

 Polyhedron Vol. 14. No. 20–21, pp. 2993–2997, 1995

 Copyright ⊕ 1995 Elsevier Science Ltd

 Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved

 0277–5387/95
 \$9.50+0.00

0277-5387(95)00118-2

THE REACTION OF THE PRECURSOR PhCCo₃(CO)₉ WITH NaW(CO)₃(RCp): CHARACTERIZATION AND CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF THE CLUSTERS (μ_3 -CPh)Co₂W(CO)₈(RCp) (R = HCO, CH₃CO, C₂H₅OCO)

HE-PING WU and YUAN-QI YIN*

Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China

and

XIAO-YING HUANG

State Key Laboratory of Structure Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou 350002, P.R. China

(Received 6 September 1994; accepted 3 March 1995)

Abstract— μ_3 -Phenylmethylidyne trimetal carbonyl clusters (μ_3 -CPh)Co₂W(CO)₈(RCp) (**2a** : R = HCO ; **3a** : R = CH₃CO ; **4a** : R = C₂H₅OCO) have been obtained from a solution of the precursor (μ_3 -CPh)Co₃(CO)₉ refluxing with the metal exchange reagents NaW (CO)₃(RCp) in THF. The results showed that electron-withdrawing groups on the cyclopentadienyl affected the activity of the metal exchange reagents NaW(CO)₃(RCp) and the above reaction was accelerated thermally. The results further showed that the metal carbonyl unit Co(CO)₃ in the precursor PhCCo₃(CO)₉ can be exchanged by the organometallic group W(CO)₂(RCp). The clusters were characterized by C/H analysis, IR and ¹H NMR and by crystal structure determination for cluster **3a**.

One aspect of the unconventional use of organometallic fragments as cluster building blocks is metal exchange. Metal exchange in clusters was first observed in 1971/72^{1.2} without being explicitly recognized. However, only recently³ were systematic studies begun to elucidate the scope and variability of this reaction. Some μ_3 -alkylidyne- Co_2M (M = Cr, Mo, W, Fe, Ni) tetrahedral clusters were synthesized by the reaction of the precursor $RCCo_3(CO)_9$ (R = alkyl, aryl, halo, H) with Na (or K) salts of M(CO)₃Cp,^{4.5} Me₂AsM(CO)₃Cp⁶ and $[M(CO)_3Cp]_2^7$ through metal exchange. In these reactions, the latter species are able to attack the precursor and substitute the $Co(CO)_3$ unit. However, no μ_3 -alkylidyne Co₂W derivatives containing substituted cyclopentadienyls (HCOCp,

CH₃COCp, C₂H₅OCOCp) or the crystal structure of a cluster core CCo₂W have been reported up to now. In order to examine the chemical properties of the η^5 -substituted cyclopentadienyl tricarbonyl derivatives of tungsten that react with PhCCo₃ (CO)₉ and to synthesize new derivatives of the clusters RCCo₂W(CO)₈(RCp), we initiated a study of the reaction of the cluster PhCCo₃(CO)₉ with NaW(CO)₃(RCp) (R = HCO, CH₃CO, C₂H₅OCO) using metal exchange reaction. Three novel clusters, PhCCo₂W(CO)₈(RCp), were prepared and the crystal structure of the cluster **3a** was determined.

EXPERIMENTAL

General procedure and material

All operations were carried out under pure nitrogen using standard Schlenk and vacuum tech-

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

niques. Hexane, benzene and tetrahydrofuran were dried over sodium wire and distilled from sodium– benzophenone under nitrogen. NaW(CO)₃(RCp) and PhCCo₃(CO)₉ were prepared according to literature methods.^{8,9} Column chromatography was carried out using 160–200 mesh silica gel.

IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet FT-IR 10 DX IR spectrophotometer; ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-400MHz spectrometer; C/H analysis determinations were performed using a 1106-type analyser.

General procedure for preparing clusters $PhCCo_2W$ (CO)₈(RCp) [R = HCO (2a), CH₃CO(3a), C₂H₅OCO (4a)]

To a solution of NaW(CO)₃(RCp) in THF was added PhCCo₃(CO)₉ (1). The mixture was stirred for 5–8 h at 60°C. Solvent was then removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted in benzene and the extracts were chromatographed on a 20×2.5 cm silica gel column using benzene–n-hexane as eluent. Products were recrystallized in hexane–benzene at -20° C.

Compound **2a**. Yield : 21.2%. Found : C, 35.9 ; H, 1.3. Calc. for $C_{21}H_{10}Co_2O_9W$: C, 35.6 ; H, 1.4%. IR (KBr disk) : ν (CO) 1689.8m, ν (CO) (terminal CO) 2071.7s, 2011.9vs, 1994.5s, 1975.2s, 1940.5s cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) : δ 9.55 (s, 1H, HCO), 5.78 [s, 2H, H(2), H(5)], 5.49 [s, 2H, H(3), H(4)], 7.12–7.36 (m, 5H, C₆H₅).

Compound **3a**. Yield : 20.8%. Found : C, 37.0; H, 1.5. Calc. for $C_{22}H_{12}Co_2O_9W$: C, 36.6; H, 1.7%. IR (KBr disk) : v(CO) 1685.9m, v(CO) (terminal CO) 2069.8s, 2019.6vs, 2008.0vs, 1992.6s, 1971.4s, 1938.6m cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) : δ 2.30 (s, 3H, CH₃CO), 5.78 [s, 2H, H(2), H(5)], 5.17 [s, 2H, H(3), H(4)], 7.17 (t, 1H, =CH), 7.27 (d, 2H, 2 =CH), 7.31 (t, 2H, 2 =CH).

Compound **4a.** Yield : 24.7%. Found : C, 37.2 ; H, 1.7. Calc. for $C_{23}H_{14}Co_2O_{10}W$: C, 36.7 ; H, 1.9%. IR(KBr disk) : ν (CO) 1720.6m, ν (CO) (terminal CO) 2069.8s, 2021.5vs, 2000.3vs cm⁻¹. 'H NMR (CDCl₃) : δ 1.30 (s, 3H, CH₃), 4.27 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 5.82 [s, 2H, H(2), H(5)], 5.43 [s, 2H, H(3), H(4)], 7.10–7.27 (m, 5H, C₆H₅).

X-ray crystallography for 3a

The black crystals used for X-ray determination were obtained from hexane-benzene solution at -20° C. A crystal of approximate dimensions $0.2 \times 0.16 \times 0.10$ mm³ was used for structure determination. Data collection was performed with Mo- K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å) on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator. A total of 8572 reflections measured were collected in the range $2\theta_{max} = 49.9^{\circ}$, of which 6316 reflections with $I > 3.00\sigma(I)$ were considered to be observed.

Cluster **3a** is triclinic with space group $P\bar{1}$ and lattice parameters: a = 13.631(4), b = 14.569(4), c = 14.704(6) Å; $\alpha = 116.53(3)$, $\beta = 106.76(3)$, $\gamma = 100.62(2)^{\circ}$; Z = 4, V = 2329(2) Å³, $D_c = 2.06$ g cm⁻³, F(000) = 1376, R = 0.035, $R_w = 0.043$. The goodness of fit indicator is 0.99, maximum shift in final cycle is 0.08, largest peak in final diff. map is 0.72 e Å⁻³.

The structure was solved by the Patterson method. The tungsten atom and two cobalt atoms were located by the heavy-atom method. The coordinates of the remaining non-hydrogen atoms were found from a difference map. The structure was refined by the full-matrix least-squares method with anisotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms. All calculations were performed on a MICRO VAX 3100 computer using the TEXSAN program system.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The clusters mentioned above were prepared by refluxing a solution of compound 1 with NaW $(CO)_3(RCp)$ in THF for 5–8 h using metal exchange reaction. The expected clusters were obtained in yields of 20.8–24.7%. The reaction route is as follows:

 $PhCCo_{3}(CO)_{9} + NaW(CO)_{3}(RCp) \longrightarrow$ (1) $PhCCo_{2}W(CO)_{8}(RCp)$

 $R = HCO(2a), CH_3CO(3a), C_2H_5OCO(4a)$

These clusters are air-stable black solids. Elemental analysis, IR and ¹H NMR data of these clusters are consistent with the expected structures. According to the literature,⁷ the clusters (M = W) were obtained in yields of 44% at room temperature. However, under the same reaction conditions, only trace amounts of products $PhCCo_2W(CO)_8(RCp)$ were detected by TLC. This result shows that the electron-withdrawing group C==O on the cyclopentadienyl ligand affected the reactivity of the metal exchange reagents $NaW(CO)_3(RCp)$. We found that the reaction of compound 1 with NaW $(CO)_3(RCp)$ was accelerated by heating through producing a higher yield under refluxing conditions than at room temperature. No CoW₂ clusters were found in our experiment, which is contrary to the reaction carried out by Duffy and co-workers.^{7,10} Reaction results showed that one metal unit, $Co(CO)_3$, in compound 1 could be exchanged with another metal unit, $W(CO)_2(RCp)$, with electron-

W(1)— $Co(1)$	2.659(1)	W(2)—Co(3)	2.663(2)
W(1) - Co(2)	2.676(2)	W(2)—Co(4)	2.669(1)
Co(1)Co(2)	2.473(2)	Co(3)—Co(4)	2.487(2)
Co(1)—C(40)	1.941(8)	Co(3)—C(60)	1.944(8)
Co(2)—C(40)	1.935(8)	Co(4)C(60)	1.927(8)
W(1) - C(40)	2.093(7)	W(2)—C(60)	2.086(8)
$Co(1)-C_{CO}$	1.79(ave)	$Co(3) - C_{CO}$	1.78(ave)
$Co(2) - C_{CO}$	1.79(ave)	$Co(4)$ — C_{CO}	1.80(ave)
$W(1) - C_{co}$	1.97(ave)	$W(2)-C_{CO}$	1.98(ave)
$W(1) - C_{C_p}$	2.281(ave)	$W(2) - C_{C_p}$	2.334(ave)
$(O - C)_{C_{0}(1)}$	1.137(ave)	$(O - C)_{Co(3)}$	1.135(ave)
$(O-C)_{Co(2)}$	1.133(ave)	$(O-C)_{Co(4)}$	1.143(ave)
$(O - C)_{W(1)}$	1.145(ave)	$(O-C)_{W(2)}$	1.15(ave)
$(C_{Cp} - C_{Cp})_{W(1)}$	1.408(ave)	$(C_{Cp} - C_{Cp})_{W(2)}$	1.40(ave)
O(37)—C(36)	1.20(1)	O(57)-C(56)	1.24(1)
C(31)—C(36)	1.48(1)	C(51)—C(56)	1.48(1)
C(36)—C(38)	1.48(2)	C(56)-C(58)	1.34(1)
C(40)—C(41)	1.50(1)	C(60)—C(61)	1.48(1)

Table 1. Bond lengths (Å)

withdrawing C==O groups on cyclopentadienyls and the generality of the metal exchange reaction between tetrahedral transition metal carbonyl clusters and metal carbonyl anions.^{4,7,10}

For the IR spectra of the clusters, characteristic carbonyl absorption peaks appear at 1690 (R = H), 1686 (R = CH₃) and 1721 cm⁻¹ (R = C₂H₅O), respectively. A large number of more intense absorption bands at between 2070 and 1939 cm⁻¹

were assigned to characteristic terminal carbonyl peaks.

For the ¹H NMR assignment of the clusters, multiplets in the range of 7.10–7.36 ppm were assigned to protons of the bridge substituent Ph. Apparent upfield singlets between 5.17 and 5.49 ppm were assigned to protons H(3) and H(4) and the downfield singlets between 5.78 and 5.82 ppm to H(2) and H(5) because of the deshielding effect of electron-



Fig. 1. The crystal structure of cluster 3a (I, 1I).

Table 2. Bond angles ()

$C_{0}(1) - W(1) - C_{0}(2)$	55 22(4)	$C_{\alpha}(2) = W(2) = C_{\alpha}(4)$	55 (1(5)
C(1) $W(1) = C(2)$	55.22(4)	CO(3) = W(2) = CO(4)	33.01(3)
C(40) - W(1) - Co(1)	46.3(2)	C(60) - W(2) - Co(3)	46.4(2)
C(40) - W(1) - Co(1)	46.3(2)	C(60) - W(2) - Co(3)	464(2)
$C(40) = W(1) = C_{2}(2)$	45.0(2)	$C(0) = W(2) = C_{2}(4)$	15.9(2)
C(40) - W(1) - C0(2)	45.9(2)	C(60) - W(2) - Co(4)	45.8(2)
Co(2) - Co(1) - W(1)	62.74(5)	Co(4) $Co(3)$ $W(2)$	62.33(6)
C(40) - Co(1) - Co(2)	50.3(2)	$C(40) - C_0(3) - C_0(4)$	49 (2)
$C(40) = C_{2}(1) = W(1)$	51.2(2)	C(40) = C(5) - C(4)	49.(2)
C(40) = CO(1) = W(1)	51.3(2)	C(60) - Co(3) - W(2)	51.2(2)
Co(1) - Co(2) - W(1)	62.05(4)	Co(3) - Co(4) - W(2)	62.06(2)
C(40) = Co(2) = Co(1)	50.5(2)	$C(60) = C_{2}(4) = C_{2}(3)$	50.3(2)
C(10) = C(2) = C(1)	50.5(2)	C(00) = C0(4) = C0(3)	50.5(2)
C(40) - Co(2) - W(1)	50.9(2)	C(60)— $Co(4)$ — $W(2)$	50.9(2)
Co(1) - C(40) - W(1)	82.4(3)	Co(3) - C(60) - W(2)	82.6(3)
$C_{0}(2) - C(40) - W(1)$	83 7(2)	$C_{2}(4) = C(60) = W(2)$	82.3(3)
CO(2) = C(+0) = W(1)	85.2(5)	CO(4) - C(00) - W(2)	83.3(3)
Co(1) - C(40) - Co(2)	79.3(3)	Co(3) - C(60) - Co(4)	80.3(3)
C(41)— $C(40)$ — $Co(1)$	128 9(6)	C(61) = C(60) = Co(3)	127 6(6)
$C(41) = C(40) = C_{2}(2)$	125.4(6)	C(01) C(00) C(01)	127.0(0)
C(41) - C(40) - CO(2)	123.4(0)	C(01) - C(00) - Co(4)	128.1(6)
C(41) - C(40) - W(1)	137.7(5)	C(61) - C(60) - W(2)	135.9(6)
C(1) - W(1) - C(2)	84 9(4)	$C(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{n}) - C(\mathbf{n})$	82 1 (2)
C(1) W(1) C(2)		C(3) = W(2) = C(10)	82.1(3)
C(1) - W(1) - C(40)	/9.0(3)	C(9) - W(2) - C(60)	79.5(3)
C(2) - W(1) - C(40)	81.1(3)	C(10) - W(2) - C(40)	79.0(3)
$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{U}) - \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{U})$	87.0(2)	$C(10) = W(2) = C_2(10)$	97.0(5)
C(1) = W(1) = CO(1)	07.9(3)	C(9) = W(2) = CO(3)	87.3(2)
C(1) - W(1) - Co(2)	124.8(2)	C(9) - W(2) - Co(4)	125.3(2)
C(2) - W(1) - Co(1)	127 3(3)	C(10) - W(2) - Co(3)	125 4(2)
C(1) $W(1)$ C	92.0(2) 120.1(4)	C(10) = W(2) = C(0)	123.4(2)
$C(1) = w(1) = C_{Cp}$	82.9(3) - 139.1(4)	$C(9) - W(2) - C_{Cp}$	81.5(3)-138.4(3)
$C(2)-W(1)-C_{cp}$	83.1(3)-140.2(3)	$C(10) - W(2) - C_{C_{R_{1}}}$	88.2(3) - 144.1(3)
$C(40) - W(1) - C_{c}$	1337(3) - 1637(3)	$C(60) - W(2) - C^{\circ}$	135 1(3) 165 3(3)
$C_{\rm c}(1)$ W(1) $C_{\rm c}$	155.7(5) 105.7(5)	$C(00) W(2) - C_{Cp}$	133.1(3) = 103.3(3)
$CO(1) - W(1) - C_{Cp}$	91.8(2)-149.6(24)	$Co(3) - W(2) - C_{Cp}$	90.2(3)-145.8(2)
$Co(2) - W(1) - C_{Cp}$	90.9(3) - 146.9(2)	$Co(4) - W(2) - C_{c_{\pi}}$	91.8(2) - 145.3(3)
$C_{-} = W(1) = C_{-}$	34 1 (3) 58 9 (3)	C = W(2) = C	220(4) 592(2)
C(p) = C(1) = C(p)	54.1(5) 50.7(5)	C_{Cp} (2) $-C_{Cp}$	33.9(4) = 38.3(3)
C(3) = Co(1) = C(40)	105.4(4)	C(11) - Co(3) - C(60)	108.4(4)
C(4) - Co(1) - C(40)	142.0(4)	$C(12) \rightarrow Co(3) \rightarrow C(60)$	139.8(4)
C(5) = Co(1) = C(40)	101 0(4)	$C(12) = C_0(2) = C(60)$	00.1(4)
C(3) = CO(1) = C(40)	101.0(4)	C(13) = C0(3) = C(00)	99.1(4)
C(3) - Co(1) - Co(2)	154.9(3)	C(11)— $Co(3)$ — $Co(4)$	152.9(3)
C(4) - Co(1) - Co(2)	99.8(3)	C(12) - Co(3) - Co(4)	93 4(4)
$C(5) = C_{2}(1) = W(1)$	150.0(2)	$C(12) = C_0(3) = U(2)$	150 1(2)
C(3) = CO(1) = w(1)	150.0(5)	C(13) - CO(3) - W(2)	150.1(3)
C(3) - Co(1) - C(4)	99.0(4)	C(11) - Co(3) - C(12)	100.4(5)
C(3) - Co(1) - C(5)	100 1(4)	$C(11) = C_0(3) = C(13)$	98 3(5)
$C(4) = C_{2}(1) = C(5)$	102.0(4)		10.10 (3)
C(4) = Co(1) = C(5)	102.9(4)	C(12) - Co(3) - C(13)	104.0(5)
C(4) - Co(1) - W(1)	97.0(3)	C(12) - Co(3) - W(2)	101.7(3)
$C(5) - C_0(1) - C_0(2)$	91 7(3)	$C(13) = C_0(3) = C_0(4)$	100.8(3)
$C(2) = C_{2}(1) = W(1)$	00.000	C(13) - CO(3) - CO(4)	100.8(3)
C(3) = Co(1) = W(1)	98.6(3)	C(11) - Co(3) - W(2)	91.9(3)
C(8) - Co(2) - C(40)	142.0(4)	C(16) - Co(4) - C(60)	96.5(4)
$C(6) - C_0(2) - C(40)$	93.6(4)	$C(14) = C_{0}(4) = C(60)$	146.1(4)
C(7) = C(2) = C(40)	110.0(4)	C(14) - C(0(4) - C(00)	140.1(4)
C(7) - Co(2) - C(40)	110.8(4)	C(15) - Co(4) - C(60)	106.4(4)
C(6) - Co(2) - Co(1)	101.1(3)	C(14) - Co(4) - Co(3)	99.5(4)
$C(t) = C_0(t) = C_0(t)$	152 7(3)	$C(15) = C_{0}(4) = C_{0}(3)$	152 4(2)
C(2) = C(1)	132.7(3)	C(13) - C0(4) - C0(3)	133.4(3)
C(8) - Co(2) - Co(1)	93.0(3)	C(16) - Co(4) - Co(3)	96.7(3)
C(6) - Co(2) - W(1)	144.2(3)	C(14) - Co(4) - W(2)	104.9(3)
$C(t) = C_0(t) = W(t)$	00.0(3)	$C(15) = C_{2}(4) = W(2)$	020(2)
C(1) = C(2) = W(1)	J0.J(J)	C(13) = CO(4) = W(2)	93.9(3)
C(8) - Co(2) - W(1)	107.1(3)	C(16) - Co(4) - W(2)	147.3(3)
C(6) - Co(2) - C(7)	99.7(5)	C(14) - Co(4) - C(15)	97 7(5)
$C(6) = C_0(2) = C(8)$	105.0(5)	$C(14) = C_2(4) = C(16)$	102.0(5)
C(0) - C0(2) - C(3)	103.0(3)	C(14) = CO(4) = C(16)	102.9(5)
C(7)— $Co(2)$ — $C(8)$	98.5(5)	C(15)-Co(4)-C(16)	99.0(5)
O - C - W(1)	177.35(ave)	$\mathbf{O} = \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{\hat{z}})$	177 9(ave)
$\mathbf{O} = \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}$	178 27 (ave)	$\hat{\mathbf{O}} = \hat{\mathbf{C}} = \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{\alpha}(\hat{\mathbf{z}})$	177(ava)
	170.27 (ave)	0-0-00(3)	1//(ave)
O - C - Co(2)	1/8.33(ave)	O-C-Co(4)	178(ave)
O(37) - C(36) - C(38)	122(1)	O(57) - C(56) - C(58)	125(1)
O(37) - C(36) - C(31)	120(1)	O(57) $C(56)$ $C(51)$	118(2)
C(3) = C(3) = C(3)	120(1)	C(37) - C(30) - C(31)	110(2)
U(30) - U(31) - W(1)	123.5(6)	C(56) - C(51) - W(2)	122.4(6)
C(32) - C(31) - C(36)	128(1)	C(52) - C(51) - C(56)	127(1)
C(35) = C(31) = C(36)	125(1)	C(55) = C(51) = C(56)	126(1)
C(46) = C(41) = C(50)	120.070	C(3) - C(3) - C(30)	120(1)
C(40) - C(41) - C(40)	120.8(8)	C(00) - C(01) - C(00)	121.0(8)
C(42) - C(41) - C(40)	120.8(8)	C(62) - C(61) - C(60)	123.2(8)
$\mathbf{C}_{c} - \mathbf{C}_{c} - \mathbf{W}(1)$	71.2(5) - 75.0(6)	$C_{\alpha} - C_{\alpha} - W(2)$	$71^{-}1(5)-74.6(6)$
	10(7(0) + 100(0))	$C_{\rm Cp} = C_{\rm Cp} = W(2)$	71.1(3) = 74.0(0)
$C_{cp} - C_{cp} - C_{cp}$	100.7(8)-108.8(9)	$C_{cp} - C_{cp} - C_{cp}$	106.7(8)-109(1)
$C_{Ph} - C_{Ph} - C_{Ph}$	118.4(9) - 122(1)	$C_{Ph} - C_{Ph} - C_{Ph}$	115.7(8) - 123(1)
· · · ·	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		(-)(-)

withdrawing C=O groups on the cyclopentadienyl ring. ¹H NMR spectra of the clusters did not exhibit a pair of apparent triplets $(A_2B_2 \text{ pattern})^{11,12}$ for cyclopentadienyl protons H(3,4) and H(2,5). Similarly, proton peaks of CH₃ and CH₂ in the CH₃CH₂O group appear as singlets instead of triplet and quartet peaks.

X-ray crystal structure analysis

Bond angles and bond lengths are listed in Tables 1 and 2. The molecular structure of cluster 3a is presented in Fig. 1. As seen from Fig. 1, this cluster has a tetrahedral skeleton composed of carbon, tungsten and two cobalt atoms. The cobalt atom is coordinated by three terminal CO ligands, the tungsten atom by two terminal CO ligands and one substituted cyclopentadienyl ligand. There are two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. In both molecules, all carbon atoms in the acetyl groups are co-planar with the cyclopentadienyl rings, suggesting that the π -system of the CH₃CO group is fully conjugated with the cyclopentadienyl π -system. This result was contrary to the result reported by Song *et al.*¹¹

Acknowledgement-This work was supported by the

State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Nanjing University.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. T. Hsieh and M. J. Mays, J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 26, 125.
- J. Knight and M. J. Mays, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1972, 1022.
- 3. H. Vahrenkamp, Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 22, 169.
- S. Jenson, B. H. Robinson and J. Simpson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 1081.
- H. Beurich, R. Bluhofer and H. Vahrenkamp, *Chem. Ber.* 1986, **119**, 194.
- H. T. Schacht and H. Vahrenkamp, J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 381, 261.
- 7. H. Beurich and H. Vahrenkamp, *Chem. Ber.* 1982, 115, 2385.
- D. W. Macomber and M. D. Rausch, Organometallics 1983, 2, 1523.
- D. Seyferth, J. E. Hallgren and P. L. K. Hung, J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 50, 265.
- D. N. Duffy, M. M. Kassis and A. D. Rae, J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 460, 97.
- 11. L. C. Song, J. Y. Shen and Q. M. Hu, Organometallics 1993, **12**, 408.
- 12. W. P. Hart, D. W. Macomber and M. D. Rausch, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980, **102**, 1196.