

Institute for the History of Pharmacy, Philipps-University, Marburg, Germany

***Syzygium cumini* (L.) SKEELS (Myrtaceae) against diabetes – 125 years of research**

A. HELMSTÄDTER

Received October 19, 2007, accepted November 12, 2007

Priv.-Doz. Dr. Axel Helmstädter, Institut für Geschichte der Pharmazie, Roter Graben 10, D-35032 Marburg; GOVI-Verlag, Carl-Mannich-Str. 26, D-65760 Eschborn
helmstaedter@govi.de

Pharmazie 63: 91–101 (2008)

doi: 10.1691/ph.2008.7335

Syzygium cumini (L.) SKEELS (syn. *S. jambolanum* DC, *Eugenia jambolana* LAM.) belongs to the medicinal plants most often recommended as an adjuvant therapy in type 2 diabetes. The plant was extensively studied during the last 125 years, approximately 100 case reports were reported already before the discovery of insulin. After the Second World War, research was concentrated on animal studies. Not all, but many of them reported some success in reducing type 2 diabetes symptoms. However, a state-of-the-art clinical study is still missing. In this review, historical literature dating back to the pre-insulin era was evaluated as were more recent *in vitro*-, animal-, and *in vivo* studies. Results were screened for information still useful today and compared to study results achieved in more recent decades. In view of the knowledge summarized here, a successful clinical study should use *S. cumini* seeds, seed kernels or fruit from India in fairly high doses. Reductions on blood sugar levels by about 30% seem reasonably to be expected. Adverse effects to be expected comprise gastrointestinal disturbances.

1. Introduction

A wide variety of medicinal plants is known to decrease blood glucose levels and several hundred species from all over the world have been identified to do so (Atta-Ur-Rahman and Zaman 1989; Baily and Day 1989; Bnouham et al. 2006; Ivorra et al. 1989; Neuwinger 2004; Zareba et al. 2005; Mukherjee et al. 2006; Grover et al. 2002a). It could recently be shown that *Syzygium cumini* (L.) Skeels (syn. *Syzygium jambolanum* DC, *Eugenia jambolana* Lam., Jambul, Java plum) played an outstanding role among these, particularly in Western Europe in the three decades prior to the discovery of insulin (Helmstädter 2007). While in most other cases there is only anecdotal evidence for antidiabetic properties of traditionally used medicinal plants, *S. cumini* was extensively studied already at the end of the 19th century after it had been imported from the West Indies to Europe. The plant traditionally used in India as an astringent and antidiarrhoeal, but also as an antidiabetic agent was introduced into Western medicine after the British company Thomas Christie's had imported the drug in the 1880s (Christy 1885). It became well known under the name "Jambul". A preliminary British report in 1883, which is exactly 125 years ago, had actually shown reduced urine quantity and sugar content in diabetic patients and was followed by a large amount of investigations done in the 1880's and 1890's including four PhD theses (Henrichs 1891; Villy 1891; Benner 1892; Posthumus 1896). These studies include morphological and phytochemical investigations, animal experi-

ments, human case reports and preliminary clinical studies. A historical analysis shows that the results, despite being somewhat contradictory even led to some careful recommendations made by distinguished experts, including Carl von Noorden (1858–1944) who admitted from 1895 onwards that *Syzygium* might have some beneficial effects on diabetes (Noorden 1895; Merck 1900). Around 1900 Jambul seeds had entered therapeutic and phytotherapeutic standard literature (Martindale and Westcott 1901; Hartwich 1897; Zörnig 1911).

In his PhD thesis Posthumus (1896) extensively reviewed the currently available literature and reported four *in vitro* experiments, four animal studies and about 50 case reports and clinical observations with far more than 100 patients after only ten years of the plant's availability in Europe. This might show the high impact the introduction of this foreign medicinal plant had on diabetes research.

As there is an increasing scientific interest in antidiabetic medicinal plants, it might be useful to summarize those early research results, to compare them with recent studies and to see, which conclusions might be drawn. Therefore, historical literature was screened for somewhat significant case reports and studies in a first step. Thereafter, a literature survey was done to see what has been found about the plant's antidiabetes activity after 1945 up to the present day. It should then be clarified, if recent research might be able to support early suggestions or even explain historical findings. Furthermore, the results should give a clue about the question if further research with this antidiabetic agent seems to be appropriate. Historical and re-



Fig.: *Syzygium cumini* leaves (a), flowers (a, b), and fruits (c, d: longitudinal section) (Basu 1918)

cent studies can be classified in *in vitro*-, animal and human studies, and phytochemical investigations.

2. Pre 1945 studies

2.1. *In vitro* studies

Early *in vitro* studies concentrate on the ability of *Syzygium* preparations to inhibit carbohydrate cleaving enzymes. W. Lascelles-Scott, British colonial analyst, discovered in 1887 that *Syzygium jambolanum* seed powder was able to inhibit the transformation of rice starch into glucose mediated by malt extract in a roughly dose dependent manner. The amount of starch converted into sugar was reduced to approximately 30% by adding 50% of starch weight *Syzygium* seed powder (Jambul) (Christy 1887). Balfour (1889) reported similar results but could not find a strict dose dependency.

A similar study was done by Hildebrandt (1892) using an aqueous extract (1:20) of *Syzygium* pericarp. The extract when added to the carbohydrate/enzyme mixture significantly reduced the activity of carbohydrate cleaving enzymes from plants, human saliva and pancreas. The observed phenomenon was even more pronounced after preincubation of the enzyme with *Syzygium* extract. The author already suggested that *Syzygium* pericarp extract could be useful to reduce glucose production from starch and other carbohydrates in diabetic patients. In 1895, experiments carefully performed by Colasanti confirmed the enzyme inhibiting effects of Jambul fruit and bark extract on starch cleaving extracts derived from plants and human saliva (Colasanti 1895). Villy (1891) could not reproduce the results in his investigations but argued that the negative results were due to the bad quality of the seeds he

had to use. Colasanti also stated that firstly, seeds harvested in Java seemed to work better than those derived from India and secondly that treatment results in the countries of origin were better than European ones, a fact which also supports Villys hypothesis.

2.2. Animal experiments

Most reports rely on animal experiments done by Graeser at the university of Bonn, Germany, already published in 1889 (Graeser 1889). He induced experimental diabetes in three dogs by administration of 1 g phloridzin/kg body weight. The dogs weighing 2.75–4.57 kg then received up to 18 g *Syzygium* fruit extract a day, which led to a decrease in urine glucose excretion by almost 90% in each case. The dogs received a carbohydrate reduced diet comprised of meat and some milk.

Minkowski (1893) firstly tried *Syzygium* in two pancreatectomized animals and reported the results as an appendix to a large study on diabetes following pancreatectomy. He used two different fluid extracts from *Syzygium* fruits and could not find any benefit. Colasanti (1895) criticized Minkowksis studies as occasional observations and repeated the experiments systematically. He observed a significant decrease in urine sugar content of pancreatectomized dogs having received 3–4 ml *Syzygium* fluid extract per kg body weight. The plant part the extract was derived from was not further specified. When drug treatment was stopped, sugar excretion increased and decreased again after restarting *Syzygium* administration. As an additional result, it was noted that dogs survived longer than without treatment (three vs. two months).

In a research program to explore the value of antidiabetic medicinal plants, Kaufmann (1928) administered *Syzygium* cortex extracts intravenously to rabbits and guinea pigs. The animals immediately died under convulsions. Surprisingly, the animals suffered from hyperglycemia, most probably due to a release of glycogen from the liver. In cases the injection did not lead to death, initial hyperglycemia was followed by a decrease in blood sugar levels.

2.3. Clinical case reports

Posthumus (1896) summarized approximately 50 case reports and studies done before 1896. They are of different significance but some of them were done with great care, although diagnosis methods were still not very precise. As suitable methods to monitor blood glucose levels had not been developed before 1910 (Schadewaldt 1972), diabetes diagnosis and monitoring relied on urine analysis only. On one hand, the quantity of urine excreted and on the other hand, its sugar content were actually the only monitoring criteria. A rough judgement of the results in retrospective has to take into account type and dose of the *Syzygium* preparation given, age of the patient, concomitant diet (if information is available), and results (amount and sugar content of urine). At the end of the 19th century usually no statistical analysis was made and even studies with several patients were reported as a sequence of case reports, which are not always well documented. Table 1 summarizes such case reports from which relevant results can be deduced. It can clearly seen that results were contradictory but some patients improved significantly under *Syzygium* treatment. While volume or sugar content of urine might not be highly useful criteria for treatment success, it has to be noted that many patients reported improvement subjectively and gained body weight under treatment.

REVIEW

Table 1: Examples for significant pre-1900 case reports on *Syzygium cumini* against diabetes

Author (year)	Patient's, age (years since diagnosis)	<i>Syzygium</i> preparation and dose	Effect on urine volume	Effect on glucose excretion	Other important facts/remarks
Clacius (1885)	71 (5)	325 mg seed powder 4×/day	−35–50%	−25% after one week −65% after 3 weeks	Improvement in general feeling; reduced frequency of urination
	47 (4)	325 mg seed powder 4×/day (?)	−approx. 30%	−50%	
	?	325 mg seed powder 4×/day	Significantly reduced		
Cauldwell (1886)	6 patients (not further specified)	325 mg seed powder 3×/day	−50–−75% after 1 month in 4 cases		In two out of six cases no effect
Saundby (1887)	8 trials with 5 patients	325 mg seed powder 3–4×/day	−25% in 1 case	−23% in 1 case after 24 days	In 5 out of 8 trials increase in sugar excretion
Quirini (1888)	5	Seed powder 250–300 mg; Fluid extract 3 × 10 drops/d	Reduced to normal values within 2 weeks		
Balfour (1889)	65	325 mg seed powder 4×/day	Great variation; no clear trend within 3 weeks	Great variation; no clear trend within 3 weeks	Other drug charge as above; patient gained some weight. Author overall disappointed Ulcers healed rapidly Effects most satisfactory P. became much better under treatment (despite poor compliance) No appreciable effect No appreciable effect Effect reproducible in withdrawal experiment
	Same patient	650 mg seed powder 4×/day	−8% within 10 days	Significant reduction	
	? (1,5)	162,5 “in perles” 3×/day	−50% within one week “decided diminution”		
	64				
Mahomed (1888)	60				“Diminution”; urine completely sugar free after 1 week
	25	325 mg “powder”			
	60	130 mg “in perles” 3×/day			
Coates Cole (1888)	65 (12)	1 tablet (content unknown) 3–4×/day			No improvement whatever
Birch (1888)		up to 325 mg 3×/day	+50%	Always present	Obviously type 1 diabetes
Fichtner (1889)	10 (3 weeks)	3–4.5 g seeds	No clear trend observable within 5 months	unchanged	
	48 (8 months)	4.5–6 g	No effect	No effect	Urine gets red colour in the air, red dye isolated
Henrichs (1891)	39 (appr. 1)	10–35 g seed powder			No benefit at all
Posner/Epenstein (1891)	3 patients (not further specified)	3 × 4–3 × 10 g	−30–−50%	−25–−50%	Significantly reduced peaks in urine sugar content after meals Considerable subjective improvement, weight gain
Lewaschew (1891)	62 (> 5)	20–30 g seed powder/day	−60% after 3 weeks	−60% after 3 weeks	Considerable weight gain Further 7 patients with same benefit Initially no effect with old and mouldy drug material Successful withdrawal experiment
Benner (1892)	30 (1 month)	3–5 × 15 ml extract (prep. from powder with 55–70% ethanol); 4 × 1 g powder		Max −10%	Patient reported subjective improvement
	54 (1)	2–5 × 15 ml extract		−20%	Weight gain, initially increased appetite, later uncomfortable feeling with <i>Syzygium</i>
	48 (?)	3 × 15 ml extract 1g powder/hour (!)		−50%	Weight gain
	53 (4)	3 × 25 ml 1 g powder/hour (!)			No effect

Table 1: (Continued)

Author (year)	Patient's, age (years since diagnosis)	Syzygium preparation and dose	Effect on urine volume	Effect on glucose excretion	Other important facts/remarks
Gerlach (1892)	2 patients	20–60 g fruit powder			Not a considerable improvement
Lenné (1892a)	41 (1)	30 g fruit powder		Sugar content even increased	Reduced peaks in urine sugar content
Lenné (1892b)	5 patients	3 × 10 g fruit powder			No improvement (even worse)
Graeser (1883)	Several	30 g seed	–60%		Rapid reduction of glucosuria induced by oxalic acid
Vix (1893)	56	40 g fruit extract		–100% after 4 days	
	Ca. 56 (3)	45 g bark extract		–100% after 10 days	
Lenné (1894)	22 (1)	8 × 15 g fluid bark extract			No effect
Colasanti (1895)	27 (1)	10–100 g/day	–10%	–25–50%	Small weight gain
	61 (1)		–20%	Max. –20%	Weight gain, subjective improvement
	40 (6 months)			+10% and more	Successful withdrawal exp.
	25 (5)			–20%	Weight gain, subjective improvement
Posthumus (1896)		15 g Succus inspissatus Fruct. S.j.		–10%	No positive results
		45 g bark fluid extract/day			
		765 g bark extract in 8 days	Reduced	Reduced	
		615 g bark extract in 8 days		Significantly reduced	

Some authors note that sugar excretion could be lowered but never vanished completely (Martindale and Westcott 1901; Lewaschew 1891).

As can be seen from Table 1, doses were usually very high, either in the form of seed powder or in the form of fluid extracts (fruit or bark). Some patients received up to 100 g seed powder a day.

2.4. Side effects

While in general the drug was well tolerated, side effects were reported occasionally with high doses. Gastrointestinal disturbances were reported by several authors and focus on diarrhoea (Benner 1892; Colasanti 1895; Posner and Epenstein 1891; Vix 1893) while obstipation (Colasanti 1895), nausea (Benner 1892; Posthumus 1896; Gerlach 1892) and sickness (Benner 1892; Zörnig 1911; Gerlach 1892) are also mentioned occasionally. A patient expressed the feeling that the drug does not “agree with his stomach” (Balfour 1889). In addition to this, Vix (1893) reported a red discoloration of the urine on the air, which did Fichtner (1889) as well, who was able to isolate a red dye out of the patient’s urine. Lenné (1892b, 1894) reported a peculiar weakness in the lower legs, and the drug was found to be incompatible with large amounts of mineral water when taken concomitantly (Merck 1891/92). Balfour (1889) reported a patient with “considerable temporary depression” while taking the drug.

3. Post 1945 studies

Syzygium cumini has been studied to the present day *in vitro* and *in vivo* and in recent years an increase in research efforts can be observed.

3.1. In vitro studies

In vitro experiments were done in view of a potential alpha-amylase inhibiting effect of *Syzygium* extracts. Karthik et al. found porcine pancreatic amylase inhibiting properties of a certain fraction of an aqueous extract made from *S. cumini* seeds, while Kotowaroo et al. (2006) found no activity with a leaf extract. Activity was confirmed by Anadharajan et al. (2006) who found a certain methanolic extract fraction to activate glucose transport in a phosphatidylinositol 3’kinase-dependent fashion in a cell culture model. They also found up-regulation of the glucose transporter Glut-4 and a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma activation, which did also Rau et al. (2006) with an ethanolic extract. Achrekar et al. (1991) investigated the insulin releasing effect of pulp and seed extracts on isolated Langerhans cells from normal and diabetic rats. They found that the extracts stimulated insulin release but the effect was more pronounced with cells from normoglycemic animals. Pulp and seed extracts significantly inhibited hepatic and renal insulinase activity in a dose-dependent fashion (Achrekar 1991). Aqueous extracts from *Eugenia jambolana* were also shown to inhibit glucose utilization (Khan et al. 2005) as did methanolic extracts. The effect seems to be more prominent in neutral and basic media than in an acidic one (Arayne et al. 2007).

3.2. Animal studies

Although in 1947, Wastl et al. almost ultimately concluded from their studies that “*Syzygium Jambolanum* cannot be considered of any value in the oral treatment of alloxan diabetes in rats”, a literature survey revealed a great number of successful animal studies up to the present day. In-

vestigations were performed in rats, mice and rabbits, being normoglycemic or made diabetic by injection of alloxan or streptozotocin. Characteristics of these studies are summarized in Table 2.

In 1967, French researchers determined toxicity levels of *S. jambolanum* extracts, which were prepared with boiling water and ethanol (95%) and described as having “powerful hypoglycemic activity”. The LD50 values for mice were found to be 4 g/kg orally and 0.4 g/kg parenterally (Laboratoires Laroche 1967). Just recently, a commercial product containing powdered aqueous extracts of seven anti-diabetic plant species including *S. jambolanum* was shown to be safe in long-term treatment at a dose of 1600 mg/kg p.o. (Joshi et al. 2007).

3.3. Clinical studies

In contrast to the large amount of animal data, there is a rather limited number of clinical studies done after the second World War. Sepaha and Bose (1956) reported about treatment of 7 NIDDM patients with dried pericarp-free seeds of *Eugenia jambolana*. Three of those patients showed a definite fall in urinary and blood sugar values. This study does not at all meet modern criteria for clinical studies as do not more recent studies done in India and Brazil.

Nande et al. (1981) administered approx. 110 g *E. jambolana* fruit pulp without seeds in seven healthy volunteers and five diabetics. They found a small but significant drop in blood sugar levels after three hours in healthy people but even an – not significant – increase in diabetes patients. Thus, it was concluded that *E. jambolana* fruit pulp is not a suitable anti-diabetes agent.

Srivastava et al. (1983) treated 28, not further specified “severe diabetic patients” with 4 to 24 g *S. cumini* seed powder TDS in gelatine capsules and reported a significant reduction in mean fasting (–18%) and post-prandial (–32%) blood sugar levels. Five patients developed adverse drug reactions, including nausea, diarrhoea, and epigastric pain.

Kohli and Singh (1993) reported a study on 30 patients with “uncomplicated” NIDDM. Patients received 12 g *S. cumini* seed powder in three divided doses for three months. An oral glucose tolerance test was performed every month and subjective parameters were monitored. There was a considerable and progressively increasing relief of symptoms like polyurea, polyphagia, weakness, weight loss and others. Results of the GTT were significantly improved after three months of treatment. One and two hour values were reduced by up to 30% after two months of treatment compared to control. It was concluded that the drug “has definite, moderate hypoglycaemic effect comparable to effect of chlorpropamide”. The seed powder did not show any side effects in this study.

Teixeira et al. (2000) submitted 30 non-diabetic young volunteers to a glucose tolerance test with and without concomitant treatment with *S. cumini* leaf (!) extract. The extract did not lead to glucose levels lower than those of the untreated control group. The same researchers investigated the effect of *S. cumini* leaf preparation on type 2 diabetes patients. In a randomized, double-blind clinical study, again, no benefit could be seen (Teixeira 2004). This negative result was confirmed in another double-blind, placebo controlled study on 27 diabetes patients treated with a tea made from *S. cumini* leaves – the preparation was ineffective (Teixeira 2006a).

4. Phytochemical research

The dispute about potential efficacy of the plant’s preparations was always accompanied by a search for the active ingredient(s). As early as in 1888, Balfour published a first analysis done by Ellborne in Manchester (Balfour 1889; Ellborne 1888). He investigated petroleum ether, ether and alcohol extracts and gave a rough estimation of Jambul seed composition. He found traces of essential oil, a resin soluble in alcohol and ether, gallic acid (1.65%), a coloured extractive soluble in water (2.7%) and a quantity of 83.73% of an “insoluble residue”. The author then refers to the proposal of “jambulin”, a “very unstable glucoside” having “the power of stopping the diastatic conversion of starch into sugar” (Balfour 1889). Steinmetz (1960) referred to the glucoside as “jambolin”. In 1899, Boersch proposed to have identified this glycoside, which he made responsible for the glucose lowering effects and therefore called it “antimellin”. It was said to appear as a yellow crystalline substance (Boersch 1899; N.N. 1899, 1901) and was received from an water/alcohol extract. This compound was said to have the formula $C_{13}H_{18}O_6$, a melting point of 182 °C and reactivity toward alkaloid identifying reagents. Without further proof, it is stated that this compound is also present in the plant’s bark (Boersch 1899). It is interesting to note that Merck already stated in 1900 that “antimellin” was six times weaker in reducing the activity of carbohydrate cleaving enzymes than the whole alcoholic extract (Merck 1900) and was unable to reduce sugar excretion in diabetes patients (N.N. 1901). Srivastava et al. still refer to “antimellin” in 1983, despite as early as in 1947, Wastl et al. stated that “no substance of glycosidic nature” could be found in *Syzygium*. French researchers proposed a compound named “jambosin” (Power and Callan 1912) or “jamboisin” (Colasanti 1895). Power and Callan extracted almost 54 kg seed powder with hot alcohol and received approximately 18 kg extract which was further fractionated. At least, the investigators found starch, tannin, a small amount of essential oil, tannic and gallic acid, fatty acids, a phytosterol and a phenolic substance called “jambulol”. This compound was said to be a light powder, which could be crystallized and formed derivatives with acetic and benzoic acid. No glycoside could be found (Power and Callan 1912). These results were confirmed by Hart and Heyl (1916) who again independently investigated the composition of jambul seeds. They found among others in different fractions the compounds ellagic acid, gallic acid, phytosterols, mainly phytosterol-d-glucoside, d-phenylglucosazone, and fatty acids. Tannins had already been suggested as constituents of *S. jambolanum* bark in 1891 (Johannson 1891). In recent years, the presence of gallic acid, ellagic acid, derivatives thereof, other tannins and polyphenols was confirmed (Bhatia and Bajaj 1972, 1975). Bhatia et al. (1971) further investigated the tannin content of *Syzygium* seeds and found 35 phenolic compounds in total, including gallic acid and ellagic acid, and corilagin. Currently, the term “jambulol” is used for ellagic acid (Burger and Wachter 1998). Kopanski and Schnelle (1988) isolated bergenin out of *Syzygium* bark in addition the known tannins. Bajpai et al. (2005) point out that *S. cumini* seeds are rich in phenolic compounds and show high antioxidant activity. An alkaloid named “jambosin” was still proposed in 2006 along with a wide variety of carbohydrates, tannins, phytosterols, water soluble and water insoluble fibers, polyphenols, flavonol glycosides and a small amount of essential oil (Sagrawat et al. 2006). In their patent application,

Table 2: Post 1945 animal studies with *Syzygium cumini* preparations

Author	Species	Model	Agent	Blood glucose level	Other observations, remarks
Wastl et al. (1947)	R	A	Seed powder added to food (2–4% = 2–4 g/kg) Alcoholic extract	Not determined	No significant effect on glucosuria
Brahmachari and Augusti (1961)	Rb	N	Ethanol (95%) and aqueous seed extracts	Reduced	Effect of ethanolic extracts comparable to that of tolbutamide; aq. extract “slight” hypoglycemic activity
Shrotri et al. (1963)	Rb D	A	Aqueous extract of fruits and seeds	–20% with seed extract (Rb and D)	No significant effect with fruit extract
Laboratoires Laroche (1967)	M		Hot water extract Ethanol (95%) extract	“Powerful hypoglycemic activity”	LD50 (mice) 4 g/kg orally 0.4 g/kg parenterally
Sigogneau-Jagodzinski et al. (1967a)	R	A	Seed powder extract (alc/water) fraction 50–250 mg/kg/d up to 32 days p.o.	Highly significant reduction in severe diabetes and high doses	No rise in glucose levels after glucose ingestion in treated animals Significant reduced quantity of animals water ingestion Initial transient hyperglycemia
Sigogneau-Jagodzinski et al. (1967b)	R	N	Seed powder extract (alc/water) buc., i.p. (1–500 mg/kg)	Dose dependent reduction up to –50% compared to control	No effect after a single buc. dose Effects not as pronounced as with diabetic animals
Sigogneau-Jagodzinski et al. (1968)	R	N	Seed powder extract dissolved/suspended in drinking water	Reduced compared to control after glucose load (–10––30%)	Reduction of water uptake Limited action in normoglycemic animals Analogous action to sulfonylurea agents suggested
Chirvan-Nia et al. (1972)	Po	V	<i>Eugenia jambolana</i> (<i>Syzygium cumini</i>) extract (not further specified)	Reduction (–60––70%)	Reduced cataract symptoms of diabetic rats No glucosuria in treated animals
Ratsimamanga et al. (1972)	R	N	<i>Eugenia jambolana</i> bark extract adm. via gastric tube 10 mg/100 g rat	Reduced hyperglycemia after glucose load	Effect comparable to that of sulfonylureas and biguanides
Ratsimamanga et al. (1973)	Rb	N	Ethanolic bark extract 10 g/kg	Reduced hyperglycemia after glucose load Delayed blood glucose peak –50%	Results comparable to those received with the sulfonylurea derivative glybutamide 16.5 mg/kg
Bansal et al. (1981)	R	N	Aqueous suspension of seed powder 170–510 mg/rat		Effect comparable to that of chlorpropamide Up to 6-fold increase in pancreatic cathepsin B activity
Kedar and Chakrabarti (1983)	Rb	S	Seed powder 1 g/kg	Reduction to near normal values	Slight increase in body weight Slight rise in liver glycogen No rise in muscle glycogen Significant reduction in serum cholesterol and triglycerides Effect comparable to that of phenformin (except for creatinine levels)
Bhaskaran Nair and Santhakumari (1986)	Rb	N	Dried seed kernels, powder suspended in water 1, 2, 4, 6 g/kg	Reduction by 42.4% (dose optimum 4 g/kg) Improved glucose tolerance	Effect comparable to tolbutamide and phenformin, but later onset and shorter duration of action Effects quicker than with whole seeds
	R	A	Dried seed kernels, powder suspended in water 1, 2, 4, 6 g/kg	Significant decrease (3 h:–ca. 20%)	
Achrekar et al. (1991)	R	N	Aqueous pulp extract Aqueous seed extract Orally administered	Pulp: –23% (30 min) –40% (5 days) Seed: –5% (30 min) –37% (5 days)	Pulp extract obviously acts faster No influence on hepatic glycogen Insulin levels increased by 10–40% Dramatic rise in cathepsin B activity
	R	S	Aqueous pulp extract Aqueous seed extract Orally administered	Pulp: –68% Seed: –76%	Effect exceeds that of chlorpropamide Good recovery from decreased liver glycogen levels Reduced degree of hepatic hyperlipidemia under treatment (+10 vs. +40%)

Table 2: (Continued)

Author	Species	Model	Agent	Blood glucose level	Other observations, remarks
Ratsimamanga et al. (1996)	M	S N	Alcoholic seed extract fraction Isolated compounds therefrom	S: Up to -50% N (post-prand. hyperglycemia): up to -30%	Remarkably low toxicity Fractions and compounds are said not to increase insulin secretion, but need some insulin to be effective
Teixeira et al. (1997)	R	N	Aqueous leaf extract (tea) 2-32 g/l Aqueous seed extract (tea) 64 g/l	No effect	
	R	S	Aqueous leaf extract (tea) 8-32 g/l	No effect	
Prince et al. (1998)	R	A	Aqueous seed extract (100 g/200 ml water × 3) 2.5/5/7.5 g extract/kg	2 and 5 g/kg lead to almost normoglycemic state	Effect comparable to that of glibenclamide Reduction of TBARS, GSH, SOD, CAT to near normal values Sign. reduced glucosuria Weight loss reversed in treated animals No effect with higher dose (7.5 g, reason not discussed)
Mendes Soares (2000)	R	A	Aqueous extract	“efficient in the control of hyperglycemia”	
Grover et al. (2000)	R M	A	Lyophilized aqueous seed extract (LASE) Alcoholic extract	Mild diabetes -73% Moderate d.: -65% Severe d.: -18%	Effective dose 200 mg/kg LASE Proposed effects: increased insulin release from β-cells, insulinomimetic effect Improvement in hepatic and skeletal muscle (but not renal) glycogen content Delayed onset of action Combines mechanism of sulfonylureas and biguanides
Pepato et al. (2001)	R	S	Aqueous leaf extract	No activity	
Vikrant et al. (2001)	R	F	Lyophilized aqueous seed extract 400 mg/d	Dose prevented hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia -20%	Alcoholic extract did not
Grover et al. (2001)	M	S	Lyophilized aqueous seed extract 200 mg/d		No effect on body weight Reduced urine volume Reduced urinary albumin levels Not significant reduction in renal hypertrophy No effect on plasma creatinine Effect induced by water soluble gummy fibre
Pandey and Khan (2002)	R	N A	Seed powder	Significantly lowered	
Rathi et al. (2002)	R	A	Lyophilized aqueous seed extract Ethanollic extract	-60% after 4 months	
Grover et al. (2002b)	M	S	Extract 200 mg/kg	-20%	Positive influence on tail flick latency and gastric transit percentage
Stanely Mainzen Prince et al. (2003)	R	A	Aqueous seed extract 5 g/kg Ethanollic seed extract 100 mg/kg		Decrease in lipids, TBARS, increase in CAT and SOD in rat brain Effects exceed those of glibenclamide Effects of ethanollic extract exceed those of aqueous extracts
Sharma et al. (2003)	Rb	A	Ethanollic extract (100 mg/kg)	up to -30%	-25% HbA _{1c} after 15 days Serum insulin +30% Increase in liver and muscle glycogen content Hypolipidemic activity
Kar et al. (2003)	R	A	Ethanollic extract (250 mg/kg)	Reduction down to near normal values	
Ravi et al. (2004a)	R	S	Ash of seeds	Reduction to near normal values	Reduction of HbA ₁ to near normal values Effect ascribed to trace elements contained

Table 2: (Continued)

Author	Species	Model	Agent	Blood glucose level	Other observations, remarks
Ravi et al. (2004b)	R	S	Ethanollic extract of defatted seed kernel powder kernel (100 mg/kg)	Extract: Significant decrease Whole seed: moderate effect, seed coat: no effect	Significant decrease in cholesterol, blood urea, cholesterol, increase in glucose tolerance, liver glycogen
Prince et al. (2004)	R	A	Alcoholic extract 100 mg/kg	Significant reduction	Significant reduction in serum and tissue lipid levels Effect similar to that of insulin
Ravi et al. (2004c)	R	S	Ethanollic extract of defatted seed kernel powder kernel		Extract reverted antioxidant enzymes to near normal levels Extract protects pancreatic beta cells Enzyme decreases oxidative stress
Ravi et al. (2004d)	R	S	Ethanollic extract of defatted seed kernel powder kernel	Reduction comparable to glibenclamide	HbA _{1c} reduced, extent comparable to glibenclamide TBARS and hydroperoxides reduced Stimulation of insulin release from beta-cells proposed
Babu et al. (2004)	R	S	Hyponidd 100 and 200 mg/kg containing 10 plant extracts)	Significantly lowered	Extract improved body weight Antioxidant activity 200 mg/kg more effective than glibenclamide
Oliveira et al. (2005)	M	N S	Aqueous extract, ethanollic extract, butanollic fraction from leaves 200–2000 mg/kg	Reduction in glycemia after 7 days (N) No other pos. results	
Sridhar et al. (2005)	R	S	Seed powder 250–1000 g/kg	Up to –50% (fasting and peak after GTT)	Increased body weight Reduced fluid intake Increase in liver glycogen Glucose lowering effect comparable to that of glibenclamide Increased insulin levels proposed No signs of toxicity observed Lack of any apparent effect
Pepato et al. (2005)	R	S	Lyophilized fruit-pulp extract 50 mg/d	No effect	
Mutalik et al. (2005)	M	N S	Dianex, cont. 8 aqueous extracts incl. <i>S. cumini</i> 500 mg/kg	N: –38% (500 mg, 6 h) –30% (6 weeks) S: –35%	Most effects comparable to those of glibenclamide Increase in body weight, liver glycogen, liver protein content Radical scavenging activity observed
Sharma et al. (2006)	Rb	A	Fractions from aqueous and ethanollic fruit-pulp extracts (25 mg/kg/d)	–20––40%	Water extract more effective than ethanollic extract Increase in plasma insulin levels Insulin release from β-cells 2.5 times that of untreated animals
Villasenor and Lamadrid (2006)	M		Dried bark 5 mg/20 g mouse	Significant decrease in glucose levels after GTT	
Mallick et al. (2007)	R	S	MTEC, cont. 4 aqueous methanol. extracts incl. <i>S. cumini</i> 120 mg/d	Fasting blood gluc. levels reduced to almost normal values after 2 weeks	Positive effects of fertility in diabetic rats

R: Wistar rats, M: mice, Rb: rabbits, D: dogs Po: spontaneously diabetic desert rats *Psammomys obesus* A: alloxan induced diabetes, S: streptozotocin induced diabetes, N: normoglycemic animals; V: vegetable diet, F: fructose diet induced hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance
p.o.: oral administration, i.p.: intraperitoneal administration, buc.: buccal administration
TBARS: Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances; GSH: Glutathione; SOD: superoxide dismutase, CAT: Catalase, GTT: Glucose tolerance test

Ratsimamanga et al. (1996) propose – besides a polyphenol-sterol complex – sodium oxamate, and the compounds 2-(tetrahydroxybutyl)-5-(2',3',4'-trihydroxybutyl)-pyrazin and 2-(tetrahydroxybutyl)-6-(2',3',4'-trihydroxybutyl)-pyrazin, and 2,5-di(tetrahydroxybutyl) pyrazin. These compounds proved to be highly active in reducing blood glucose in diabetic mice while showing little toxicity (LD50 in mice: >2000 mg/kg).

5. Proposed mechanisms of action

Early and recent studies not only investigated reduction in blood glucose levels but recorded other parameters, which give some hints on mechanisms of action. Thus, stimulation of insulin from remaining beta cells (Grover et al. 2000; Ravi et al. 2004d; Sridhar et al. 2005; Sharma et al. 2006) was suggested as well as reduced oxidative stress

(Prince and Menon 1998; Stanely Mainzen Prince et al. 2003; Kar et al. 2003; Ravi et al. 2004c). Some studies report an increased glycogen content in liver and muscle cells (Achrekar et al. 1991; Grover et al. 2000; Sharma et al. 2003; Ravi et al. 2003). In several studies, effects were comparable to those of sulfonylurea and biguanide derivatives (Ratismamanga et al. 1972, 1973; Kedar and Chakrabarti 1983; Prince et al. 1998; Babu and Stanely Mainzen Prince 2004; Sridhar et al. 2005; Mutalik et al. 2005).

In vitro investigations suggest some other mechanisms of action, including inhibition of carbohydrate cleaving enzymes (Christy 1887; Hildebrandt 1892; Colasanti 1895; Khartic et al.), which again could not be seen with a preparation made from leaves (Kotowaroo et al. 2006). Other mechanisms proposed include inhibition of the human peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (Rau et al. 2006), up-regulation of the glucose transporter Glut-4 (Anantharajan et al. 2006), rise in cathepsin-B activity (Bansal et al. 1981; Achrekar et al. 1991) and inhibition of insulinase in liver and kidney, or even the development of insulin positive cells from the pancreatic duct epithelial cells (Schossler et al. 2004).

6. Conclusions

In summary, it can be stated that *S. cumini* has been investigated to a considerable amount during the past 125 years – the drug has always been a subject of research at least since it has been introduced in Europe in the 1880s. In recent years, even increasing interest is obvious. While in the beginning, particularly before the discovery of insulin, clinical case reports were the predominant subject of publications (Table 1), after the second World War animal studies were in the focus of research. Overall, there is convincing evidence that parts of *S. cumini* have antihyperglycemic properties which has mainly been confirmed in a large amount of animal studies. Comparative studies show that in some models, drug preparations were equivalent to widely used oral antidiabetic agents. In contrast to that, clinical studies done so far are insufficient to judge the actual value of *S. cumini* in adjuvant diabetes therapy. Most clinical data seem not to be much more reliable than pre-1900 case reports.

Thus, no animal experiments are needed anymore and it is now time for a large scale clinical study meeting the criteria of evidence based medicine. *In vitro* studies may contribute to a better understanding of the drug's mechanisms of action. In design and subsequent interpretation of such a study, as much as possible information should be taken into account and even information from historical case reports summarized here might be useful to some extent.

Firstly, it can be concluded from patient characteristics, that patients treated successfully most probably suffered from type 2 diabetes and that *S. cumini* application more often than occasionally led to improvement of diabetes symptoms, although urine volume and sugar content, main endpoints of pre-1945 studies can only give a rough estimate of the drug's clinical effect. Those criteria are influenced by several other effects and in general, disappearance of glucose in urine – which was rarely achieved (Martindale and Westcott 1901) – only shows that blood sugar levels are no longer above approximately 180 mg/dl, which is still insufficient. Nevertheless, patients usually showed an improvement in subjective parameters – most patients under treatment tended to gain weight and felt better in general – or give other hints on effectiveness like improved healing of leg ulcers (Balfour 1889).

Concerning the negative results reported in early case reports, it should be kept in mind that some of them were published by A. Lenné, who was leading physician in the German spa town of Bad Neuenahr. Neuenahr water was among the most recommended treatment methods that time (Helmstädter 2007) and he might be biased by the fact that promoting a phytomedical treatment would have been prevented patients from visiting the spa and drinking the water. Objective reasons for negative or contradictory results may have been insufficient quality of the drug (Colasanti 1895; Lewaschew 1891; Graeser 1893) or even uncertainty about its true botanical nature. Already in the 1880s it was stated that what was commonly called “Jambul” was derived from different plant species (Quirini 1888; N.N. 1890). Species potentially to be confused with *S. cumini* include *S. jambos* (L.) Alst. (syn. *Eugenia jambosa*, *Jambosa vulgaris* DC).

Concerning the choice of the active agents and their dose it has to be stated that most successful studies used fruits or seed powder or seed kernels in rather high doses for some weeks. Some positive results were achieved with a total of about 1.2 g/day (Christy 1887; Balfour 1889), others used 20–30 g (Posner and Epenstein 1891; Lewaschew 1891) or even up to 100 g seed powder a day (Colasanti 1895). Extracts of *S. cumini* fruit or bark were also used successfully in some cases, extract doses of more than 40 g/day were given (Posthumus 1896; Vix 1893). There are no historical reports on *Syzygium* leaves at all, and in view of this it might be somewhat surprising that the only high standard clinical trials so far have been done with leaf extract. Not unexpectedly from the historian's point of view, no effect was seen with leaf extracts obviously used in traditional South American medicine (Teixeira et al. 2000, 2004, 2006a). Authors of these studies argue in view of their negative results, ethnopharmacological habits should form the basis for clinical studies (Teixeira et al. 2006b), in this case the use of *S. cumini* leaves in their own country, but did not recognize the worldwide historical knowledge pointing into another direction.

The more than 100 early case reports can also give some hints about potential adverse effects to be looked upon in a future large scale study. Adverse effects of the treatment were obviously rare and concentrated on the digestive tract. Diarrhoea – despite the drug has been recommended as anti-diarrhoeal as well (Mukherjee 1998) –, nausea and sickness might be a result of the large amount of extract or powder ingested. An initial hyperglycemia observed shortly after ingestion of the drug (Sigogneau-Jagodzinski et al. 1967a), is certainly due to the carbohydrate content of the seeds and their aqueous extracts and may have been the reason for some negative results in short term studies. Reports on urine discoloration, depression, and a peculiar feeling of weakness should also be kept in mind observing patients in a clinical study. The reported incompatibility with mineral waters might have been part of Lenné's spa promoting strategy.

Animal experiments reported in almost 40 publications after the second World War (Table 2) clearly confirm antihyperglycemic effects of the drug, fasting and postprandial blood glucose levels were typically reduced by about 30%. Most successful studies used *S. cumini* seed powder or more often an aqueous or alcoholic extract thereof. Typical extract doses were 100–200 mg/kg animal (rat, mouse, rabbit) corresponding well with the dose levels given in early case reports.

In view of these findings from 125 years of research, a state-of-the-art clinical trial in type 2 diabetics seems ap-

appropriate as has recently been recommended (Elfahmi 2006). The study results summarized here might help in actually designing such a study, which should certainly be done in type-2-diabetes patients with fairly high doses of a carbohydrate free aqueous/ethanolic extract of fresh fruits, seeds or seed kernels (for example prepared as described by Ravi et al. 2004b-d) from Indian origin in comparison to placebo or standard oral antidiabetic therapy.

Acknowledgements: For their help in bibliographic research I thank Sybille Sonthofen, Marburg, and Dr. Michael Moennich, Karlsruhe.

References

Achrekar A, Kaklij GS, Pote MS, Kelkar SM (1991) Hypoglycemic activity of *Eugenia jambolana* and *Ficus bengalensis*: mechanism of action. *In vivo* 5: 143–147.

Anandharajan R, Jaiganesh S, Shankernarayanan NP, Viswakarma RA, Balakrishnan A (2006) In vitro glucose uptake activity of *Aegles marmelos* and *Syzygium cumini* by activation of Glut-4, PI3 kinase and PPAR-gamma in L6 myotubes. *Phytomedicine* 13: 434–441.

Arayne MS, Sultana N, Mirza AZ, Zuberi MH, Siddiqui FA (2007) In vitro hypoglycaemic activity of methanolic extract of some indigenous plants. *Pak J Pharm Sci* 20: 268–273.

Atta-Ur-Rahman, Zaman K (1989) Medicinal plants with hypoglycemic activity. *J Ethnopharmacol* 26: 1–55.

Babu PS, Stanelly Mainzen Prince P (2004) Antihyperglycaemic and antioxidant effect of hyponidd, an ayurvedic herbomineral formulation in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 56: 1435–1442.

Baily CJ, Day C (1989): Traditional plant medicines as treatments for diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 12: 553–564.

Bajpai M, Pande A, Tewari SK, Prakash D (2005) Phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of some food and medicinal plants. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 56: 287–291.

Balfour TAE (1889) Report on the therapeutics and chemistry of jambul seed. *New Commercial Plants and Drugs* 11: 26–34.

Bansal R, Ahmad N, Kidwai JR (1981) Effects of oral administration of *Eugenia jambolana* seeds & chlorpropamide on blood glucose level & pancreatic cathepsin B in rat. *Indian J Biochem Biophys* 18: 377.

Basu BD (1918) *Indian Medicinal Plants*. Allahabad.

Benner R (1892) Ueber die Wirkung des *Syzygium Jambolanum* bei Diabetes mellitus. PhD thesis, Univ. Zürich.

Bhaskaran Nair R, Santhakumari G (1986) Anti-diabetic activity of the seed kernel of *Syzygium cumini* Linn. *Ancient Sci Life* 6: 80–84.

Bhatia IS, Bajaj KL, Ghangas GS (1971) Tannins in black plum seeds. *Phytochemistry* 10: 219–220.

Bhatia IS, Bajaj KL (1972) Tannins in black-plum (*Syzygium cumini* L.) seeds. *Biochem J* 128: 56.

Bhatia IS, Bajaj KL (1975) Chemical composition of the seeds and bark of *Syzygium cumini*. *Planta Med* 28: 346–352.

Birch R (1888) Jambul in diabetes. *Brit Med J* 20: 112–113.

Bnouham M, Ziyat A, Mekhfi H, Tahri A, Legssyer A (2006) Medicinal plants with potential antidiabetic activity – a review of ten years of herbal medicine research (1990–2000). *Int J Diabetes Metabol* 14: 1–25.

Boersch R (1899) Verfahren zur Gewinnung eines Mittels gegen Diabetes aus *Syzygium Jambolana* und dessen Abarten. *Deutsches Reichspatent* 119864, 21.6.1899.

Brahmachari HD, Augusti KT (1961) Hypoglycemic agents from Indian indigenous plants. *J Pharm Pharmacol* 13: 381–182.

Burger A, Wächter H (1998) (ed) *Hunnus: Pharmazeutisches Wörterbuch*, 8. ed., p. 1339.

Chirvan-Nia MM, Ratsimamanga AR (1972) Régression de la cataracte et de l'hyperglycémie chez le Rat de sable (*Psammomys obesus*) diabétique ayant reçu un extrait de *Eugenia Jambolana* (Lamarck) C R Acad. Sci Hebd Seances Acad Sci D 274: 1514–1516.

Christy T (1885) *Syzygium Jambolanum*. *New Commercial Plants and Drugs* 8: 77–78.

Christy T (1887): Jambul. *New commercial plants and drugs* 10: 63–67.

Coates Cole JM (1888) Jambul in diabetes. *Brit Med J* 20: 901.

Colasantí G (1895) Ueber den antidiabetischen Werth des *Syzygium Jambolanum*. *Therap Wschr* 2: 776–781; 800–804; 815–822; 837–841; 856–865.

Elfahmi (2006) *Phytochemical and biosynthetic studies of lignans with a focus on Indonesian medicinal plants*. PhD thesis Univ. Groningen, p. 25.

Ellborne W (1888) Jambul. *Pharm J Transact* 921.

Fichtner (1889) Bericht über 3 Fälle von Diabetes. *Dt Arch Klin Med* 45: 112–124.

Gerlach W (1892) Zwei mit *Syzygium Jambolanum* behandelte Fälle von Diabetes mellitus. *Therap Monatsh* 6: 372–373.

Graeser C (1889) Experimentelle Untersuchungen über *Syzygium Jambolanum* gegen künstlichen Diabetes. *Centralbl Klin Med* 10: 481–485.

Graeser (1893) Studien und Erfahrungen über die Anwendung von *Syzygium Jambolanum* gegen Diabetes. *Dtsch Med Wschr* 19: 1001–1002.

Grover JK, Vats V, Rathi SS (2000) Anti-hyperglycemic effect of *Eugenia jambolana* and *Tinospora cordifolia* in experimental diabetes and their effects on key metabolic enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolism. *J Ethnopharmacol* 73: 461–470.

Grover JK, Vats V, Rathi SS, Dawar R (2001) Traditional Indian anti-diabetic plants attenuate progression of renal damage in streptozotocin induced diabetic mice. *J Ethnopharmacol* 76: 233–238.

Grover JK, Yadav S, Vats V (2002a) Medicinal plants of India with anti-diabetic potential. *J Ethnopharmacol* 81: 81–100.

Grover JK, Rathi SS, Vats V (2002b) Amelioration of experimental diabetic neuropathy and gastropathy in rats following oral administration of plant (*Eugenia jambolana*, *Mucuna pruriens* and *Tinospora cordifolia*) extracts. *Indian J Exp Biol* 40: 273–276.

Hart MC, Heyl FW (1916) Some constituents of jambul. *J Am Chem Soc* 38: 2805–2813.

Hartwich C (1897) *Die Neuen Arzneidrogen aus dem Pflanzenreiche*. Berlin, pp. 327–328.

Helmstädter A (2007) Antidiabetic drugs used in Europe prior to the discovery of insulin. *Pharmazie* 62: 717–720.

Henrichs H (1891) Ueber die Behandlung des Diabetes mellitus mit *Syzygium Jambolanum* [!]. PhD thesis, Univ. Giessen.

Hildebrandt H (1892) Zur Wirkungsweise des *Syzygium Jambolanum* beim Diabetes mellitus. *Berl Klin Wschr* 29: 5–7.

Ivorra MD, Payá M, Villar A (1989) A review of natural products and plants as potential antidiabetic drugs. *J Ethnopharmacol* 27: 248–275.

Johansson G (1891) Beiträge zur Pharmacognosie einiger, bis jetzt wenig bekannter Rinden. PhD thesis, Univ. Dorpat, p. 15.

Joshi CS, Priya ES, Venkataraman S (2007) Acute and subacute toxicity studies on the polyherbal antidiabetic formulation Diakur in experimental animal models. *J Health Sci* 53: 245–249.

Kar A, Choudhary BK, Bandyopadhyay NG (2003) Comparative evaluation of hypoglycaemic activity of some Indian medicinal plants in alloxan diabetic rats. *J Ethnopharmacol* 84: 105–108.

Karthic K, Kirthiram KS, Sadasivam S, Palvannan T, Thayumanavan B: Identification of alpha-amylase inhibitors from *Syzygium cumini* Linn seeds. *Indian J Exper Biol* submitted.

Kaufmann E (1928) Insulineratzmittel, VII. Mitteilung. Über die Wirkung des Jambulrindenextraktes. *Zschr Ges Experim Med* 62: 160–164.

Kedar P, Chakrabarti CH (1983) Effects of Jambolan seed treatment on blood sugar, lipids and urea in streptozotocin induced diabetes in rabbits. *Ind J Physiol Pharmacol* 27: 135–140.

Khan B, Arayne MS, Naz S, Mukhtar N (2005) Hypoglycemic activity of aqueous extract of some indigenous plants. *Pak J Pharm Sci* 18: 62–64.

Kohli KR, Singh RH (1993) A clinical trial of Jambu [!] (*Eugenia jambolana*) in non-insulin dependant [!] diabetes mellitus. *J Res Ayurveda Siddha* 13: 89–97.

Kopanski L, Schnelle G (1988) Isolation of bergenin from barks of *Syzygium cumini*. *Planta Med* 54: 572.

Kotowaroo MI, Mahomoodally MF, Gurib-Fakim A, Subratty AH (2006) Screening of traditional antidiabetic medicinal plants of Mauritius for possible alpha-amylase inhibitory effects in vitro. *Phytother Res* 20: 228–231.

Laboratoires Laroche Navarron (1967) Hypoglycemic medicament based on *Syzygium jambolanum*. Patent Appl FR 1967–91714, FR 6114 19680726. *Chem Abstr* 76 (1972) 158336.

Lenné (1892a) Zur Aetiologie und Therapie des Diabetes mellitus. *Münch Med Wschr* 39: 601–602.

Lenné (1892b) Erfahrungen über Jambul. *Therap Monatsh* 6: 305.

Lenné (1894) Beitrag zur Behandlung des Diabetes mellitus mit Extr. fluid. *Syzyg. jambol. e Cort*. *Therap Monatsh* 8: 205–206.

Lewaschew S (1891) Ueber die Behandlung des Diabetes mellitus mit *Syzygium Jambolanum*. *Berl Klin Wschr* 20: 199–200.

Mahomed G (1888) Jambul in glucosuria. *The Practitioner* 41: 416–417.

Mallick C, Mandal S, Barik B, Bhattacharya A, Ghosh D (2007): Protection of testicular dysfunctions by MTEC, a formulated herbal drug, in streptozotocin induced diabetic rat. *Biol Pharm Bull* 30: 84–90.

Martindale W, Westcott W (1901) *The Extra Pharmacopoeia*, 10. ed. London, pp. 313–314.

Mendes Soares JC, Teixeira de Costa S, Cecim M (2000) Níveis glicêmicos e de colesterol em ratos com Diabetes Mellitus [!] aloxano induzido, tratados com infusao de *Bauhinia candicans* ou *Syzygium Jambolanum*. *Ciencia Rural* 30, No. 1.

Merck's Jahresberichte über Neuerungen auf den Gebieten der Pharmakotherapie und Pharmazie (1891/92) Darmstadt, p. 38–39.

Merck's Jahresberichte über Neuerungen auf den Gebieten der Pharmakotherapie und Pharmazie (1900) Darmstadt, p. 193.

Minkowski (1893) Untersuchungen über den Diabetes mellitus nach Exstirpation des Pankreas. *Arch Exper Pathol Pharmacol* 31: 85–189, see pp. 125, 133, 189.

Mukherjee PK, Saha K, Murugesan T, Mandal SC, Pal M, Saha BP (1998) Screening of anti-diarrhoeal profile of some plant extracts of a specific region in West Bengal. *Indian J Ethnopharmacol* 60: 85–89.

- Mukherjee PK, Maiti K, Mukherjee K, Houghton PJ (2006) Leads from Indian medicinal plants with hypoglycemic potentials. *J Ethnopharmacol* 106: 1–28.
- Mutalik S, Chetana M, Sulochana B, Devi PU, Udupa N (2005) Effect of Dianex, a herbal formulation on experimentally induced diabetes mellitus. *Phytother Res* 19: 409–415.
- N.N. (1890) *Pharm Centralhalle* 11: 605–606.
- N.N. (1899) *Neue Arzneimittel XIV. Pharm Ztg* 44: 574.
- N.N. (1901) *Darstellung eines Glykosides aus Syzygium Jambolana. Pharm Ztg* 46: 414.
- Nande CV, Kale PM, Wagh SY, Autakar DS, Vaidya AB (1981) Effect of Jambu [!] fruit pulp (*Eugenia jambolana* Lam.) on blood sugar levels in healthy volunteers and diabetics. *J Res Ayurveda Siddha* 4: 1–5.
- Neuwinger HD: *Pflanzen zur Behandlung von Diabetes mellitus in der afrikanischen traditionellen Medizin* (2004) *Zschr Phytother* 25: 224–233.
- Noorden C von (1895) *Die Zuckerkrankheit und ihre Behandlung*. Berlin, p. 136.
- Oliveira AC, Endringer DC, Amorim LA, das Gracas L, Brandao M, Coelho MM (2002) Effect of the extracts and fractions of *Baccharis trimera* and *Syzygium cumini* on glycaemia of diabetic and non-diabetic mice. *J Ethnopharmacol* 102: 465–469.
- Pandey M, Khan A (2002) Hypoglycaemic effect of defatted seeds and water soluble fibre from the seeds of *Syzygium cumini* (Linn.) skeels in alloxan diabetic rats. *Indian J Exp Biol* 40: 1178–1182.
- Pepato MT, Folgado VB, Kettelhut IC, Brunetti IL (2001) Lack of antidiabetic effect of *Eugenia jambolana* leaf decoction on rat streptozotocin diabetes. *Braz J Med Biol Res*. 34: 389–395.
- Pepato MT, Mori DM, Baviera AM, Harami JB, Vendramini RC, Brunetti IL (2005) Fruit of the jambolan tree (*Eugenia jambolana* Lam.) and experimental diabetes. *J Ethnopharmacol* 96: 43–48.
- Posner C, Epenstein H (1891) *Ueber die Wirkung des Syzygium jambolanum*. *Berl Klin Wschr* 28: 942–944.
- Posthumus DH (1896) *Syzygium Jambolanum bij Diabetes Mellitus*. PhD thesis, Univ. Amsterdam.
- Power FB, Callan T (1912) Chemical examination of jambul seeds. *Pharm J Pharmacist* 88: 414–417.
- Prince PS, Menon VP, Pari L (1998) Hypoglycemic activity of *Syzygium cumini* seeds: effect on lipid peroxidation in alloxan diabetic rats. *J Ethnopharmacol* 61: 1–7.
- Prince PS, Kamalakkannan N, Menon VP (2004) Antidiabetic and antihyperlipidaemic effect of alcoholic *Syzygium cumini* seeds in alloxan induced diabetic albino rats. *J Ethnopharmacol* 91: 209–213.
- Quirini A (1888) Jambul seed. *Pharm Post* 21: 312–313.
- Rathi SS, Grover JK, Vikrant V, Biswas NR (2002) Prevention of experimental diabetic cataract by Indian Ayurvedic plant extracts. *Phytother Res* 16: 774–777.
- Ratsimamanga AR, Lefournier-Contensou C, Bibal-Prot P (1972) Action comparative d'un principe retiré d'écorces de *Eugenia Jambolana* avec le NN-diméthyl biguanide et le glybutamide sur l'hyperglycémie provoquée du rat normal. *C. R. Acad. Sci Hebd Seances Acad Sci D* 275: 913–915.
- Ratsimamanga AR, Loiseau A, Ratsimamanga-Urveg S, Bibal-Prot P (1973) Nouvelle contribution à l'étude de l'action d'un principe hypoglycémiant mis en évidence dans l'écorce jeune de *Eugenia Jambolana* (Myrtacées) sur l'hyperglycémie provoquée du lapin normal et poursuite de sa purification. *C. R. Acad. Sci Hebd Seances Acad Sci D* 277: 2219–2222.
- Ratsimamanga RA, Ratsimamanga SR, Rasoanaivo P, Leboul J, Provost J, Freisdorf D (1996) *Mischungen ausgehend von Eugenia jambolana Lamarck Samen, Herstellung und Verwendung solcher Mischungen sowie einiger Inhaltsstoffe als Medikamente*. EU Patent 0879 058 B1 (DE 697 05 108 T2), 6.2.1996.
- Rau O, Wurglics M, Dingerthmann Th, Abdel-Tawab M, Schubert-Zsilavecz M (2006) Screening of herbal extracts for activation of the human peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor. *Pharmazie* 61: 952–956.
- Ravi K, Sekar DS, Subramanian S (2004a) Hypoglycemic activity of inorganic constituents in *Eugenia jambolana* seed on streptozotocin-induced diabetes in rats. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 99: 145–155.
- Ravi K, Sivagnanam K, Subramanian S (2004b) Anti-diabetic activity of *Eugenia jambolana* seed kernels on streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *J Med Food* 7: 187–191.
- Ravi K, Ramachandran B, Subramanian S (2004c) Effect of *Eugenia Jambolana* seed kernel on antioxidant defense system in streptozotocin-induced diabetes in rats. *Life Sci* 75: 2717–2731.
- Ravi K, Ramachandran B, Subramanian S (2004d) Protective effect of *Eugenia jambolana* seed kernel on tissue antioxidants in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Biol Pharm Bull* 27: 1212–1217.
- Sagrawat H, Mann AS, Kharya MD (2006) Pharmacological potential of *Eugenia jambolana*: a review. *Pharmacogn Mag* 2: 96–105.
- Saundby R (1887) Jambul in diabetes; saccharine in diabetes. *Lancet* 65: 834.
- Schadewaldt H (1972) *Geschichte des Diabetes mellitus*. Berlin, pp. 72–73.
- Schossler DRC, Mazzanti CM, Almeida da Luz SC, Filappi A, Prestes D, Ferreira da Silveira A, Cecim M (2004) *Syzygium cumini* and the generation of insulin positive cells from the pancreatic duct. *Braz J Veterin Res Anim Sci* 41: 236–239.
- Sepaha GC, Bose SN (1956) Clinical observations on the antidiabetic properties of *Pterocarpus marsupium* and *Eugenia jambolana*. *J Indian Med Assoc* 27: 388–391.
- Sharma SB, Nasir A, Prabhu KM, Murthy PS, Dev G (2003) Hypoglycemic and hypolipidemic effect of an ethanolic extract of seeds of *Eugenia jambolana* in alloxan-induced diabetic rabbits. *J Ethnopharmacol* 85: 201–206.
- Sharma SB, Nasir A, Prabhu KM, Murthy PS (2006) Antihyperglycemic effect of the fruit-pulp of *Eugenia jambolana* in experimental diabetes mellitus. *J Ethnopharmacol* 104: 367–373.
- Shotri DS, Meena Kelkar VK, Desmsukh, Aiman R (1963) Investigations of the hypoglycaemic properties of *Vinca rosea*, *Cassia auriculata* and *Eugenia jambolana*. *Indian J Med Res* 51: 464–467.
- Sigogneau-Jagodzinski M, Bibal-Prot P, Chanez M, Boiteau P, Ratsimamanga AR (1967a) Contribution à l'étude de l'activité hypoglycémiant et antidiabétique d'un principe extrait du *Rotra de Madagascar* (*Eugenia Jambolana* Lamarck). *C R Acad Sci Hebd Seances Acad Sci D* 264: 1119–1123.
- Sigogneau-Jagodzinski M, Bibal-Prot P (1967b) Contribution à l'étude de l'action d'un principe extrait du *Rotra de Madagascar* (*eugenia Jambolana*, Myrtacées) sur la glycémie du Rat normal. *C R Acad Sci Hebd Seances Acad Sci D* 264: 1223–1226.
- Sigogneau-Jagodzinski M, Bibal-Prot P (1968) Etude modifications métaboliques induites par des extraits du *Rotra de Madagascar* (*Eugenia jambolana* Myrtacées Lamarck) sur le Rat et la Souris. *C R Acad Sci Hebd Seances Acad Sci D* 266: 1514–1516.
- Sridhar SB, Sheetal UD, Pai MR, Shastri MS (2005) Preclinical evaluation of the antidiabetic effect of *Eugenia jambolana* seed powder in streptozotocin-diabetic rats. *Braz J Med Biol Res* 38: 463–468.
- Srivastava Y, Venkatarishna-Bhatt H, Gupta OP, Gupta PS (1983) Hypoglycemia induced by *Syzygium cumini* Linn. seeds in diabetes mellitus. *Asian Med J* 26: 489–491.
- Stanely Mainzen Prince P, Kamalakkannan N, Menon VP (2003) *Syzygium cumini* seeds reduce tissue damage in diabetic rat brain. *J Ethnopharmacol* 84: 205–209.
- Steinmetz EF (1960) A botanical drug from the tropics used in the treatment of diabetes mellitus. *Acta Phytother* 7: 23–25.
- Teixeira CC, Pinto LP, Kessler FH, Knijink L, Pinto CP, Gastaldo GJ, Fuchs FD (1997) The effect of *Syzygium cumini* (L.) skeels on postprandial blood glucose levels in non-diabetic rats and rats with streptozotocin-induced diabetes mellitus. *J Ethnopharmacol* 56: 209–213.
- Teixeira CC, Rava CA, Mallman da Silva P, Melchior R, Argenta R, Naselmi F, Almeida CR, Fuchs FD (2000) Absence of antihyperglycemic effect of jambolan in experimental clinical models. *J Ethnopharmacol* 71: 343–347.
- Teixeira CC, Weinert LS, Barbosa DC, Ricken C, Esteves, JF, Fuchs FD (2004) *Syzygium cumini* (L.) Skeels in the treatment of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 27: 3019–3020.
- Teixeira CC, Fuchs FD (2006) The efficacy of herbal medicines in clinical models: the case of jambolan. *J Ethnopharmacol* 108: 16–19.
- Teixeira CC, Fuchs FD, Weinert LS, Esteves J (2006) The efficacy of folk medicines in the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus: results of a randomized controlled trial of *Syzygium cumini* (L.) Skeels. *J Clin Pharm Ther* 31: 1–5.
- Vikrant V, Grover JK, Tandon N, Rathi SS, Gupta N (2001) Treatment with extracts of *Momordica charantia* and *Eugenia jambolana* prevents hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia in fructose fed rats. *J Ethnopharmacol* 76: 139–143.
- Villasenor IM, Lamadrid MR (2006) Comparative anti-hyperglycemic potentials of medicinal plants. *J Ethnopharmacol* 104: 129–131.
- Villy V (1891) *Essai sur la valeur thérapeutique du jambul* (*Eugenia jambolana*) dans le traitement du diabète sucré. PhD thesis, Univ. Paris.
- Vix (1893) *Jambul bei Glykosurie*. *Therap Monatsh* 7: 160–161.
- Wastl H, Boericke GW, Foster WC (1947) Studies of effects of *Syzygium jambolanum* on alloxan-diabetic rats. *Arch Int Pharmacodyn* 75: 33–50.
- Zareba G, Serradell N, Castaner R, Davies SL, Prous J, Mealy N (2005) *Phytotherapies for diabetes*. *Drugs Future* 30: 1253–1282.
- Zörnig H (1911) *Arzneidrogen, II. Teil*. Leipzig, pp. 128–129; 535–536.