$V_9 Mo_6 O_{40}$ AND PHASE EQUILIBRIA IN THE SYSTEM $V_9 Mo_6 O_{40} - Fe_2 O_3$

JADWIGA WALCZAK, MARIA KURZAWA and PIOTR TABERO

Institute of Fundamental Chemistry, Technical University of Szczecin, 42 Piastów St., 71-065 Szczecin (Poland)

(Received 4 November 1986)

ABSTRACT

The behaviour of Fe_2O_3 towards $V_6Mo_6O_{40}$ at temperatures of up to 1000 °C for the whole range of component concentrations was studied by the DTA method and X-ray phase powder diffraction. The experimental results are shown in a phase diagram. It has been found that components of the phase do not remain at constant equilibrium and that $V_9Mo_6O_{40}-Fe_2O_3$ is not a true two-component system, not even in the subsolidus area.

INTRODUCTION

The V₂O₅-MoO₃ system and its phase, V₉Mo₆O₄₀, have been comprehensively investigated with respect to their catalytic qualities utilizable for the oxidation of hydrocarbons. For example, catalysts used for the selective oxidation of benzene are a mixture of the solid solution of MoO₃ in V₂O₅ and V₉Mo₆O₄₀ [1]. Fe₂O₃ is another oxidizing agent to show catalytic properties [2]. In order to find new catalysts of commercial significance it seemed expedient to study the behaviour of V₉Mo₆O₄₀ and Fe₂O₃ and to explore phases of this system which would remain at equilibrium up to 1000°C for the whole range of component concentrations.

A review of the literature on the subject has shown that components of the V₂O₅-MoO₃ system undoubtedly form two phases, α and β [3-5].

The α phase, isostructural with V₂O₅, is a substitution solid solution of MoO₃ in this oxide, with a general formula $(V_{1-x}Mo_x)_2O_5$, where 0 < x < 0.3 [3,5,6]. The results of measurements of magnetic properties of the phase and results obtained by electron paramagnetic resonance have shown that introduction of Mo⁶⁺ ions into the V₂O₅ lattice in place of V⁵⁺ ions leads to the formation of V⁴⁺ ions [7,8]. But in general, the number of V⁴⁺ ions involved in the solid solution lattice is smaller than the number of Mo⁶⁺ ions incorporated [8]. Therefore, the α phase was in some publications defined by the formula V⁵⁺_{2-x-y}V⁴⁺_xMo⁶⁺_yO₅, where x < y [9].

The β phase, another phase existing in the V₂O₅-MoO₃ system, was studied by many authors. Magneli and Blomberg [10], Eon et al. [11], Strupler and Morette [4], and Sperlich et al. [12] found it to be a chemical compound with the formula V₂MoO₈. Eick and Kihlborg [13] obtained monocrystals of a compound crystallizing in the V₂O₅-MoO₃ system with the formula V₂MoO₈. They have suggested that structurally it belongs to a homologous series M_nO_{3n-1}, M₃O₈ being obtained at n = 3; V₂O₅, obtainable when n = 2, may also be included in this series.

From a study of the structure of the β phase, Eick and Kihlborg proposed that a homogeneity range, $V_{2-x}Mo_{1+x}O_8$ at $x \ge 0$, is to be expected for the phase [13]. Munch and Pierron [1], and Bielański et al. [3] have ascribed the formula $V_9Mo_6O_{40}$ to the β phase and suggested that 1/9 of the vanadium atoms occurs as V^{4+} . Jarman and coworkers [14,15] obtained $V_9Mo_6O_{40}$ and have proved that the lattice parameters of its unit cell are identical with data reported for the monocrystal formulated as V_2MoO_6 by Eick and Kihlborg [13]. Moreover, Jarman and Cheetham [15] have indicated that the β phase can be defined by the formula M_3O_8 in which the ratio of metals would vary from $(V_{0.5}Mo_{0.5})_3O_8$ at 500 °C to $(V_{0.6}Mo_{0.4})_3O_8$ at 600 °C.

Some works imply that the β phase exists either as a solid solution of the type V₂MoO_{8-x}, where 0 < x < 0.5 [13], or a substitution solid solution of MoO₃ in a hypothetical compound, V₂MoO₈ [16]. V₉Mo₆O₄₀ was reported to melt congruently according to Bielański et al. [3] at about 635°C, or according to Jarman et al. [14] at 677°C or at 650°C [1]. The density of V₉Mo₆O₄₀ is 3.86 g cm⁻³ [1].

Only in a few publications can one find information about the existence of the solid solution of V_2O_5 in MoO₃. The formation of such a solution was observed in preparations containing up to 2mol% V_2O_5 by Khulbe et al. [17] and Zugin et al. [16].

Another compound of the system under consideration, Fe_2O_3 , reacts with V_2O_5 to yield two compounds, $FeVO_4$ and $Fe_2V_4O_{13}$ which melt incongruently at $850 \pm 5^{\circ}C$ and $665 \pm 5^{\circ}C$ respectively [18]. It also reacts with MoO_3 to give $Fe_2(MoO_4)_3$ melting congruently at $955^{\circ}C$ [19]. However, there are published accounts of the behaviour of Fe_2O_3 towards $V_9Mo_6O_{40}$.

By studying the pseudobinary sections of a tricomponent system, $Fe_2O_3-V_2O_5-MoO_3$, we have found that MoO_3 forms a solid solution with $Fe_2V_4O_{13}$ [20] described by the formula $Fe_{2-x}^{3+}Fe_x^{2+}V_{4-x}Mo_xO_{13}$ for $x \le 0.6$ [21]. We have also found that the oxides Fe_2O_3 , V_2O_5 and MoO_3 react with each other to yield two compounds $FeVMoO_7$ and $Fe_4V_2Mo_3O_{20}$ which melt incongruently at $680 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C and $760 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C respectively [22,23].

EXPERIMENTAL

 V_2O_5 a.p. (Reachim), MoO₃ a.p. (POCh Gliwice) and α -Fe₂O₃ were used for experiments. α -Fe₂O₃ was obtained from Fe(OH)₃ by precipitating, drying and thermally decomposing the substrate at 450°C in air during several dozen hours. The oxides in weighed portions were ground, pastilled and heated in air under experimentally established conditions: 400-500 °C for 24 h, 550°C for 72 h, and 570°C for 24 h. Preparations whose original mixtures contained more than 70mol% Fe₂O₃ were additionally heated at 600 °C for 24 h, and specimens containing more than 85mol% Fe₂O₃ were heated at 700°C for 24 h. The preparations were then slowly cooled to ambient temperature, triturated and finally studied by the DTA method for their phase composition. This was the technique by which types of the resultant phases and the ranges of their coexistence in the subsolidus area were found. The types of solid phases remaining at equilibrium with liquid in the high-temperature area of the phase diagram were established from results of the X-ray phase powder diffraction of preparations additionally heated for 2 h at 580-850°C and then cooled rapidly to ambient temperature. The phase composition of the preparations was established by X-ray phase powder diffraction (diffractometer type HZG 4/A-2, GDR production), from the data of ASTM cards [24] and from refs. 18, 22 and 23.

The DTA studies were performed with the aid of a Paulik–Paulik–Erdey derivatographer in quartz crucibles, in air at 20–1000 °C with heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The weight of the specimens under studying was 1000 mg. The phase diagram was constructed with the help of solidus lines determined on the basis of the DTA initial effect temperatures and with liquidus curves assigned by the apex effect temperatures. The accuracy of the temperature readings, assessed from the repeatability of measurements, was $\pm 5^{\circ}$ C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The composition of the original mixtures, the preparation conditions and X-ray phase powder diffraction results are given in Table 1. The experimental results compiled in the Table suggest that Fe_2O_3 does not remain at constant equilibrium with $V_9Mo_6O_{40}$ because it is not found in the phase composition of specimens at equilibrium.

X-ray phase powder diffraction has shown that preparations containing 10-70 mol% Fe₂O₃ in their original mixtures are a mixture of three phases, V₉Mo₆O₄₀, FeVMoO₇ and V₂O₅(solid solution). This indicates that Fe₂O₃ in amounts of up to 70 mol% reacts with V₉Mo₆O₄₀ to completion yielding FeVMoO₇, and the excessive MoO₃ and V₂O₅ form a solid solution. It means that only in this range of component concentrations does the phase V₉Mo₆O₄₀ coexist with FeVMoO₇ and V₂O₅(solid solution). It also implies that in this range of component concentrations a reaction takes place

 $V_9Mo_6O_{40}(s) + 3Fe_2O_3(s) + \frac{1}{4}O_2(g) \rightarrow 6FeVMoO_7(s) + \frac{3}{2}V_2O_5(s)$ (1)

A solid solution of MoO_3 in $Fe_2V_4O_{13}$ occurs together with $FeVMoO_7$ and

TABLE 1

Preparation conditions	Composition of samples in terms of the phase components $(mol\% Fe_2O_3)$	Phases found
400–500 ° C for 24 h 550 ° C for 72 h 570 ° C for 24 h	10, 20, 25, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 67.5, 70	$V_9Mo_6O_{40}$, FeVMoO ₇ , V_2O_5 (solid solution)
	75, 76, 78	$FeVMoO_7$, V_2O_5 (solid solution) $Fe_2V_4O_{13}$ (solid solution)
400–500 °C for 24 h 550 °C for 72 h 570 °C for 24 h	80.5, 81.0,	$FeVMoO_7$, $Fe_2V_4O_{13}$ (solid solution) $FeVO_4$
600 ° C for 24 h	84, 85	FeVM0O7, FeVO4, Fe4V2M03O20
400–500 ° C for 24 h 550 ° C for 72 h	86.67	$FeVO_4, Fe_4V_2MO_3O_{20}$
570 ° C for 24 h 600 ° C for 24 h 700 ° C for 24 h	88, 90, 93, 96	$FeVO_4, Fe_4V_2Mo_3O_{20}, \\ \alpha - Fe_2O_3$

The composition of original mixtures and X-ray phase powder diffraction results of specimens at equilibrium

 V_2O_5 (solid solution) as a stable phase in preparations which contain more than 70mol% Fe₂O₃ (an excess in respect to eqn. 1) in their initial mixtures [20,21]. At the same time, a decomposition of the solid solution of MoO₃ in V_2O_5 begins to yield free MoO₃ and V_2O_5 , which involves the formation of Fe₂V₄O₁₃ according to the equation

$$2FeVMoO_{7}(s) + V_{2}O_{5}(s) \rightarrow Fe_{2}V_{4}O_{13}(s) + 2MoO_{3}(s)$$
(2)

A synthesis of $Fe_2V_4O_{13}$ from the oxides may also take place

$$Fe_2O_3(s) + 2V_2O_5(s) \rightarrow Fe_2V_4O_{13}(s)$$
 (3)

The resultant $Fe_2V_4O_{13}$ forms a solid solution with MoO₃.

Decomposition of V_2O_5 (solid solution) nears completion when the Fe₂O₃ content of the original mixture does not exceed 80mol%. A further increase in the content of Fe₂O₃ in the substrates gives rise to an equilibrium phase, FeVO₄ [18]. It accounts for the reaction taking place at concentrations of Fe₂O₃ in the range 80-81mol%

$$Fe_2V_4O_{13}(s) + Fe_2O_3(s) \rightarrow 4FeVO_4(s)$$
(4)

The synthesis is accompanied by a decomposition of the solid solution of MoO_3 in $Fe_2V_4O_{13}$, MoO_3 being liberated.

FeVMoO₇, FeVO₄ and the phase $Fe_4V_2Mo_3O_{20}$ are at equilibrium in



Fig. 1. Phase diagram of the system $V_9Mo_6O_{40}$ - Fe₂O₃.

original mixtures with 84–85mol% Fe_2O_3 . $Fe_4V_2Mo_3O_{20}$ involved in the system at this component concentration, i.e. when there is excess of Fe_2O_3 with respect to $FeVMoO_7$ and $FeVO_4$, shows that the hitherto stable $FeVMoO_7$ starts reacting with Fe_2O_3 in agreement with the equation

$$3\text{FeVMoO}_7(s) + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(s) \rightarrow \text{FeVO}_4(s) + \text{Fe}_4\text{V}_2\text{Mo}_3\text{O}_{20}(s)$$
(5)

The phase composition of a preparation containing 86.67mol% Fe_2O_3 and 13.33mol% $V_9Mo_6O_{40}$ confirms that, at the molar ratio of $FeVMoO_7$: Fe_2O_3 of 1:3 in the solid state, reaction 5 runs quantitatively, that is, $FeVMoO_7$ reacts with Fe_2O_3 to completion yielding $FeVO_4$ and $Fe_4V_2Mo_3O_{20}$. At the other component concentration range, Fe_2O_3 remains at constant equilibrium with $FeVO_4$ and $Fe_4V_2Mo_3O_{20}$.

Figure 1 shows a phase diagram of the $V_9Mo_6O_{40}$ -Fe₂O₃ system constructed with DTA curves and X-ray phase powder diffraction results of 24 preparations at equilibrium. The coexistance ranges solid-liquid phase, were determined from DTA results of preparations at equilibrium and the phase types were assigned from results of X-ray phase powder diffraction of specimens of the given diagram areas, the samples being additionally heated for 2 h at 580, 600, 620, 640, 670, 700, 730, 750, 780, 800 and 850 °C, and then cooled rapidly to ambient temperature. The compositions of samples investigated are shown in Fig. 1.

The results presented make it impossible to assign the precise coexistence range of all the areas in which the solid phase would remain in equilibrium with the liquid phase. Thus, the maximum temperature range for the existence of FeVMoO₇(s) + V₂O₅(solid solution) + L area was determined from the results of our other studies, i.e. on the basis of a phase diagram of the FeVMoO₇-V₂O₅(solid solution) system [25]. However, determination of the coexistence range for the areas FeVMoO₇(s) + Fe₂V₄O₁₃(solid solution) + L, FeVMoO₇(s) + FeVO₄(s) + L, FeVO₄(s) + Fe₄V₂Mo₃O₂₀(s) + L and Fe₄V₂Mo₃O₂₀(s) + Fe₂O₃(s) + L was based on the DTA results as well as on results from X-ray phase powder diffraction of preparations additionally heated at temperatures higher than the solidus line temperatures and cooled rapidly to ambient temperature. Therefore, some of the curves which bound the areas on the phase diagram have been drawn with dotted lines.

The investigations made allow us to conclude the following.

(1) In the subsolidus area, the components of the system do not remain at constant equilibrium. $V_9Mo_6O_{40}$ is at constant equilibrium with FeVMoO₇ and V_2O_5 (solid solution) in the component concentration range up to about 70mol% Fe₂O₃. The Fe₂O₃ appears to be a phase stable at Fe₂O₃ contents exceeding 86.67mol% in the original mixtures. At the component concentration range 75-85mol% Fe₂O₃, phases involved in a reaction between $V_9Mo_6O_{40}$ and Fe₂O₃ taking place in the solid state remain at equilibrium.

(2) The $V_9Mo_6O_{40}$ -Fe₂O₃ system is not a true two-component system in the whole range of component concentrations, not even in the subsolidus area. It indicates the existence of areas in that region where three solid phases are at equilibrium.

REFERENCES

- 1 R.H. Munch and E.D. Pierron, J. Catal., 3 (1964) 406.
- 2 N. Pernicone, J. Less-Common Met., 36 (1974) 289.
- 3 A. Bielański, K. Dyrek, J. Późniczek and E. Wenda, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci. Chim., 19 (1971) 507.
- 4 N. Strupler and A. Morette, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 260 (1965) 1971.
- 5 V.L. Volkov, G. Sh. Tynkacheva, A.A. Fotiev and E.V. Tkachenko, Zh. Neorg. Khim., 17 (1972) 2803.
- 6 L. Kihlborg, Acta Chem. Scand., 21 (1967) 2495.
- 7 F.Y. Robb, W.S. Glaunsinger and P. Curtine, J. Solid State Chem., 30 (1979) 171.
- 8 E. Burzo and L. Stanescu, Mater. Res. Bull., 13 (1978) 237.
- 9 E. Burzo and L. Stanescu and D. Ungur, Solid State Commun., 15 (1976) 1789.
- 10 A. Magneli and B. Blomberg, Acta Chem. Scand., 5 (1951) 585.
- 11 J.G. Eon, E. Bordes and P. Courtine, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. C, 288 (1979) 485.
- 12 G. Sperlich, P.H. Zimmermann and G. Keller, Z. Phys., 270 (1974) 267.
- 13 H. Eick and L. Kihlborg, Acta Chem. Scand., 20 (1966) 1658.

- 14 R.H. Jarman, P.G. Dickens and A.J. Jacobson, Mater. Res. Bull., 17 (1982) 325.
- 15 R.H. Jarman and A.K. Cheetham, Mater. Res. Bull., 17 (1982) 1011.
- 16 L. Zugin, C. Liging and Z. Wei, Cuihua Xuebao, 4 (1983) 75.
- 17 R.C. Khulbe, R.S. Mann and A. Manoogien, J. Chem. Phys., 60 (1974) 12.
- 18 J. Walczak, J. Ziółkowski, M. Kurzawa, J. Osten-Sacken and M. Łysio, Polish J. Chem., 59 (1985) 255.
- 19 W. Jäger, A. Rahmel and K. Becker, Arch. Eisenhuettenwes., 30 (1959) 435.
- 20 J. Walczak and M. Kurzawa, J. Therm. Anal., 31 (1986) 531.
- 21 J. Walczak, J. Frackowiak, T. Panek and M. Kurzawa, J. Mater. Sci. Lett., 5 (1986) 1224.
- 22 J. Walczak, M. Kurzawa and E. Filipek, J. Therm. Anal., 31 (1986) 271.
- 23 J. Walczak, J. Ziółkowski, M. Kurzawa and L. Trześniowska, Polish J. Chem., 59 (1985) 713.
- 24 Joint Committee of Powder Diffraction File, 20-526, 20-1377, 24-541, 25-418, 31-642, 33-661, 33-664, 34-527.
- 25 J. Walczak and M. Kurzawa, Proc. IUPAC 87, Sofia, in press.