$\bar{\mathbf{r}}$

Alpha-Decay Properties of Some Francium Isotopes Near the 126-Neutron Closed Shell*

ROGER D. GRIFFIOEN[†] AND RONALD D. MACFARLANE[†] *Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California* (Received 20 September 1963)

Several new alpha-emitting isotopes of francium have been produced by the reactions $\text{Au}^{197}(\text{O}^{16},\text{cm})\text{Fr}^{213-z}$; $T^{1003}(C^{12},m)$ Fr^{215-x} , $T^{1005}(C^{12},m)$ Fr^{217-x} , and $Pb^{208}(B^{11},m)$ Fr^{219-x} . The nuclides studies lie on or below the 126-neutron closed shell. Their alpha-decay properties are as follows:

Alpha-decay reduced widths δ^2 were calculated by using beta-decay systematics from this region to estimate the beta branching ratios. These reduced widths are compared to those of the isotonic polonium isotopes.

I. INTRODUCTION

 $\mathrm{A}\hspace{-1.2mm}\mathrm{L}^\mathrm{PHA}$ radioactivity of spherical nuclei has been observed in two different mass regions. These are observed in two different mass regions. These are the rare-earth region near the 82-neutron shell and the Pb²⁰⁸ region near the 82-proton and 126-neutron shells. However, the data in these regions are in many cases incomplete and rather limited.

Theoretical calculations of alpha decay rates are much simpler to carry out for spherical nuclei than for spheroidally deformed nuclei. Various formulations based on shell-model wave functions of the nucleons outside the closed shells have been made,¹⁻⁵ and Mang has very successfully compared some numerical calculations with available experimental data.⁶ It is hoped that a careful study of new spherical alpha emitters will give useful data for further testing and refining the theory.

Results have recently been reported by us on new holmium and erbium isotopes in the rare-earth region.^{7,8} Our purpose in the present paper is to report results obtained for the neutron-deficient francium isotopes, $Fr²⁰⁴$ to $Fr²¹¹$ and $Fr²¹³$.

4 K. Harada, Progr. Theoret. Phys. (Kyoto). 26, 667 (1961).

• H. J. Mang, Phys. Rev. **119,** 1069 (1960).

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Several new isotopes of francium have been produced by heavy-ion compound-nucleus reactions involving Au¹⁹⁷ with O¹⁶ ions, T^{[205} and T^{[203} with C¹² ions, and Pb²⁰⁸ with B¹¹ ions. The bombardments were done at the Berkeley heavy-ion linear acceleration (HILAC), which accelerates heavy ions to 10.38 MeV per atomic mass unit. Aluminum absorbers were used to degrade the energy of the heavy ions, and the energy of the beam was determined from the absorber thicknesses and the range-energy data of Northcliffe.⁹ The gold target con-

TABLE I. Isotopic analysis of targets.

T ²⁰⁵	T ²⁰³	Ph ₂₀₈
98.7% T ₁₂₀₅ 1.3% Tl ²⁰³	92.6% T ₁₂₀₃ 7.4% Tl ²⁰⁵	90.7% Pb ²⁰⁸ 4.4% Pb ²⁰⁷ 4.9% Pb ²⁰⁶ 0.1% Pb 204

sisted of a 0.0025-mm-thick gold foil. The thallium and lead targets consisted of salts deposited on a 0.006-mm aluminum backing. The isotopic analysis of these targets is give in Table I. The energy loss of the heavy ions in the gold target was obtained from the range-energy curves of Hubbard, and these curves were also used to estimate that the energy loss in the lead and thallium targets was equivalent to that in 0.006-mm-thick Al.¹⁰

Three different techniques were used in preparing samples for alpha-particle analysis. For the longerlived activities, reaction recoils ejected from the target

^{*} This research was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

f Present address: Physics Department, Calvin College, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

 $$$ Present address: Chemistry Department, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada.

¹ H. J. Mang, Z. Physik 148, 582 (1957).

² D. J. Brussard and H. A. Tolhoek, Physica 24, 263 (1958). 3 H. J. Mang, Theory of a-Decay, Lawrence Radiation Labora-tory Report UCRL-8931, 1959 (unpublished).

⁶ J. O. Rasmussen, Nucl. Phys. 44, 93 (1963).

⁷ R. D. Macfarlane and R. D. Grimioen, Phys. Rev. **130,** 1491 (1963). ⁸R. D. Macfarlane and R. D. Griffioen, Phys. Rev. **131,** 2176

^{(1963).}

⁹ L. C. NorthclifTe, Phys. Rev. **120,** 1744 (1960).

¹⁰ E. L. Hubbard, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-9053, 1960 (unpublished).

FIG. 1. Alpha-particle spectra recorded under conditions of continuous bombardment, collection and counting, showing the presence of the francium isotopes from mass number 204 through
213: (a) Tl²⁰⁵ plus 86 MeV C¹² ions; (b) Tl²⁰³ plus 96 MeV C¹²
ions; (c) Au¹⁹⁷ plus 153 MeV O¹⁶ ions; (d) Au¹⁹⁷ plus 153 MeV O 16 ions (high resolution).

were thermalized by helium and collected on a charged plate. The plate was then placed in a Frisch-grid ionization chamber for alpha-particle analysis. The details of this procedure have been described.¹¹ The "recoilmilking" apparatus, which was used to establish parentdaughter relationships, is also described in this earlier paper.¹¹ Primary samples were collected electrostatically in a helium atmosphere. Alpha-decay recoils from this sample were then electrostatically collected on first one and then another secondary plate during a measured time interval. Identification of these recoil daughters was accomplished by energy and half-life determinations. The relative amounts of daughter activity on the two secondary plates, and the duration of the collecting time on these plates, gave the half-life of the parent of a particular group and thus related the alpha-decay daughter to its parent.

A second procedure was used only incidentally in the study of these nuclides. Primarily this technique was used for studying very short-lived activities $(t_{1/2} \leq 20)$ msec).¹² However, in our study of these short-lived activities some of the nuclides reported in the present paper were also produced and so these data are also included. Instead of being thermalized in a helium

atmosphere, the reaction recoils were stopped in a thin aluminum leaf in vacuum and this sample was observed between the beam pulses of the HILAC by means of a gold-surface barrier detector. The alpha-particle spectra obtained in this way have much poorer resolution because of the thickness of the aluminum leaf. Thus, these results were used mainly as a corroberation of those obtained by the other techniques.

We have studied all of the activities reported in this paper by the third and principal precedure. Only the general features of this method are given here since the details are reported elsewhere.¹³ Reaction recoils ejected from the target were slowed down in helium at 1 atm pressure in the target assembly. They were then swept through a small orifice into a chamber under vacuum, adjacent to the target assembly, where they were deposited on the surface of a collector. By using a goldsurface barrier detector, we recorded alpha-particle spectra of the recoil samples at the same time that we collected activity. For the shorter-lived activities $(t_{1/2}$ <1 min), the level of activity was allowed to build up to an equilibrium value before we recorded alphaparticle spectra. This was convenient for cross section measurements since no corrections for decay were required. Relative excitation functions were obtained from the intensities of the various alpha-particle groups after the counting data were normalized to a constant integrated beam current. Excitation energies of the compound systems were calculated using the semiempirical mass data of Seeger.¹⁴

Various gold-surface barrier alpha-particle detectors

FIG. 2. Alpha-decay curves for Fr²¹³ and Fr²⁰⁷ and Fr²⁰⁶.

¹¹ R. D. Macfarlane, Phys. Rev. 126, 274 (1962).

¹² R. D. Griffioen and R. D. Macfarlane, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 7, 541 (1962).

¹³ R. D. Macfarlane and R. D. Grifrioen, Nucl. Instr. Methods (to be published). " P. A. Seeger, Nucl. Phys. 25, 1 (1961).

Fig. 3. Excitation functions for the production of francium isotopes by neutron evaporation reactions from various compound nuclei: (A) $Pb^{208} + B^{11} \rightarrow Fr^{219*}$; (B) $T1^{205} + C^{12} \rightarrow Fr^{217*}$; (C) $T1^{208} + C^{12} \rightarrow Fr^{215*}$;

were used. These were made from n -type silicon with nominal resistivity in the range 1800-3200 Ω -cm. The reverse operating bias was usually about 25 V and the active surface area ranged from 10 to 50 mm² . Energy calibration was obtained from the alpha particles of Po²¹⁰, Th²²⁸ and its daughters, and Ra²²⁶ and its daughters.

The determination of half-lives was greatly facilitated by the use of a timing circuit which controlled both the Hilac beam and a time-to-height converter and allowed the data from repeated cycles of bombardment and counting to accumulate.¹³

III. RESULTS

Various compound nuclei of francium were formed by bombarding Au¹⁹⁷ with O¹⁶ ions, T^{[203} and T^{[205} with C¹² ions, and \widetilde{Pb}^{208} with B^{11} ions. The subsequent reactions gave rise to several new alpha groups in addition to some known alpha emitters of astatine and radon and the known alpha groups of Fr²¹². Each new group was characterized by its energy and half-life. None of the groups had been observed by others¹⁵ when astatine compound nuclei were produced from C¹² bombardments of Au¹⁹⁷

16 **T. D.** Thomas, G. E. Gordon, R. M, Latimer, and G. T. Seaborg, Phys. Rev. **126, 1805 (1962).**

and N¹⁴ bombardments of Pt¹⁹⁵, Pt¹⁹⁶, and Pt¹⁹⁸, although their procedure limited this data to half-lives greater than about one minute. We also bombarded $T1^{205}$ with B^{11} ions to form radon compound nuclei and observed none of these new groups. This seemed to indicate that these groups result from new isotopes of francium that are formed by neutron evaporation from the various compound nuclei. Excitation functions for these activities were obtained for each of the compound systems studied, which provided information on the mass assignments of the different groups. In the case of some of the longer-lived nuclides, a simple chemical separation confirmed that these were isotopes of francium; milking the astatine daughters substantiated the mass assignments.

A. Fr²¹³

Figure $1(a)$ shows an alpha-particle spectrum of the activity collected while bombarding Tl²⁰⁵ with 86-MeV C^{12} ions. A strong group is seen at 6.77 ± 0.01 -MeV alpha-particle energy. This activity decays with a half-life of 33.7 ± 1.5 sec (Fig. 2). Excitation functions for producing this activity from $T1^{205}$ with C^{12} ions and from Pb²⁰⁸ with $B¹¹$ ions are shown in Figs. 3(a) and $3(b)$. In the Tl²⁰⁵ and C¹² bombardments the peak of the excitation function falls at 43 MeV. The excitation function for the known $Fr²¹²$, which is formed by a $(C^{12}, 5n)$ reaction, peaks at 54 MeV. This indicates very strongly that the 6.77-MeV group is produced by a $(C^{12},4n)$ reaction and is therefore obtained from Fr²¹³. The peak for the Pb²⁰⁸ and B¹¹ bombardments falls at an excitation energy of 54 MeV which is reasonable for a $(B¹¹, 6n)$ reaction, and which is again consistent with this activity being Fr²¹³.

To identify this activity as an isotope of francium, we attempted a simple chemical separation from radon and astatine. A mixture of known isotopes of radon and astatine was produced by bombarding first gold and then mercury targets with C^{12} ions. Fr^{213} and Fr^{212} were then produced from the Tl²⁰⁵ target and deposited on the collector containing the radon and astatine isotopes. This mixture was put through a simple ion-exchange separation (see $Fr^{211,210}$ below) but due to the short half-life of the francium the results were inconclusive. However, it was found that a "chemical separation" could be accomplished by flaming fairly strongly with a Bunsen burner a platinum plate on which the activity was deposited. In this way, essentially all of the radon and over 90% of the astatine were removed while more than 90% of the Fr²¹² and also the Fr²¹³ (corrected for decay) were left on the plate. Since the chemical identity of Fr²¹² is known with certainty, the chemical identity of Fr²¹³ is substantiated.¹⁶

Confirmation of the mass assignment was obtained from "milking experiments." After collecting a sample of the 6.77-MeV activity, alpha-decay recoils were electrostatically collected on two plates for 30 sec each. At²⁰⁹ was present on both plates and was identified by a half-life of 5 ± 1 hours and an alpha-particle energy of 5.62 MeV. Due to the short half-life of the parent, the targer chamber was not pumped out between the bombardments and the milking (see Ref. 11). Thus, there was some contamination in the daughter samples from primary products, resulting in a rather imprecise determination of the parent half-life. The ratio of At²⁰⁹ activity on the two secondary plates indicated a halflife of 47 ± 12 sec for its parent, which is consistent only with the 6.77 -MeV activity assigned to $Fr²¹³$

Fr²¹³ should also be unstable with respect to β^+ and EC decay, and should decay to the 19-msec Rn²¹³, which has an alpha-particle energy of 8.13 MeV.¹² A measure of the relative amounts of 6.77- and 8.13-MeV alpha groups would give the ratio of beta decay to alpha decay of Fr²¹³ . One problem in this measurement was the possibility that part of the radon might have left the sample. However, in the case of Fr²¹² and its daughter Rn²¹², it was found that very little, if any, of the radon escaped. Assuming that none of the Rn²¹³ had escaped, a beta branching ratio for Fr²¹³ of $0.52 \pm 0.03\%$ was determined. The error quoted is only the statistical error from the counting rates.

B. Fr^{211} and Fr^{210}

Figure 1(a) shows another new alpha group at 6.55 ± 0.02 MeV. Figure 1(b) shows the spectrum of the activity from the bombardment of Tl²⁰³ with 96-MeV C 12 ions. This new group is again present here. When this activity was produced from $T1^{205}$ with 91-MeV C¹² ions, it was found to decay with a half-life of 186 ± 4 sec. Figure 3 shows the excitation functions for the formation of this alpha group from all four of the compound systems studied. Looking first at Fig. 3(b) we see that this excitation function is much different from those for Fr^{213} and Fr^{212} . Starting from the lower energy C^{12} ions, we see that it appears to be an activity produced by a $(C¹², 6n)$ reaction. However, another new alpha group did not appear until much higher C¹² energies; also, the excitation function for the 6.55-MeV group is seen to be exceptionally broad with almost a second peak appearing at higher energies. These facts seem to indicate that this group is due to two different isotopes, $Fr²¹¹$ and $Fr²¹⁰$, which were formed by $(C¹², 6n)$ and *(C¹²,7n)* reactions, respectively. The bombardment of Tl²⁰³ with C¹² ions again produced a very broad excitation function, corresponding quite well to what would be expected for the $(C^{12}, 4n)$ and $(C^{12},5n)$ reactions (Fig. $3C$). Figure $3(D)$ shows that at the lowest possible O 16 energies (near the Coulomb barrier) a small amount of this activity is formed from Au¹⁹⁷ . This would almost certainly be due to Fr²¹⁰ , formed by a *(C¹²,3n)* reaction, rather than to Fr²¹¹, which would have to be formed by an $(O^{16}, 2n)$ reaction.

¹⁶ D. Strominger, J. M. Hollander, and G. T. Seaborg, Rev, Mod. Phys. 30, 585 (1958).

We further checked Fr²¹¹ and Fr²¹⁰ by determining the half-life of the 6.55 MeV group at different points on the excitation function. When Tl²⁰³ was bombarded with 75~MeV C¹² ions, the group again had a 186-sec half-life (Fig. 4). However, when Tl²⁰³ was bombarded with 96-MeV C¹² ions, the activity produced had a half-life of 159 ± 5 sec (Fig. 4). This value is reported as the half-life for Fr²¹⁰, but involves the assumption that no Fr²¹¹ was present in the sample. Since the excitation functions tail more on the high-energy side and since the cross section decreases as the number of neutrons boiled off increases (see Sec. IV) there may possibly be some contamination from Fr²¹¹. This would have the effect of making the reported half-life of Fr²¹⁰ too long. However, the half-life was also determined for Fr²¹⁰ (presumably free from $Fr²¹¹$) formed from the Au¹⁹⁷ plus low-energy O¹⁶ bombardments. The half-life measured was 162 ± 20 sec, which is consistent with the reported half-life and which shows that the half-life cannot be very much shorter than the reported value. Attempts to resolve the alpha group into two components with a high resolution detector indicated that the energies must differ by less than 10 keV.

In order to confirm the chemical identity of this activity (Fr²¹¹), a sample containing a mixture of astatine, radon, and francium isotopes was produced from gold and thallium targets and collected on palladium leaf. The leaf was dissolved and the solution was passed through an ion-exchange column. The activity assigned to Fr^{211} followed Fr^{212} , although the separation from astatine was not complete. A much better separation was accomplished by collecting the sample on a platinum plate and flaming it (see Fr²¹³ above). This showed rather conclusively that this activity too is an isotope of francium.

The mass assignment for Fr²¹¹ was confirmed by electrostatically collecting the alpha-decay daughter as recoils from a thin sample of the parent. Alpha-decay recoils were collected on one plate for 3 min and then on a second for 3 min. The most prominent activity found on these plates was identified as At^{207} by its half-life of 1.6 ± 0.2 h and its alpha-particle energy of 5.75 MeV. All other activities on these plates were lower than this one by at least a factor of 10. The relative amounts of At^{207} on the two plates indicated that the parent half-life was 195 ± 15 sec, which is consistent with the value obtained for the 6.55 -MeV group assigned to Fr²¹¹.

No attempt was made to milk the activity assigned to Fr²¹⁰ since its daughter, At²⁰⁶, has an alpha branch of less than 1% .¹⁷ Also, one would have to go to fairly low cross sections to try to produce Fr^{210} relatively free from Fr²¹¹ . Thus the expected counting rates would be too low to detect. The assignment of Fr²¹⁰ is made primarily on the basis of the excitation functions.

FIG. 4. Alpha-decay curves for Fr²¹¹ and Fr²¹⁰.

C. Fr²⁰⁹ **and** Fr²⁰⁸

During the bombardment of Tl²⁰⁵, as the energy of the C 12 ions was increased above 95 MeV, an alpha group having an energy of 6.65 ± 0.02 MeV appeared. This activity was also produced in the bombardments of $T1^{203}$ and Au¹⁹⁷ as is shown in Figs. 1(B), 1(C), and 1(D). The excitation function from the $T1^{205} + C12$ system appears to be due to a *(C¹²,Sn)* reaction, which would assign this activity to Fr^{209} [Fig. 3(B)]. Once again there were indications that this alpha group is a result of two different isotopes. The $T^{203} + C^{12}$ excitation function is somewhat broadened and distorted and no other alpha groups with an excitation function corresponding to a $(C^{12}, 7n)$ reaction were found. The excitation function from the $Au^{197} + O^{16}$ system is also consistent with the assignment to Fr²⁰⁹ and Fr²⁰⁸ . The fact that the excitation function does not appear to be broadened—although the spacing between the excitation functions is very large—is probably due to the fact that the peak cross section for the various neutronevaporation reactions from the O¹⁶ bombardments decreases by a factor of about 4 for each additional neutron boiled off (see Sec. IV).

The half-life of this group was determined to be 54.7 ± 1.0 sec when formed by 102-MeV O¹⁶ ions on Au¹⁹⁷ and 37.5 ± 2.0 sec when formed by 120-MeV O¹⁶ ions on Au¹⁹⁷ (Fig. 5). These two values are reported here as the half-lives of Fr²⁰⁹ and Fr²⁰⁸, respectively. There is the possibility that the Fr²⁰⁸ sample contained some Fr²⁰⁹ even though the sample was produced on the highenergy tail of the excitation function $\lceil \text{Fig. 3(D)} \rceil$. The decay, however, looks good and the half-lives are sufficiently different that a large amount of Fr²⁰⁹ would have been detected.

Recoils from samples collected from the bombard-

¹⁷ R. M. Latimer, G. E. Gordon, and T. D. Thomas, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 17, 1 (1961).

FIG. 5. Alpha-decay curves for Fr²⁰⁹ and Fr²⁰⁸.

ment of Tl²⁰³ with 93-MeV C¹² ions were electrostatically milked. There were two daughter groups present in the secondary samples. These were 26 -min At^{205} with a 5.92-MeV alpha-particle energy, and a small amount of 1.6-h At²⁰⁷ with a 5.76-MeV alpha-particle energy. Again, due to the short half-life, we had interference from the primary recoils that collected on the secondary plates. From some of the "cleanest" samples, the halflife of the parent of At^{205} was found to be about 1 min, which is consistent with the value obtained for the alpha group we have assigned to Fr²⁰⁹, and which indicates therefore that this group is the parent of At²⁰⁵. The At²⁰⁷ present was a result of the small amount of Fr²¹¹ formed at this energy. Once again the decreased yield of Fr²⁰⁸ and the small alpha branch of its daughter, At²⁰⁴, made it impractical to attempt to establish this parent-daughter relationship.

D. Fr^{207} and Fr^{206}

The next alpha group that was studied has an energy of 6.77 \pm 0.02 MeV, which is the same as that of Fr²¹³. Figures $1(C)$ and $1(D)$ show spectra containing this group. The excitation function for this activity from the $T1^{203} + C^{12}$ system is very similar to that for the $T^{1205}(C^{12}, 8n)$ Fr²⁰⁹ reaction. If this is also a $(C^{12}, 8n)$ reaction, then the new activity would belong to Fr^{207} . The excitation function for the $Au^{197} + O^{16}$ system is also consistent with this being $Fr²⁰⁷$, formed now by an *(0¹⁶,6n)* reaction. After the experience which we had with the previous two groups, it was quite natural to ask if this group, too, might belong to a pair of isotopes, $Fr²⁰⁷$ and $Fr²⁰⁶$. Since, as has been mentioned before and will be discussed later, the cross section for the

 $Au^{197}(O^{16},7n)Fr^{206}$ reaction is probably about one fourth the value of the Au¹⁹⁷(O^{16} , $6n$)Fr²⁰⁷ reaction cross section, we cannot see any great effect on the excitation function for this group. Several things have led us to the conclusion that this is both Fr^{207} and Fr^{206} .

Figure 2 shows the half-lives obtained on the lowenergy side of the excitation function for Fr²⁰⁷ and on the high-energy side for Fr²⁰⁶. Because of the low yield, this latter half-life was taken on the side of the excitation function rather than way down on the tail. These half-lives of 18.7 sec and 15.8 sec are quite close and do not therefore clearly show a second isotope. A less accurate measurement on the high-energy tail at full O¹⁶ energy gave a half-life of 14.4 ± 2.0 sec for Fr²⁰⁶, which is consistent with the previous value but which indicates that the reported value may be a little high.

The use of a high-resolution detector enabled us to partially resolve these two alpha groups, as is shown in Fig.l (d). At the low bombarding energies, the group was narrow [like that of Fr^{205} in Fig. $1(d)$] and had an energy of 6.77 MeV. At higher O^{16} energies, the additional peak at 6.79 MeV became evident. Even at full energy O^{16} the peak is broadened, indicating either that some Fr²⁰⁷ is still produced at this energy or that Fr²⁰⁶ has two alpha groups itself.

No milking experiments were attempted on these short-lived activities. However, the mass assignment of $Fr²⁰⁷$ is strengthened by the fact that At²⁰³ was found in the sample at the peak of the Fr^{207} excitation function. This activity could hardly be produced directly at this energy and is most probably produced from the decay of Fr²⁰⁷. Additional evidence for Fr²⁰⁶ comes from the identification of the next alpha group as Fr²⁰⁵ (see Sec. Ill E).

E. Fr^{205} and Fr^{204}

The bombardment of $Au^{197} + O^{16}$ at high energies produced two more alpha groups which are shown in

F1G. 6. Alpha-decay curves for Fr²⁰⁵ and Fr²⁰⁴.

Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). The energies of these groups are 6.91 ± 0.02 MeV and 7.02 ± 0.03 MeV. The excitation functions were determined and are shown in Fig. 3(d) as the $(O^{16}, 8n)$ and $(O^{16}, 9n)$ reactions. The half-lives of these groups are 3.7 ± 0.4 sec and 2.0 ± 0.5 sec, respectively (Fig. 6).

The identification of the 6.91-MeV group as Fr²⁰⁵ was based on its excitation function and also on the excitation function of At^{201} . One can see At^{201} in the spectrum of Fig. 1(c). This activity followed an excitation function similar to the 6.91-MeV group. Again one would not expect any direct formation of \widehat{At}^{201} with an excitation function peaking at these energies and it is felt that it is produced from the decay of Fr^{205} . Since it follows the excitation function for the 6.91-MeV group, this would identify this group as the parent of $A t^{201}$, namely, $Fr²⁰⁵$. The assignment of the 7.02-MeV group to $Fr²⁰⁴$ is based on the excitation-function data and on alphadecay systematics.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Alpha-Decay Energies

The variation of alpha-decay energy of the isotopes of francium with mass number—or more specifically with neutron number—is seen to be quite similar to that of the three elements just below it, Po, At, and Rn. The isotope with 125 neutrons is seen to have the lowest alpha-decay energy. The energy increases rather sharply in going to larger neutron numbers and increases more slowly in going to the lighter isotopes. The phenomenon of pairs of isotopes having similar alpha energies is seen to occur in all four of these elements.16-18 Polonium has two such pairs, astatine has three, and radon has two or possibly three. Now we find that francium also has three such pairs of isotopes and that these occur for the same neutron numbers as the others: **119** and 120, 121 and 122, and 123 and 124.

B. Cross Sections

Each of the excitation functions shown in Fig. 3 has its own arbitrary units and no attempt has been made to indicate the relative magnitude of the units used in different cases.

These relative cross sections for the various activities produced from a given compound system have been determined, however. In the C¹² bombardments the peak cross section decreases rather slowly as the number of neutrons boiled off increases. In comparing the (C¹²,4w) with the *(C¹²,8n)* reaction, the magnitude of the peak cross section of the latter is about four times smaller. In the O¹⁶ bombardments the effect is much greater. To compare the cross sections, we assume that the peak cross section for a given group is due solely to the group formed by the lower number of neutrons emitted; e.g., the peak of the 6.77-MeV excitation func-

tion is assumed to be due only to the (O¹⁶ , *6n)* reaction and to have a negligible contribution from the $(O¹⁶, 7n)$ reaction. The cross section for the 4n reaction is then 6 times greater than the *6n* reaction and would appear to be greater yet if it were not for the Coulomb barrier. The cross section for the $8n$ reaction is $\frac{1}{17}$ the $6n$ cross section and that for the $9n$ reaction is reduced to $\frac{1}{10}$ the value of the *Sn* reaction. Here the *9n* reaction may not have peaked yet at the highest available energies from the Hilac and this factor may then be lower. In this case then, there is a factor of 600 in going from the *kn* to the *9n* reaction. If this factor is roughly the same for each step—which looks reasonable if the complications at the highest and lowest energies are taken into account then there is a decrease in cross section by a factor of about 3.6 for each additional neutron emitted. This large factor is presumably due to the fission competition which is going on. Since charged-particle emission is very small in this region and other conditions affecting the cross section would be small, we assume this factor is due solely to the fission competition. If fission occurs with comparable probability in each of these nuclides in the evaporation chain, then Γ_f/Γ (ratio of level width for fission to the total level width) is about 0.7. These data would indicate that the fission process is more important for the $O¹⁶$ than for the $C¹²$ bombardments; we explain this by the suggestion that fissionability increases with increasing angular momentum.^{19,20} Using the same assumptions as above, the ratio Γ_f/Γ for the C^{12} case would be about 0.3. This value is almost the same as that reported for the bombardment of Au¹⁹⁷ with C^{12} ions.¹⁵

C. Beta Branches

To calculate alpha-reduced widths for these nuclides, one must know the alpha decay half-life and not just the total half-life. The beta branch of Fr212 is known to

¹⁹ G. A. Pik-Pichak, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. 34, 341 (1958) [English transl.: Soviet Phys.—JETP 7, 238 (1958)].

²⁹ J. R. Huizenga and R. Vandenbosch, in *Nuclear Reactions* (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amster

FIG. 8. Alpha reduced level widths for isotopes of \bullet Fr and \Box Po.

be 56% of the total decay, 21 and we have measured the beta branch of Fr^{213} to be 0.5% (see Fr^{213} above). Thus, the alpha half-lives of these two isotopes can be calculated.

By looking at the systematics of beta decay in this region of the chart of the nuclides, one would qualitatively predict that the beta branches would be small and the alpha half-lives would be close to the total half-lives. However, in order to get a quantitative estimate of the beta branches, the beta decay half-lives of all the known bismuth, polonium, astatine and radon beta-plus emitters in this region were plotted versus the *Q* values for beta-plus decay. This resulted in the graph shown in Fig. 7 and the results fall on a family of three curves, depending on whether the nuclide is an even, an odd, or an *odd-A* nuclide. The *Q* values are taken from the semi-empirical mass data of Seeger.¹⁴ We determined the beta-decay half-lives for the francium isotopes from these curves, again using the predicted *Q* values of Seeger. Beta branches were then calculated from these half-lives and from the measured total half-lives, and are listed in Table II. The alpha-

TABLE II. Calculated beta-decay branches.

Nuclide	Beta branch	Nuclide	Beta branch
Fr ₂₁₁	0.10	Fr _r 207	0.12
Fr ₂₁₀	0.19	$F - 206$	0.14
Frr 209	0.10	Fr205	0.05
Fr ₂₀₈	0.15	Fr _r 204	0.07

21 E. K. Hyde, A. Ghiorso, and G. T. Seaborg, Phys. Rev. 77, 765 (1950).

TABLE III. Summary of results.

Nuclide	$O_{\alpha}(\text{MeV})$	Half-life (sec)	$\delta^2(MeV)$
$F - 213$ $F - 211$ $F - 210$ $F - 209$ $F - 208$ Fr207 Frr 206 $F - 205$ Fr ₂₀₄	$6.90 + 0.01$ $6.68 + 0.02$ $6.68 + 0.02$ $6.78 + 0.02$ $6.78 + 0.02$ $6.90 + 0.02$ $6.92 + 0.02$ $7.05 + 0.02$ $7.16 + 0.03$	$33.7 + 1.5$ 186 +4 $159 + 5$ 54.7 ± 1.0 37.5 ± 2.0 $18.7 + 0.8$ 15.8 ± 0.4 $3.7 + 0.4$ $2.0 + 0.5$	0.0122 0.0215 0.0172 0.0230 0.0328 0.0244 0.0243 0.0427 0.0318

decay half-lives used below were also calculated from these beta-decay estimates.

D. Alpha Reduced Widths

Alpha-decay reduced level widths (δ^2) were calculated for each of the francium isotopes using Ramussen's method.²² The alpha reduced width is defined by the expression

$$
\lambda = \delta^2 P/h
$$

where λ is the alpha-decay constant, P is the barrier penetrability, and *h* is Planck's constant. The potential used in the calculation of *P* is the sum of the Coulomb potential and the real part of the alpha-nuclear potential derived from optical-model analysis of alpha-particle elastic-scattering data and given by

$$
V(r) = -1100 \exp\{-\left[r - (1.17A^{1/3}/0.574)\right]\} \text{MeV},
$$

where r is the distance in F and A is the mass number.²³ Because of our lack of knowledge of the spin assignments of parent and daughter, the centrifugal potential was taken to be zero; in other words, values of the reduced width were calculated for $l=0$ waves only. The calculated values are given in Table III and Fig. 8 compares these values with those of the analogous polonium isotopes. $22,24$ The variation with neutron number is seen to be similar for the two cases. The 127-neutron isotope, which decays across the closed shell, has a very small reduced width.¹² As the neutron number decreases, moving away from the closed shell, the reduced width follows a generally increasing trend. Table III summarizes the results obtained for the francium alpha emitters.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professor J. O. Rasmussen and Professor I. Perlman for the opportunity of carrying out this work at the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory. The assistance of C. A. Corum, J. B. Johnston, R. M. Latimer, W. W. Goldsworthy, A. A. Wydler, and M. Nakamura in developing the experimental system is greatly appreciated. We wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the HILAC personnel in this work.

²² J. O. Rasmussen, Phys. Rev. 113, 1593 (1959).

²³ G. Igo, Phys. Rev. Letters 1, 72 (1958). * J. O. Rasmussen, Phys. Rev. 115, 1675 (1959).