Covalency Effects in KNiF₃. II. Optical Studies

K. KNOX

Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey

R. G. SHULMAN

Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey and

Department of Theoretical Chemistry, Cambridge University, Cambridge, England

AND

S. SUGANO

The Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Azabu Minato ku, Tokyo, Japan

and

Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey (Received 14 December 1962)

The optical spectra of $KNiF_3$ and $KMgF_3:Ni^{2+}$ crystals have been measured between 5000 and 40 000 cm-1 and from 300 to 4.2°K. Five bands were observed and used to determine three parameters in the ligand field analysis. The cubic crystal field splitting 10Dq was determined to be 7250 cm⁻¹ and the Racah parameters $B = 955$ cm⁻¹ and $C = 4230$ cm⁻¹. In KNIF₃, below the Neel temperature of 275°K, there was an average additional shift of 320 cm⁻¹ to higher energies as compared with the magnetically disordered Ni²⁺ in KMgF₃. This is explained on a molecular field model. An unexpected splitting below T_N of the ${}^3A_2 \rightarrow {}^1E$ transition in KNiF3, which was not observed in the magnetically dilute crystals has been observed.

I. INTRODUCTION

THERE are two reasons to study the optical
properties of $KNiF_3$ which is an antiferromagnetic^{1,2} below 275°K. First, we need experimental values HERE are two reasons to study the optical properties of KNiF₃ which is an antiferromagof the crystalline field strength and the Coulomb interaction parameters to compare with the values calculated in the following paper.³ Second, we expect fairly large effects of magnetic ordering on the optical spectrum of this crystal because of its high Néel temperature.

In this paper we present experimental studies of the optical spectrum above and below T_N .

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Measurements were made on single crystals of $KNiF_3$, $KMgF_3:0.10Ni^{2+}$, $KMgF_3:0.05Ni^{2+}$, and $KMgF_3:0.01Ni^{2+}$. The first three crystals were grown in sealed platinum tubes lowered through a temperature gradient, while the KMgF₃:0.01Ni²⁺ was pulled⁴ from the melt in a standard germanium crystal puller in which the melt was contained in a quartz crucible. This last method of growing these crystals has been very successful and single crystals 1 in. in diameter and 3 in. long have been grown this way.

 $\rm \AA t$ 300°K the edge of the cubic cell⁵ is $(4.014 \pm 0.001) \rm \AA$ in $KNiF_3$ and 4.00 Å in $KMgF_3$. The similarity of these dimensions means that Ni^{2+} in $KMgF_3$ should have a very similar environment to Ni^{2+} in KNiF_3 , and this is indeed consistent with our measurements. The major difference between the nickel environment in these two crystals is the existence of a strong magnetic exchange interaction in KNiF3.

All measurements were made by Miss D. Dodd with a Carey Model 14 Spectrophotometer operating in the range 5000 to $45\,000$ cm⁻¹. The resolution of the spectrometer with the slits used was \sim 15 cm⁻¹ in the vicinity of 15000 cm^{-1} . Samples were placed in the refrigerant in one path of this split beam spectrophotometer.

The optical spectra obtained at 4.2, 77, and 300°K for $\rm KMgF_3$:0.10 $\rm Ni^{2+}$ are shown in Fig. 1 and for $\rm KNiF_3$ in Fig. 2. There is a change in the rate of sweeping through the spectra at 7200 A. In all the spectra the same five distinct absorption bands are resolved. In Table I we have presented along with the symmetry assignment of the excited states, the frequencies of

TABLE I. The observed frequencies and relative intensities of the absorption peaks of $KNif_s$ and $KMgF_s:0.10Ni^{2+}$ at various temperatures, along with the symmetry assignment of the transitions.

^a This is an extra line appearing at $T < T_N$.

¹ R. L. Martin, R. S. Nyholm, and N. C. Stephenson, Chem.
Ind. (London) 1956, 83 (1956).
² K. Hirakawa, K. Hirakawa, and T. Hashimoto, J. Phys. Soc.

Japan 15, 2063 (1960).
^{3.}S. Sugare and P. S. Sugano and R. G. Shulman, following paper [Phys. Rev.

^{130,} 517 (1963)].
 4 P. Freeland (private communication).
 6 A. Okazaki and V. Sumune J. Phy

A. Okazaki and Y. Suemune, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 16, 671 **(1961).**

these band peaks in cm^{-1} at 300, 77, and 4.2°K for KN i F_3 and $KMgF_3:0.10Ni^{2+}$. Between 300 and $77^{\circ}K$ the band peaks shift to higher energy, while between 77 and 4.2°K no shift is discernible. By comparing the band peak at 300°K with the values measured at 77°K we have determined the shift, $\Delta \nu$, for each band. The shifts in $KNiF_3$, which becomes antiferromagnetic at 275°K, are significantly different from those in the dilute crystal, which presumably does not become ordered in the temperature range studied. The difference is clearly shown in Table II. These shifts are discussed in the following section in terms of contributions from lattice vibrations and the magnetic exchange energy.

FIG. 1. The absorption spectra of KMgF₃:0.10Ni²⁺ at 4.2, 77, and 300°K are shown in parts (a), (b), and (c), respectively. Angstron units are plotted at the bottom and cm"¹ at the top. Notice the change of scale at 7200 A.

The band near 16 000 cm⁻¹ has two peaks at 77 and 4.2° K in KNiF₃, while in KMgF₃: Ni²⁺ it has a single main peak. A detailed spectrum of this band in $KNiF_3$

TABLE II. Band peak shifts in KNiF₃ and KMgF₃:Ni²⁺. The units are cm^{-1} .

		$\Delta \nu$ (KNiF ₃) $\Delta \nu$ (KMgF ₃ :Ni ²⁺) Difference	
${}^3A_2 \rightarrow {}^3T_2$ $\rightarrow a^3T_1$ \rightarrow 1 <i>E</i> \rightarrow ¹ T ₂	440 700 370 750	240 340 50 310	200 360 320 440 320
\rightarrow h^3T_1	580	260	

FIG. 2. The absorption spectra of KN iF₃ at 4.2, 77, and 300°K are shown in parts (c), (b), and (a), respectively.

at 4.2°K is shown in Fig. 3(b). For comparison, the same band in $KMgF_3:0.10Ni^{2+}$ is shown in Fig. 3(a) at 77°K which did not differ from the 4.2°K measurements. As clearly seen in Fig. 3, all the small peaks in the dilute crystal, denoted by A through E, can be correlated with those in $KNiF_3$ denoted by the same letters. An intense extra line towards shorter wavelengths remains unidentified in $KNiF_3$. To investigate the possibility that the small peaks of the 16000 cm^{-1} band in $KMgF_3:Ni^{2+}$ arose from Ni²⁺ pairs, grouped together as nearest neighbors, we have also measured the spectrum of $KMgF_3:0.05Ni^{2+}$ where the 16 000

FIG. 3. (a) The ${}^3A_2 \rightarrow {}^1E$ band in KMgF₃:0.10Ni⁺² at 77°K which was identical with the spectra observed at 4.2°K . (b) The ${}^{3}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{1}E$ band in KNiF₃ at 4.2°K .

FIG. 4. The ${}^3A_2 \rightarrow {}^1E$ band in KN1F3 as a function of temperature. The bottom trace was ob-served at 77 °K. Notice that the shorter wavelength peak changes more rapidly with temperature than the other.

cm⁻¹ band looked the same, thereby eliminating the possibility that those peaks were caused by $Ni^{2+}-Ni^{2+}$ pairs. It can be seen from Table I, where the relative oscillator strengths are plotted vs temperature, that the 16 000 cm⁻¹ band in KNiF_3 is the only band whose strength definitely increased as the temperature was lowered from 300 to 77°K (the small apparent increase in intensity of the $21,000$ cm⁻¹ band is inaccurate because of the strong overlapping $24\,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ band). This critical temperature range was studied in KNiF³ more carefully with the results shown in Fig. 4. The splitting into the doublet first becomes apparent in the region of T_N . A small hump appears on the highfrequency shoulder of the main peak, and, when the temperature is further decreased, the intensity of the hump increases while its peak shifts towards higher frequency. The increase of the total intensity of the band is equal to the intensity of this extra line below

TABLE III. Energies of lowest excited states.

States		Energies
t_2 6 e^2 tobe3 $(t_2 5e^3)$ (1, 94, 64) $(t_2 4e^4$ 12503 $(t_2 6e^2$ $124e^4$ $(t_2 5e^3)$ t_2 ⁴ e ⁴ $(t_2e^{2}) + (t_24e^{4})$	3/42 3T ₂ .3T h^3T_1 ١E 1T ₂ 141	$15B/2 + 3\Delta/2 - \frac{1}{2}$ $9B - \Delta)^2 + 144B^2$ ^{1/2} $15B/2 + 3\Delta/2 + \frac{5}{2} \Gamma(9B - \Delta)^2 + 144B^2$ 1/2 $17B/2 + 2C + \Delta - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(B+2\Delta)^2 + 48B^2$ 1/2 $17B/2+2C+3\Delta/2-\frac{1}{2}\lceil(B+\Delta)^2+48B^2\rceil^{1/2}$ $17B+9C/2 + \Delta - \sqrt{2B+C+2\Delta^2+24(2B+C)^2}$

 T_N . At 4.2°K the extra line is located 390 cm⁻¹ from the original peak.

III. DISCUSSION

A. Determination of Parameters

The well-resolved absorption bands allow a good test of the theory of the excited states of Ni²⁺ in an octahedral environment. Fortunately, the theory is well developed. Ignoring spin-orbit interaction, analytical expressions for the energy of the excited states of interest are obtained by using Tanabe and Sugano's⁶ matrix elements as shown in Table III.

A numerical expression for the energy, including the effects of the spin-orbit interaction, has been made available by Liehr and Ballhausen.⁷ In their expression the energy is numerically given over a fairly wide range of the parameters Δ , B, C, and λ ; where λ is the coupling constant of the spin-orbit interaction, Δ is the cubic field parameter usually written as *lODq,* and *B* and *C* are Racah's Coulomb interaction parameters corresponding to Slater integrals, *F2* and F⁴ .

For determining the parameter values it is necessary to decide which temperature should be used as the band peaks shift with temperature. In order to avoid ambiguities associated with the effects of spin ordering upon the positions of the absorption bands, we shall use the data taken at 300°K which is above T_N .

A trial fit was made, with Liehr and Ballhausen's calculation (Fig. 5), to a set of parameters in which $F_2=14F_4=1540$ cm⁻¹ $(B=990$ cm⁻¹, $C=3850$ cm⁻¹, $C/B = \gamma = 3.9$, $\Delta = 7250$ cm⁻¹, and $\lambda = -250$ cm⁻¹. The value of λ used came from an electron spin resonance measurement of $g=2.28$ for Ni²⁺ in KMgF₃ by Walsh.⁸ As seen in Fig. 5 the agreement is good, but the small value of $\gamma = 3.9$ compared with the free-ion value, $\gamma_{\text{free}}=4.7$, looks unreasonable. It comes from an arbitrary choice of the parameters from the limited set available.

Since this trial shows us the unimportance of the spin-orbit interaction in the parameter range of interest, we have finally determined the parameters by using the analytical expressions of Table III. The absorption peak ${}^3A_2 \rightarrow {}^3T_2$ determined Δ , and those of ${}^3A_2 \rightarrow {}^3T_1$ and ${}^3A_2 \rightarrow {}^1E$ determined *B* and *C*, respectively. The

⁶ Y. Tanabe and S. Sugano, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 9, 753 (1954). 7 A. D. Liehr and C. J. Ballhausen, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 6, 134 (1959); and (private communication).

⁸ W. M. Walsh, Jr., (private communication).

TABLE IV. Comparison between the observed positions of the absorption peaks and the calculation with $\Delta = 7250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $B = 955$ cm⁻¹, and \tilde{C} =4234 cm⁻¹ (γ =4.4). The spin-orbit interaction is neglected.

parameter values thus determined are

 $\Delta = 7250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $B = 955 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and $C = 4234 \text{ cm}^{-1}$,

which give a reasonable value of γ = 4.4. Table IV shows comparison between the calculation and experiment. The *B* value is reduced from the free-ion value of 1030 cm^{-1} by 7% , while C is reduced from the estimated value of 4850 cm⁻¹ in the free ion by $\sim 13\%$.

B. The Frequency Shift below *T^N*

As pointed out previously, a remarkable difference between the absorption spectra of $KNiF_3$ and $KMgF_3$: Ni²⁺ lies in the shifts of the absorption band peaks with decreasing temperature: The shift is much larger in $KNiF_3$ than in $KMgF_3:Ni^{2+}$. The shift in the dilute crystal is considered to be that usually found in paramagnetic materials. It is probably caused by the thermal contraction of a crystal lattice with decreasing temperature and the interaction of chromophoric electrons with lattice vibrations. In $KNiF_3$ a shift of this type is also expected, but the spin ordering below T_N could produce an additional frequency shift of another type. Such a frequency shift due to spin ordering has been observed by Tsujikawa⁹ in MnCl₂: $4H_2O$ and MnBr₂: $4H_2O$ and by Stout¹⁰ in MnF₂. In KNiF₃, where T_N is relatively high, the shift is enhanced and is observed very clearly.

The shift due to spin ordering can be discussed as follows. Let us assume the molecular field approximation where each spin in the crystal is exposed to the effective magnetic field coming from the exchange interaction with the other spins. A simple expression for the effective exchange field H_E at 0° K is

$$
H_E = 3kT_N/g\mu_B(S+1),\tag{1}
$$

where μ_B is the Bohr magneton, *k* is the Boltzman constant, $g \approx 2$, and *S* is the effective spin. With $T_N = 275$ °K and $S=1$, H_E for KNiF₃ turns out to be $\sim 3 \times 10^6$ Oe. This effective field induces both Zeeman splitting adn a small shift of the energy levels: the small shift may be ignored in our problem. The split components of the $^{3}A_{2}$ ground state are $M_{s}=-1, 0, +1$. Below room

temperature (also $\langle T_N \rangle$ the $M_s = 0, +1$ components are almost depopulated, and the transition takes place mainly from the lowest $M_s = -1$ component whose energy is lowered by $2\mu_B H_E$ compared to the energy of the unsplit 3A_2 level. This corresponds to taking account of the purely spin-antiparallel ground state which is stabilized by antiferromagnetic interactions.

In order to discuss the frequency shift in the optical spectrum, we have to know the effect of magnetic interactions on the excited states as well as the selection

FIG. 5. Plot of Liehr and Ballhausen's calculation of the energy levels of Ni²⁺ in cubic fields vs our observed spectrum. We have used their calculation for $F_2 = 14F_4 = 1540$ cm⁻¹ and $\lambda = -250$ cm⁻¹.

⁹ 1 . Tsujikawa, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 13, 315 (1958). 10 J. W. Stout, J. Chem. Phys. 31, 709 (1959).

rules of optical transitions. When the molecular field approximation is used for the excited states, the Zeeman splitting can, in principle, be known. The excited spin triplets are orbitally degenerate (accordingly the orbital angular momentum is unquenched), so that the Zeeman levels are not specified by *M8* but a quantum number similar to M_J . This means that the spin-antiparallel state considered in the ground state is no longer a pure quantum state in the excited states and mixes into several components split by magnetic interactions. This weakens the selection rule derived from a simple spinonly consideration. Furthermore, the situation becomes more complicated because first the vibronic interactions in the degenerate states destroy the quantum number similar to M_J ,^{11,12} and second the transitions are parity forbidden but slightly allowed by excitation of specific phonons.¹³ Such complicated circumstances allow transitions from the $M_s = -1$ ground state to more Zeeman levels of the excited states than expected. Therefore, we suggest that the magnetic interactions in the excited states give smaller contributions to the frequency shift than those in the ground state. Actually the average additional shift in $KNiF_3$ below T_N is \sim 300 cm⁻¹ which is close to the simple contribution of the ground state, $2\mu_B H_E$ where $H_E \sim 3 \times 10^6$ Oe.

The origin of the shift of the ${}^3A_2 \rightarrow {}^1E$ band can more clearly be understood, since the Zeeman splitting of the E^1E state is very small as shown in the following subsection. The shift in this case is ascribed simply to the antiferromagnetic stabilization of the ground state. The observed shift, 320 cm-1 , is in good agreement with the theoretical prediction, $2\mu_B H_E$.

C. An Extra Line in the 3A_2 M ¹E Band

A puzzle in our spectroscopic study arises from the behavior of the ${}^3A_2 \rightarrow {}^1E$ band, especially from the appearance of an extra line below T_N at the higher frequency side separated by \sim 300-400 cm⁻¹ from the main line in the paramagnetic state. The absence of this extra line in the dilute crystal indicates that it is associated with the magnetic ordering.

Within the molecular field approximation, we have been unable to explain the appearance of the two peaks with several hundred cm^{-1} separation. As mentioned previously, at low temperatures the electrons populate the lowest component of the ground state almost exclusively. Accordingly, the two peaks must be ascribed to the splitting of the E excited state if we assume that they arise from purely electronic transitions. It is certainly possible for the effective magnetic field H_E to split the ¹*E* level as seen from the term, $\beta H_{E}g_0T(A_2)$, in Tanabe and Kamimura's¹⁴ effective Hamiltonian. The splitting is third order in the perturbation calculation, in which the spin-orbit interaction enters twice. The splitting, ΔE , is given by

$\Delta E\!\approx\!\lambda^2\!\mu_BH_E$

where ΔW is the energy separation between the ¹E and a^3T_1 levels. Inserting $\lambda = 250$ cm⁻¹, $\Delta W = 3000$ cm⁻¹, and $H_E = 3 \times 10^6$ Oe, we obtain $\Delta E \approx 2$ cm⁻¹ which is too small to explain the observed separation of the two peaks.

The observed temperature dependence of the extra line reminds us of Newman and Chrenko's experiment¹⁵ on the absorption line located at 0.24 eV in NiO. The NiO crystal is antiferromagnetic below 640°K and the 0.24-eV line appears just below this temperature. When the temperature is decreased from T_N , the line intensity increases, the linewidth decreases, and the peak shifts towards higher frequencies. This behavior is quite similar to that of the extra line observed in $KNiF_3$. We are continuing to investigate the origin of this line.

¹¹ W. Moffitt and A. D. Liehr, Phys. Rev. 106, 1195 (1957).
¹² H. C. Longuet-Higgins, U. Öpik, M. H. L. Pryce, and R. A. Sack, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A244, 1 (1958).
¹³ S. Sugano, Suppl. Prog. Theoret. Phys. (Kyoto

¹⁴ Y. Tanabe and H. Kamimura, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 13, 394 (1958)

¹⁵ R. Newman and R. M. Chrenko, Phys. Rev. **114,**1507 (1959).