Phytochemistry, Vol. 40, No. 4, pp. 1273–1277, 1995 Elsevier Science Ltd Printed in Great Britain. 0031-9422/95 \$9.50 + 0.00

PRENYLATED FLAVANONES FROM LEAVES OF MACARANGA PLEIOSTEMONA

BARBARA A. SCHÜTZ,* ANTHONY D. WRIGHT,*+ TOPUL RALI‡ and OTTO STICHER*§

*Department of Pharmacy, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) Zurich, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland; ‡Department of Chemistry, University of Papua New Guinea, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea

(Received 3 April 1995)

Key Word Index Macaranga pleiostemona; Euphorbiaceae; leaves; prenylflavanones; macaranga-flavanones A and B: euchrestaflavanone A; bonannione A; antibacterial activity.

Abstract—From the dichloromethane extract of leaves of *Macaranga pleiostemona*, four antibacterial prenylated flavanones were isolated. Their structures were elucidated by analysis of their spectral data. One, macarangaflavanone A, is a new compound, the remaining three are previously reported natural products. Macarangaflavanone B is clearly shown not to be euchrestaflavanone A. Euchrestaflavanone A and lespedezaflavanone B are revealed to be identical natural products.

INTRODUCTION

Macaranga pleiostemona is an erect shrub or tree endemic to New Guinea in regrowth areas and at forest margins [1]. Contact with the leaves of this species produces, in some individuals, an allergic dermatitis-like reaction [1]. Local healers apply fresh or dried leaves of many Macaranga species to fresh cuts, sores, swellings, bruises and boils [2–4]. This plant species is also used to relieve headache, leaves being heated and applied directly to the forehead [3, 4]. Chemical investigations have been made on various Macaranga species; previously, a prenylated compound, the geranyl-substituted flavonol, macarangin, has been isolated from M. vedeliana [5].

In the present study, the petrol, dichloromethane (DCM), ethyl acetate, methanol and methanol-water (4:1) extracts of air-dried leaves of M. pleiostemona were screened for their potential biological activities. The DCM extract was found to exhibit significant antibacterial activity. The current report describes the isolation and structural elucidation of the new antibacterial active flavanone, macarangaflavanone A (1), as well as of the three known compounds macarangaflavanone B (2), euchrestaflavanone A (3) and bonannione A (4) [6-10].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bioactivity-guided fractionation of the DCM extract of air-dried leaves by silica gel vacuum liquid chromato-

§Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

graphy (VLC) and medium-pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC), followed by reverse-phase HPLC, as described in the Experimental, led to the isolation of the four substituted flavanones (1–4).

Compound 1 was obtained as a greenish oil, which analysed by HR EI mass spectrometry for C25H28O5. Its UV ($\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm = 286, 320 (sh)), ¹H NMR [δ 2.37 (1H, dd, J = 17.1, 3.0 Hz, H_{eq}-3), 2.63 (1H, dd, J = 17.1, 13.0 Hz, H_{ax} -3), 4.76 (1H, dd, J = 13.0, 3.0 Hz, H-2), 12.83 (s, OH-5)] and ¹³C NMR data (see Table 2 below) indicated it to be a flavanone derivative. Positive UV-shifts after the addition of sodium methanolate, sodium acetate and aluminium chloride showed the hydroxyl groups at C-5 and C-7 to be free [11]. The substitution pattern of ring B was analysed by ¹H NMR [δ 6.54 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, H-5'), 6.90 (1H, dd, J = 8.2, 2.3 Hz, H-6'), 7.05 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz, H-2' and 2D ROESY, which revealed NOE interactions between H-6' and H-2 and H₂-3, and between and H-2' and H-2 and H_2 -3, as being 1, 3 and 4. The ¹H NMR spectrum also indicated the presence of a geranyl moiety [δ 1.56, 1.64, 1.71 (each 3H, s, CH₃)], 3.33 $(2H, d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{ ar-CH}_2\text{-CH} =)$, 5.18 and 5.38 (each 1H, br t, J = 6.8 and 7.2 Hz, CH_2 –CH =), 2.06 and 2.15 (each 2H, m, H-5" and H-6"). Since the 2D ROESY spectrum showed NOE interactions between H₂-1" and H-2', the geranyl group was assigned to C-3'. This deduction was further supported by the EI mass spectrum of 1, in which two prominent fragment ions were observed at m/z 255 and 153, caused by a retro-Diels-Alder (RDA) fragmentation [12], indicating the geranyl moiety and the third hydroxyl group to be on ring B. The one chiral centre within 1 was assigned the S-configuration on the basis of its negative optical rotation and literature pre-

[†]Present address: Institute for Pharmaceutical Biology, Technical University Braunschweig, Mendelssohnstrasse 1, D-38106, Germany.

1274 B. A. Schütz et al.

Н

isoprenyl

cedents [13]. From the above analysis, the structure of 1, for which the trivial name macarangaflavanone A is proposed, was concluded to be 3'-geranyl-4',5,7-trihydroxyflavanone.

3

Compound 2 was also obtained as a greenish oil and found by HR EI mass spectrometry to have the molecular formula $C_{25}H_{28}O_5$. From its UV $[\hat{\lambda}_{max}^{MeOH}]$ nm = 293. 332 (sh)], ¹H NMR [δ 2.77 (1H, dd, J = 17.2, 3.1 Hz, H β -3). 3.08 (1H, dd, J = 17.2, 13.1 Hz, H α -3), 5.30 (1H, dd, J = 13.1, 3.1 Hz, H-2), 12.40 (s, OH-5)] and ¹³C NMR spectra it was evident that it too was a substituted flavanone. The positive UV-shifts observed after the addition of sodium methanolate and sodium acetate indicated the hydroxyl groups at C-5 and C-7 to be free [11]. The ¹H NMR spectrum further displayed resonances indicative of two 3-methyl-2-butenyl (isoprenyl) groups $[\delta 1.78 (12H, s, CH_3 \times 4), 3.35 (2H, d, J = 7.6 Hz.$ $ar-CH_2-CH=$) and 3.38 (2H, d, J=7.1 Hz. ar- CH_2 -CH = 1, 5.27 and 5.32 (each m, CH_2 -CH = 1). The 13 C NMR resonances at δ 106.8 (s) and 95.5 (d) indicated that one of the A-ring carbons was substituted when compared to 1 (see Table 2), as did the chemical shift for OH-5 (δ 12.40) [14]. The absence of a bathochromic shift in the UV spectrum of 2, after the addition of aluminium chloride, indicated the substituent to be at C-6 [15]; one 3-methyl-2-butenyl group is located at C-6. Since the ¹H NMR spectrum of 2 displayed the characteristic signal pattern for an 1',3',4'-substituted aromatic ring (Bring), the other 3-methyl-2-butenyl group must thus be at C-3', as in 1. Further support for these deductions was found in the EI mass spectrum of 2, which contained two prominent fragment ions at m/z 220 and 188, consistent with a RDA fragmentation [11], indicating one *iso*prenyl moiety to be on ring A, and the other and one hydroxyl group to be on ring B. From this analysis, the structure of 2 was concluded to be 4',5,7-trihydroxy-3',6-bis(3-methyl-2-butenyl) flavanone.

The ¹H NMR spectral data of **2** are essentially the same as those of euchrestaflavanone A [9], the structure of which has, since its first publication [6], been revised and shown to be 4',5,7-trihydroxy-3',8-bis(3-methyl-2-butenyl) flavanone [7]. Hence, 4',5,7-trihydroxy-3',6-bis(3-methyl-2-butenyl) flavanone, isolated from Schoenus nigricans [9], and Lotus creticus [16] is not euchrestaflavanone A. For this reason, it is proposed that 4',5,7-trihydroxy-3',6-bis(3-methyl-2-butenyl) flavanone be renamed as macarangaflavanone B (**2**).

Compound 3 was obtained as a green oil whose UV, IR, mass and ¹H NMR [7] spectral data were consistent with those of 4',5,7-trihydroxy-3',8-bis(3-methyl-2-butenyl) flavanone, isolated from Euchresta japonica and reported as euchrestaflavanone A [7]. This compound was subsequently isolated from Lespedeza davidii and called lespedezaflavanone B [8]. Hence, euchrestaflavanone A and lespedezaflavanone B are the same compound. For 3, complete and unambiguous ¹H and ¹³C NMR data are now reported in Tables 1 and 2 [17, 18], as they were found to be either incompletely reported [8] or recorded in different solvents [7, 19] previously. The ¹H NMR spectrum of compound 3 was unambiguously compared to the spectrum of an authentic sample of the same compound.

Compound 4 was identified as bonnanione A. Its spectral data (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and EI mass spectra) were

Table 1. ¹H NMR data of flavanones 1-3 [CDCl₃, 300 MHz, coupling constants J(Hz)]

Proton	1*	Proton	2	3
2	4.76 dd (3.0, 13.0)	2	5.30 dd (3.1, 13.1)	5.33 dd (3.1, 12.9)
3_{ax}	2.63 dd (13.0, 17.1)	3_{ax}	3.08 dd (13.1, 17.2)	3.05 dd (12.9, 17.1)
3 _{eq}	2.37 dd (3.0, 17.1)	3 _{eq}	2.77 dd (3.1, 17.2)	2.77 dd (3.1, 17.1)
он-5	12.83 s	5	12.40 s	12.01 s
6	6.00 d (1.9)†	6		6.02 s
8	5.96 d (1.9)+	8	6.00 s	
2'	7.05 d(2.3)	2'	$7.18 \ d \ (1.9)$	7.17 d (2.2)
5'	6.54 d (8.2)	5'	6.84 d (8.2)	6.85 d (8.1)
6	6.90 dd (2.3, 8.2)	6'	7.19 dd (1.9, 8.2)	7.19 dd (2.2, 8.1)
1"	3.33 d (7.2)	1"	3.38 d (7.1)§	3.39 d (7.3)**
2"	5.38 br t (7.2)‡	2"	5.32 m [€]	5.33 m**
4"	1.64 s	4"	1.78 s	1.79 s
5"	2.06 m§	5"	1.78 s	1.79 s
6"	2.15 m§	1'''	3.35 d (7.6)§	3.31 d (7.3)**
7"	5.18 br t (6.8)‡	2"	5.27 m ⁴	5.21 m**
9"	1.56 s	4'''	1.78 s	1.79 s
10"	1.71 s	5′′′	1.78 s	1.79 s

^{*} In benzene-d₆.

Table 2 13C NMR data of flavariones 1-3 (CDCl₃, 75 MHz)

Carbon	1*	Carbon	2	3
2	79.2 d+	2	79.0 d	78.9 d
3	43.2 1	3	43.3 t	43.2 t
4	196.3 s	4	196.3 s	196.5 s
5	165.1 s‡	5	$161.2 \ s_{+}^{+}$	162.3 s‡
6	96.8 d§	6	106.8 s	96.8 d
7	$165.2\ s_{+}^{+}$	7	$163.7 \ s_{+}^{+}$	163.6 s‡
8	95.4 d§	8	95.5 d	106.0 s
9	$163.8 \ s_{+}^{+}$	9	161.2 s‡	159.8 s_{+}^{+}
10	103.6 s	10	103.0 s	103.0 s
1'	131.0 s	1	130.6 s [¶]	130.8 s
2'	128.6 d	2"	128.2 d	128.0 d
3′	131.0 s	3	127.3 s ^q	130.8 s
4'	155.1 s	4'	154.9 s	154.7 s
5'	115.9 s	5'	116.0 d	115.9 d
6′	125.9 d	6'	125.7 d	125.5 d
1"	29.7 t	1"	29.9 t	29.8 d
2"	122.2 d	2"	121.4 d	121.6 d
3"	138.0 s	3"	135.7 s**	135.4 s††
4"	16.1 q	4"	17.9 q_{++}^{++}	17.8 d‡‡
5"	40.0 t	5"	$25.8 \ d_{++}^{++}$	$25.8\ q_{++}^{++}$
6"	26.8 t	1'''	21.1 t	21.8 d
7"	124.4 d	2	121.2 d	121.2 d
8"	131.7 s	3‴	135.4 s**	135.1 s††
9"	$17.7 \ q_{++}^{++}$	4'''	17.9 q_{++}^{++}	17.8 q_{++}^{++}
10"	25.8 q_{++}^{++}	5	25.8 q_{++}^{++}	25.8 q_{++}^{++}

[†]Multiplicities by DEPT.

in good agreement with those published [10]. For this compound, as was the case for compounds 1-3, all of the reported ¹H and ¹³C NMR assignments were confirmed from the results of extensive 2D NMR correlation measurements, including ¹H-¹H NMR COSY, ¹H-¹³C NMR HMQC and HMBC.

The antibacterial potency of all compounds (1-4) was assessed against *Escherichia coli* and *Micrococcus luteus* [20]. All were shown to have significant antibacterial activities consistent with the traditional uses of this plant species [2-4] (Table 3).

EXPERIMENTAL

General. Silica gel 40-63 μ m (Merck) was used for silica gel VLC and 15 μ m for MPLC. HPLC was performed with a 16 mm \times 250 mm column packed with reverse-phase material (Spherisorb S5 ODS II (Knauer)). NMR spectra were recorded at a basic frequency of 300 MHz: solvent resonances were used as int. refs. EIMS were measured at 70 eV.

Plant material. Leaves of M. pleiostemona Pax & K. Hoffm. were collected in April 1991, near Mendi, Papua New Guinea. A voucher specimen has been deposited at the Rijksherbarium (ETH 91/13 1-04-91), University of Leiden (The Netherlands).

Bioassays. Crude extracts, chromatographic frs and pure compounds were assayed for antibacterial activity against Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922) and Micrococcus luteus (ATCC 9341), using a bioautographic method [20].

^{†, ‡, §, €} Values interchangeable.

^{**} Assigned from 2D ROESY spectrum which showed NOE interactions between H-1" and H-2', H-1" and H-2", H-1" and H-4" rsp. H-5", H-2" and H-2', H-2" and H-4" rsp. H-5"; and between H-1" and H-2", H-1" and H-4" rsp. H-5". H-2" and H-4" rsp. H-5".

^{*}In benzene- d_6 .

[‡]Data assigned by comparison with ref. [17].

^{§, ¶. ||} Values interchangeable.

^{##}Data assigned by comparison with ref. [18].

Table 3. Antibacterial activities of compounds 1-4

	Minimum growth inhibition amount in μ g on TLC			
Compound	E. coli	M. luteu:		
1	0.5	0.5		
2	0.5	0.5		
3	0.5	0.5		
4	0.5	0.5		
Chloramphenicol	0.05	0.05		

Extraction and isolation. Air-dried leaves (1500 g) were continuously percolated with *n*-hexane followed by CH₂Cl₂, EtOAc, MeOH and then MeOH-H₂O (4:1) at room temp. The CH₂Cl₂ extract was subjected to silica gel VLC with hexane containing increasing proportions of EtOAc. Further purification by VLC with hexane-CHCl₃ Me₂CO (5:3:2), EtOAc and a MeOH stepgradient was done to remove chlorophyll. Separation by MPLC with hexane-EtOAc-MeOH (16:4:1) and final purification by RP-HPLC with MeOH-H₂O (3:1) led to the isolation of compounds 1 (48.3 mg), 2 (5.0 mg), 3 (3.1 mg) and 4 (15.6 mg).

Macarangaflavanone A. 3'-Geranyl-4',5,7-trihydroxy-flavanone (1). HREIMS m/z 408.1877 ($C_{25}H_{28}O_5$ requires 408.1929). EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 408 [M] $^+$ (<1). 255 [$C_{18}H_{23}O$] $^+$ (<1), 153 [$C_7H_5O_4$] $^+$ (32). [α] $_D^{25}$ – 13.5 (CHCl $_3$; c 0.25). UV $\lambda_{\max}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm (log ε): 286 (4.10), 320 (sh) (3.60); + NaOMe: 247 (4.12), 323 (4.32); + AlCl $_3$: 311 (4.23), 377 (3.41); + AlCl $_3$ + HCl: 310 (4.19), 377 (3.43); + NaOAc: 324 (4.29); + NaOAc + H_3BO_3 : 288 (4.10). IR ν_{\max}^{flim} cm $^{-1}$: 3390 (OH); 2970, 2920 (C = CH); 1640 (conj. C = O); 1610, 1510, 1460 (ar. C = C). ^{-1}H NMR: Table 1. ^{-13}C NMR: Table 2.

Macarangaflavanone B. 4',5,7-Trihydroxy-3',6-bis(3-methyl-2-butenyl) flavanone (2). HREIMS m/z 408.1929 (C₂₅H₂₈O₅ requires 408.1929). EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 408 [M] $^+$ (45), 221 [C₁₂H₁₃O₄] $^+$ (21), 220 [C₁₂H₁₂O₄] $^+$ (25), 219 [C₁₂H₁₁O₄] $^+$ (20), 188 [C₁₃H₁₆O] $^+$ (21). [α] $_{\rm b}^{25}$ 0.0" (MeOH; c 0.5). UV λ $_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$ nm (log ϵ): 293 (4.25), 332 (sh) (3.59); + NaOMe: 247 (4.29), 330 (4.43); + AlCl₃: 295 (4.19); + AlCl₃ + HCl: 297 (4.15); + NaOAc: 330 (4.28); + NaOAc + H₃BO₃: 294 (4.21). IR ν $_{\rm max}^{\rm flim}$ cm $^{-1}$: 3360 (OH): 2970, 2920 (C = CH); 1640 (conj. C = O); 1600, 1500, 1450 (ar. C = C). 1H NMR: Table 1. 13 C NMR: Table 2.

Euchrestaflavanone A. 4',5,7-Trihydroxy-3',8-bis(3-methyl-2-butenyl) flavanone (3). EIMS identical with those of lespedezaflavanone B [8] and euchrestaflavanone A [6, 7]. $[\alpha]_{6}^{25} - 21.7$ (MeOH; c 0.25), cf. -35.0° [7], -29.1° [8]. UV shifts agree with those of lespedezaflavanone B [8]. IR spectral data comparable with those of lespedezaflavanone B [8] and euchrestaflavanone A [6, 7]. ¹H NMR: Table 1. ¹³C NMR: Table 2.

Bonannione A. 6-Geranyl-4',5,7-trihydroxyflavanone (4). EIMS in agreement with that of bonannione A [14]. $[\alpha]_D^{2.5} = 3.1$ (CHCl₃: c = 0.5). cf. = 2.6 [10]. UV

 $λ_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm (log ε): 293 (4.26), 329 (sh) (3.61); + NaOMe: 246 (4.47), 329 (4.37); + AlCl₃: 295 (4.19); + AlCl₃ + HCl: 300 (4.16); + NaOAc: 329 (4.30); + NaOAc + H₃BO₃: 294 (4.22). IR $ν_{\text{max}}^{\text{film}}$ cm⁻¹: 3350 (OH); 2920 (C = CH); 1640 (conj. C = O); 1600, 1520, 1490 (ar. C = C). 1H and ¹³C NMR spectral data are consistent with those in ref. [10].

Acknowledgements — This research was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation. The authors thank Drs B. Baumgartner, J. Orjala (ETH Zurich), P. Hovenkamp (University of Leiden) and Mr P. Katik (National Herbarium, Lae) for collection and identification of plant material. Thanks are also due to Mr O. Greter (ETH Zurich) for recording MS and Dr E. Zass (ETH Zurich) for performing literature searches. We are also grateful to Dr Y. Shirataki (Josai University, Japan) for an authentic sample of euchrestaflavanone A.

REFERENCES

- Henty, E. E. (1980) in Harmful Plants in Papua New Guinea, p. 61. Department of Forests, Division of Botany, Lae, Papua New Guinea.
- Holdsworth, D. and Kerenga, K. (1987) Int. J. Crude Drug Res. 25, 183.
- 3. Holdsworth, D. K. and N'Drawii, C. S. (1973) Science in New Guinea 1, 11.
- 4. Holdsworth, D. K. (1974) Science in New Guinea 2,
- 5. Hnawia, E., Thoison, O., Guéritte-Voegelein, F. and Sévenet, T. (1990) *Phytochemistry* **29**, 2367.
- Shirataki, Y., Komatsu, M., Yokoe, I. and Manaka, A. (1981) Chem. Pharmacol. Bull. 29, 3033.
- 7. Shirataki, Y., Yokoe, I., Endo., M. and Komatsu, M. (1985) Chem. Pharmacol. Bull. 33, 444.
- Wang, M., Li, J. and Liu, W. (1987) Phytochemistry 26, 1218.
- 9. Dawidar, A. M., Jakupovic, J., Abdel-Mogib, M. and Mashaly, I. A. (1994) *Phytochemistry* **36**, 803.
- Bruno, M. and Savona, G. (1985) Heterocycles 23, 1147.
- 11. Mabry, T. J., Markham, K. R. and Thomas, M. B. (1970) in *The Systematic Identification of Flavonoids*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Mitscher, L. A., Gollapudi, S. R., Khanna, I. K., Drake, S. D., Hanumaiah, T., Ramaswamy, T. and Rao, K. V. J. (1985) *Phytochemistry* 24, 2885.
- Bohm, B. A. (1975) in *The Flavonoids* (Harborne, J. B., Mabry, T. J. and Mabry, H., eds), p. 594. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Fukai, T. and Nomura, T. (1990) Heterocycles 31, 1861.
- Sherif, E. A., Gupta, R. K. and Krishnamurti, M. (1980) Tetrahedron Lett. 21, 641.
- Yang, S. S., Gao, F., Mabry, T. J., Amer, M. E., Abdel-Kader, M. A., Mahmoud, Z. F. and Abdel-Salam, N. A. (1989) Phytochemistry 28, 1749.

- 17. Wehrli, F. W. (1975) J. C. S. Chem. Commun. 1975, 663.
- 18. Kalinowski, H.-O., Berger, S. and Braun, S. (1988) in *Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy*, p. 178. John Wiley and Sons. Chichester.
- 19. Shirataki, Y., Noguchi, M., Yokoe, I., Tomimori, T.
- and Komatsu, M. (1991) Chem. Pharmacol. Bull. 39, 1568
- Orjala, J., Wright, A. D., Behrends, H., Folkers, G., Sticher, O. Rüegger, H. and Rali, T. (1994) J. Nat. Prod. 57, 18.