

- Chattaraj SC, Rathinavelu A, Das SK (1999) Biodegradable microparticles of influenza viral vaccine: comparison of the effects of routes of administration on the in vivo immune response in mice. *J Controlled Rel* 58: 223–232.
- Coombes AGA, Major D, Wood JM, Hockley DJ, Minor PD, Davis SS (1998) Resorbable lamellar particles of polylactide as adjuvants for influenza virus vaccines. *Biomaterials* 19: 1073–1081.
- Eldridge JH, Hammond CJ, Meulbroek JA, Staas JK, Gilley RM, Tice TR (1990) Controlled vaccine release in the gut-associated lymphoid tissue. I. Orally administered biodegradable microspheres target the Peyer's patches. *J Controlled Rel* 11: 205–214.
- Hilbert AK, Fritzsche U, Kissel T (1999) Biodegradable microspheres containing influenza A vaccine: immune response in mice. *Vaccine* 17: 1065–1073.
- Hilgers LAT, Platenburg PLI, Luitjens A, Groenveld B, Dazelle T, Laloux MF, Weststrate MW (1994 a) A novel non-mineral oil-based adjuvant. I. Efficacy of a synthetic sulfolipopolysaccharide in a squalane-in-water emulsion in laboratory animals. *Vaccine* 12: 653–660.
- Hilgers LAT, Platenburg PLI, Luitjens A, Groenveld B, Dazelle T, Weststrate MW (1994 b) A novel non-mineral oil-based adjuvant. II. Efficacy of a synthetic sulfolipopolysaccharide in a squalane-in-water emulsion in pigs. *Vaccine* 12: 661–665.
- Jani P, Halbert GW, Langridge J, Florence AT (1989) The uptake and translocation of latex nanospheres and microspheres after administration to rats. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 41: 809–812.
- Lemonie D, Preat V (1998) Polymeric nanoparticles as delivery system for influenza virus glycoproteins. *J Controlled Rel* 54: 15–27.
- O'Hagan DT, MacKichan ML, Singh M (2001) Recent developments in adjuvants for vaccines against infectious diseases. *Biomol Engin* 18: 69–85.
- Podda A (2001) The adjuvanted influenza vaccines with novel adjuvants: experience with the MF59-adjuvanted vaccine. *Vaccine* 19: 2673–2680.
- U.S. Department of Health (1975) education and welfare, Hemagglutination Inhibition Test. Advanced laboratory techniques for influenza diagnosis. Public Health Service, pp. 25–62.

Drug Development, Hafnarfjordur, Iceland

### Calcium sulphate dihydrate: an useful excipient for tablets containing labile actives

R. EYJOLFSSON

*Received April 30, 2004, accepted May 13, 2004*

*Reynir Eyjolfsson, PhD, Eyrarholt 6, IS-220 Hafnarfjordur, Iceland  
reynirey@mmedia.is*

*Pharmazie* 59: 725–726 (2004)

Tablets containing an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor and either calcium sulphate dihydrate or lactose monohydrate as main excipients (fillers) exhibited similar technical properties and stability.

Most angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (e.g. enalapril, moexipril, quinapril, ramipril; hereafter called prilns) are inherently prone to degradation in solid dosage forms. The main degradates are diketopiperazine derivatives (DKPs) and prilats. The former arise from an intramolecular nucleophilic attack of the secondary amino nitrogen in the aliphatic chain on the carboxylic acid carbon resulting in expulsion of water, formation of a N–C-bond and cyclization whereas the latter (prilats) are hydrolysis products of the carboxylic ethyl ester vector in the drug molecules (Gu and Strickley 1987). Formation of DKPs may be arrested or minimized for example by adding basic reagents, for instance sodium hydrogen carbonate, to the formulation that transform the carboxylic acid moiety into a carboxylate anion (Gu et al. 1990), and degradation to prilats may be reduced by keeping moisture (water) content as low as possible in the tablets. It has been claimed that excipients belonging to the chemical classes of mono- and disaccharides or sugar alcohols like lactose or mannitol have stabilizing effects on prilns in solid dosage forms (Harris et al. 1993).

Calcium sulphate dihydrate (CaSO<sub>4</sub>, 2H<sub>2</sub>O) is available from Penwest Ltd. as a specially-processed direct compression grade named Compactrol. It is a white or off-white, odourless, non-hygroscopic, free flowing powder, slightly soluble (1:375) in water, average particle size 120 µm, bulk density not more than 1.10 g/ml, tapped density 0.90–1.35 g/ml (Moreton 2003). We have found pH approx. 6.8 in a 10% aqueous slurry and loss of drying approx. 0.2% (IR moisture balance, 105 °C) in Compactrol samples.

In order to investigate the technical properties and stability of pril-containing tablets using calcium sulphate dihydrate (Compactrol) as main excipient (filler) a trial batch was prepared (Formulation C) and for comparison another batch (Formulation L) employing lactose monohydrate. The compositions of these two formulations are displayed in Table 1.

Batch sizes were 8.5 kg = 50,000 tablets (formulation C) and 6.5 kg = 50,000 tablets (formulation L). Mixing and granulation was carried out in an intensive mixer. Following drying at 45 °C to a specified loss of drying of not more than 0.80% (IR moisture balance, 100 °C)

**Table 1: Compositions of formulation C (calcium sulphate dihydrate) and formulation L (lactose) (mg/tablet)**

	Formulation C	Formulation L
Pril	5	5
Sodium hydrogen carbonate	5	5
Calcium sulphate dihydrate (Compactrol)	141.3	
Lactose monohydrate		96.6
Starch pregelatinized (Starch 1500)	17	19.5
Croscarmellose sodium (Ac-Di-Sol)		2.6
Ethanol (96%)/water purified (1 + 1)	q.s. (38)	q.s. (28)
Sodium stearyl fumarate (Pruv)	1.7	1.3

**Table 2: Properties of formulation C (calcium sulphate dihydrate) and formulation L (lactose) tablets**

	Formulation C	Formulation L
Average mass (n = 100)	171.0 mg (c.v. 1.1%)	130.5 mg (c.v. 1.4%)
Hardness (n = 20)	36.7 N (c.v. 6.0%)	42.8 N (c.v. 4.6%)
Friability (n = 2)	0.06%	0.07%
Disintegration (n = 3)	2.5 min. (c.v. 9.6%)	2.5 min. (c.v. 11.1%)
Assay	5.00 mg/tablet	4.93 mg/tablet
Dissolution (30 min)	97.5%	100.6%
Diketopiperazine	0.12%	< 0.05%
Prilat	< 0.1%	0.24%

**Table 3: Analyses of formulation C (calcium sulphate dihydrate) and formulation L (lactose) tablets after 1 month at 40 °C/75% RH in Al/Al blisters**

	Formulation C	Formulation L
Assay	5.00 mg/tablet	5.03 mg/tablet
Dissolution (30 min)	94.0%	98.7%
Diketopiperazine	0.18%	0.06%
Prilat	0.54%	1.40%
Total impurities	0.88%	1.46%

(formulation C; found 0.70%) and not more than 1.3% (formulation L; found 1.05%) and sizing the granulates were blended with the lubricant (sodium stearyl fumarate) and compacted in a rotary tablet press to a target tablet mass of 170 mg (formulation C) and 130 mg (formulation L), diameter 7 mm, circular in both cases. The properties of the tablets are enumerated in Table 2 (the dissolution test was performed in 0.1 N HCl, 900 ml, paddles, 50 rpm).

Tablets from both batches were packaged into aluminium/aluminium (Al/Al) blisters and put on stability trial at 40 °C/75% RH for one month. The results of pertinent analyses are depicted in Table 3.

It is evident from Table 2 that the technical properties of tablets manufactured with calcium sulphate dihydrate (Compactrol) or lactose monohydrate as main excipients (fillers) are similar. Moreover, as seen from Table 3, the stability of both formulations is comparable except that formation of impurities is lower in the calcium sulphate dihydrate tablets. In view of this, the claim concerning special stabilizing effects of disaccharides like lactose on prils in solid dosage forms appears questionable.

#### References

Gu L, Strickley RG (1987) Diketopiperazine formation, hydrolysis, and epimerization of the new dipeptide angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor RS-10085. *Pharm Res* 4: 392–397.

Gu L, Strickley RG, Chi LH, Chowhan ZT (1990) Drug-excipient incompatibility studies of the dipeptide angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor moexipril hydrochloride-dry powder vs wet granulation. *Pharm Res* 7: 379–383.

Harris M, Hokanson G, Murthi K, Reisch R, Frank, W (1993) Stabilized pharmaceutical compositions containing angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. EP 0 280 999 B1.

Moreton RC (2003) Calcium sulphate. In: Rowe RC, Sheskey PJ, Weller PJ (eds.) *Handbook of pharmaceutical excipients*, 4<sup>th</sup> ed., Pharmaceutical Press, London, p. 83–85.