### **ORIGINAL ARTICLES**

State Key Laboratory of Food Science and Technology<sup>1</sup>, Nanchang University; Department of Pharmacy<sup>2</sup>, NanChang University Medical College; Department of Cardiology<sup>3</sup>; the Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, P.R. China

### Synthesis of 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives as aminopeptidase N inhibitors

SHAO-HUA LI<sup>1,2</sup>, GANG LI<sup>2</sup>, HUI-MING HUANG<sup>2</sup>, FANG XIONG<sup>3</sup>, XI MAI<sup>2</sup>, BIN-HAI KUANG<sup>2</sup>, CHENG-MEI LIU<sup>1</sup>, GUO-GANG TU<sup>2</sup>

Received May 26, 2008, accepted June 30, 2008

Prof. Cheng-Mei Liu, State Key Laboratory of Food Science and Technology, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330047, P.R. China Dr.Guo-Gang Tu, Department of Pharmacy, NanChang University Medical College, NanChang, 330006, P.R. China tugg199@yahoo.com

Pharmazie 64: 67–70 (2009)

doi: 10.1691/ph.2009.8630

Aminopeptidase N (APN) is a zinc-dependent ectopeptidase which plays an important role in the invasion of metastatic tumors. In this study, we report the synthesis and *in vitro* enzyme inhibition assay of 1,3,4-thiadiazole scaffold compounds. These new compounds have potent inhibitory activities toward APN with IC<sub>50</sub> values in the micromolar range.

### 1. Introduction

Aminopeptidase N (APN), also known as CD13, is a widespread ectopeptidase in mammalian tissues including the central nervous system, kidney, intestine and lung which preferentially releases neutral and basic amino acids from the N-terminal end of peptides (Riemann et al. 1999a). APN has been reported to play an important role in the invasion of metastatic tumors in vitro (Saiki et al. 1993; Menrad et al. 1993). Observations show enhanced APN levels in tumor cells including melanoma (Fujii et al. 1995), renal, pancreas (Ikeda et al. 2003), colon (Hashida et al. 2002), prostate (Ishii et al. 2001), gastric (Carl-McGrath et al. 2004), and thyroid cancers (Kehlen et al. 2003). Tumor-infiltrating T cells in lung and renal cancers are CD13positive (Riemann et al. 1994b). APN activity is elevated in plasma and effusions of cancer patients (van Hensbergen et al. 2002). APN activity on neutrophils from patients affected by a rare adrenal gland tumor, adrenal pheochromocytoma, is significantly increased as compared with healthy controls (Balog et al. 2003). APN is overexpressed in acute and chronic myeloid leukemias (Boldt et al. 1994; Tatsumi et al. 2002) and in anaplastic large cell lymphomas (Popnikolov et al. 2000; Dunphy et al. 2000). Overexpression of APN in T lymphocytes or neutrophils occurs in several inflammatory diseases (chronic pain, various forms of joint effusions, rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, systemic sclerosis, systemic lupus erythematosus, polymyositis/dermatomyosytis, pulmonary sarcoidosis) (Dan et al. 2003; Abe et al. 1998). All these findings make this enzyme an interesting target for possible therapeutic applications, which require the development of potent and selective inhibitors. APN inhibition by bestatin leads to a loss of motility. Likewise, CD13 antibodies inhibit cell growth and cell motility (Antczak et al. 2001; Lohn et al. 2002).

Natural products including bestatin, actinonin (Grujić et al. 2002), MA-387A and B (Bauvois et al. 2006) and amastatin

(Harding et al. 1987) have been found to act as inhibitors of APN. Synthetic APN inhibitors have been developed from analogues of amino acids such as aminohydroxamates, aminoboronic acids (Shenvi et al. 1986),  $\beta$ -aminothiols (Fournié-Zaluski et al. 1992), aminoaldehydes (Andersson et al. 1982) and aminophosphonic acids. Among them, only  $\beta$ -aminothiols are both selective and relatively potent inhibitors.

Inhibitors containing zinc-binding groups (ZBGs) should be designed to inhibit the activity of APN. This class of inhibitors can interact with the zinc ions of zinc-dependent metalloenzymes and sequentially inhibit the metastatic spread of tumors and block the processes of tumor neovascularization (Becker et al. 1995). There are two hydrophobic domains beside the catalytic activity center of APN, called pockets  $S_1$  and  $S'_1$ . The hydrophobic thiadiazole and aromatic ring may interact with pockets  $S_1$  and  $S'_1$ . APN exhibits a broad specificity for peptides with a N-terminal neutral or basic amino acid such as alanine, arginine or leucine. If we link thiadiazole with alanine and the aromatic ring, the resulting 1,3,4-thiadiazole scaffold compounds should be capable of inhibiting the enzymatic activity of APN. So different 1,3,4thiadiazole derivatives were designed and synthesized (Levy et al. 1942; Song et al. 1992).

### 2. Investigations, results and discussion

We prepared analogues substituting the phenyl ring in position 5 of the 1,3,4-thiadiazole moiety with various halogens (**1b-d**, **2b-d**, **3b-d**), electron-withdrawing groups (**1e**, **2e**, **3e**), and moderately electron-donating groups (**1f-g**, **2f-g**, **3f-g**) and assessed the effects that these changes had on APN and MMP-2 inhibitory activity. All the inhibition results are summarized in the Table. The inhibition results showed that most of the target compounds display excellent potency toward APN with IC<sub>50</sub> values lying in a micromolar

Structure	Compounds	R	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>a</sup> /µM
	1a 1b 1c 1d 1e 1f 1g	$-H$ $-F$ $-Cl$ $-Br$ $-NO_{2}$ $-CH_{3}$ $-OCH_{3}$	$170.1 \pm 12.8 \\ 190.5 \pm 16.3 \\ 287.5 \pm 12.8 \\ 308.9 \pm 17.6 \\ 317.8 \pm 118.3 \\ 117.8 \pm 17.5 \\ 69.8 \pm 7.1 \\ 117.8 \pm 17.5 \\ 117.8 \pm 17.8 \\ 117.8$
	2a 2b 2c 2d 2e 2f 2g	$-H$ $-F$ $-Cl$ $-Br$ $-NO_{2}$ $-CH_{3}$ $-OCH_{3}$	$141.6 \pm 12.9 \\ 169.0 \pm 14.6 \\ 205.8 \pm 17.9 \\ 296.6 \pm 18.6 \\ 309.1 \pm 10.8 \\ 107.0 \pm 17.5 \\ 39.9 \pm 4.5$
$R \xrightarrow{N-N}_{H} \xrightarrow{0}_{O} \xrightarrow{N-N}_{O} \xrightarrow{0}_{O} \xrightarrow{0} \xrightarrow{0}_{O} \xrightarrow{0} \xrightarrow{0}_{O} \xrightarrow{0}_{O} 0$	3a 3b 3c 3d 3e 3f 3g	$-H$ $-F$ $-Cl$ $-Br$ $-NO_{2}$ $-CH_{3}$ $-OCH_{3}$	$\begin{array}{c} 373.2 \pm 14.3 \\ 115.3 \pm 12.4 \\ 96.4 \pm 3.2 \\ 107.3 \pm 18.1 \\ 167.8 \pm 12.6 \\ 337.8 \pm 15.9 \\ 48.8 \pm 8.0 \end{array}$
Bestatin			$8.5\pm0.6$

Table: In vitro enzyme assay results for compounds 1-3 and bestatin

<sup>a</sup> Values are means of three experiments, standard deviation is given

level. Comparing phenylamide and benzylamide analogues (1a-g, 2a-g), halogens and electron-withdrawing groups attached to the 4-position of the phenyl ring decreased the potency against APN. However, The electron-donating group (1f-g, 2f-g) improved the inhibitory activity against APN. Substitution by electron-donating substituents produces an electron-sufficient phenyl ring, which may improve aryl-aryl stacking interactions with aromatic side chains in the active site of APN. The more active compound 2g was introduced the flexible benzylamide which may improve the interaction with the active site of APN. Halogens, electronwithdrawing groups and electron-donating groups of benzylsulfonamide analogues all increased the potency against APN. In addition, all the target compounds show inactivity toward MMP-2 which is a zinc-dependent endopeptidase associated with the tumorigenic process. MMP-2 is a zincdependent endopeptidase that can cut the peptide to parts from the specific amino acid residue of the peptide. However, APN is a membrane-bound zinc exopeptidase that catalyzes the removal of the NH-terminal amino acid from the peptide. Due to the structural differences between MMP-2 and APN, there were different structural requirements for their respective inhibitors. So, most of compounds show more inhibitory activities on APN than on MMPs due to the recognition of APN.

In conclusion, we have developed a new series of potent APN inhibitors. Most of the compounds have potent inhibitory activities toward APN and could be used as lead compounds for the development of low molecular-weight peptidomimetic APN inhibitors. The critical point in designing selective inhibitors is to optimize the recognition of APN.

### 3. Experimental

#### 3.1. Chemistry

Unless otherwise noted, materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. All the solvents except DMF have been distilled before use. All reactions were monitored by TLC on 0.25 mm silica gel plates (60GF-254) and visualized with UV light. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (200–300 mesh). ESI- MS were determined on an Aglient-1100 series LC/MSD trap spectrometer. IR were recorded on a FTIR-8400 spectrometer. Melting points were determined on a electrothermal melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker-400. The chemical shifts are expressed in δ values (parts per million) relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard. Significant <sup>1</sup>H NMR data are reported in the following order: multiplicity (s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet) number of protons.

# 3.1.1. General procedure for the preparation of 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives. Synthesis of (2R)-N-[5-phenyl-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(benzoyl)-amino]-propanamide (1a)

N,N-Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (6.2 mmol) was added to a cooled solution of N-benzoyl- D-alanine (6.0 mmol) and N-hydroxystinimide (6.0 mmol) in freshly distillation dioxane (30 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The insoluble material was filtered off and washed with cold dioxane. 5-Phenyl-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-Amino (5.6 mmol) was added to the filtrate and the reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residual was dissolved in EtOAc and the insoluble material was filtered off. The filtrate was washed successively with saturated Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> solution  $(20 \text{ ml}, \times 3)$ , water  $(20 \text{ ml}, \times 1)$ , 0.1 M HCl  $(20 \text{ ml}, \times 3)$  and water (20 ml,  $\times$  1). The organic layer was evaporated in vacuo, the residual was recrystallized from methanol/acetonitrile (5:1) and dried to afford the target compound as white solid 1.27 g, yield: 64.5%; m.p.: 220-222 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3436, 3243 ( $\nu_{NH}$ ), 1700, 1654 ( $\nu_{C=0}$ ), 689 ( $\nu_{C-S}$ ), 1638  $(v_{C=N})$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  1.49–1.51 (d, J = 7.08 Hz, 3H), 4.69– 4.76 (m, 1H), 7.48-7.59 (m, 6H), 7.88-7.95 (t, J = 12.8Hz, 4H), 8.82-8.84 (d, J = 6.04 Hz, 1 H), 12.86 (s, 1 H); ESI-MS: m/z  $[M + H]^+$  353.3.

#### 3.1.2. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(benzoyl)amino]- propanamide (**1b**)

Yield: 60.2%; m.p.: 170–171 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3275, 3166  $(\upsilon_{NH}),$  1701, 1655  $(\upsilon_{C=0}),$  694  $(\upsilon_{C-S}),$  1635  $(\upsilon_{C=N});$   $^1H$  NMR (DMSO-d\_6):  $\delta$  1.47–1.49 (d, J = 7.12 Hz, 3H), 4.68–4.72 (t, J = 6.76 Hz, 1H), 7.36–7.40 (t, J = 8.74 Hz, 2H), 7.48–7.51 (t, J = 7.36 Hz, 2H), 7.55–7.58 (t, J = 6.94 Hz, 1H), 7.91–7.93 (d, J = 8.04 Hz, 2H), 7.98–8.02 (t, J = 6.90 Hz, 2H), 8.84–8.85 (d, J = 5.84 Hz, 1H), 12.89 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]^+ 371.1.

3.1.3. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(benzoyl)amino]-propanamide (1c)

Yield: 69.8%; m.p.: 216–217 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3333, 3159 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1698,1643 ( $\upsilon_{C=O}$ ), 691 ( $\upsilon_{C-S}$ ), 1630 ( $\upsilon_{C=N}$ );  $^{1}H$  NMR (DMSO-d\_6):  $\delta$  1.48–1.50 (d, J = 7.12 Hz, 3H), 4.69–4.73 (t, J = 6.72 Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.60 (m, 5H), 7.92–7.97 (t, J = 9.28 Hz, 4H), 8.87–8.88 (d, J = 5.96 Hz, 1H), 12.95 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]^+ 387.1.

3.1.4. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Bromophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(benzoyl)amino]-propanamide (1d)

Yield: 42.5%; m.p.: 191–193 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3328, 3166  $(\upsilon_{NH}),$  1702, 1648  $(\upsilon_{C=0}),$  692  $(\upsilon_{C-S});$   $^{1}H$  NMR (DMSO-d\_6):  $\delta$  1.47–1.49 (d, J = 7.12 Hz, 3H), 4.69–4.72 (t, J = 6.78 Hz, 1H), 7.47–7.74 (m, 5H), 7.88–7.90 (d, J = 8.44 Hz, 2H), 7.92–7.93 (d, J = 7.44 Hz, 2H), 8.86–8.88 (d, J = 6.04 Hz, 1H), 12.95 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z  $[M+H]^+$  432.9.

3.1.5. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Nitrorophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(benzoyl)amino]-propanamide (1e)

Yield: 20.7%; m.p.: 225–226 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3415, 3333 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1695, 1650 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ), 693 ( $\upsilon_{C=S}$ ), 1628 ( $\upsilon_{C=N}$ ), 1517 ( $\upsilon_{SNO2}$ ), 1347 ( $\upsilon_{SNO2}$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  1.49–1.50 (d, J = 7.20 Hz, 3H), 4.70–4.73 (t, J = 6.74 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.52 (t, J = 7.44 Hz, 2H), 7.56–7.57 (d, J = 7.24 Hz, 1H), 7.92–7.95 (t, J = 6.46 Hz, 2H), 8.23–8.25 (d, J = 8.76 Hz, 2H), 8.35–8.38 (d, J = 8.80 Hz, 2H), 8.89–8.90 (d, J = 6.04 Hz, 1H), 13.10 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 398.1.

3.1.6. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Methylphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(benzoyl)amino]-propanamide (1f)

Yield: 29.2%; m.p.: 189–190 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3301, 3165 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1703, 1655 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ), 691 ( $\upsilon_{C-S}$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  1.49–1.51 (d, J = 7.20 Hz, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 4.71–4.74 (t, J = 6.84 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.35 (d, J = 8.04 Hz, 2H), 7.48–7.59 (m, 3H), 7.82–7.84 (d, J = 8.12 Hz, 2H), 7.93–7.95 (t, J = 4.30 Hz, 2H), 8.82–8.84 (d, J = 6.32 Hz, 1H), 12.83 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 365.2.

3.1.7. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(benzoyl)amino]-propanamide (1g)

Yield: 40.3%; m.p.: 155–156 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3407, 3298  $(\upsilon_{NH}),$  1699, 1660  $(\upsilon_{C=0}),$  692  $(\upsilon_{C=S});$   $^{1}H$  NMR (DMSO-d\_6):  $\delta$  1.47–1.49 (d, J = 7.12 Hz, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 4.69 (s, 1H), 7.07–7.09 (d, J = 8.68 Hz, 2H), 7.48–7.51 (t, J = 7.36 Hz, 2H), 7.55–7.57 (d, J = 7.08 Hz, 1H), 7.86–7.89 (d, J = 8.68 Hz, 2H), 7.92–7.93 (d, J = 7.36 Hz, 2H), 8.84–8.86 (d, J = 6.08 Hz, 1H), 12.82 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M+H]^+ 383.1.

#### 3.1.8. (2R)-N-[5-phenyl-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylacetyl)amino]-propanamide (2a)

Yield: 14.0%; m.p.: 245–247 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3242, 3184 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1712, 1646 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ), 688 ( $\upsilon_{C=S}$ );  $^{1}H$  NMR (DMSO-d\_6):  $\delta$  1.36–1.39 (d, J = 7.12 Hz, 3H), 3.48–3.55 (t, J = 15.08 Hz, 2H), 4.49–4.56 (m, 1H), 7.20–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.27–7.32 (m, 4H), 7.53–7.54 (t, J = 2.78 Hz, 3H), 7.93–7.96 (m, 2H), 8.59–8.61 (d, J = 6.32 Hz, 1H), 12.80 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]^+ 367.3.

# 3.1.9. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylacetyl)-amino]-propanamide (2b)

Yield: 41.8%; m.p.: 140–141 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3397, 3309 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1700, 1650 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ), 696 ( $\upsilon_{C-S}$ );  $^{1}H$  NMR (DMSO-d\_6):  $\delta$  1.34–1.36 (d, J = 7.12 Hz, 3H), 3.50 (s, 2H), 4.48–4.51 (t, J = 6.94 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.32 (m, 5H), 7.36–7.41 (t, J = 8.74 Hz, 2H), 7.99–8.02 (t, J = 6.95 Hz, 2H), 8.63–8.64 (d, J = 6.20 Hz, 1H), 12.84 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + Na]^+ 407.1.

3.1.10. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylacetyl)-amino]-propanamide (2c)

Yield: 35.9%; m.p.: 120–121 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3418, 3175 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1703, 1650 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ), 695 ( $\upsilon_{C-S}$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCI<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.55–1.57 (d, J = 7.40 Hz, 3H), 3.53–3.61 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 15.08 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 17.40 Hz, 2H), 4.80–4.87 (m, 1H), 7.09–7.15 (m, 5H), 7.48–7.50 (d, J = 8.48 Hz, 2H), 7.77–7.80 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 1.72 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 6.96 Hz, 2H), 7.89–7.91 (d, J = 6.88 Hz, 1H), 13.05 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 401.2.

#### 3.1.11. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Bromophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylacetyl)amino]-propanamide (2d)

Yield: 26.6%; m.p.: 161–162 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3366, 3262  $(\upsilon_{NH}),$  1695, 1648  $(\upsilon_{C=0}),$  695  $(\upsilon_{C-S});$   $^{1}H$  NMR (DMSO-d\_6):  $\delta$  1.35–1.36 (d, J = 7.12 Hz, 3H), 3.50 (s, 2H), 4.48–4.52 (t, J = 6.84 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.32 (m, 5H), 7.72–7.75 (d, J = 8.40 Hz, 2H), 7.88–7.91 (d, J = 8.40 Hz, 2H), 8.64–8.65 (d, J = 6.24 Hz, 1H), 12.88 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]^+446.9.

3.1.12. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Nitrorophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylacetyl)-amino]-propanamide (2e)

Yield: 44.3%; m.p.: 218–220 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3405, 3277 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1699, 1651 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ), 690 ( $\upsilon_{C-S}$ ), 1521 ( $\upsilon_{SNO2}$ ), 1344 ( $\upsilon_{SNO2}$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  1.34–1.36 (d, J = 7.12 Hz, 3H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 4.48–4.51

(t, J = 6.84 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.32 (m, 5H), 7.33–7.35 (d, J = 7.96 Hz, 2H), 7.82–7.84 (d, J = 7.96 Hz, 2H), 8.62–8.64 (d, J = 6.28 Hz, 1H), 12.79 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z  $[M+H]^+$  412.3.

3.1.13. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Methylphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylacetyl)-amino]-propanamide (2f)

Yield: 32.1%; m.p.: 185–186 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3421, 3301 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1699, 1647 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ), 695 ( $\upsilon_{C-S}$ ), 1624 ( $\upsilon_{C=N}$ );  $^1H$  NMR (DMSO-d\_6):  $\delta$ 1.34–1.36 (d, J = 7.12 Hz, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 4.48–4.51 (t, J = 6.84 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.32 (m, 5H), 7.33–7.35 (d, J = 7.96 Hz, 2H), 7.82–7.84 (d, J = 7.96 Hz, 2H), 8.62–8.64 (d, J = 6.28 Hz, 1H), 12.79 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]^+ 381.1.

#### 3.1.14. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylacetyl)amino]-propanamide (2g)

Yield: 38.8%; m.p.: 142–143 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3329, 3165 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1690, 1670 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ), 695 ( $\upsilon_{C-S}$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  1.34–1.36 (d, J = 7.08 Hz, 3H), 3.46–3.53 (t, J = 15.36 Hz, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 4.48–4.51 (t, J = 6.80 Hz, 1H), 7.07–7.09 (d, J = 8.68 Hz, 2H), 7.22–7.32 (m, 5H), 7.87–7.89 (d, J = 8.60 Hz, 2H), 8.61–8.63 (d, J = 6.24 Hz, 1H), 12.75 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 397.1.

3.1.15. (2R)-N-[5-phenyl-1,3,4- thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylsulfonyl)amino]-propanamide (3a)

Yield: 25.8%; m.p.: 258–260 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3447, 3175 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1700 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ), 1321 ( $\upsilon_{8O=S=0}$ ), 1147 ( $\upsilon_{8O=S=0}$ ), 689 ( $\upsilon_{C-S}$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>0</sub>):  $\delta$  1.24–1.25 (d, J = 7.04 Hz, 3H), 4.16–4.23 (m, 1H), 7.51–7.56 (m, 6H), 7.79–7.82 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 1.36 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 7.72 Hz, 2H), 7.94–7.92 (m, 2H), 8.42–8.40 (d, J = 7.96Hz, 1H), 12.72 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 389.2.

# 3.1.16. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylsulfonyl)amino]-propanamide $(\mathbf{3b})$

Yield: 38.6%; m.p.: 199–200 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3423, 3182 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1696 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ), 1323 ( $\upsilon_{aS_{0}=S=0}$ ), 1149 ( $\upsilon_{S_{0}=S=0}$ ), 690 ( $\upsilon_{C-S}$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  1.21–1.23 (d, J = 7.04 Hz, 3H), 4.15–4.18 (t, J = 7.32 Hz, 1H), 7.36–7.41 (t, J = 8.76 Hz, 2H), 7.50–7.56 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 7.92 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 15.36 Hz, 3H), 7.77–7.79 (t, J = 3.94 Hz, 2H), 7.97–8.01 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 5.44 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 8.56 Hz, 2H), 8.43–8.45 (d, J = 7.96 Hz, 1H), 12.76 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 407.0.

3.1.17. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylsulfonyl)-amino]-propanamide (3c)

Yield: 80.1%; m.p.: 226–228 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3446, 3196 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1703 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ), 1315 ( $\upsilon_{80=S=0}$ ), 1151 ( $\upsilon_{80=S=0}$ ), 691 ( $\upsilon_{C-S}$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  1.21–1.23 (d, J = 7.08 Hz, 3H), 4.14–4.21 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.57 (m, 5H), 7.77–7.80 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 1.40 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 7.56 Hz, 2H), 7.91–7.93 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 1.84 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 6.80 Hz, 2H), 8.38–8.40 (d, J = 8.00 Hz, 1H), 12.74 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 423.1.

## 3.1.18. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Bromophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylsulfonyl)-amino]-propanamide (3d)

Yield: 22.8%; m.p.: 240–241 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3430, 3185 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1708 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ), 1315 ( $\upsilon_{s_{O}=S=0}$ ), 1151 ( $\upsilon_{s_{O}=S=0}$ ), 691 ( $\upsilon_{C-S}$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  1.22–1.23 (d, J = 6.96 Hz, 3H), 4.16–4.19 (t, J = 7.30 Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.55 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 7.04 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 14.44 Hz, 3H), 7.72–7.74 (d, J = 8.36 Hz, 2H), 7.78–7.80 (d, J = 6.64 Hz, 2H), 7.86–7.89 (d, J = 8.36 Hz, 2H), 8.44–8.46 (d, J = 7.96 Hz, 1H), 12.80 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 468.9.

3.1.19. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Nitrorophenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylsulfonyl)amino]-propanamide (3e)

Yield: 46.8%; m.p.: 206–208 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3428, 3198 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1695 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ),1320 ( $\upsilon_{aS_{O}=S=0}$ ), 1148 ( $\upsilon_{SO=S=0}$ ), 691 ( $\upsilon_{C-S}$ ),1523 ( $\upsilon_{aS_{OO2}}$ ), 1345 ( $\upsilon_{NO2}$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  1.23–1.25 (d, J = 6.96 Hz, 3H), 4.17–4.20 (t, J = 7.32 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.56 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 7.16 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 14.64 Hz, 3H), 7.78–7.80 (d, J = 6.68 Hz, 2H), 8.21–8.23 (d, J = 8.68 Hz, 2H), 8.36–8.38 (d, J = 8.68 Hz, 2H), 8.46–8.48 (d, J = 8.08 Hz, 1H), 12.95 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 434.3.

3.1.20. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Methylphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylsulfonyl)-amino]-propanamide (3f)

Yield: 31.5%; m.p.: 215–216 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3436, 3164 ( $\upsilon_{NH}$ ), 1699 ( $\upsilon_{C=0}$ ), 1315 ( $\upsilon_{80=S=0}$ ), 1151 ( $\upsilon_{80=S=0}$ ), 691 ( $\upsilon_{C=S}$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  1.21–1.23 (d, J = 6.80 Hz, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 4.15–4.19 (t, J = 7.12 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.36 (d, J = 7.80 Hz, 2H), 7.52–7.56 (t, J = 6.98 Hz, 3H), 7.78–7.82 (t, J = 7.66 Hz, 4H), 8.41–8.43 (d, J = 7.72 Hz, 1H), 12.70 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 403.0.

3.1.21. (2R)-N-[5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-2-[(phenylsul-fonyl)amino]-propanamide (3g)

Yield: 10.9%; m.p.: 237–238 °C; IR (KBr,  $\sigma/cm^{-1}$ ): 3445, 3205  $(\upsilon_{NH}),$  1700  $(\upsilon_{C=0}),$  1304  $(\upsilon_{30=S=0}),$  1151  $(\upsilon_{30=S=0}),$  691  $(\upsilon_{C=S});$   $^1H$  NMR (DMSO-d\_6):  $\delta$  1.21–1.23 (d, J=6.96 Hz, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 4.16 (s, 1H), 7.08–7.10 (d, J=8.64 Hz, 2H), 7.51–7.5 (dd,  $J_1=7.72$  Hz,  $J_2=15.20$  Hz, 3H), 7.78–7.79 (d, J=6.76 Hz, 2H), 7.85–7.87 (d, J=8.60 Hz, 2H), 8.41–8.43 (d, J=7.80 Hz, 1H), 12.67 (s, 1H); ESI-MS: m/z [M+H]^+ 419.0.

#### 3.2. Enzyme inhibition assay (in vitro)

The target compounds were evaluated for inhibitory activity toward APN and MMP-2. Bestatin was used as the positive control. The target compounds were assayed for the inhibitory activities against APN using L-leucine p-nitroanilide as the substrate (Lejczak et al. 1989). The compound and the enzyme were dissolved in phosphate sodium buffer (pH 7.2, 50 mM), and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The substrate was added and incubated at 37 °C for another 60 min. The resulting solutions were measured under 405 nm to gain OD405 values, which were then used to calculate the inhibitory rates by  $[OD_{405}(100\%) - OD_{405}(compound)]/[OD_{405}(100\%) - OD_{405}(blank)] \cdot 100\%.$ MMP assay was performed according to the literature (Baragi et al. 2000) in 96-well microtiter plates using succinylated gelatin as the substrate. The compound and the enzyme were dissolved in sodium borate buffer (pH 8.5, 50 mM), and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The substrate was added and incubated at 37 °C for another 60 min. Then 0.03% picrylsulfonic acid solution was added and incubated at 37 °C for an additional 20 min. The resulting solutions were measured under 450 nm to gain OD450 values, which were then used to calculate the inhibitory rates by  $[OD_{450}(100\%) OD_{450}(compound)]/[OD_{450}(100\%) - OD_{450}(blank)] \cdot 100\%$ . There were three parallels in the tests. The IC50 value was calculated from a sigmoid curve fitted to the inhibitor-response data using Origin data analysis software.

#### References

- Abe T, Yamamoto Y, Hazato T (1998) Changes in aminopeptidase N located on neutrophils derived from patients with chronic pain. Masui 47: 151–155.
- Andersson L, Isley TC, Wolfenden R (1982) alpha-aminoaldehydes: transition state analogue inhibitors of leucine aminopeptidase. Biochemistry 21: 4177–4180.
- Antczak C, De Meester I, Bauvois B (2001) Ectopeptidases in pathophysiology. Bioassays 23: 251–260.
- Balog T, Marotti T, Sverko V, Marott M, Krolo I, Rocic B, Karapanda, N (2003) Enkephalin degradating enzymes in pheochromocytoma patients. Oncol Rep10: 253–258.
- Baragi VM, Shaw BJ, Renkiewicz RR, Kuipers PJ, Welgus HG, Mathrubutham M, Cohen JR, Rao SK (2000) A versatile assay for gelatinases using succinylated gelatin. Matrix Biol 19: 267–273.
- Bauvois B, Dauzonne D (2006) Aminopeptidase-N/CD13 (EC 3.4.11.2) inhibitors: chemistry, biological evaluations, and therapeutic prospects. Med Res Rev 26: 88–130.
- Becker JW, Marcy AI, Rokosz LL, Axel MG, Burbaum JJ, Fitzgerald PM, Cameron PM, Esser CK, Hagmann WK, Hermes JD, Springer JP (1995) Stromelysin-1: three-dimensional structure of the inhibited catalytic domain and of the C-truncated proenzyme. Protein Sci 4: 1966–1976.
- Boldt DH, Kopecky KJ, Head D, Gehly G, Radich JP, Appelbaum FR (1994) Expression of myeloid antigens by blast cells in acute lymphoblastic leukemia of adults. The Southwest Oncology Group experience. Leukemia 8: 2118–2126.
- Carl-McGrath S, Lendeckel U, Ebert M, Wolter AB, Roessner A, Rocken C (2004) The ectopeptidases CD10, CD13, CD26, and CD143 are upregulated in gastric cancer. Int J Oncol 25: 1223–1232.
- Dan H, Tani K, Hase K, Shimizu T, Tamiya H, Biraa Y, Huang L, Yanagawa H, Sone S (2003) CD13/aminopeptidase N in collagen vascular diseases. Rheumatol Int 23: 271–276.

- Dunphy CH, Gardner LJ, Manes JL, Bee CS, Taysi K (2000) CD30<sup>+</sup> anaplastic large-cell lymphoma with aberrant expression of CD13: Case report and review of the literature. J Clin Lab Anal 14: 299–304.
- Fournié-Zaluski MC, Coric P, Turcaud S, Bruetschy L, Lucas E, Noble F, Roques BP (1992) Potent and systemically active aminopeptidase N inhibitors designed from active-site investigation. J Med Chem 35: 1259– 1266.
- Fujii H, Nakajima M, Saiki I, Yoneda J, Azuma I, Tsuruo T (1995) Human melanoma invasion and metastasis enhancement by high expression of aminopeptidase N/CD13. Clin Exp Metastasis 13: 337–344.
- Grujić M, Renko M (2002) Aminopeptidase inhibitors bestatin and actinonin inhibit cell proliferation of myeloma cells predominantly by intracellular interactions. Cancer Lett 182: 113–119.
- Harding JW, Felix D (1987) The effects of the aminopeptidase inhibitors amastatin and bestatin on angiotensin-evoked neuronal activity in rat brain. Brain Res 424: 299–304.
- Hashida H, Takabayashi A, Kanai M, Adachi M, Kondo K, Kohno N, Yamaoka Y, Miyake M (2002) Aminopeptidase N is involved in cell motility and angiogenesis: Its clinical significance in human colon cancer. Gastroenterology 122: 376–386.
- Ikeda N, Nakajima Y, Tokuhara T, Hattori N, Sho M, Kanehiro H, Miyake M (2003) Clinical significance of aminopeptidase N/CD13 expression in human pancreatic carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 9: 1503–1508.
- Ishii K, Usui S, Sugimura Y, Yamamoto H, Yoshikawa K, Hirano K (2001) Inhibition of aminopeptidase N(AP-N) and urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) by zinc suppresses the invasion activity in human urological cancer cells. Biol Pharm Bull 24: 226–230.
- Kehlen A, Lendeckel U, Dralle H, Langner J, Hoang-Vu C (2003) Biological significance of aminopeptidase N/CD13 in thyroid carcinomas. Cancer Res 63: 8500–8506.
- Lejczak B, Kafarski P, Zygmunt J (1989) Inhibition of aminopeptidases by aminophosphonates. Biochemistry 28: 3549–3555.
- Levy M, Palmer AH (1942) The benzoylation and resolution alanine. J Biol Chem 146: 493-495.
- Lohn M, Mueller C, Langner J (2002) Cell cycle retardation in monocytoid cells induced by aminopeptidase N (CD13). Leuk Lymphoma 43: 407–413.
- Menrad A, Speicher D, Wacker J, Herlyn M (1993) Biochemical and functional characterization of aminopeptidase N expressed by human melanoma cells. Cancer Res 53: 1450–1455.
- Popnikolov NK, Payne DA, Hudnall SD, Hawkins HK, Kumar M, Norris BA, Elghetany MT (2000) CD13-positive anaplastic large cell lymphoma of T-cell origin – a diagnostic and histogenetic problem. Arch Pathol Lab Med 124: 1804–1808.
- Riemann D, Kehlen A, Langner J (1999a) CD13 not just a marker in leukemia typing. Immunol Today 20: 83–88.
- Riemann D, Gohring B, Langner, J (1994b) Expression of aminopeptidase N/CD13 in tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes from human renal cell carcinoma. Immunol Lett 42: 19–23.
- Saiki I, Fujii H, Yoneda J, Abe F, Nakajima M, Tsuruo T, Azuma I (1993) Role of aminopeptidase N (CD13) in tumor-cell invasion and extracellular matrix degradation. Int J Cancer 54: 137–143.
- Shenvi AB (1986) alpha-Aminoboronic acid derivatives: effective inhibitors of aminopeptidases. Biochemistry 25: 1286–1291.
- Song KS, Ishikawa Y, Kobayashi S, Sankawa U, Ebizuka Y (1992) *N*-Acylamino acids from Ephedra distachya cultures. Phytochemistry 31: 823–826.
- Tatsumi E (2002) A mini-review of CD13 antigen in AML: Easy induction or enhancement of expression in in vitro culture and necessary consideration for assessment. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 33(Suppl 2): 155–157.
- van Hensbergen Y, Broxterman HJ, Hanemaaijer R, Jorna AS, van Lent NA, Verheul HM, Pinedo HM, Hoekman K (2002) Soluble aminopeptidase N/CD13 in malignant and nonmalignant effusions and intratumoral fluid. Clin Cancer Res 8: 3747–3754.