Department of Pharmacognosy¹, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Alexandria, Alexandria, Egypt; Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry², School of Pharmacy, University of Brighton, Brighton, UK

New constituents of Artemisia monosperma Del.

H. M. HAMMODA¹, M. A. Aboul Ela¹, A. M. El-Lakany¹, O. El-Hanbali², C. S. Zaki¹, N. M. Ghazy¹

Received September 19, 2007, accepted October 15, 2007

Prof. Maha Aboul Ela, Pharmacognosy Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Alexandria University, 21521 Alexandria, Egypt mahaaboulela@yahoo.com

Pharmazie 63: 611-614 (2008) doi: 10.1691/ph.2008.7745

Phytochemical investigation of air-dried powdered roots of Artemisia monosperma growing in Egypt afforded two new compounds; 6-hydroxy-7,8-dimethoxycoumarin (I) and 5-acetyl-2-[1'-(hydroxymethyl)ethyl]-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b]furan (IV), in addition to the known compounds; 6-hydroxy-5,7-dimethoxycoumarin (fraxinol) (II), 5-hydroxy-6,7-dimethoxycoumarin (tomentin) (III) and methyl- β -p-fructofuranoside (V), obtained for the first time from the plant. Chemical structures of the isolated compounds were assigned based on different physical, chemical and spectroscopic techniques including UV, IR, MS, 1D- and 2D-NMR spectra. Furthermore, antimicrobial activity of different extracts of roots was carried out.

1. Introduction

Several secondary metabolites of different chemical nature have been isolated from different Artemisia species including sesquiterpenes (Yoshikawa et al. 1996), flavonoids (Saleh et al. 1987; Abu-Niaaj et al. 1993) and coumarins (Al-Hazimi et al. 1992). The isolated compounds or extracts of these plants have been proven to exhibit various pharmacological activities including cytotoxic (Zheng 1994), antiviral (Tan et al. 1998), and hepatoprotective ef-

Pharmazie **63** (2008) 8 611

fects (Kiso et al. 1984). In this respect, it was decided to carry out a phytochemical investigation of Artemisia monosperma Del.; a well-known wild plant growing in Egypt. This report describes the phytochemical investigation of roots of A. monosperma with the aim of finding active compounds that could be of biological interest. The present study led to the isolation and structure elucidation of two new compounds from the roots of A. monosperma and were identified as: 6-hydroxy-7,8-dimethoxycoumarin (I) and 5-acetyl-2-[1'-(hydroxymethyl)ethyl]-2,3-dihydro- $\frac{b}{b}$ benzo[b]furan **IV**, in addition to the known compounds: 6-hydroxy-5,7-dimethoxycoumarin (fraxinol) (II), 5-hydroxy-6,7-dimethoxycoumarin (tomentin) (III) and methyl- β d-fructofuranoside (V). Structure elucidation of the isolated compounds was deduced based on the application of different spectroscopic techniques including UV, IR, EIMS, 1D- and 2D-NMR. Furthermore, antimicrobial activity of different extracts of A. monosperma roots was studied.

2. Investigations, results and discussion

2.1. Compounds discussion

The bluish green fluorescence in UV light intensified to yellowish green after addition of alkali suggested the presence of a coumarin chromophore in **I–III** (Wagner et al. 1996). Their phenolic nature was confirmed by $FeCl₃$ test solution and IR spectra, that illustrated also absorption bands due to $C=O$ groups. Combined spectral data indicated their molecular formulae to be $C_{11}H_{10}O_5$ (m/z 222, [M]+). 13C NMR and DEPT spectra of I showed the presence of 11 carbon signals as follow: 2 $CH₃$, 3 $CH₃$ and 6 quaternary carbons of which one is due to a carbonyl carbon observed at δ 159.69. The ¹H NMR spectrum revealed the presence of a pair of doublets at δ 6.21 and 7.88 ($J = 7.1$ Hz) attributed to protons at positions 3 and 4 of the α -pyrone nucleus, respectively. The presence of one-proton singlet in the aromatic region at δ 6.09, pointed to the occurrence of a tri-substituted ring A. The ¹H NMR spectrum revealed also the presence of three singlets, one at δ 6.09; exchangeable with D₂O; for a hydroxyl group, and two signals at δ 3.99, 3.91 assigned for two methoxyl groups. A HMQC experiment correlated these two signals to carbons at δ 60.67 and 62.46, while HMBC correlated them to carbons at δ 133.3 (C-7), 143.57 (C-8), respectively. The absence of the long range coupling of H-4 and the aromatic signal indicated a substituted C-8 (Kayser and Kolodziej 1995). In addition, the arrangement of the methoxyl groups on the coumarin nucleus was confirmed from $13C$ NMR spectral data (Roitman and James 1985), as their chemical shifts $(\delta 60.67,)$ 62.46) established the presence of substituents at the ortho positions of each of the methoxyl groups, consistent with the structure depicted for I to be: 6-hydroxy-7, 8-dimethoxycoumarin. According to the available literature, I appears to be a new coumarin obtained for the first time from a natural source. Compound I was only obtained by synthesis before (Wagner and Bladt 1975).

Similar to I, the spectral data of II and III showed the presence of common features characteristic for tri-substituted coumarin derivatives. The ¹H NMR spectrum of each compound revealed the presence of a typical AB system for H-3 and H-4, and one aromatic singlet, in addition to the presence of two methoxyls and one hydroxyl group. However, a thorough investigation of NMR spectra indicated discriminative differences in the substitution pattern of the hydroxyl and methoxyl groups in ring A. Observation of the long range coupling between H-4 and the aromatic singlet, in II and III, confirmed that C-8 is unsubstituted in both compounds (Kayser and Kolodziej 1995), and hence, 5,6,7-oxygenation pattern (Wagner and Bladt 1974). Supporting evidence for the arrangement of the methoxyl groups on the coumarin nucleus was available from ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectral data (Roitman and James 1985). The chemical shift of one of the methoxyl group at $(\delta$ ca 61.3), clearly established the presence of substituents ortho positions. On the other hand, the second methoxyl group should be flanked by at least one unsubstituted carbon (δ ca 56.2). The HMBC spectrum of II showed a strong correlation between the two methoxyl groups at δ 4.02, 3.83 and the carbon signals at δ 148.15(C-5), 137.30(C-7), respectively, while the HMBC spectrum of III showed a strong correlation between the two methoxyl groups at δ 3.74, 3.90 and the carbon signals at δ 131.57(C-6), 155.63(C-7), respectively. Spectral data of II were found to be compatible with the occurrence of 6-hydroxy-5,7-dimethoxycoumarin (fraxinol) (Kostova 1992). On the other hand, III was identified as 5-hydroxy-6,7-dimethoxycoumarin (tomentin) (Wu et al. 2001).

The chemical structure of IV could be depicted to have the molecular formula $C_{13}H_{16}O_3$ through EIMS ([M]⁺, m/z 220) and other spectral analyses. The IR spectrum showed absorption bands corresponding to the presence of $C=O$ (1704 cm^{-1}) and OH (3322 cm^{-1}) groups. The ¹³C NMR spectrum revealed the presence of 13 well-resolved carbon signals sorted as follows: 6 aromatic carbons, 6 aliphatic carbons and one carbonyl signal. The presence of a ketomethyl moiety, as an integral part of IV, was evident from the ¹H NMR spectrum (δ 2.53, 3H) and from the ¹³C NMR spectrum (δ 26.42, 196.72). This pointed to a prenylated benzenoid derivative containing an acetyl group. The presence of a dihydrofuran moiety was confirmed by the appearance of the two proton signals at δ 3.08 (1 H,

Table 1: The most significant long range correlations HMBC of IV

δH , ppm	HMBC
5.04 (H-2)	10.73 (C-2'), 65.15 (CH ₂ OH).
$3.08, 3.31$ (2H-3)	40.53 (C-1'), 108.69 (C-4), 164.09 (C-8).
7.84 (H-4)	164.09 (C-8), 196.72 (COCH ₃).
$7.80(H-6)$	164.09 (C-8), 196.72 (COCH ₃).
6.78 (H-7)	127.67 (C-9), 130.47 (C-5).
2.07 (H-1')	32.38 (C-3).
0.99 (H-2')	65.15 (CH ₂ OH), 85.48 (C-2).

dd, $J = 6.9$, 13.5 Hz) and 3.31 (1 H, dd, $J = 7.5$, 13.5 Hz) with their carbon observed at δ 32.38 (C-3), in addition to one-proton multiplet at δ 5.04 along with its carbon resonating at δ 85.48 (C-2) (Bohlmann et al. 1979; Hansel et al. 1980). The 1 H NMR spectrum showed the presence of an aromatic ABX system as indicated by the presence of three signals at δ 6.78 (d, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.80 (dd, $J = 7.5$, 2 Hz) and 7.84 (d, $J = 2$ Hz) characteristic for a tri-substituted benzenoid structure. The location of the ketomethyl group was established at position 5 based on biogenetic (Proksch and Rodriguez 1983) and spectroscopic evidence (HMBC, Table 1). The ¹H NMR spectrum indicated also the presence of a doublet at δ 0.99 (3 H, $J = 7$ Hz) for a methyl group (H-2') together with two double doublets at δ 3.70 (1 H, J = 6.1, 12 Hz) and 3.77 $(1 \text{ H}, \text{ J} = 7, 12 \text{ Hz})$ for the gem protons of a hydroxymethyl group. In addition, the ¹H NMR spectrum showed a multiplet at δ 2.07 due to H-1'. Extensive study of HMQC and HMBC experiments were helpful to assign all the signals. The recorded data are in full agreement with the suggested chemical structure of IV to be: 6-acetyl-2- [1'-(hydroxymethyl)ethyl]-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b]furan. It is to be mentioned that, this new compound is biogenetically related to the acetophenone derivative (3-(2-hydroxymethyl-2-buten-4-yl)4-hydroxy-acetophenone), previously isolated from the same plant (Hammouda et al. 1978).

The structure of V was identified as methyl- β -D-fructofuranoside based on extensive study of MS and NMR analyses. Compound V gave a positive Fehling's test after acid hydrolysis, indicating its glycosidic nature. MS revealed the presence of a molecular ion peak at m/z 194, in accordance with the molecular formula $C_7H_{14}O_6$. The 13^C NMR spectrum was in agreement with the suggested molecular formula, as it showed the presence of 7 well resolved carbon signals. DEPT experiments indicated they are: 1 CH_3 , 2 CH_2 , 3 CH and one quaternary carbon. The presence of an anomeric carbon at δ 103.94 and the absence of the correspondence proton indicate the ketonic nature of V. The chemical shifts in the 13C NMR spectrum of V pointed to furanose form (Kalinowsti et al. 1984). The HMBC experiment revealed a strong H-C correlation between the methyl protons at δ 3.25 and the anomeric carbon at δ 103.94, indicated the location of the OCH3 at position 2. The down-shift of C-2 confirmed the above conclusion. Thus, V is identified as methyl- β -Dfructofuranoside.

2.2. Chemotaxonomic significance

The presence of benzofuran derivatives in Asteraceae species is of chemotaxonomic importance as they were reported to be useful as chemotaxonomical markers at the tribal and generic levels with a similar situation to sesquiterpene lactones (Proksch and Rodriguez 1983). The isola-

Table 2: MIC of the different extracts of roots of A. monosperma

Organism	MIC in µg/ml				
	Total alcohol ext.	Chloroform ext.	Ethyl acetate ext.	Butanol ext.	
E_{22}	1000	1000	1000	1000	
E_{33}	1000	500	1000	1000	
K_{36}	500	500	500	500	
K_{37}	1000	500	1000	1000	
Sa_1	1000	1000	1000	>1000	
Se ₁₀	>1000	1000	>1000	>1000	
Ps_{79}	1000	500	1000	500	
Ps_{80}	500	500	500	500	
C_1	500	500	500	500	
C_2	500	500	500	500	

 The organisms were clinical isolates from different Egyptian patients of Alexandria University Hospital, Egypt.
- E: Escherichia coli

E: Escherichia coli **K:** Klebsiella pneumoniae

Sa: Staphylococcus aureus **Se:** Staphylococcus epideri

Se: Staphylococcus epidermidis
C: Candida albicans Ps: Pseudomonas aeroginosa

tion of the new compound IV could pave the way for further studies in this concern.

2.3. Antimicrobial activities

The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of different extracts of A. monosperma roots was determined (Table 2). The chloroform extract showed the best results regarding the antimicrobial activity followed by the ethyl acetate extract, in comparison with other examined extracts. Accordingly, chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts need to be reexamined using a narrower concentration range against the same tested organisms, this study is currently underway.

3. Experimental

3.1. Equipment and methods

UV spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Double Beam Spectrophotometer Model 550S. IR spectra were determined on a Jasco Infrared Spectrophotometer, Model (FT/IR-300E), in KBr pellets. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (δ , CDCl₃ [compounds **I**, **II**] and DMSO [compounds **II-V**]) were recorded on a Bruker instrument at 360 and 90 MHz, respectively. MS spectra were obtained using Finningan Mass Spectrometer, Model SS \overline{Q} /7000. Silica gel for CC and silica gel GF₂₅₄ TLC (Merck) were used. Solvents used in UV measurements were chromatographic grade (BDH, Analar, UK).

3.2. Plant material

Artemisia monosperma Del. was collected from the Borg El-Arab region, 60 km west to Alexandria, in March 2004. The plant was identified by direct comparison with an authentic sample kept at the Department of Botany and Microbiology, Faculty of Science, University of Alexandria, Egypt.

3.3. Extraction and isolation

Six kg air-dried powdered roots of A. monosperma were extracted exhaustively with a mixture of petrol-eth.-MeOH (1:1:1) at room temperature. The dried extract (277 g) was fractionated successively with petrol, CHCl₃, EtOAc and n -BuOH. The CHCl₃ extract (25.5 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel column (765 g, 5.5 cm in diameter) using petrol and $CHCl₃$ mixtures as eluting solvents. Repeated washing with CH3OH of the deposit obtained from fractions eluted with 90% CHCl₃ in petrol, afforded 20 mg of white amorphous powder designated as compound I. The mother liquor of these fractions was subjected to a pTLC using solvent system (CHCl3- MeOH, $9:1$) and afforded 6 mg of compound I and 7 mg of a yellowish white powder designated as compound II. Fractions eluted with 95% CHCl3 in petrol, afforded 17 mg of a yellow powder designated as compound III.

EtOAc extract of the roots (9 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel column (270 g, 3.5 cm in diameter) using CHCl₃ and EtOAc mixtures as eluting solvents. Fractions eluted with 50% EtOAc in CHCl₃, afforded 13 mg of a pale orange powder, designated as compound IV. Fractions eluted with 70% EtOAc in CHCl₃, afforded 14 mg of a white powder, designated as compound V.

3.4. Compounds characterization

Compound **I** was obtained as a white amorphous powder. UV λ_{max} , nm (abs.) MeOH: 260 (0.50), 325 (0.60). IR v_{max} cm⁻¹: 3435 (O-H), 1726 (C=O), 1641 (C=C), 1053 (C–O). ¹H NMR : δ 6.21 (1 H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-3), 7.88 (1 H, d. $J = 7.1$ Hz, H-4), 6.09 (1 H, s, H-5), 6.09 (1 H, s, C-6-O<u>H</u>), 3.99 (3 H, s, C-7-OCH₃), 3.91 (3 H, s, C-8-OCH₃). ¹³C NMR: δ 159.69 (C-2), 113.25 (C-3), 139.14 (C-4), 103.13 (C-5), 138.88 (C-6), 133.3 (C-7), 60.67(C-7-OCH₃), 143.57 (C-8), 62.46(C-8-OCH₃), 149.29 (C-9), 108.63 (C-10). EI/MS m/z (rel. int.): 222 (50), 149 (18), 119 (10), 109 (20), 77 (17).

Compound II was obtained as yellowish white powder. UV λ_{max} , nm (abs.) MeOH: 270 (0.35), 330 (0.48). IR v_{max} cm⁻¹: 3430 (O-H), 1723 (C=O), 1635 (C=C), 1065 (C-O). ¹H NMR: δ 6.25 (1 H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-3), $7.93(1 \text{ H}, \text{ d. J} = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-4}$, 4.02 (3 H, s, C-5-OCH₃), 6.09 (1 H, s, C-6-O<u>H</u>) 3.83 (3 H, s, C-7-OCH₃), 6.62 (1 H, s, H-8). ¹³C NMR: δ 158.50 $(C-2)$, 112.98 $(C-3)$, 138.32 $(C-4)$, 148.15 $(C-5)$, 61.24 $(C-5-0\underline{C}H_3)$, 130.69 (C-6), 137.30 (C-7), 56.33(C-7-OCH₃), 95.52 (C-8), 151.50 (C-9), 107.20 (C-10). EI/MS m/z (rel. int.): 222 (50), 149 (18), 119 (10), 109 (20), 77 (17).

Compound III was obtained as yellow powder. UV λ_{max} , nm (abs.)
MeOH: 230 (1.50), 322 (1.55). IR v_{max} cm⁻¹: 3322 (O–H), 1704 (C=O),
1666 (C=C) 1022 (C–O). ¹H NMR: δ 6.12 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-3), 7.97 (1 H, d, J = 9.5 Hz, H-4), 9.8 (1 H, s, C-5-O<u>H)</u>, 3.74 (3 H, s, C-6-OCH₃), 3.90 (3 H, s, C-7-OCH₃), 6.45 (1 H, s, H-8). ¹³C NMR: δ 161.48 (C-2), 111.76 (C-3), 138.62 (C-4), 145.68 (C-5), 131.57 (C-6), 61.39 (C-6- OCH₃), 155.63 (C-7), 56.23 (C-7-OCH₃), 92.37 (C-8), 151.78 (C-9), 102.56 (C-10). EI/MS m/z (rel. int.): 222 (45), 149 (19), 135 (18), 109 (18)

Compound **IV** was obtained as pale orange powder. UV λ_{max} , nm (abs.) MeOH: 212 (2.96), 276 (1.01), 300 (sh.). IR v_{max} cm⁻¹: 3322 (O-H), 1704 (C=O), 1621 (C=C). ¹H NMR: δ 2.07 (1H, m, H-1'), 0.99 (1H, d, $J = 7.0, H-2'$, 2.53 (3 H, s, COC H_3), 3.70 (1 H, dd, $J = 6.1, 12$ Hz, CH₂OH), 3.77 (1 H, dd, J = 7, 12 Hz, CH₂OH), 5.04 (1 H, m, H-2), 3.08 $(1\overline{H}, dd, J = 6.9, 13.5 Hz, H-3\alpha), 3.31 (\overline{H}, dd, J = 7.5, 13.5 Hz, H-3\beta),$ 7.84 (1 H, d, J = 2 Hz, H-4), 7.80 (1 H, dd, J = 7.5, 2 Hz, H-6), 6.78 $(1 \text{ H}, \text{ d}, \text{ J} = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-7}).$ ¹³C NMR: δ 40.53 (C-1'), 10.73 (C-2'), 26.42 $(COCH_3)$, 196.72 $(C=O)$, 65.15 (CH_2OH) , 85.48 $(C-2)$, 32.38 $(C-3)$, 108.69 (C-4), 130.47 (C-5), 130.64 (C-6), 125.47 (C-7), 164.09 (C-8), 127.67 (C-9), EI/MS m/z (rel. int.): 220(5), 167(45), 113(20), 71(43), 55(75).

Compound V was obtained as a white powder. ¹H NMR: δ 3.42 (2H, m, H-1), 4.05 (1 H, d, J = 5 Hz, H-3), 3.85 (1 H, t, H-4), 3.62 (1 H, m, H-5), 3.48 (2 H, m, H-6), 3.25 (3 H, s, OCH₃). ¹³C NMR: δ 60.95 (C-1), 103.94 $(C-2)$, 76.65 $(C-3)$, 75.26 $(C-4)$, 81.92 $(C-5)$, 62.60 $(C-6)$, 48.61 $(OCH₃)$. EI/MS m/z (rel. int.): 194 (10), 180 (5), 149 (18), 73 (35), 56 (100).

3.5. Antimicrobial activity

The prepared sterile herbal extract was serially twofold diluted with sterile distilled water in sterile test tubes to a final volume of 5 ml. Each dilution of the herbal extract was aseptically well mixed with 5 ml double strength sterile molten agar which was then poured in sterile Petri dishes (90 mm in diameter). A control plate was carried out side by side with the experiment by replacing the 5 ml of the extract with sterile distilled water. The plates were allowed to set for complete solidification of the medium.

The microorganisms under test were inoculated in sterile nutrient broth and incubated at 37 °C for 18 h. The microbial culture was 10-fold diluted using sterile saline, and aliquots of 10μ l of the dilution were then dropped onto the surface of the dried plates using a micropipette fitted with sterile disposable plastic tips. The inoculated plates were allowed to stand at room temperature until the drops were absorbed and incubated in an inverted position at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h.

The plates were then visually inspected for microbial growth and the MIC was taken as the lowest concentration of the herbal extract resulting in a complete inhibition of the growth (Jennifer 2001).

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Dr. Hoda G. Omar, Department of Pharmaceutical Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Alexandria, Egypt for carrying out the preliminary antimicrobial study.

References

Abu-Niaaj L, Abu-Zarga M, Sabri S, Abdalla S (1993) Isolation and biological effects of 7-O-methyleriodictyol, a flavanone isolated from Artemisia monosperma on rat isolated smooth muscles. Planta Med 59: 42–45.

ORIGINAL ARTICLES

- Al-Hazimi HMG, Basha RM, Al-Zoman MM (1992) A p-coumaric acid and flavonoids from Artemisia monosperma. Oriental J Chem 8: 203– 207.
- Bohlmann F, Suwita A, Robinson H, King RM (1979) Ein neues Toxol-Derivat aus Austrobrickellia patens. Phytochemistry 18: 2055.
- Hammouda FM, Rizk AM, Ismail SI, Hassan NM (1978) Isolation of an acetophenone derivative and coumarins from Artemisia monosperma Del. Fitoterapia 49: 53–55.
- Hansel R, Cybulski E-M, Cubukcu B, Mericli AH, Bohlmann F, Zdero, C (1980) Neue Pyron-Derivate aus Helichrysum-Arten. Phytochemistry 19: 639–644.
- Jennifer MA (2001) Determination of MIC. Journal of antimicrobial Chemotherapy 48 , Suppl. 51, 5-16.
- Kalinowsti HO, Burger S, Braun S (1984) ¹³C Spektroskopie; George Thieme Verlag. Stuttgart, New York.
- Kayser O, Kolodziej H (1995) Highly oxygenated coumarins from Pelargonium sidoides. Phytochemistry 39: 1181–1185.
- Kiso Y, Ogasawara S, Hirota K, Watanabe N, Oshima Y, Konno C, Hikino H (1984) Antihepatotoxic principles of Artemisia capillaris Buds. Planta Med 50: 81–85.
- Kostova I (1992) Hydroxycoumarins from Fraxinus ornus bark. Planta Med 58: 484.
- Proksch P, Rodriguez E (1983) Chromones and benzofurans of the Asteraceae, their chemistry and biological significance. Phytochemistry 22: 2335–2348.
- Roitman JN, James LF (1985) Chemistry of toxic range plants highly oxygenated flavonol methyl ethers from Gutierrezia microcephala. Phytochemistry 24: 835–848.
- Saleh NAM, El-Negoumy SI, Abou-Zaid MM (1987) Flavonoids of Artemisia judaica, A. monosperma and A. herba-alba. Phytochemistry 26: 3059–3064.
- Tan RX, Zheng WF, Tang HQ (1998) Biologically active substances from the genus Artemisia. Planta Med 64: 295–302.
- Wagner H, Bladt S (1974) New coumarin from Pelargonium reniforme. Tetrahedron Lett: 3807–3808.
- Wagner H, Bladt S (1975) Coumarine aus Südafrikanischen Pelargonium-Arten. Phytochemistry 14: 2061–2064.
- Wagner H, Bladt S, Zgainsk EM (1996) Plant Drug Analysis, A Thin Layer Chromatography Atlas. 2nd ed., Translated by Scott, Th., A., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York.
- Wu T-S, Tsang Z-J, Wu P-L, Lin F-W, Li C-Y, Teng C-M, Lee K-H (2001) New constituents and antplatelet aggregation and anti-HIV principles of Artemisia capillaries. Med Chem 9: 77-83.
- Yoshikawa M, Shimada H, Matsuda H, Yamahara J, Murakami N (1996) Bioactive constituents of Chinese natural medicines. I. New sesquiterpene ketones with vasorelaxant effect from Chinese Moxa, the processed leaves of Artemisia argyi Levl. et Vant.: Moxartenone and Moxartenolide. Chem Pharm Bull 44: 1656–1662.
- Zheng G-Q (1994) Cytotoxic terpenoids and flavonoids from Artemisia annua. Planta Med 60: 54–57.