### **Note**

# **THERMODYNAMICS OF DIVALENT SALTS IN DIOXANE + WATER MIXTURES**

**P-B. DAS** 

*Department of Chemistry, Ravenshaw College, Cuttack-3 (India)* 

**(Received 10 December 1979)** 

Studies of electrolytic conductance in dioxane + water media of varying diosane content were initiated previously [ 11. In the present communication an attempt is made to evaluate the thermodynamic function  $\Delta G_t^0$  for the transfer of MgSO<sub>4</sub>, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> and NiSO<sub>4</sub> from water to the respective dioxane  $+$ water media, which would give some information regarding ionic solvation.

### **MXTERIXLS AND METHODS**

The salts and diosane used were of E. Merck "Estra pure" varieties. Purification of diosane, preparation of solvents and solutions and conductance measurements have been reported earlier  $[1]$ . The conductance measurement was of an accuracy of  $\pm 2$  in 1000, the concentration range was 0.02– 0.002 mole  $1^{-1}$ , and the investigation temperature was  $35 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$ C.

### **RESL'LTS AND DISCUSSION**

The plot of  $\Lambda$  vs.  $C^{1/2}$  was not linear,  $\Lambda^0$  and K were obtained using the methods of Fuoss and Krauss [ 2] and Shedlovsky 131, which gave the same results.

The  $\Delta G^0$  values were calculated by the equation

 $\Delta G^0 = -RT \ln K$ 

**TABLE 1** 

The standard thermodynamic quantities  $(\Delta G_t^0)$  for the transfer process





## **from water to dioxane + water**   $\Delta G_{\text{t(ch)}}^0$  (kJ mole<sup>-1</sup>)  $-\Delta G_{\text{t(ch)}}^0$  (kJ mole<sup>-1</sup>) **-- - - --- Salt 10% 20% 30% 10% 20% 30% dioxane diosane dioxane dioxane diosane diosane - --\_-- -- ----. -\_-\_ WzSOJ 228.66 508.14 889.25 231.52 514.28 897.93**

**ZnSOq 193.49 429.97 752.44 198.34 442.26 765.73 NiSOq 134.33 29s.i1 492.02 135.34 305.84 497.91 -.-.~\_- - --- \_--- -\_-. ---** 

**Electrical and chemical parts of the free energy change accompanying the transfer of salts** 

(from water to 10, 20 and 30% dioxane + water mixtures) were determined by the method of Feakin and Turner [4], and are tabulated in Table 1. The probable uncertainty in  $\Delta G_t^0$  is  $\pm 15$  J mole<sup>-1</sup>.

The  $\Delta G_1^0$  values are observed to be positive at all solvent compositions. This indicates that the salts are in a higher free energy state in dioxane + water **mixtures than in water,** suggesting that water has more affinity for the salts than for the dioxane + water mixtures.

Knowing the  $\Delta G_t^0$  values and the ionic radii of the cations and anions [5],  $\Delta G_t^0$  was divided into two parts according to Roy et al. [6]. It consists of an electrostatic part,  $\Delta G_{t(\text{el})}^{0}$ , corresponding to a change in the dielectric constant of the medium and another nonelectrostatic or chemical contribution,  $\Delta G_{\text{t(ch)}}^0$ , arising from the specific chemical interaction between the ions and the solvent.  $\Delta G_{t(el)}^0$  was calculated from Born's equation [7], and then  $\Delta G_{\text{t(Ch)}}^0$  was determined. The results obtained are presented in Table 2. It is evident that the values of  $\Delta G_{\text{t}}^{0}$  are negative in all cases and increase with increase in dioxane content. This indicates **that the transfer of salts from**  water to dioxane + water mixtures is favoured as fap as chemical interaction agreement with viscosity results [ 81.

Using conductance data, the Walden product  $\Lambda^0\eta_0$  has also been employed  $Zn^{2+} > Ni^{2+}$ ; hence, the ionic solvation is of the reverse order, which is in agreement with viscosity results [ 81.

Using conductance data, the Walden product  $\Lambda^0\eta_0$  has also been employed to study the ion-solvent interaction in a solution.  $\Lambda^0\eta_0$  values of the three salts at different solvent compositions are recorded in Table 3. The plot of

**TXBLE 3** 

The Walden product,  $(\Lambda^0 \eta)$  ( $\Omega^{-1}$  cm<sup>2</sup> p), of the three salts at different solvent composi**tions** 



**TABLE 2** 

 $\Lambda^{0}\eta_{0}$  vs. solvent compositions is linear and the slope is of the order Mg<sup>2+</sup> >  $Ni<sup>2+</sup> > Zn<sup>2+</sup>$ , which indicates the order of the ionic solvation [9]. There is some discrepancy between the dissociation constant obtained from  $\Delta G_t^0$  and that obtained from the Walden product. The crystallographic radii of  $Zn^{2+}$ and  $Ni<sup>2+</sup>$  are almost the same (0.72 and 0.74, respectively), so it is expected that the ionic solvation would be almost the same; hence the discrepancy between the two methods is not unnatural\_

#### **REFERENCES**

- **1 N.C. Das and P-B. Das. Elcctrochim. Xcta, 23** *(19'7s)* **191.**
- 2 R.M. Fuoss and C.A. Krauss, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 33 (1933) 476.
- **3 T. Shcdlovsky, J. Franklin Inst., 125 (1935) 439.**
- **4 D. Feakins and D.J. Turner, J. Chcm. Sot., (1965) 47786.**
- **5 P.P. Misra and P.B. Das, Experentia, 24 (1979) 321.**
- **6 R.N. Roy, W. Vernon and A.L.M. Bothwell, Electrochim. Acta, 17 (1972) 5.**
- 7 H.P. Bernets and M.M. Spetzer, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. I. (1973) 1492.
- $8$  P.B. Das, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 54 (1977) 1193.
- **9 R.L. Blokhra and X1-L. Parmour, J. Elcctrochem. Sot., 57 (1974) 11'7.**