# **ORIGINAL ARTICLES**

Department of Analytical Chemistry<sup>1</sup>, Faculty of Chemical and Food Technology, Slovak Technical University; Department of Chemical Theory of Drugs<sup>2</sup>, Faculty of Pharmacy, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovak Republic

# HPLC enantioseparation of potential $\beta$ -blockers of the aryloxyaminopropanol type

Study of the mechanism of enantioseparation, part VIII

K. HROBOŇOVÁ<sup>1</sup>, J. LEHOTAY<sup>1</sup>, R. ČIŽMÁRIKOVÁ<sup>2</sup>

Received December 19, 2003, accepted March 3, 2003

Prof. ing. J. Lehotay, DrSC; Slovak University of Technology, Faculty of Chemical and Food Technology, Radlinskeho 9, 812 37 Bratislava, Slovak Republic

Pharmazie 59: 828-832 (2004)

This paper presents the results of HPLC enantioseparation of derivatives of aryloxyaminopropanols obtained using six chiral stationary phases [macrocyclic antibiotic (vancomycin, teicoplanin, teicoplanin aglycone, and methylated teicoplanin aglycone) and cyclodextrin ( $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ )] and a mixture of methanol/ acetonitrile/acetic acid/triethylamine (45/55/0.3/0.2) as the mobile phase. No significant difference was observed in the separation of the enantiomers on the vancomycin and teicoplanin chiral stationary phases. Comparing the separation of enantiomers on teicoplanin-based columns the retention factors were increased in the order: native teicoplanin < teicoplanin aglycone < methylated teicoplanin aglycone. The highest values of resolution were obtained on the column containing carbohydrate moieties. The presence of saccharide moieties in the chiral stationary phase plays an important role, together with charge interactions and steric interactions, in the separation of enantiomers of derivatives of aryloxyaminopropanol.

# 1. Introduction

β-Blockers of the aryloxyaminoethanol and aryloxyaminopropanol type are drugs with a single stereogenic center and exhibit a chiral structure. From the viewpoint of  $\beta$ -adrenolytic activity, the (–)-enantiomers in both groups are several times more effective and many  $\beta$ -blockers show different therapeutic indications. The absolute configuration in the sense of the Cahn-Ingold-Prelog system exists in (-)-enantiomers in the arylaminoetanol (R) and arylaminopropanol (S) groups. Of the  $\beta$ -blockers of the arylaminopropanol type used in therapeutic practice, pure enantiomers are (S)-(-)-penbutolol, (S)-(-)-timolol and (S)-(-)-levobutolol (Cižmáriková et al. 1994a; 1994b; 2002). Several chiral stationary phases have been used for the separation of enantiomers of β-blocking drug substances: chiral phases consisting of immobilized proteins (Mikamba 1998; Haginaka 1999; Henriksson 1999), β-cyclodextrin (Park 2000; Matchnett 1996), Pirkle-type phases (Wang 2000; Mislanova 2000), and cellulose-based phases (Sharma 1995; Facklam 1994).

The purpose of this work was to study the enantioseparation of derivatives of aryloxyaminopropanol on various types of chiral stationary phases (macrocyclic antibiotics vancomycin, teicoplanin, teicoplanin aglycone, permethylated teicoplanin aglycone, cyclodextrins- $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ).

# 2. Investigations, results and discussion

In this study, a number of racemic aromatic potential  $\beta$ -blockers of the aryloxyaminopropanol type (Table 1) were evaluated on macrocyclic antibiotics (vancomycin, tei-

coplanin, teicoplanin aglycone, and methylated teicoplanin aglycone) and cyclodextrin ( $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ) chiral stationary phases. A common mobile phase consisting of methanol/ acetonitrile/acetic acid/triethylamine (45/55/0.3/0.2) was used in all cases. In the mobile phase, the amount of acid is higher relative to the amount of base. Therefore, the ionisation of analytes is assured and ionic interaction of the stationary phase with functional groups (secondary amine group) of aryloxypropanol derivatives is also probable. It is presumed that charge interaction has a positive influence on the separation of enantiomers. Presence of methanol in the mobile phase supports the production of hydrogen bonds, which have an effect on the resolution of enantiomers. The results of the enantioseparations are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. It is evident that there is no significant difference in the separation of the enantiomers on the vancomycin and teicoplanin CSPs with the mobile phase tested. The similar values of retention factors obtained on both chiral CSPs (vancomycin and teicoplanin) indicate similar sorption properties of analytes to the stationary phases. The values of resolution were not significantly higher on the teicoplanin CSP. Comparing the separation on a teicoplanin column containing carbohydrate moieties (Chirobiotic T), a teicoplanin column without carbohydrate moieties (Chirobiotic TAG), and a methylated teicoplanin column without carbohydrate moieties (Chirobiotic TAG-methylated) the retention factors were increased in the order:

## T < TAG < TAG - methylated

The values of resolution on the three columns tested were in inverse order to the retention factors. It is evident that

## **ORIGINAL ARTICLES**

Table 1: Structures of racemic  $\beta$ -blockers separated in this study



Compd.	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	$\lambda_{max}$
1	$-NHCH(CH_3)_2$	2-Н	4-COC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	268
2	$-NHCH(CH_3)_2$	2-COCH <sub>3</sub>	4-CH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub>	247
3	$-NHCH(CH_3)_2$	$2-COCH_3$	$4-CH_2OC_2H_5$	247
4	$-NHCH(CH_3)_2$	2-COCH <sub>3</sub>	$4-CH_2OC_3H_7$	247
5	$-NHCH(CH_3)_2$	$2-CH_2O(CH_2)_2OCH_3$	4-COCH <sub>3</sub>	268
6	$-NHCH(CH_3)_2$	2-CH <sub>2</sub> O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub>	$4-COC_2H_5$	268
7	$-NHCH(CH_3)_2$	2-CH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	4-COCH <sub>3</sub>	268
8	$-NHCH(CH_3)_2$	2-CH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	$4-COC_2H_5$	268
9	$-NHCH(CH_3)_2$	$2-CH_2OCH_2(phenyl)$	4-COCH <sub>3</sub>	268
10	$-NHCH(CH_3)_2$	$2-CH_2OCH_2(phenyl)$	$4-COC_2H_5$	268
11	$-NHCH_2CH(CH_3)_2$	2-CH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub>	4-COCH <sub>3</sub>	268
12	$-NHCH_2CH(CH_3)_2$	$2-CH_2OC_4H_9$	4-COCH <sub>3</sub>	268
13	$-NHC(CH_3)_3$	2-COCH <sub>3</sub>	4-CH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub>	247
14	$-NHC(CH_3)_3$	2-COCH <sub>3</sub>	$4-CH_2OC_2H_5$	247
15	$-NHC(CH_3)_3$	2-COCH <sub>3</sub>	$4-CH_2OC_3H_7$	247
16	$-NHC(CH_3)_3$	2-COCH <sub>3</sub>	$4-CH_2OC_4H_9$	247
17	$-NHC(CH_3)_3$	$2-CH_2O(CH_2)_2OCH_3$	4-COCH <sub>3</sub>	268
18	$-NHC(CH_3)_3$	2-CH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> CH=CH <sub>2</sub>	$4-COC_2H_5$	268
19	$-NHC(CH_3)_3$	3-COCH <sub>3</sub>	4-H	247
20	N CH <sub>3</sub>	2-CH <sub>2</sub> O(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub>	4-COCH <sub>3</sub>	268
21	-NH(cyclohexyl)	2-CH <sub>2</sub> OC <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	4-COC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	268

the highest values of resolution were obtained on the column containing carbohydrate moieties. The saccharide parts of the stationary phase influenced the chiral separation of enantiomers:

i) by steric hindrance, where the sugar units are inside the "semirigid basket", which limits the access of other molecules to binding sites, ii) by blocking of possible interaction centers on the aglycone, iii) by offering competing interaction sites, where the three saccharide moieties are chiral and contain hydroxyl-, etheric-, and amide-functional groups (Berthod et al. 2000).

According to the results obtained on the teicoplanin based stationary phase, it might possibly be supposed that a good stationary phase for the separation of enantiomers of derivatives of aryloxyaminopropanols would be a cyclodextrin CSP containing saccharide parts in the molecule. For the enantioseparation of the analytes studied, we tested  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -cyclodextrin CSPs in polar organic mode (mobile phase methanol/acetonitrile/acetic acid/triethylamine 45/55/ 0.3/0.2; other chromatographic conditions identical to those for the macrocyclic antibiotics CSPs). The assumption was not confirmed and hardly any separation of enantiomers of analytes was obtained on the  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ - cyclodextrin CSPs and the retention factors were smaller compared with the teicoplanin CSP. Poor separation of enantiomers ( $R_S \sim 0.6$ ) was obtained using a mobile phase containing less methanol (methanol/acetonitrile/acetic acid/triethylamine 10/90/ 0.3/0.2), while the values of the retention factors increased and the efficiency of separation decreased (smaller values of the number of theoretical plates). It seems that the compounds studied were enantioresolved by a combination of interactions involving both the aglycone basket and its attached sugar units.

It can be concluded:

i) The type of nitrogen substituent ( $R_1$ ) (branching, cyclic substituent), which is not very close to the stereogenic center (compounds 2–4, 13–16, 21) had only a small influence on the values of the resolution. When a nitrogen heterocycle was linked as the  $R_1$  substituent, hardly any separation of enantiomers was obtained (compound 20).

ii) The more effective separations (the highest value of the resolution factor) were obtained for derivatives possessing smaller substituents or without substitution in the 2-position on the aromatic ring (compounds 1, 19). In previous studies the highest resolution was shown for enantiomers without any substitution in the 2-position (Čižmáriková et al. 2003; Hroboňová et al. 2001). It appears that the 2-position substituents crowd the environment near the adjacent stereogenic atom and decrease interaction with the stationary phase. Increase in the polarity of the  $R_2$  substituent had a negative effect on the resolution of enantiomers.

iii) Differences in the  $R_3$  substituent have only a small influence on enantioseparations. On increasing of the number of carbon atoms in the alkoxy chain  $R_3$  (compounds 2–4, 13–16) the retention factor decreased. It may be presumed that the separation of the enantiomers studied involves polar interaction. On the basis of the results obtained it is evident that the presence of saccharide moieties in the CSP plays an important role, together with charge interactions and steric interactions, in the separation of enantiomers of aryloxyaminopropanol derivatives.

The chromatograms of the separation of enantiomers of compound no. 17 on vancomycin, teicoplanin, teicoplatin aglycone, methylated teicoplanin aglycone, and  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin CSPs are shown in the Fig.



Fig.: Separation of compound 17 in polar organic mode on (A) V, (B) T, (C) TAG, (D) TAG-methylated, and (E) β-CD chiral stationary phases. See Table 1 for phase identification. The chromatographic conditions were identical for all separations: mobile phase, methanol/acetonitrile/acetic acid/ triethylamine 45/55/0.3/0.2 flow rate, 0.7 ml/min column temperature, 25 °C UV detection injection volume 20 µl

Compd.	<b>V</b> <sup>2</sup>		T <sup>3</sup>		TAG <sup>4</sup>		TAG-met.	TAG-met. <sup>5</sup>		β-CD <sup>6</sup>		$\gamma$ -CD <sup>7</sup>	
	k <sub>1</sub>	α	$k_1$	α	$k_1$	α	$k_1$	α	$k_1$	α	$\mathbf{k}_1$	α	
1	2.57	1.07	3.14	1.13	7.37	1.06	8.40	1.07	_	_	_	_	
2	2.37	1.08	3.00	1.07	6.61	1.05	7.81	1.05	1.22	1	0.80	1	
3	2.45	1.07	2.98	1.07	6.79	1.07	_	_	_	_	_	_	
4	2.54	1.07	2.79	1.16	6.79	1.06	6.91	1.08	0.87	1	1.60	1	
5	2.49	1.07	3.04	1.12	7.01	1.05	8.17	1.06	0.89	1	1.40	1	
6	2.27	1.07	2.79	1.12	6.48	1.04	7.36	1.04	0.85	1	0.98	1	
7	2.14	1.07	2.75	1.11	6.48	1.05	7.31	1.06	1.19	1	0.69	1	
8	2.00	1.07	2.56	1.11	6.11	1.04	6.90	1.05	1.15	1	0.57	1	
9	2.29	1.06	2.81	1.11	6.96	1.05	7.91	1.06	1.19	1	1.91	1	
10	2.17	1.06	2.62	1.10	6.55	1.04	7.39	1.04	1.05	1	1.39	1	
11	2.34	1.05	2.70	1.03	6.22	1.03	7.08	1.03	0.87	1	0.70	1	
12	1.97	1.04	2.20	1.05	5.16	1.04	5.83	1.03	0.77	1	0.50	1	
13	2.14	1.09	2.68	1.12	6.15	1.03	7.16	1.04	1.19	1	0.61	1	
14	2.21	1.08	2.67	1.12	6.22	1.06	7.26	1.07	1.09	1	0.62	1	
15	1.97	1.06	2.35	1.13	5.39	1.02	6.03	1.03	0.82	1	0.74	1	
16	1.88	1.06	2.25	1.13	5.19	1.02	5.66	1.02	0.95	1	0.58	1	
17	2.24	1.08	2.70	1 16	6 37	1.05	7 21	1.06	0.80	1	1.03	1	
18	1.84	1.08	2.31	1.15	5.61	1.03	6.18	1.04	0.97	1	0.58	1	
19	2.23	1.00	2.67	1 18	6 19	1.05	7 19	1.06	1.05	1	0.20	1	
20	3.64	1.00	4 11	1.00	7.65	1.00	8.92	1.00	1 39	1	2.30	1	
-0 21	2.19	1.00	2.58	1.00	6.61	1.00	7.36	1.00	0.85	1	0.98	1	

Table 2: Retention factors  $(k_1)$  and selectivity coefficients  $(\alpha)$  of racemic mixtures of compounds studied on macrocyclic antibiotic and cyclodextrin chiral stationary phases in polar organic mode<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The mobile phase for all the separations was 45/55/0.3/0.2 (v/v/v/v) methanol/acetonitrile/acetic acid/triethylamine. The flow rate was 0.7 ml/min. The detection wavelength was 247

or 268 nm depending on the absorption maximum of the compound and the operating column temperature was 25 °C.  $^2$  V = Chirobiotic V (250 × 4.6 mm) column with vancomycin as chiral stationary phase,  $t_0 = 4.40$  min

 $^{4}$  TAG = Chirobiotic T (250 × 4.6 mm) column with teicoplanin as chiral stationary phase,  $t_0 = 4.70$  min  $^{4}$  TAG = Chirobiotic TAG (250 × 4.6 mm) column with teicoplanin aglicon as chiral stationary phase,  $t_0 = 4.00$  min  $^{4}$  TAG = Chirobiotic TAG (250 × 4.6 mm) column with teicoplanin aglicon as chiral stationary phase,  $t_0 = 4.00$  min

 $^{5}$  TAG-methylated = Chirobiotic TAG-methylated (125 × 4.6 mm) column with methylated teicoplanin aglicon as chiral stationary phase,  $t_{0} = 2.42$  min  $^{6}$   $\beta$ -CD = LiChrocart ChiraDex (250 × 4 mm I.D. 5  $\mu$ m) column with  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin as chiral stationary phase, t<sub>0</sub> = 3.85 min

 $^{7}$  y-CD = LiChrocart ChiraDex Gama (250 × 4 mm I.D. 5 µm) column with y-cyclodextrin as chiral stationary phase, t<sub>0</sub> = 3.90 min

Table 3: Resolution values (R<sub>s</sub>) for enantiomeric separation of compounds under study using macrocyclic antibiotics as chiral stationary phases in polar organic mode

Nr.	R <sub>S</sub>	R <sub>S</sub>							
	v	Т	TAG	TAG-met.					
1	1.30	1.67	1.00	0.60					
2	1.11	0.87	0.75	0.56					
3	1.27	0.87	1.13	_					
4	1.30	0.89	1.24	1.00					
5	1.18	1.55	0.85	0.99					
6	1.11	1.49	0.70	0.53					
7	1.15	1.28	0.92	0.49					
8	1.10	1.31	0.69	0.65					
9	1.18	1.38	0.88	0.60					
10	1.03	1.31	0.64	0.42					
11	0.69	0.41	0.54	0.26					
12	0.59	0.52	0.57	0.25					
13	1.28	1.52	0.50	0.37					
14	1.29	1.27	0.88	0.77					
15	1.05	1.47	0.47	0.26					
16	1.07	1.46	0.33	0.20					
17	1.33	1.78	0.83	0.62					
18	1.27	1.70	0.59	0.35					
19	1.54	2.14	0.52	0.60					
20	0	0	0	0					
21	1.12	1.31	0.96	0.65					

\* The same conditions as in Table 2

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1. Materials

The analytes separated in this study (Table 1) were prepared according to the method of Čižmáriková and coworkers (Cižmáriková et al. 1994a; 1994b; 1985; 2003). All HPLC grade solvents (methanol, and acetonitrile)

were obtained from Merck (Germany). Triethylamine and acetic acid were obtained from Lachema (Czech Republic).

#### 3.2. Instruments

The macrocyclic chiral stationary phases Chirobiotic T ( $250 \times 4,6$  mm I.D. 5 µm) (Astec, USA), Chirobiotic V (250 × 4,6 mm I.D. 5 µm) (As-USA), Chirobiotic TAG  $(250 \times 4.6 \text{ mm I.D. 5 } \mu\text{m})$  (Astec, USA), tec, Chirobiotic TAG-methylated (150 × 4,6 mm I.D. 5 µm) (Astec, USA), Li-Chrocart ChiraDex (250  $\times\,4$  mm I.D. 5  $\mu m)$  (Merck, Germany), and Li-Chrocart ChiraDex Gama  $(250 \times 4 \text{ mm I.D. } 5 \mu \text{m})$  (Merck, Germany) were used.

Experiments were performed with a Hewlett Packard (series 1100) HPLC system consisting of a quaternary pump equipped with an injection valve (Rheodyne), diode array detector and thermostat. The mobile phase was a mixture of methanol and acetonitrile to which acetic acid and triethylamine were added (methanol/acetonitrile/acetic acid/triethylamine 45/55/ 0.3/0.2). All the separations were carried out at a flow rate of 0,7 ml/min and the column temperature was 25 °C. The chromatograms were scanned at a the wavelength of 247 or 268 nm depending on the absorption maximum of the compound studied. The injection volume was 20 µl. The analytes were dissolved in methanol (concentration 1 mg/ml), and filtered with a 0,45  $\mu m$  filter when necessary. The retention time of the solvent peak (methanol) was used for determination of the dead time for all types of column.

### 3.3. Chromatographic characteristics

The selectivity coefficient was expressed as

$$\alpha = k_2/k_1$$

where k2, k1 are the retention factors for the first and second eluting enantiomer. The retention factors  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  were calculated as follows:

$$k_1 = (t_1 - t_0)/t_0$$
 and  $k_2 = (t_2 - t_0)/t_0$ 

where t<sub>0</sub>, t<sub>1</sub>, and t<sub>2</sub> are the dead elution time and the elution times of enantiomers 1 and 2, respectively.

The resolution (Rs) of the first and second eluting enantiomers was calculated by the ratio of the difference between the elution times t1 and t2 to the arithmetic mean of the two peak widths w1 and w2.

$$R_s = 2(t_2 - t_1)/(w_1 + w_2)$$

Acknowledgement: Support from the Slovak Republic Grant agency (grant 1/9128/02, 1/0508/03, 1/1198/04) and International project (SR-USA) (035/2001), is gratefully acknowledged. The authors thank D. W. Armstrong for the donation of macrocyclic antibiotic chiral stationary phases.

### References

- Berthod A, Chen X, Kullman JP, Armstrong DW, Gasparini F, D'Acquari-Acquarica I, Villain C, Carotti A (2000) Role of carbohydrate moieties in chiral recognition on etiolating-based LC stationary phase. Anal Chem 72: 1767–1780.
- Čižmáriková R (2002) β-Adrenergic receptor blockers the group of chiral drugs: different effect of individual enantiomers. Čes Slov Farm 51: 121–128.
- Čižmáriková R, Borovanský A, Kozlovský J, Béderová E, Dingová A (1985) 4-(3-Alkylamino-2-hydroxypropoxy)-3-(alkoxymetyl)acetophenones. Collect Czech Chem Commun 50: 2289–2298.
- Čižmáriková R, Račanská E, Dingová A, Greksáková O (1994) Synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of some potential beta-adrenolytics derived from o-hydroxyacetophenone. Pharmazie 49: 366–367.
- Čižmáriková R, Račanská E, Hroboňová K, Lehotay J, Ághová J, Haleš ová D (2003) Synthesis, pharmacological activity and chromatographic separation of some novel potential β-blockers of the aryloxyaminopropanol type. Pharmazie 58: 237–241.
- Čižmáriková R, Račanská E, Kettmann V, Csöllei J (1994) Cardioselectivity of some β-blockers related to acebutolol. Pharmazie 49: 782–783.
- Facklam C, Modler A (1994) Separation of some enantiomers and diastereoisomers of propranolol derivatives by high-performance liquid chromatography. J Chromatogr A 664: 203–211.
- Haginaka J, Okazaki Y, Matsunaga H (1999) Separation of enantiomers on a chiral stationary phase based on ovoglycoprotein. V. Influence of

immobilization method on chiral resolution. J Chromatogr A 840: 171-181.

- Henriksson H, Pettersson G, Johansson G (1999) Discrimination between enantioselective and selective binding sites on cellobiohydrolase-based stationary phases by site specific competing ligands. J Chromatogr A 857: 107–115.
- Hroboňová K, Lehotay J, Čižmáriková R, Armstrong DW (2001) Study of the machanism of enantioseparations I. Chiral analysis of alkylamino derivatives of aryloxypropanols by HPLC using macrocyclic antibiotics as chiral selectors. J Liq Chrom Rel Technol 24/15: 2225–2237.
- Matchnett MW, Branch SK, Jefferies TM (1996) Polar organic chiral separation of propranolol and analogues using a  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin bonded stationary phase. Chirality 8: 126–130.
- Mikamba H, Andrisano V, Gotti R, Canrini (1998) Sparteine as mobile phase modifier in the chiral separation of hydrophobic basic drugs on an  $\alpha_1$ -acid glycoprotein column. J Chromatogr A 818: 43–52.
- Mislanova C, Stefancova A, Oravcova J (2000) Direct high-performance liquid chromatographic determination of (R)- and (S)-propranolol in rat microdialysate using on-line column switching procedures. J Chromatogr B 739: 151–161.
- Park KL, Kim KH, Jung SH (2000) Enantioselective stabilization of inclusion complexes of metoprolol in carboxy methylated β-cyclodextrin. J Pharm Biomed Anal 27: 569–576.
- Sharma SC, Evans MB, Evans SJ (1995) The enantiomeric separation of metiprolol and desacetylmetipranolol on a cellulose tris-3,5-dimethylphenyl-carbamate chiral stationary phase. J Pharm Biomed Anal 13/2: 129– 137.
- Wang AX, Lee JT, Beesley TE (2000) Coupling chiral stationary phases as a fast screening approach for HPLC method development. LC-GC 18: 626–639.