so

$$N_i = S^{-1}{}_{i\mu}\bar{N}_{\mu},$$

 $N_i{}^0 = S^{-1}{}_{i\mu}\bar{N}_{\mu}{}^0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n.$ (III.5')

Equations (III.4') can be inverted by the Wiener-Hopf method to give:

$$\bar{N}_i = O_i \bar{N}_i^0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \cdots, n.$$
 (III.6')

Thus we have

$$N_{i}(s) = B_{i}(s) + \int_{s_{\mu}}^{s_{M}} U_{i\mu}(s,s') N_{\mu}(s') ds'$$

$$+ S^{-1}{}_{\mu\nu} \int_{s_{M}}^{\sigma} (U_{i\mu}O_{\nu})(s,s') \bar{N}_{\nu}{}^{0}(s') ds'$$
for $s < s_{M}$ $i = 1, \dots, n$ (III.7'a)

where

where
$$(U_{i\mu}O_{\nu})(s,s') = \int_{-\sigma}^{\sigma} ds'' U_{i\mu}(s,s'')O_{\nu}(s'',s'),$$

$$\begin{split} N_{i}^{0}(s) = B_{i}(s) + \int_{s_{\mu}}^{s_{M}} U_{i\mu}(s,s') N_{\mu}(s') ds' \\ + \int_{s_{M}}^{\sigma} K_{i\mu}(s,s') N_{\mu}(s') ds' \end{split}$$

or

$$\begin{split} \bar{N}_{i}^{0}(s) &= \bar{B}_{i}(s) + S_{i\mu} \int_{s_{\mu}}^{s_{M}} U_{\mu\nu}(s,s') N_{\nu}(s') ds' \\ &+ S_{i\mu} S^{-1}_{\nu\rho} \int_{s_{M}}^{\sigma} (K_{\mu\nu} O_{\rho})(s,s') \bar{N}_{\rho}^{0}(s') ds' \\ &\text{for} \quad s_{M} < s < \sigma; \quad i = 1, \cdots, n \quad \text{(III.7'b)} \\ \bar{B}_{i}(s) &= S_{i\mu} B_{\mu}(s) \,, \end{split}$$

 $(K_{\mu\nu}O_{\rho})(s,s') = \int_{s_M}^{\sigma} ds'' K_{\mu\nu}(s,s'') O_{\rho}(s'',s') .$

Equations (III.7') are a system of coupled integral equations with $N_i(s)$ for $s_1 < s < s_M$ and $\bar{N}_i{}^0(s)$ for $s_M < s < \sigma$ as unknown functions. The functions $U_{ij}(s,s')$ are square integrable for $s_1 < s < s_M$, $s_1 < s' < \sigma$, and the functions $K_{ij}(s,s')$ are square integrable for $s_M < s$, $s' < \sigma$. The singular function $O_r(s'',s')$ no longer appears alone but only folded with these nonsingular functions, so the difficulty explained at the beginning of this erratum will not arise. The functions $(U_{i\mu}O_r)(s,s')$ can easily be shown to be square integrable for $s_1 < s < s_M$, $s_1 < s' < \sigma$ and likewise the functions $(K_{\mu\nu}O_\rho)(s,s')$ for $s_M < s$, $s' < \sigma$. Thus, we have achieved a system of Fredholm equations.

Electric Polarization of the Deuteron by a Point Charge, RICHARD J. DRACHMAN [Phys. Rev. 132, 374 (1963)]. An algebraic error was responsible for several incorrect results. In Eq. (17), the coefficient of the last term should be 3, rather than $\frac{3}{2}$. Two subsequent equations should now read as follows:

$$V_{2}^{(1)}(t) = \frac{2Z^{2}Me^{4}}{3h^{2}t^{4}} \left\{ -\frac{3}{8} + e^{-2t} \left[-2t^{5} + t^{4} - t^{3} + 3t^{2} - \frac{3}{2}t + \frac{3}{2} \right] -9e^{-4t}/8 - 4t^{6} \operatorname{Ei}(-2t) \right\}, \quad (18)$$

$$V_{2}^{(1)}(t) \sim -\frac{Z^{2}Me^{4}}{4h^{2}t^{4}} \left\{ 1 - e^{-2t}(4t^{2} + 4t - 16) + 3e^{-4t} - \frac{16}{3}t^{5}e^{-2t} \sum_{n=6}^{N} \frac{n!(-1)^{n}}{(2t)^{n}} \right\}. \quad (20)$$

Using the exact equation (18) instead of the asymptotic equation (20), one obtains new and smaller entries in Table I:

Table I. The deviation of the dipole polarization potential from t^{-4} form: $V_2^{(1)}(t) = -(Z^2Me^t/4k^2t^4)(1+D)$, where $x = t/2\gamma = 2.2t$ F.

t	D	
 3	-0.103	
4	-0.024	
5	-0.005	
6	-0.001	

Finally, the limiting value discussed in the first two sentences of p. 377 should read $V_2^{(1)}(0) = 0$. Delete the remainder of the paragraph.

Decay of Pd¹⁰⁰ to Odd-Odd Rh¹⁰⁰, J. S. EVANS AND R. A. NAUMANN [Phys. Rev. 138, B1017 (1965)]. We have incorrectly used the ambiguous word "lifetime" in our paper. If "lifetime" be replaced by "half-life" everywhere, then the calculations and conclusions are numerically correct.

Doubly Excited States in Lithium, J. D. Garcia and J. E. Mack [Phys. Rev. 138, A987 (1965)]. Parts of Table IV and the accompanying discussion are incorrect, partly in view of the work of Werner¹³ and of Toresson and Edlén,¹⁴ to which we should have referred, and partly on account of a printer's misalignment. In Table IV, first column, for line (5) "1420 Å (8.72 eV)" should be deleted, and instead of "9572 Å (1.30 eV)," which was aligned with line (7), there should be "8517 Å (1.45 eV)," placed between lines (6) and (7) to indicate ambiguity; actually the line may be a transition between (unlisted) doublet terms. The terms associated with the four new assignments should have been listed in a new Table V, which must be considered approximate and incomplete pending further studies of the fine and hyperfine structure and isotope shift