

Phytochemistry, Vol. 41, No. 4, pp. 1143–1147, 1996 Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved 0031–9422/96 \$15.00 + 0.00

# REARRANGED ABIETANE DITERPENES FROM SALVIA LIMBATA

GÜLACTÌ TOPCU,\* CANAN ERIS and AYHAN ULUBELEN\*

Tubitak, Marmara Research Center, Research Institute for Basic Sciences, Department of Chemistry, PK 21, 41470, Gebze, Kocaeli, Turkey; \*Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Istanbul, 34452, Istanbul, Turkey

(Received in revised form 27 July 1995)

Key Word Index—Salvia limbata; Lamiaceae; rearranged abietane diterpenes.

Abstract—Six new rearranged abietane diterpenes were isolated from the roots of Salvia limbata. Their structures were established by 1D and 2D NMR techniques including spin decoupling, COSY, APT, HETCOR and COLOC experiments

#### INTRODUCTION

Salvia species have been used in folk medicine all around the world. They have antibacterial and antifungal activities [1], and are used against psoriasis, seboreic eczema [2] and tuberculosis [3]. In China, S. miltiorrhiza is used in the treatment of coronary heart disease [4, 5]. In Turkey they have also many uses in folk medicine [6].

Salvia limbata C.A. Meyer (syn. S. chrysadenia Freyn) is found in Azerbaijan and eastern Turkey at elevations 1800–2000 m. This paper deals with the isolation and structure determination of six new rearranged abietane diterpenes (1–6), all of which were isolated from the roots of the plant together with the known diterpene manool [7,8], and the flavonoids, pectolinarigenin and salvigenin, as well as the steroids, stigmasterol and sitosterol.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Dried and roughly powdered roots of *S. limbata* yielded six rearranged abietane diterpenes: 12-hydroxy-sapriparaquinone [4,5-seco-5,10-friedo-12-hydroxyabieta-3,5(10),6,8,12-pentaene-11,14-dione] (1), 3,12-dihydroxyapriparaquinone-1-ene [4,5-seco-5,10-friedo-3,12-dihydroxyabieta-1,3,5(10),6,8,12-hexaene-11,14-dione] (2), 2-hydroxysaprorthoquinone [4,5-seco-5,10-friedo-2-hydroxy-abieta-3,5(10),6,8,12-pentaene-11,12-dione] (3), limbinol [4,5-seco-5,10-friedo-2,12-dihydroxyabieta-3,5(10),6,8,11,13-hexaene-1-one] (4), salvilimbinol [4,5-seco-5,10(H)-friedo-4,9,11,12-tetrahydroxyabieta-5,7,11,13-tetraene-3-one] (5) and 4-dehydrosalvilimbinol [4,5-seco-5,10(H)-friedo-9,11,12-trihydroxyabieta-4(18),5,7,11,13-pentaene-3-one] (6).

The high resolution mass spectrum (HREIMS) of compound 1 indicated a molecular formula  $C_{20}H_{24}O_3$  (m/z 312.1730; calc. 312.1725). The IR spectrum showed a sharp signal for a hydroxyl group at 3380 cm<sup>-1</sup> and quinoid signals at 1700, 1650, 1580 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The UV spectrum indicated an unsaturated quinoid structure with

 $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 390 \text{ nm}$ . The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 1 exhibited lower field signals at  $\delta$ 7.96 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, H-7), 7.50 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, H-6) and 7.78 (1H, br s, C-12 OH)  $(D_2O)$ exchangeable) indicating a p-quinoid structure while the o-quinoid isomer of compound 1, saprorthoquinone, has lower field protons at  $\delta$ 7.36, 7.04 and 7.09 [9]. Other <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals of 1 were at  $\delta$ 5.29 (1H, br t, J = 7.5 Hz, H-3), 3.38 (1H, septet, J = 7 Hz, H-15), 3.19 (2H, dd, J = 7.5 and 11 Hz, H<sub>2</sub>-1), 2.44 (3H, s, Me-20), 2.18 (2H, ddd, J = 7.5, 9 and 11 Hz, H<sub>2</sub>-2), 1.72 (3H, s), 1.60 (3H, s) (Me-18 and Me-19) and 1.28 (6H, d, J = 7 Hz, Me-16 and Me-17). The sequence of the C-1 to C-3 protons was assigned from spin decoupling experiments. When the signal at  $\delta$ 5.29 (H-3) was irradiated only the signal at  $\delta$ 2.18 (H-2) collapsed to a triplet; when the latter signal was irradiated both signals at  $\delta$  5.29 (H-3) and 3.19 (H<sub>2</sub>-1) collapsed to singlets showing their respective places. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR (APT) displayed four methyl quartets corresponding to five methyl groups, two methylene triplets, four methine doublets and nine quaternary carbon singlets. The COSY and the HETCOR experiments allowed the assignment of protons and carbons (Table 1).

The HREIMS of compound 2 indicated a molecular formula  $C_{20}H_{22}O_4$  (m/z 326.1522, calc. 326.1528). The IR spectrum showed hydroxyl absorption at 3400 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and conjugated quinoid bands at 1700, 1685, 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The UV spectrum established the presence of conjugated *p*-quinone structure with  $\lambda_{max} = 410$  nm. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 2 revealed its structure, showing signals at  $\delta 8.37$  (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, H-1), 8.15 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-7), 7.57 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, H-2), 7.45 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, H-6), 3.45 (1H, septet, J = 7 Hz, H-15), 2.81 (3H, s, Me-20), 1.79 (6H, s, Me-18 and Me-19) and 1.34 (6H, d, J = 7 Hz, Me-16 and Me-17). Spin decoupling experiments showed the relationship between H-1 and H-2 as well as between H-6 and H-7. Thus irradiation of H-1 and H-6 collapsed H-2 and H-7 to singlets, respectively. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR (APT) spectrum showed three quartets for five methyl groups, five methine doublets, four being

1144 G. Topcu et al.

1 R=H  
2 R=OH, 
$$\Delta^{1.2}$$

Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of compounds 1-4

	1		2		3		4	
	¹H	13C	¹H	<sup>13</sup> C	¹H	<sup>13</sup> C	¹H	13C
1	3.19	30.2	8.37	129.6	1.18; 3.08	32.3		195.5
2	2.18	27.8	7.57	128.8	4.88	73.0	5.35	65.6
3	5.29	123.7		150.0	5.50	124.4	5.40	124.9
4	_	126.3		126.5	_	136.2	_	136.5
5	_	144.4	_	142.2	_	137.8	_	136.0
6	7.50	136.2	7.45	127.9	7.07	124.4	7.00	126.5
7	7.96	126.4	8.15	118.3	7.50	124.1	7.12	130.5
8		136.3	_	134.0	_	120.1		127.7
9	_	132.5	_	132.7	_	129.0	_	133.7
10		143.2	_	143.7	_	137.8	_	139.6
11		184.6	_	184.8	_	183.0	7.10	136.0
12	_	153.2		153.1		184.3	—	139.6
13	_	132.5		132.7		136.2	_	133.7
14	_	183.3	_	184.0	7.20	115.7	6.95	136.5
15	3.38	24.4	3.45	24.1	2.97	26.9	2.97	26.9
16	1.29	19.8	1.34	20.9	1.32	25.6	1.15	21.4
17	1.29	19.8	1.34	20.9	1.36	25.6	1.13	21.5
18	1.72	26.9	1.79	29.7	1.82	27.7	1.74	25.8
19	1.60	17.6	1.79	29.7	1.77	18.3	1.76	18.1
20	2.44	20.3	2.81	18.4	2.35	22.5	2.22	18.8

in the lower field, and 10 quaternary carbon signals. Methylene triplets were not present. The COSY and the HETCOR experiments showed the protons and carbons of the structure (Table 1).

The third compound (3) obtained from the extract had a molecular formula  $C_{20}H_{24}O_3$  as derived from its HREIMS (m/z 312.1731; calc. 312.1725). The IR spectrum indicated hydroxyl groups at 3600 and 3400 cm<sup>-1</sup>,

and a conjugated quinoid structure at 1680 and  $1650 \,\mathrm{cm^{-1}}$ . The UV spectrum had a  $\lambda_{\mathrm{max}}$  at 395 nm. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 3 indicated an orthoquinoid structure rather than a paraquinoid one:  $\delta 7.50$  (1H, d, J=8 Hz, H-7), 7.20 (1H, br s, H-14), 7.07 (1H, d, J=8 Hz, H-6), 5.77 (1H, br s, C-2 OH) (D<sub>2</sub>O exchange), 5.5 (1H, dd, J=8.5 and 1.5 Hz, H-3), 4.88 (1H, br t, J=8.5 Hz, H-2), 2.97 (1H, septet, J=7 Hz, H-15), 3.08

	5			6		
-	¹H	13C	COLOC correlations	¹H	13C	COLOC correlations
1	1.86; 1.94	23.5	C-3, C-5, C-9	1.80; 2.30	24.4	C-3, C-9
2	2.57; 2.89	25.3	C-3, C-4, C-10	2.67; 2.90	25.1	C-1, C-4, C-10
3	<u>-</u>	210.7	_		201.7	
4		74.2	_		149.1	
5		138.7		_	137.3	
6	6.92	126.6	C-8, C-9, C-10, C-20	6.98	126.5	C-8, C-10, C-14, C-20
7	7.05	130.0	C-9, C-5	7.10	130.1	C-5, C-14
8		135.5		_	135.6	_
9	_	76.2		_	74.5	
10	2.42	45.4	C-2, C-3, C-9, C-11	2.44	45.8	C-2, C-5, C-11
11	_	136.3	_	_	137.3	
12		141.0	_	_	141.9	_
13	_	128.1			126.6	
14	6.78	134.9	C-7, C-9, C-12	6.89	135.5	C-7, C-8, C-12
15	2.90	27.4	C-13, C-14	2.98	26.6	C-14
16	1.12	20.7	C-12, C-13, C-14	1.11	21.4	C-13, C-15
17	1.17	21.6	C-13, C-14	1.11	21.4	C-13, C-15
18	1.37	26.5	C-2, C-3, C-4	4.90; 4.91	111.7	C-3, C-4, C-19
19	1.42	32.6	C-2, C-3	2.01	24.5	C-3, C-18
20	2.24	19.8	C-6, C-7, C-10	2.25	19.8	C-6, C-7

Table 2. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data and COLOC experimental data of compounds 5 and 6

(1H, m, H-1a), 2.35 (3H, s, Me-20), 1.82 (3H, s), 1.77 (3H, s) (Me-18 and Me-19), 1.36 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz), 1.32 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz) (Me-16 and Me-17), 1.18 (1H, m, H-1b). The protons of C-1, C-2 and C-3 were assigned from spin decoupling experiments. Irradiation of the vinylic proton at C-3 ( $\delta$ 5.50) collapsed the triplet at  $\delta$ 4.88 (H-2) to a doublet, irradiation of H-2 collapsed H-3 to a broadened singlet and the multiplet at  $\delta$  3.08 (H-1a) and 1.18 (H-1b) simplified, irradiation of H-1a and H-1b signals affected only H-2 but not H-3. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR (APT) spectrum showed the presence of four quartets corresponding to five methyl groups, a methylene triplet, six methine doublets and eight quaternary carbon signals. Spin decoupling, the COSY and the HETCOR experiments allowed the assignment of the protons and carbons (Table 1).

The HREIMS of limbinol (4) indicated a molecular formula  $C_{20}H_{24}O_3$  (m/z 312.1728; calc. 312.1725). The IR spectrum showed hydroxyl absorption at 3420 cm<sup>-1</sup>, a conjugated carbonyl group at 1690 cm<sup>-1</sup> and conjugated aromatic signals at 1610, 1580 and 1520 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The UV spectrum confirmed the conjugated aromatic system by the presence of a  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 369 \text{ nm}$ . The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum indicated an aromatic ring instead of a quinoid system, i.e. signals at  $\delta$ 7.12 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, H-7), 7.0 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz, H-6), 7.1 (1H, s, H-11), 6.95 (1H, s, H-11)H-14). Other <sup>1</sup>H NMR signals were at  $\delta$ 5.40 (1H, d, J = 4 Hz, H-3, 5.35 (1H, d, J = 4 Hz, H-2), 2.97 (1H, d)septet, J = 7 Hz, H-15), 2.22 (3H, s, Me-20), 1.76 (3H, s), 1.74 (3H, s) (Me-18 and Me-19), 1.15 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz), 1.13 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz) (Me-16 and Me-17). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR (APT) indicated five methyl quartets, seven methine doublets five of them being in the lower field and eight quaternary carbon singlets one being at  $\delta$ 195.5 which indicated a conjugated carbonyl at C-1. This position for the oxo group was decided from the multiplicity of the C-2 and C-3 protons, if the oxo group was at C-2 instead of C-1 both signals for H-3 and H-1 would be observed as singlets. The assignments of the protons and carbons were made by the HETCOR and spin decoupling experiments (Table 1).

The HREIMS of 5, salvilimbinol, indicated a molecular formula  $C_{20}H_{28}O_5$  (m/z 348.1930; calc. 348.1936). Its IR spectrum showed the signals for an oxo group at 1700 cm<sup>-1</sup>, unsaturation peaks at 1660 and 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and hydroxyl signal at 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The UV spectrum showed a  $\lambda_{max}$  at 329 nm. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR indicated the structure of **5** exhibiting signals at  $\delta$  7.05 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, H-7), 6.92 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, H-6), 6.78 (1H, d, J = 1 Hz, H-14),4.56 (1H, br s, OH) (D<sub>2</sub>O exchange), 2.90 (1H, septet, J = 7 Hz, H-15), 2.89 (1H, ddd, J = 11, 5.5, 2 Hz, H-2a), 2.57 (1H, ddd, J = 10, 10, 5.5 Hz, H-2b), 2.42 (1H, dd, J = 10, 5 Hz, H-10), 2.24 (3H, s, Me-20), 1.94 (1H, dd,J = 11, 5 Hz, H-1a, 1.86 (1H, m, H-1b), 1.42 (3H, s), 1.37(3H, s) (Me-18 and Me-19), 1.17 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz) and, 1.12 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz) (Me-16 and Me-17). Spin decoupling experiments showed the relationships between the protons of C-10, C-1 and C-2 as well as between those of C-6 and C-7. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR (APT) spectrum displayed five methyl quartets, two methylene triplets, five methine doublets and eight quaternary carbons. The HETCOR and COLOC experiment allowed the unambiguous assignment of protons and carbons (Table 2). Acetylation of compound 5 at room temp. for 24 hr gave a monoacetyl derivative exhibiting an acetyl signal at  $\delta 2.35$ and thus placing this moiety at C-12, the other signals 1146 G. TOPCU et al.

remained unchanged. However, acetylation of 5 under drastic conditions afforded compound 6 which can be explained by the loss of one molecule of water from C-4. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of this derivative was exactly the same as those of compound 6 verifying the structural relation between these two compounds.

The spectral data of compound 6, 4-dehydrosalvilimbinol, were quite similar to those of 5, but there was an isopropenyl instead of hydroxyisopropyl group at C-4. HREIMS indicated a molecular formula C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (m/z 330.1851; calc. 330.1830). The IR spectrum showed a hydroxyl signal at 3520 cm<sup>-1</sup>, a conjugated oxo group signal at 1697 cm<sup>-1</sup> and unsaturation signals at 1660 and 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The UV spectrum showed a  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  at 336 nm. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum indicated the structure of 6:  $\delta$ 7.10 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, H-7), 6.98 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, H-6), 6.89 (1H, s, H-14), 4.90 (1H, s) and 4.91 (1H, s)  $(CH_2-18)$ , 2.98 (1H, septet, J=7 Hz, H-15), 2.25 (3H, s, Me-20), 2.01 (3H, s, Me-19), 1.11 (6H, d, J = 7 Hz, Me-16 and Me-17). Spin decoupling experiments showed the relationship between H-10 ( $\delta$ 2.44, 1H, dd, J = 4, 12 Hz) and H-1a ( $\delta$ 1.80, m), H-1b ( $\delta$ 2.30, 1H, ddd, J = 3, 11, 12 Hz) and H-2a ( $\delta$ 2.67, 1H, dd, J = 9, 16 Hz) and H-2b  $(\delta 2.90, 1H, m)$ , as well as the C-6 and C-7 protons. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR (APT) showed the presence of four methyl quartets, three methylene triplets, five methine doublets and eight quaternary carbon singlets. The HETCOR and the COLOC experiments allowed the unambiguous assignment of the protons and carbons (Table 2). The most favourable structures of compounds 5 and 6 were found in computer designed minimized energy formulae showing that H-10, C-9 hydroxyl as well as Me-20 were in  $\beta$  positions.

### **EXPERIMENTAL**

General. All solvent evaporations were carried out at reduced pressure. Yields of the new compounds were as follows: 1, 12 mg; 2, 14 mg; 3, 240 mg; 4, 55 mg; 5, 18 mg; 6, 15 mg. CC: silica gel 40 (E. Merck), Sephadex LH-20 (Fluka), preparative plates (E. Merck).

Plant material, extraction and isolation. The roots of S. limbata C. A. Meyer were collected from eastern Turkey (Ilica-Erzurum) at elevations 1800–2000 m in July 1994. The plant was identified by Dr Kerim Alpinar (Istanbul), a voucher specimen is deposited in the Herbarium of the Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Istanbul, ISTE 66394. The dried and powdered plant material (650 g) was extracted with Me<sub>2</sub>CO in a Soxhlet, after evaporation of the extract 9 g of residue was obtained. The residue was fractionated over a silica gel column (3.5 × 90 cm), the column was eluted with hexane, a gradient of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> up to 100% followed by EtOAc up to 30%.

12-Hydroxysapriparaquinone (1). Amorphous, yellow compound. UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$  nm: 390 (log  $\varepsilon$  3.0), 350 (log  $\varepsilon$  3.4), 290 (log  $\varepsilon$  3.95), 255 (log  $\varepsilon$  4.2); IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{CHCl}_3}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3380, 3000, 2970, 2910, 1700, 1650, 1580, 1480, 1420, 1380, 1300, 1280, 1190, 1120, 1030, 1000, 920, 790; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): see text; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and HETCOR: Table 1;

HREIMS:  $312.1730 [C_{20}H_{24}O_3]^+$  (80), 294 [M –  $H_2O]^+$  (80), 284 [M – 28]<sup>+</sup> (64), 245 [M –  $C_5H_7$ ]<sup>+</sup> (100), 229 [M –  $C_6H_{11}$ ]<sup>+</sup> (87), 201 (80), 141 (88), 128 (94), 115 (93), 70 (95).

3,12-Dihydroxysapriparaquinone-1-ene (2). Amorphous, deep yellow compound. UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$  nm: 410 (log  $\varepsilon$  3.6), 390 (log  $\varepsilon$  3.6), 326 (log  $\varepsilon$  3.9), 240 (log  $\varepsilon$  4.4); IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm CHCl_3}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3400, 3000, 2980, 2940, 1700, 1685, 1650, 1600, 1580, 1520, 1450, 1380, 1210, 1180, 1100, 980, 780; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): see text; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and HETCOR: Table 1; HREIMS: 326.1522 [C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (15), 311 [M - Me]<sup>+</sup> (12), 308 [M - H<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (10), 229 [M - C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>9</sub>O]<sup>+</sup> (80), 203 (95), 124 (87), 109 (75), 84 (97), 71 (100).

2,12-Dihydroxysaprorthoquinone (3).  $[\alpha]_D - 3.0^\circ$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>; c 1.3). Amorphous, yellow compound. UV  $\lambda_{max}^{MeOH}$ : 395 (log  $\varepsilon$  2.7), 330 (log  $\varepsilon$  3.1), 282 (log  $\varepsilon$  3.4), 242 (log  $\varepsilon$  4.5); IR  $\nu_{max}^{CHCl_3}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3600, 3400, 3050, 2960, 2940, 1680, 1650, 1580, 1480, 1420, 1350, 1250, 1170, 1050, 970, 840, 750; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): see text; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and HETCOR: Table 1; HREIMS: 312.1731  $[C_{20}H_{24}O_3]^+$  (23), 296  $[M-H_2O]^+$  (35), 213  $[M-C_6H_{11}O]^+$  (100), 185 (50), 165 (87), 141 (80), 115 (65), 83 (40), 69 (45).

Limbinol (4).  $[\alpha]_D - 32.8^\circ$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>; c 0.3). Amorphous, yellow compound. UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$  nm: 369 (log ε 3.2), 310 (log ε 3.6), 240 (log ε 4.0); IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm CHCl_3}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3420, 2950, 2880, 1690, 1610, 1580, 1520, 1485, 1360, 1150, 1060, 1000, 920, 780; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): see text; <sup>13</sup>C NMR: Table 1; HREIMS: 312.1728  $[C_{20}H_{24}O_3]^+$  (18), 284  $[M-28]^+$  (53), 227  $[M-C_5H_9O]^+$  (100), 199  $[M-C_6H_9O_2]^+$  (52), 185 (67), 141 (63), 115 (72), 83 (95), 69 (75).

Salvilimbinol (5).  $[\alpha]_D - 17.6^\circ$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>; c 0.2). Deep yellow compound. UV  $\lambda_{max}^{MeOH}$  nm: 329 (log ε 3.27), 242 (log ε 4.5); IR  $\nu_{max}^{CHCl_3}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3500, 2980, 1700, 1660, 1600, 1460, 1380, 1040, 750; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): see text; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and HETCOR: Table 2; HREIMS: 348.1930  $[C_{20}H_{28}O_5]^+$  (2), 330  $[M-H_2O]^+$  (4), 312  $[M-2\times H_2O]^+$  (18), 296 (80), 268 (87), 225 (100), 213 (58), 185 (93), 165 (55), 115 (40), 69 (40).

4-Dehydrosalvilimbinol (6).  $[α]_D - 18.0^\circ$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>; c 0.1). Deep yellow compound. UV  $λ_{max}^{\text{MeOH}}$  nm: 336 (log ε 3.40), 244 (log ε 4.5); IR  $ν_{max}^{\text{CHCl}_3}$  cm  $^{-1}$ : 3520, 2980, 2940, 1697, 1660, 1600, 1460, 1375, 1200, 1100, 1020, 900, 810;  $^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): see text;  $^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) and HETCOR: Table 2; HREIMS: 330.1851  $[C_{20}H_{26}O_4]^+$  (2), 312  $[M-H_2O]^+$  (14), 294  $[M-2\times H_2O]^+$  (18), 268 (65), 245 (27), 225 (100), 213 (33), 185 (27), 115 (12), 83 (52).

## REFERENCES

- 1. Hitokoto, H., Morozumi, S., Wauke, T., Saiki, S. and Kurata, H. (1980) App. Envin. Microbiol. 39, 818.
- Janosik, J. (1980) Ciheck Pat. 185.262; Chem. Abst. (1981) 95, 68027 f.
- Dobrynin, V. N., Kolosov, M. N., Chernov, B. K. and Derbentseva, N. A. (1976) Khim. Prir. Soedin. 5, 686.
- 4. Chen, W. Z. (1981) Acta Pharm. Sinica 19, 876.

- Chang, H. M. and But, P. P. (1986) in *Pharmacology* and Applications of Chinese Materia Medica. World Sci. Pub. Co. Singapore, Vol. 1, p. 255.
- 6. Baytop, T. (1984) in Therapy with Medicinal Plants of Turkey Istanbul University, Pub. No. 3255, p. 156.
- 7. Thomas, B. K. (1952) Nature 170, 1018.
- 8. Ulubelen, A., Topcu, G., Eriş, C., Sönmez, U., Kartal, M., Kurucu, S. and Bozok-Johansson, C. (1994) *Phytochemistry* 36, 971.
- 9. Lin, L., Blasko, G. and Cordell, G. A. (1989) Phytochemistry 28, 177.