Ward, R. L.: J. Pharm. Sci. 67, 786 (1978)
- 2 Mosher, G. L.; Mikkelson, T. J.: Int. J. Pharm. 2, 239 (1979)
- 3 Schoenwald, R. D.; Huang, H. S.: J. Pharm. Sci. 72, 1266 (1983)
- 4 Yoshida, F.; Topliss, J. G.: J. Pharm. Sci. 85, 819 (1996)
- 5 Van de Waterbeemd, H.; Camenisch, G.; Raevsky, O. A.: Quant. Struct.-Act. Relat. 15, 480 (1996)
- 6 Clark, D. E.: J. Pharm. Sci. 88, 807 (1999)
- 7 Clark, D. E.: J. Pharm. Sci. 88, 815 (1999)
- 8 Fu, X. C.; Chen, C. X.; Liang, W. Q.; Yu, Q. S.: Acta Pharmacol. Sin. (in print)
- 9 Dewar, M. J. S.; Zoebisch, G. E.; Healy, E. F.; Stewart, J. J. P.: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 3902 (1985)

Received February 21, 2001 Accepted March 15, 2001	Prof. Xuchun Fu College of Pharmaceutical Sciences Zhejiang University 353 Yan'an Road Hangzhou 310031
	China
	fuxuchung@mail.hz.zj.cn