# ENTHALPIES OF ABSORPTION OF HYDROGEN SULFIDE IN AQUEOUS METHYLDIETHANOLAMINE SOLUTIONS

## J.L. OSCARSON \*, R.H. VAN DAM and R.M. IZATT

Departments of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602 (U.S.A.)

(Received 12 March 1990)

### ABSTRACT

An isothermal flow calorimeter was used to measure the enthalpies of absorption of hydrogen sulfide in aqueous 1.7, 3.0 and 4.3 M methyldiethanolamine (MDEA) solutions. The experimental temperature and pressure ranges were 299.8–399.8 K and 0.087–1.12 MPa respectively. The calorimetric data were found to be useful in determining the equilibrium concentrations of  $H_2S$  in the MDEA solutions. Both enthalpy of absorption and equilibrium concentration data for  $H_2S$ -aqueous MDEA systems are reported. The enthalpy of absorption of  $H_2S$  in aqueous MDEA solutions was found to be independent of pressure, and linearly dependent upon the temperature and concentration. An equation is given for predicting the enthalpy of absorption over the temperature, pressure, and concentration ranges investigated.

#### INTRODUCTION

A knowledge of the enthalpies of absorption,  $\Delta H_{abs}$ , of acid gases (H<sub>2</sub>S, CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, etc.) in aqueous amine solutions is useful in the design of equipment used to separate the acid gas impurities from raw natural gas streams. This paper is the fifth in a series [1-4] reporting enthalpies of absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S in alkanol amine solutions. In an absorber, the  $\Delta H_{abs}$  values, the heat capacities of the solutions, and the heat losses from the column determine the temperatures of the exiting solutions. A knowledge of both the  $\Delta H_{abs}$  of the acid gas in the solvent and the solution heat capacity is essential for the calculation of the intermediate cooling or heating required to achieve a desired exit temperature and a desired concentration of the acid gas in the solution exiting an absorber. In a stripping column, heat supplied to the reboiler raises the temperature of the solution, causing both dissociation of the acid gas-amine complexes and vaporization of a portion of the solution. The required reboiler heat duty of a stripper can

<sup>\*</sup> Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

be calculated from  $\Delta H_{abs}$  data, together with heat capacity, enthalpy of vaporization, and vapor-liquid equilibrium data.

The  $\Delta H_{abs}$  for H<sub>2</sub>S in aqueous solutions of methyldiethanolamine (2,2'methyliminodiethanol; MDEA) reported in this paper will help to provide a data base of  $\Delta H_{abs}$  values useful to the gas processing industry.

# EXPERIMENTAL

The chemicals employed were hydrogen sulfide (Matheson gas products, 99.5% purity), MDEA (Aldrich Chemical Company, 99 wt.% pure), and distilled, deionized water. Prior to preparation of the aqueous MDEA solutions, the deionized, distilled water was boiled for 20 min to drive out any dissolved  $CO_2$ . During cooling, a  $CO_2$  absorbing tube was attached to the top of the boiling flask with a one-hole stopper to prevent contamination of the water by atmospheric  $CO_2$ . Exposure of the MDEA solutions to  $CO_2$  in the air was minimized by mixing only 1 1 at a time, limiting the time of exposure to the surroundings, and storing the solutions in sealed 1 1 bottles. All of the aqueous solutions were degassed in an ultrasonic bath for approximately 10 min prior to use.

The  $\Delta H_{abs}$  measurements were obtained using a moderate temperature (244-550 K), high pressure (0.1-41 MPa), isothermal flow calorimeter with the calorimeter submerged in a constant temperature oil bath [5]. Two ISCO model 314 series positive displacement syringe pumps were used in the operation of the units.

The procedure used to measure the  $\Delta H_{abs}$  values has been described [1]. The accuracy of the calorimeters has been shown to be better than  $\pm 1\%$  for heat of mixing runs made with certain liquid-liquid test systems [6]. However, in the determination of  $\Delta H_{abs}$  for gaseous (H<sub>2</sub>S) and liquid (aqueous amine solution) reactants, the complexity of the experimental measurements is increased. The major difficulties encountered and how they were handled has been discussed [1]. As a result of these complexities, the accuracy of the calorimetric measurements reported here is estimated to be  $\pm 5\%$ . The precision of the  $\Delta H_{abs}$  measurements can be estimated from earlier results of duplicate runs for selected systems. In these systems, duplicate runs were made for five CO<sub>2</sub> and aqueous MDEA [2] solutions and for six CO<sub>2</sub> and aqueous diglycolamine (DGA) [1] solutions. The results for each of these systems agreed to within 4%.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Values of  $\Delta H_{abs}$  for H<sub>2</sub>S in MDEA-water solutions were measured at the conditions listed in Table 1. The experimental  $\Delta H_{abs}$  values in units of J (g

TABLE 1

Experimental conditions at which enthalpies of absorption ( $\Delta H_{abs}$ ) were measured

Temperature	299.8, 349.8, 399.8	
MDEA concentration (M)	1.7, 3.0, 4.3	
Pressure (MPa)	0.087 at 299.8 K <sup>a</sup>	
	0.156 at 299.8 and 349.8 K	
	1.12 at 299.8, 349.8 and 399.8 K	

<sup>a</sup> Determined only at 3.0 M.

 $H_2S$ )<sup>-1</sup> were plotted vs.  $H_2S$  loading (moles of  $H_2S$  per mole of MDEA) for each of the 15 systems. The plots of  $\Delta H_{abs}$  vs.  $H_2S$  loading for all 15 systems are available [7]. Results are given in Fig. 1 for a representative system, i.e. 3.0 M MDEA, 299.8 K, and 1.12 MPa. Figure 1 reveals that the measured  $\Delta H_{abs}$  values are roughly independent of the amount of  $H_2S$ absorbed below the saturated loading point. However, a computer model indicates that  $\Delta H_{abs}$  shows a small but significant dependence on the loading [8]. This behavior was observed in all 15 systems studied. The  $\Delta H_{abs}$ value approaches zero asymptotically past the saturated loading point. This trend is consistent with the results of similar studies of the absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> into aqueous DGA [1], MDEA [2], and DEA [3] and on the absorption of H<sub>2</sub>S into aqueous DEA [4].

In Fig. 2,  $\Delta H_{abs}$  values are plotted in units of J (g MDEA)<sup>-1</sup> for the same system as in Fig. 1. The slope of the initial straight line portion prior to the saturated loading point was found by a modified least-squares linear regression which forces the line describing the experimental data below the saturated loading point to pass through the origin. The numerical value in Fig. 1 (-981 J (g H<sub>2</sub>S)<sup>-1</sup>) is identical to the slope of the initial linear portion of the plot in Fig. 2. The data were manipulated in this way because it was found that small deviations from linearity in the data plotted in units of J (g MDEA)<sup>-1</sup> were greatly magnified when the data were plotted in units of J (g H<sub>2</sub>S)<sup>-1</sup>.



Fig. 1. Enthalpy of absorption vs. H<sub>2</sub>S loading for 3.0 M MDEA, 299.8 K, and 1.12 MPa.



Fig. 2. Enthalpy of absorption vs.  $H_2S$  loading for 3.0 M MDEA, 299.8 K, and 1.12 MPa. The saturated loading point is indicated at 1.24 mol  $H_2S$  (mol MDEA)<sup>-1</sup>.

Pressure was found to have virtually no effect on the values of  $\Delta H_{abs}$  for a given MDEA concentration. At the lowest temperature (299.8 K), experimental runs were made at 0.087 (3.0 M), 0.156 (1.7 and 3.0 M), and 1.12 (1.7, 3.0, and 4.3 M) MPa for a total of six runs. At this temperature, the calculated  $\Delta H_{abs}$  values below the saturated loading point differed by less than 10% between the high pressure runs and the low pressure runs at the same concentration. At 399.8 K, a system pressure of 1.12 MPa led to greater ease of measurement of  $\Delta H_{abs}$ . Since the total pressure within the calorimeter is only slightly greater than the partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub>S above the aqueous solution,  $\Delta H_{abs}$  at loadings below the saturated loading point is essentially independent of the partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub>S for partial pressures between 0.087 and 1.12 MPa. This result is also consistent with the findings of the CO<sub>2</sub> + DGA [1], CO<sub>2</sub> + MDEA [2], CO<sub>2</sub> + DEA [3], and H<sub>2</sub>S + DEA [4] studies. Within the H<sub>2</sub>S partial pressure ranges of this study, the experimentally determined  $\Delta H_{abs}$  values ranged from -28 kJ (mol H<sub>2</sub>S)<sup>-1</sup> at 299.8 K to -45 kJ (mol H<sub>2</sub>S)<sup>-1</sup> at 399.8 K.

A linear regression of 14 of the 15 data points (the point collected at 299.8 K, 1.12 MPa and 4.3 M MDEA was omitted because of excessive scatter of the data) gives the equation

$$\Delta H_{\rm abs} \left[ \text{kJ} \left( \text{mol } \text{H}_2 \text{S} \right)^{-1} \right] = -1.072 x_{\rm M} - 0.1094T + 2.389 \tag{1}$$

where  $x_{\rm M}$  is the molarity of MDEA in solution, and T represents the temperature in K. Figure 3 is a plot of the  $\Delta H_{\rm abs}$  values calculated from eqn. (1) (solid lines) and the  $\Delta H_{\rm abs}$  values measured experimentally for H<sub>2</sub>S loadings below the saturated loading point as a function of MDEA concentration at 1.12 MPa and at each of the three temperatures investigated. This curve fit indicates that  $\Delta H_{\rm abs}$  becomes more negative with increasing temperature and concentration. These findings are in contrast to the results of the DEA-CO<sub>2</sub> system in which  $\Delta H_{\rm abs}$  was found to be independent of DEA concentration but dependent on temperature and in contrast to the



Fig. 3. Enthalpy of absorption vs. MDEA concentration for  $H_2S$  loadings below the saturated loading point at a total pressure of 1.12 MPa and at temperatures of:  $\circ$ , 299.8 K;  $\diamond$ , 349.8 K;  $\triangle$ , 399.8 K. ——, Given by eqn. (1).

DGA-CO<sub>2</sub> system in which  $\Delta H_{abs}$  was found to be dependent upon DGA concentration but independent of the temperature. However, these findings are consistent with the MDEA-CO<sub>2</sub> and DEA-H<sub>2</sub>S systems in which  $\Delta H_{abs}$ was found to be dependent upon both the amine concentration and the temperature. The DGA-CO<sub>2</sub>, MDEA-CO<sub>2</sub>, DEA-CO<sub>2</sub>, and DEA-H<sub>2</sub>S studies were conducted over the same ranges of concentration and temperature as the study presented here. Equation (1) can be used to determine  $\Delta H_{abs}$  of H<sub>2</sub>S in aqueous MDEA solutions within the temperature range 299.8-399.8 K, and the H<sub>2</sub>S partial pressure range from 0.087 to 1.12 MPa, for solutions between 1.7 and 4.3 M MDEA. It is important to recognize that the  $\Delta H_{abs}$  values reported in Fig. 3 and given by eqn. (1) are valid only for H<sub>2</sub>S loadings less than the saturated loading concentration of H<sub>2</sub>S in the solution.

In addition to providing  $\Delta H_{abs}$  values for H<sub>2</sub>S in aqueous MDEA solutions, the calorimetric data can be used to determine the saturated loading point of H<sub>2</sub>S in the solution. Figure 2 shows  $\Delta H_{abs}$  to be a linear function of the loading (moles of H<sub>2</sub>S per mole of MDEA) below the saturated loading point. The saturated loading point was taken to be the abscissa value corresponding to the sharp discontinuity in the slope of the curve. As is seen in Fig. 4, the saturated loading points determined from the enthalpy data are approximately linear functions of temperature (the point at 299.8 K and 4.3 M was ignored in calculating the lines since the data from which the loading point was determined exhibited excessive scatter). The data in Table 2 show that the saturated loading point is a function of pressure. This pressure dependence is more pronounced at the higher temperatures as is expected since the Henry's law constant for the gas increases dramatically with temperature. A summary of the  $\Delta H_{abs}$  values and of the saturated loading points of all of the runs is given in Table 2.

It is important to establish that chemical equilibrium is reached in the calorimeter under the flow conditions used. For the similar  $CO_2$ -DGA



Fig. 4. Plot of saturated loading point vs. temperature at a constant pressure of 1.12 MPa for three MDEA concentrations of:  $\circ$ , 1.7 M;  $\diamond$ , 3.0 M; and  $\triangle$ , 4.3 M. The point at 299.8 K and 4.3 M was ignored because of excessive scatter in the data used to calculate the value.

study [1], the attainment of chemical equilibrium was established. In the DGA study, the  $\Delta H_{abs}$  values were found to be independent of flow rate for a wide range of calorimeter residence times. In addition, a visual flow apparatus (transparent tubing) was constructed that had approximately the same configuration as the flow calorimeter in order to observe the absorption of CO<sub>2</sub> into the amine solution. Under conditions of temperature, pressure, and molar flow rates similar to those used during operation of the calorimeter, complete absorption of the gas was observed in half or less of the normal residence time in the calorimeter. In the study with CO<sub>2</sub> and

TABLE 2

Enthalpies of solution  $\Delta H_{abs}$  for H<sub>2</sub>S in aqueous MDEA solutions below the saturated loading point together with saturated loading point values

System			$ \frac{\Delta H_{abs}}{(kJ (g mol H_2 S)^{-1})} $	Saturated loading point (mol H <sub>2</sub> S (mol MDEA) <sup>-1</sup> )
1.7 M MDEA,	299.8 K,	0.156 MPa	-28	1.02
1.7 M MDEA,	299.8 K,	1.12 MPa	-29	1.47
3.0 M MDEA,	299.8 K,	0.087 MPa	- 36	0.74
3.0 M MDEA,	299.8 K,	0.156 MPa	- 36	0.93
3.0 M MDEA,	299.8 K,	1.12 MPa	-33	1.24
4.3 M MDEA,	299.8 K,	1.12 MPa	- 45	0.86
1.7 M MDEA,	349.8 K,	0.156 MPa	- 38	0.67
1.7 M MDEA,	349.8 K,	1.12 MPa	- 39	1.12
3.0 M MDEA,	349.8 K,	0.156 MPa	- 38	0.55
3.0 M MDEA,	349.8 K,	1.12 MPa	- 40	0.97
4.3 M MDEA,	349.8 K,	0.156 MPa	- 38	0.48
4.3 M MDEA,	349.8 K,	1.12 MPa	- 41	0.90
1.7 M MDEA,	399.8 K,	1.12 MPa	- 44	0.78
3.0 M MDEA,	399.8 K,	1.12 MPa	- 45	0.60
4.3 M MDEA,	399.8 K,	1.12 MPa	- 45	0.52

DEA [3], data points representing certain CO<sub>2</sub> loadings were taken at several different volumetric flow rates (giving residence times of 3–12 min) to see whether the value of  $\Delta H_{abs}$  changed with flow rate. Had equilibrium conditions not existed, a dependence of  $\Delta H_{abs}$  on flow rate would have been observed. No flow rate dependency was observed, so conditions at or close to equilibrium were assumed to exist for all DEA runs.

## SUMMARY

Isothermal flow calorimetry provides an accurate  $(\pm 5\%)$  means of measuring the  $\Delta H_{abs}$  value of a gas in an aqueous solution containing a reactive solute. In addition, the data allow a determination of the saturated loading point of H<sub>2</sub>S in the solution. The following conclusions can be drawn from the  $\Delta H_{abs}$  data. First,  $\Delta H_{abs}$  is nearly constant over the H<sub>2</sub>S loading range of 0.0 mol H<sub>2</sub>S (mol MDEA)<sup>-1</sup> to near the saturated loading point of H<sub>2</sub>S. Second,  $\Delta H_{abs}$  below the saturated loading point is essentially independent of the partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub>S above the MDEA solutions for total pressures between 0.087 and 1.12 MPa. Third,  $\Delta H_{abs}$  values below the saturated loading point can be represented, within experimental error, as a linear function of temperature (between 299.8 and 399.8 K) and concentration (between 1.7 and 4.3 M MDEA).

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Gas Processor's Association as part of GPA Project 821. The authors are grateful to Paul Harding and Lyle Gunderson for assistance with data measurement and reduction.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 S.P. Christensen, J.J. Christensen and R.M. Izatt, Thermochim. Acta, 106 (1986) 241.
- 2 K.E. Merkley, J.J. Christensen and R.M. Izatt, Thermochim. Acta, 121 (1987) 437.
- 3 J.L. Oscarson, R.H. Van Dam, J.J. Christensen and R.M. Izatt, Thermochim. Acta, 146 (1989) 107.
- 4 J.L. Oscarson, R.H. Van Dam, J.J. Christensen and R.M. Izatt, Thermochim. Acta, 154 (1989) 89.
- 5 J.J. Christensen, L.D. Hansen, R.M. Izatt, D.J. Eatough and R.M. Hart, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 52 (1981) 1226.
- 6 J.B. Ott, G.V. Cornett, C.E. Stouffer, B.F. Woodfield, C. Guanquan and J.J. Christensen, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 18 (1988) 867.
- 7 J.L. Oscarson and R.M. Izatt, Research Report RR-127, Gas Processor's Association, Tulsa, OK, 1990.
- 8 J.L. Oscarson, X. Chen and R.M. Izatt, Research Report RR-128, Gas Processor's Association, Tulsa, OK, 1990.