## Note

## On the estimation of reaction order from the conversion degree in thermostimulated traces

M. BALARIN

Institute relation Problems, Academy of Sciences, Moscow B-334, (U.S.S.R.) (Received 20 June 1977)

For a reaction, characterised by a single activation energy (E), pre-exponential factor (A) and reaction order (n), which obeys the Arrhenius equation, and under the condition of a constant heating rate (a),

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{A}{a} \,\mathrm{e}^{-E_{j}RT} \left(1 - x\right)^{n} \tag{1}$$

it has been shown by Gyulai and Greenhow<sup>1</sup>, that the conversion degree  $(x_{M})$  corresponding to the maximum point (indicated by the subscript M) of the differential curve can be better represented in the following improved form

$$x_{\rm M} = 1 - N \cdot n^{1/(1-n)}$$
 with  $N = 1.062$  (2)

than in the simple form

$$x_{\rm M} = 1 - n^{1/(1-n)} \tag{3}$$

They deduced their conclusion from a number of theoretically calculated reaction curves for a wide range of values of the kinetic constants (E = 10-100 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $A/a = 10^8 - 10^{20}$  K<sup>-1</sup>, n = 0.1-3) and their re-analysis. But this reasonable correction factor can stand only for some mean or particular choice of the kinetic constants.

It is the purpose of this note to show a direct dependence of this correction factor from the kinetic constants.

Integration of the separated eqn (1) leads for  $n \neq 1$  to<sup>2</sup>

$$\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{(1-x(T))^{n-1}}-1\right)=S(T;E,A/a)\cdot\eta\left(\frac{kT}{E}\right)$$
(4)

where

<sup>\*</sup> Guest scientist from Forschungsbereich für Physik, Kern- und Werkstoffwissenschaften, Akademie der Wissenschaften der D.D.R., 8027 – Dresden.

$$S \equiv \frac{ART^2}{aE} \cdot e^{-k/RT}$$
(5)

$$\eta \equiv 1 - 2y + 6y^2 - 24y^3 + 120y^4 - + \dots; y \equiv \frac{kT}{E} \ll 1$$
(6)

The maximum condition  $d^2 x/dT^2|_{T_M} = 0$  gives

$$n(1 - x_{\rm M})^{n-1} \cdot S_{\rm M} = 1 \tag{7}$$

and hence combining eqns (4)<sup>\*</sup> and (7)

$$x_{\rm M} = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{n-1}{n} \eta_{\rm M}} = 1 - n^{-1/(n-1)} \cdot \sqrt{1 + (n-1)(1 - \eta_{\rm M})}$$
(8)

Because practically y < 0.1, one may use the expansion of the (n - 1)-root and gets

$$N = \sqrt[n-1]{(1 + (n - 1)(1 - \eta_{\rm M}))} \cong 2 - \eta_{\rm M}$$
(9)

This shows that the correction factor N is directly dependent of E and  $T_{\rm M}$ , but through  $T_{\rm M}$  also on A and a or on A/a; more qualitatively, one can get further from eqns (8), (7) and (5), neglecting all terms with  $y^3$  and higher orders in y,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_{\mathrm{M}}}{x_{\mathrm{M}}} \cong \frac{2y_{\mathrm{M}}^2}{\sqrt{n}-1} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}E}{E} + \frac{\mathrm{d}A}{A} - \frac{\mathrm{d}a}{a} \right) \tag{10}$$

From this, it follows that  $x_M$  increases gradually with increasing activation energy *E*, increasing pre-exponential frequency factor *A* and when lowering the heating rate *a*. These facts had been mentioned empirically by Gyulai and Greenhow<sup>1</sup>, but could not be reflected by their fixed correction factor N = 1.062. This concrete value of *N* is valid for  $\eta = 0.938$  and hence for y = 0.0341 or  $E = 29.29 kT_M$  (ref. 3). The relative shift of  $x_M$  in dependence from *E*, *A* and *a*, as expressed by eqn (10), is on account of  $y^2$  quite small, and moreover experimentally the determination of the single point  $x_M$  is qualitative only. Therefore, it is the author's opinion, that the considered correction factor *N* can be helpful in the distinction of kinetic order values for different models, rather than for an evaluation of numerical values with exaggerated accuracy.

## REFERENCES

a - 1

1 G. Gyulai and E. J. Greenhow, Thermochim. Acta, 6 (1973) 254.

2 M. Balarin and A. Zetzsche, Phys. Status. Solidi, 3 (1963) K387.

3 M. Balarin, J. Therm. Anal., 11 (1977) 169.

$$\lim_{n = 1 \pm t \to 1} \sqrt{\frac{1 + (n - 1)(1 - \eta)}{n}} = e^{-1} \cdot (2 - \eta)$$

177

<sup>&</sup>quot;Although the case n = 1 should be integrated in a different way, this case may be included in the following deduction; it is especially so when: