CHARACTERIZATION OF ACIDITY TYPES AND STRENGTH ON H-NaY ZEOLITES BY TA *

LIU JINXIANG **, YANG LIXIN, LIU MIN and GAO XIUYING

Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian (People's Republic of China)

(Received 29 January 1987)

ABSTRACT

The conversion temperature of B-L acid centers on H-NaY zeolites has been examined and the ratio of B/L acid centers was established as 2:1 by TG. Then in order to characterize acid strength desorption, active energy was determined by DTG using NH₃ as adsorbate. Finally, taking the cracking reaction of n-hexane as a probe reaction, the influence of the acid type and acid strength on the selectivity of zeolite catalysts for the conversion of n-hexane to lower carbon olefins has also been studied.

INTRODUCTION

As the acidity of zeolite catalyst surfaces is related to the activity or selectivity of reaction, measurements of the acidity of zeolite catalyst surfaces have been of interest in catalytical research. Spectrophotometric methods and the adsorption of gaseous basic substances were commonly applied [1]. The former determined not only the acid density and the acid strength, but also the acid type. However, using this method it is not possible to make acidity determinations in the real working conditions of the catalyst. The latter method cannot distinguish between Brønsted and Lewis acid centers, particularly determinate acting acid centers, although the acidity measurements can be made in the real working conditions of the catalyst, because it is difficult to find an adsorbate having selective adsorption [2].

In the work reported here we initiated an investigation to examine the conversion temperature of B-L acid centers and established the ratio of B/L acid centers as 2:1 by TG. Then desorption active energy was also determined in order to characterize acid strength. Finally, we used the cracking reaction of n-hexane as a probe reaction. The influence of the acid

^{*} Paper presented at the Sino-Japanese Joint Symposium on Calorimetry and Thermal Analysis, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China, 5–7 November 1986.

^{**} To whom all correspondence should be addressed.

type and acid strength on the selectivity of zeolite catalysts for the conversion of n-hexane to lower carbon olefins has also been studied.

EXPERIMENTAL

H-NaY zeolite catalysts

H(77)-NaY; H(64)-NaY; H(46)-NaY; H(20)-NaY; H(15)-NaY.

All catalysts were prepared by the dissociation of NH_3 from NH_4 -NaY zeolites.

Acidity determination

Experimental equipment

All experiments were performed on the Shimatzu DT-20B thermal analysis equipment.

Experimental conditions

Detection range, 5 mg; heating rate, 10, 15 and 20 °C min⁻¹; atmosphere, N_2 .

Experimental procedure

 NH_4 -NaY zeolite was put in a small basket made of quartz and suspended at the center of the reaction tube, and then balanced with weights. Carrying gas is divided into two paths: one goes into the balance box and another goes through the reactor.

First the zeolite catalyst was heated in a temperature programmed mode up to 550°C. This temperature was maintained for 30 min in order to eliminate physically adsorbed water and ammonia. Then the sample was cooled to 150°C. After this, ammonia was brought to the reactor by the carrying gas at a speed of 30–40 ml min⁻¹. When adsorption reached equilibrium, the ammonia was turned off. Physically adsorbed ammonia was purged by means of N₂ gas flow, then desorption was performed in a temperature programmed mode. The temperature and the amount of deammoniation were recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Thermal behavior of NH_4 -NaY zeolites

The TG-DTG curves of 77% exchanged NH_4 -NaY zeolites in N_2 atmosphere are shown in Fig. 1. One can see that there are four peaks in the



Fig. 1. TG-DTG curve of NH₄-NaY zeolite in N₂.

DTG curve and a corresponding weight-loss step in the TG curve. We have assigned the peaks as follows

- peak 1: Ranging from 28 to 255°C, desorption of physically adsorbed water.
- peak 2: Ranging from 255 to 396°C, dissociation of NH₃ from NH₄-NaY.
- peak 3: Ranging from 618 to 700°C, dehydroxylation of H-NaY.
- peak 4: Ranging from 732 to 890°C, dehydroxylation of Si-OH from the surface of the zeolite.

A plot of the deammoniation amount vs. exchange degree is shown in Fig. 2. It is evident that the amount of deammoniation from H-NaY zeolite increases as the exchange degree increases. This is probably due to the fact that H^+ from the dissociation of NH_4^+ remains near the Al_4^- tetrahedron, and neutralized one negative charge on it, so that formed a B acid center. Usually, the higher the NH_4^+ exchange degree, the more (H^+) proton numbers are produced and the more B acid centers are formed.

Determination of the B/L acid centers ratio on H-NaY zeolites

The conversion of B/L acid centers on H-NaY zeolites is represented by the equation



Fig. 2. Deammoniation amounts vs. exchange degree (α %).



Fig. 3. The deammoniation TG-DTG curve for 77% exchanged NH_4 -NaY zeolite (a) before dehydroxylation; (b) after dehydroxylation.

It is clear that the conversion process of B-L acid centers is essentially the dehydroxylation process of H-NaY zeolites, i.e., two B acid centers become one L acid center. In order to demonstrate this ratio relation, we took NH₃ as adsorbate and measured the amount of deammoniation for H-NaY zeolites having various degrees of exchange.

The deammoniation TG-DTG curves for 77% exchanged NH_4 -NaY zeolites before and after dehydroxylation are shown in Fig. 3 and results presented in Table 1.

We can see from Fig. 3(a) that only one weight-loss step appeared in the TG curve and there were two corresponding peaks without clear limit in the DTG curve. They correspond to strong and weak B acid centers respectively. Figure 3(b) also shows one weight-loss step in the TG curve and one corresponding dilatory peak in the DTG curve.

The deammoniation amounts of zeolites which did or did not dehydroxylate show that the ratio of B/L acid centers is basically 2:1. These results are in agreement with those of IR measurements by Datka [3]. The only difference is that TG measurements are performed under real working conditions.

According to the chemical composition of zeolites, the theoretical B acid center number is 4.38 mmol g^{-1} . Experimental results calculated from deammoniation amounts are reported in Table 2.

From the comparison shown in Table 2, we know that the number of both B and L acid centers adsorbed on H-NaY zeolite increases with the increase in exchange degree, and total numbers of adsorbed centers are on the whole

Acid types	DTG	TĠ		
	Temp. range	Peak temp.	mg	ratio
B ₁	205-295	257		
B ₂	295-445	375	1.16	2
L	210-440	270	0.52	1

TABLE 1

The results of measurement by TG-DTG

TABLE 2

Zeolites	Sample weight (mg)	Adsorpti (mmol g	ion numbers ⁻¹)	Theoretical numbers $(mmol g^{-1})$	
		B	L	В	L
NH ₄ (77)–NaY	22.2	2.94	1.46	3.06	1.53
NH ₄ (64)–NaY	22.3	2.85	1.40	2.80	1.40
NH ₄ (46)–NaY	22.2	1.56	0.79	2.01	1.00
NH4(15)–NaY	23.7	0.50	0.30	0.87	0.32

The comparison of acid center numbers

consistent with the theoretical value. This reveals that the NH_3 adsorbed type on H-NaY zeolites is single center adsorption: (i.e., one NH_3 molecule was adsorbed by one acid center.)

Characterization of acid strength by means of deammoniation activation energy

The activation energy of deammoniation (E_d) can be calculated from the temperature of the peak maxima of deammoniation (T_m) in the DTG curve at various heating rates. We can calculate E_d from the Kissinger equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\ln(\Phi/T_{\mathrm{m}}^2)}{\mathrm{d}(1/T_{\mathrm{m}})} = -\frac{E_{\mathrm{d}}}{R}$$

where R is the gas constant. The temperature of the peak maxima in the DTG curve at various heating rates are shown in Table 3. Figure 4 is a plot of $\ln(\Phi/T_m^2)$ vs. $1/T_m$.

It can be seen that the plot of $\ln \Phi/T_m^2$ vs $1/T_m$ is a straight line. The activation energy of deammoniation can be obtained from the slope of the straight line. The calculated activation energy of both acid centers for variously exchanged H-NaY zeolites are shown in Table 4, a plot of activation energy vs. exchange degree is reported in Fig. 5.

The results in Table 4 and Fig. 5 show that both the strong and weak B acid centers could be distinguished by deammoniation peak temperature T_m in the DTG curve for NH₄-NaY zeolites having a higher exchange degree (over 60%). Therefore, the deammoniation activation energy of strong and

TABLE 3

The temperatures of the peak maxima T_m , at various heating rates

Heating rate (°C min ⁻¹)	$T_{\rm m}(^{\circ}{\rm C})$			
	B ₁	B ₂	L	
10	257	375	270	
15	274	380	280	
20	284	390	282	



Fig. 4. $\ln (\Phi/T_m^2)$ vs. $1/T_m$.

TABLE 4

Calculated values of desorption activation energy $E_{\rm d}$

Catalyst	$E_{\rm d}$ (kcal mol	-1)		
	B ₁	B ₂	L	
$\overline{\mathrm{NH}_4(77)}$ -NaY	15.90	35.19	38.38	
$NH_4(64)$ -NaY	16.91	38.10	23.76	
$NH_4(46)-NaY$	-	23.84	18.38	
NH ₄ (20)–NaY	-	12.36	10.57	

weak centers could be obtained from T_m . However, the deammoniation peak temperatures of B_1 centers are not clear for NH_4 -NaY zeolites having a lower exchange degree. Thus, we can only calculate the activation energy for B_2 centers.

The results in Table 4 show that B_2 acid centers have higher deammoniation energy than the B_1 acid centers. This suggests that the desorption of adsorbed ammonia for the B_2 acid center is more difficult than for the B_1 acid center, i.e., B_2 acid strength is greater than that of B_1 . It has also been found from deammoniation energy data that B acid centers have greater



Fig. 5. Deammoniation activation energy vs. α %.

TABLE 5

Set	Catalysts		Reaction temperature	Products distribution (wt%)		Conversion (%)	Selec- tivity
	Calcination temperature (°C)	Acid type	(°C)	$\overline{C_{1-5}^{0}}$	$C_{2-4}^{=}$		$C_{2-4}^{=}$ (%)
1	700	В	525	3.56	3.90	7.70	52.70
		L	550	2.17	3.63	5.80	62.69
2	700	В	550	6.34	7.35	14.11	52.09
		L	580	6.07	8.64	14.70	56.53

Evaluated values of activity and selectivity of catalysts

acid strength than L acid centers, and that deammoniation activation energy on both acid centers increases as the degree of exchange increases. This is probably due to the fact that the hydroxy numbers in the supercage increase with increase in degree of exchange.

Influence of both acid types on the selectivity of zeolites catalyst

We took the cracking reaction of n-hexane as a probe reaction and used H-NaY zeolites before and after dehydroxylation as catalysts having acid centers of B and L types. The influence of both acid types on selectivity of reaction for the conversion of n-hexane to lower olefins has been examined by means of GC. The results are shown in Table 5.

It is evident that the olefins' selectivity for catalysts having an L acid center is always higher than for those having a B acid center, under condition of near conversion, no matter what their extent of conversion. It is obvious from conversion per unit that the L acid centers also have higher olefins selectivity than B acid centers at the same reaction temperature. Therefore, we can say that L acid centers have a higher olefins selectivity than B acid centers for the cracking reaction of n-hexane.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The results of TG and DTG measurements show that the thermal behavior of NH_4 -NaY zeolites is as follows: (a) desorption of physically adsorbed water before 225°C; (b) dissociation of NH_3 from NH_4 -NaY at 225-396°C; (c) dehydroxylation of H-NaY from 618 to 700°C; (d) dehydroxylation of Si-OH on out-surfaces of the zeolite from 732 to 890°C.

2. The experimental results show that the ratio of B/L acid centers is basically 2:1.

3. The deammoniation temperature peak maxima in the DTG curve can be taken as a qualitative criterion of characterization of acidity strength. Using DTG maxima peak temperature at different heating rates, the activation energy of deammoniation from the Kissinger equation can be used as a quantitative criterion.

4. Taking n-hexane as a cracking probe reaction, pulse mic-reaction experimental results show that the L acid center has an obviously higher selectivity for low carbon olefins than do B acid centers.

REFERENCES

- 1 L. Forni, Catal. Rev., 1 (1973) 8.
- 2 P.A. Jacobs and C.F. Heylen, J. Catal., 34 (1974) 267.
- 3 J. Datka, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1, 77 (1981) 2877.